



# Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 6,135—FRIDAY, AUGUST 10, 1906.

PART I.—General: Minutes, Proclamations, Appointments, and General Government Notifications. PART III.—Provincial Administration.  
 PART II.—Legal and Judicial. PART IV.—Land Settlement.  
 PART V.—Mercantile, Marine, Municipal, Local, &c.

*Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.*

## Part II.—Legal and Judicial.

	PAGE		PAGE
Passed Ordinances .. .. .	—	Notices in Testamentary Actions .. .. .	769
Draft Ordinances .. .. .	753	Notices in Insolvency Cases .. .. .	781
Notices from Supreme Court Registry .. .. .	—	Notices of Fiscals' Sales .. .. .	775
Notices from Council of Legal Education .. .. .	—	Notices from District and Minor Courts .. .. .	788
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of Supreme Court .. .. .	—	Lists of Articled Clerks .. .. .	—
Lists of Jurors and Assessors .. .. .	—		

## DRAFT ORDINANCES.

### MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance relating to the Employment of Guides within any Town or Place.

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient that provision should be made for regulating and controlling the employment of persons plying for hire as guides within any town or place in this island: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.  
 Ordinance to apply to named towns only, but Governor in Executive Council may extend it to other towns by Proclamation.

I This Ordinance, which may be cited as "The Guides' Ordinance, 1906," shall apply to any town or place mentioned in Schedule A hereto, but the Governor in Executive Council may, by Proclamation, extend it to any other town or place.

Repeal of  
Ordinance  
No. 15 of 1887.

2 "The Guides' Ordinance, 1887," is hereby repealed, provided that such repeal shall not affect—

- (a) The past operation of the enactment hereby repealed;
- (b) Any right, privilege, obligation, or liability acquired, accrued, or incurred under such enactment;
- (c) Any penalty, forfeiture, or punishment incurred in respect of any offence committed against such enactment; nor
- (d) Any investigation, legal proceeding, or remedy in respect of any such right, privilege, obligation, liability, penalty, forfeiture, or punishment as aforesaid; and any such investigation, legal proceeding, and remedy may be carried on as if this Ordinance had not passed.

Interpretation  
clause:  
"Licensed guide."

3 In this Ordinance the term—

"Licensed guide" shall mean any guide who is registered and licensed under section 4.

"Town."

"Town" shall include any town under the control of a municipal council, local board, or board of health, and the town of Nuwara Eliya;

"Place"

"Place" shall include any small town, village, or hamlet.

"Proper  
authority."

"Proper authority" shall mean in the case of any town the municipal council, local board, or board of health of such town, and in the case of the town of Nuwara Eliya the board of improvement of Nuwara Eliya, and in case of any place the government agent of the province or the assistant government agent of the district within which such place is situate.

Persons plying  
for hire as guides  
to be licensed.

4 From and after the passing of this Ordinance every person plying for hire as a guide within any town or place shall be duly registered and licensed as hereinafter provided.

Proper authority  
to keep register  
and to issue  
licenses.

5 It shall be the duty of the proper authority to cause to be registered in a book to be kept for that purpose, and to be called "The Register of Licensed Guides," in numerical order, the name, description, and such other particulars as may be necessary for identification of every person applying to be licensed to be employed as a guide; and the proper authority shall thereupon issue to such person a license to be employed as aforesaid, which license shall bear the registered number of the applicant and shall be in the form in Schedule B hereto, and shall be in force for such time not exceeding twelve months as shall be mentioned therein, and the date of such license, together with the period for which it shall be granted, shall be registered in "The Register of Licensed Guides."

Particulars to be  
entered in  
register.

Proviso.

Proper authority  
may refuse to  
issue license.

Provided, however, that the proper authority may, for good cause, refuse to issue a license to any person applying therefore, and may from time to time renew any license which may have expired; and such refusal or renewal shall be duly registered in the register.

Licensed guides  
to have badges  
and to wear  
them.

6 The proper authority shall cause to be delivered to each licensed guide a badge of uniform pattern, bearing thereon the number of his license, the cost of which badge shall be paid by the person to whom the same is delivered; and it shall be the duty of every guide, when acting as such, to wear such badge conspicuously exposed upon his person, and in case the owner of such badge shall at any time satisfy the proper authority that such badge has been lost or destroyed, it shall be lawful for such proper authority to cause another badge to be delivered to such guide upon payment of the costs thereof.

Provision for  
lost badges.

Proper authority  
may make  
by-laws.

7 By-laws for the general government of licensed guides and for regulating the fees which may lawfully be demanded by them shall from time to time be prepared by the proper authority consistently with the provisions of this Ordinance, which by-laws when approved by the Governor in Exe-

cutive Council and published in the *Government Gazette* shall have the effect of law ; and the proper authority shall have power to alter, amend, or cancel any such by-law or by-laws, subject, however, to the approval of the Governor in Executive Council.

Offences.

8 Every licensed guide—

- (a) Who shall act as such without wearing his proper badge exposed in a conspicuous manner ; or
- (b) Who shall use or exhibit any badge other than his own ; or
- (c) Who in the course of his employment shall use violent or abusive language to, or who shall threaten or molest, or by any means extort money from, any person ; or
- (d) Who shall violate any by-law under the authority of this Ordinance ;

and every unlicensed person—

- (a) Who shall ply for hire as a guide ; or
- (b) Who shall use or exhibit with intent to deceive a licensed guide's badge or any other badge intended to represent a licensed guide's badge—

Penalties.

shall be guilty of an offence, and shall be liable on conviction to a penalty not exceeding twenty rupees, or to simple or rigorous imprisonment for any period not exceeding one month.

Proper authority may suspend or cancel license.

9 If any licensed guide shall be convicted of any offence against this Ordinance, the proper authority may, in addition to any penalty which may have been imposed upon the offender, suspend or cancel the license of such guide, and any guide whose license shall have been suspended shall for the purposes of this Ordinance during the period of such suspension be deemed an unlicensed person.

Entry of suspension or cancellation to be made in register, and license and badge to be delivered up to the proper authority.

10 When the license of any guide shall have expired and shall not have been renewed, or when it has been cancelled or suspended under the provisions of the next preceding section, the proper authority shall cause an entry thereof to be made in the register, and the guide whose license shall have expired and shall not have been renewed, or whose license shall have been cancelled or suspended, shall forthwith deliver up to the proper authority his license and badge ; and it shall be the duty of such proper authority to return to the person whose license shall have expired and shall not have been renewed, or whose license shall have been cancelled, the original cost of such badge, and to return to the person whose license has been suspended his license and badge when his period of suspension has expired ; and any licensed guide who shall neglect or refuse to deliver up his license and badge as required by this section shall be guilty of an offence, and liable on conviction to a penalty not exceeding twenty rupees, or to simple or rigorous imprisonment for any period not exceeding one month.

Penalty for neglecting to deliver up license and badge.

Fees to be levied on licenses and to be paid into the funds of the town in the case of towns, and into the Treasury in case of places.

11 It shall be lawful for the proper authority to levy the under-mentioned fees in respect of all licenses issued or renewed by him under this Ordinance ; and such fees shall in the case of towns be paid into the fund of such town, and in the case of places be paid into the Treasury :

- (a) When the license is for a period not exceeding three months, three rupees.
- (b) When the license is for a period not exceeding six months, five rupees.
- (c) When the license is for a period not exceeding twelve months, seven rupees and fifty cents.

In case of towns chairman may exercise powers vested in proper authority.

12 All powers and duties by this Ordinance vested in and imposed on the proper authority may in the case of any town be exercised and performed by the chairman of the municipal council, local board, or board of health of such town, and in such case all documents and instruments under the hand of the chairman or secretary of such town shall, for the purposes of this Ordinance, be deemed to have been issued by the proper authority.

## SCHEDULE A.

(To contain list of towns and places to which Ordinance is to apply.)

## SCHEDULE B.

By the Municipality or Local Board (or Board of Health, Government Agent, or Assistant Government Agent) of \_\_\_\_\_.

This is to license \_\_\_\_\_ as a guide under "The Guides' Ordinance, 190 \_\_\_\_\_," within the \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ for the period of \_\_\_\_\_ from the date hereof.

Dated : \_\_\_\_\_

(Signed) \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman or Secretary of the \_\_\_\_\_.

[Not transferable.]

By His Excellency's command,

H. L. CRAWFORD,  
Acting Colonial Secretary.Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, July 24, 1906.*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

THE object of this Ordinance is to apply the provisions of "The Guides' Ordinance, 1887" to any town or place in the Colony. It was found that by very slightly altering the wording of Ordinance No. 15 of 1887 it could be applied to any town or place, and as there was no necessity for keeping both the present Ordinance and Ordinance No. 15 of 1887 in the Statute Book, the latter Ordinance has been repealed and its provisions have been re-enacted in sections 3 to 11 of this Ordinance. Except the power given to the Governor in Executive Council to extend the provisions of Ordinance No. 15 of 1887 to towns and places other than the Municipality of Colombo, the law is left wholly unchanged.

Colombo, July 13, 1906.

J. H. TEMPLER,  
Acting Attorney-General.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

## An Ordinance to amend Ordinance No. 5 of 1861.

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend Ordinance No. 5 of 1861, intituled "An Ordinance relating to Wrecks, Sea Casualties, and Salvage," in certain particulars: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Ordinance to be read with No. 5 of 1861.

Power of receiver to summon witnesses before him.

1 This Ordinance and Ordinance No. 5 of 1861 shall be read and construed as one Ordinance, and this Ordinance may be cited as "The Wrecks-Amendment Ordinance, 190 \_\_\_\_\_."

2 To section 14 of Ordinance No. 5 of 1861 the following sub-sections shall be added and numbered 14 (2) to (11) respectively:

(2) For the purposes of the inquiry held under this section, it shall be lawful for the receiver or justice of the peace, as the case may be, to issue a summons requiring the attendance before him, at a time and place to be mentioned in the summons, of any person whose evidence shall in the judgment of the receiver or justice of the peace be material to the subject-matter of such inquiry, and to require all persons to bring before him such logs, manifests, Charter parties, papers, and writings as to the said receiver or justice of the peace shall appear necessary for arriving at the truth touching such subject-matter. Every such summons shall be served through the fiscal of the province in which the inquiry is held.

And if necessary  
to issue warrant  
of arrest.

(3) Should any person served with a summons under the provisions of this Ordinance refuse or fail to attend at the time and place mentioned in such summons it shall be lawful for the receiver or justice of the peace holding such inquiry to issue to the fiscal of the province in which such inquiry is held a warrant under his hand for the arrest of such person, and such fiscal shall execute such warrant in like manner as warrants of arrest issued by police magistrates under the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code are executed.

(4) The receiver or justice of the peace may at any time before the closing of his inquiry issue a warrant to search or cause to be searched all places wherein any document or article or thing pertinent to such inquiry is alleged to be kept or concealed.

(5) Such warrant shall be executed by the fiscal of the province within which the inquiry is held, and shall remain in force for a reasonable number of days to be specified in such warrant.

(6) The receiver or justice of the peace may at any stage of the inquiry require any witness to execute, with or without sureties, a bond for his appearance at any future stage of such inquiry. If a witness refuses or neglects to execute such bond, the receiver or justice of the peace may commit him to prison until such bond is duly executed.

(7) If a witness after executing a bond to appear commits any breach of his bond, it shall be lawful for the receiver or justice of the peace by order under his hand to declare the bond forfeited, and thereupon he may call upon any person bound by such bond to pay the penalty thereof or to show cause why it should not be paid.

(8) If sufficient cause is not shown and the penalty is not paid, the receiver or justice of the peace may recover the same by issuing a warrant for the attachment and sale of the movable or immovable property belonging to such person.

(9) Such warrant shall be executed by the fiscal of the province within which the inquiry was held.

(10) If such penalty be not paid and cannot be recovered by such attachment and sale, the person so bound shall be liable by order of the receiver or justice of the peace who issued the warrant to simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months.

(11) If immovable property is sold under the provisions of this section, it shall be lawful for the fiscal by whom or under whose orders the sale was carried out to execute a conveyance of such property to, and a conveyance so executed shall vest such property in, the purchaser in like manner as if such conveyance had been executed by the person bound by the bond.

By His Excellency's command,  
H. L. CRAWFORD,  
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, July 24, 1906.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

AT the inquiry into the wreck of the Russian ss. "Kazan" the Receiver of Wrecks discovered that, although he was authorized to examine persons on oath touching such wreck, he was not expressly empowered to compel the attendance before him of such persons.

3. The present Ordinance makes provision for this. Section 2 provides for the issue of a summons in the first instance, and a warrant of arrest if such summons fail to produce before the Receiver the persons served therewith. The subsequent sections provide for the issue and enforcement of bail bonds.

Colombo, July 3, 1906.

J. H. TEMPLER,  
Acting Attorney-General.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

## An Ordinance to amend "The Vehicles Ordinance, 1901."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Vehicles Ordinance, 1901," and Ordinance No. 1 of 1902 in certain particulars: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

To be read as one with Ordinance No. 9 of 1901.

1 This Ordinance shall be construed and read as one with "The Vehicles Ordinance, 1901," hereinafter referred to as the principal Ordinance.

Short title.

2 This Ordinance may be cited for all purposes as "The Vehicles License Ordinance, 1906," and shall come into operation on the First day of January, 1907.

Section 2 of Ordinance No. 1 of 1902 repealed. Sections 6, 7, and 8 of principal Ordinance repealed.

3 Section 2 of Ordinance No. 1 of 1902 is hereby repealed.

Application for license within municipal or local board town.

4 Sections 6, 7, and 8 and Schedules II. and III. of the principal Ordinance are hereby repealed, and the following sections are hereby substituted therefor:

6. (1) The owner of every vehicle kept or used within the limits of any municipal or local board town or the town of Nuwara Eliya shall subscribe and present to the proper authority of such municipal or local board town or the town of Nuwara Eliya within which such owner resides or exercises his calling a declaration of ownership substantially in the Form A in the first schedule hereto, and shall apply for a license authorizing such owner to use such vehicle for the purpose or purposes set out in the license.

Application for license in other parts of colony.

(2) The owner of every vehicle kept or used in any part of this colony not being a municipal or local board town or the town of Nuwara Eliya shall subscribe and present to the proper authority of the district within which such owner resides or exercises his calling a declaration of ownership substantially in the Form C in the first schedule hereto, and shall apply for a license authorizing such owner to use such vehicle for the purpose or purposes set out in the license.

(3) Every such declaration shall state whether such vehicle is to be kept or used as a private vehicle or for the purpose of conveying or transporting by land passengers, goods, produce, or merchandise from any place to any other place for hire or for reward.

Exemptions.

Provided always that no license shall be required to be taken out under the provisions of this Ordinance for any vehicle the property of the Governor or of the Government of Ceylon or employed in His Majesty's service or in carrying the public mails.

Proper authority to issue a license.

7. On receipt of such application the proper authority shall satisfy himself that the said declaration is true, and in the case of vehicles plying for hire or reward that the vehicle in respect of which the license is applied for is in good order and fit to be hired for the purpose intended, and thereafter shall issue to the owner of such vehicle in the case of persons residing within municipal or local board towns or the town of Nuwara Eliya a license in the Form B in the first schedule hereto, and in case of persons residing in other parts of the island not being a municipal or local board town or the town of Nuwara Eliya a license in the Form D in the first schedule hereto.

License to be issued in duplicate.

8. (1) Every such license shall be issued in duplicate one to be marked "Original" and the other to be marked "Duplicate," and in the case of municipal or local board

towns or the town of Nuwara Eliya the license marked "Original" shall bear a stamp or stamps of the value set down in figures in the second or third columns, as the case may be, of Part I. of the second schedule hereto, and the license marked "Duplicate" shall bear a stamp or stamps of the value set down in figures in the second column of Part II. of the second schedule hereto, and in the case of other parts of the colony not being a municipal or local board town or the town of Nuwara Eliya the license marked "Original" shall bear a stamp or stamps of the value set down in figures in the second or third columns, as the case may be, of Part III. of the second schedule hereto. Such stamp or stamps to be supplied by the party applying for the license.

(2) In the case of municipal and local board towns and the town of Nuwara Eliya the proceeds accruing from the stamp duties levied on the licenses marked "Original" shall be paid into the municipal or local board funds or the fund of the Board of Improvement of Nuwara Eliya, as the case may be, but the proceeds accruing from the stamp duties levied on the licenses marked "Duplicate" shall be paid into the Treasury and be credited to the general revenue of the colony, anything in the Municipal Councils' Ordinances or the Local Boards' Ordinances for the time being in force or in Ordinance No. 20 of 1896 to the contrary notwithstanding; and in the case of other parts of the colony not being a municipal or local board town or the town of Nuwara Eliya the proceeds accruing from the stamp duties levied on the licenses marked "Original" shall be paid into the Treasury and credited to the general revenue of the colony.

(3) Each license shall be in force until the thirty-first day of December for the year for which the same shall be granted and no longer. In the case of vehicles plying for hire or reward each license shall further state the number of persons authorized to be carried, and in the case of carts the weight to be carried and the number of animals to be carried therein.

*Illustrations.*

- (a) A carriage kept for private use within a municipal town will pay a Rs. 10 stamp duty on the original license, which will go to the municipal fund, and a further Rs. 10 stamp duty on the duplicate license, which will go to the revenue of the colony.
- (b) A carriage kept for hire within a municipal town will pay Rs. 20 on the original license, which will go to the municipal fund, and a further Rs. 10 stamp duty on the duplicate license, which will go to the revenue of the colony.
- (c) A jimricksha kept for hire in places other than municipal or local board towns or the town of Nuwara Eliya will pay a stamp duty of Rs. 10 on the original license, which will go to the revenue of the colony.

SCHEDULE I.

A.—Declaration of Ownership in Municipal or Local Board Towns.

No. ———,

I, A. B., ———, truly declare that I reside at ——— in the Municipal town (or Local Board town) of ———, that I am the sole owner (or joint owner with ———) of the vehicle, to wit, a ———, or that the vehicle, to wit, a ———, belongs to the firm of ———, of which I am the manager (as the case may be), for which I apply at ——— for a license.

Declared at ——— this ——— day of ———, 190 —.

Signature: ———

## B.—License within Municipal or Local Board Towns.

\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 190—.

No. \_\_\_\_\_.

WHEREAS *A. B.* occupying premises No. \_\_\_\_\_ in the \_\_\_\_\_ street (or road) in \_\_\_\_\_ has applied for a license under the Ordinance No. \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_, and has made and signed the declaration thereby required, license is hereby granted unto him to keep and use the vehicle, to wit, a \_\_\_\_\_, for the following purpose, to wit, \_\_\_\_\_, from the date hereof until the 31st day of December \_\_\_\_\_.

The registered number of the said vehicle is \_\_\_\_\_.

In case of vehicles required to bear a registered number.

In case of vehicles plying for hire add this proviso.

Provided such \_\_\_\_\_ shall not carry more than \_\_\_\_\_ persons at any one time (or or a greater weight than \_\_\_\_\_, or more than \_\_\_\_\_ pigs, \_\_\_\_\_ sheep, \_\_\_\_\_ goats, or \_\_\_\_\_ cattle as the case may be).

Given under my hand the day and year first above-written.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Proper Authority.

NOTE.—State whether the license is the "original" or "duplicate." If the "duplicate" insert "The Stamp Duty of Rs. \_\_\_\_\_ has been affixed to the 'original' of this license."

If the "original" insert "The Stamp Duty of Rs. \_\_\_\_\_ has been affixed to the 'duplicate' of this license."

## C.—Declaration of Ownership for places other than Municipal or Local Board Towns.

No. \_\_\_\_\_.

I, *A. B.*, \_\_\_\_\_, truly declare that I reside at \_\_\_\_\_, in the district of \_\_\_\_\_, that I am the sole owner (or joint owner with \_\_\_\_\_) of the vehicle, to wit, a \_\_\_\_\_, or that the vehicle, to wit, a \_\_\_\_\_, belongs to the firm of \_\_\_\_\_, of which I am the manager (as the case may be), for which vehicle I hereby apply at the \_\_\_\_\_ Kachcheri for a license.

Declared at \_\_\_\_\_ this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 190—.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature :

## D.—License for Persons residing in places other than Municipal or Local Board Towns.

\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 190—.

WHEREAS *A. B.*, residing at \_\_\_\_\_, in the district of \_\_\_\_\_, has applied for a license under the Ordinance No. \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_, and has made and signed the declaration thereby required.

License is hereby granted unto him to keep and use the vehicle, to wit, a \_\_\_\_\_, for the following purposes, to wit, \_\_\_\_\_, from the date hereof until the thirty-first day of December \_\_\_\_\_.

The registered number of the said vehicle is \_\_\_\_\_.

In case of vehicles required to bear a registered number.

In case of vehicles plying for hire add this proviso.

Provided such \_\_\_\_\_ shall not carry more than \_\_\_\_\_ persons at any time (or a greater weight than \_\_\_\_\_, or more than \_\_\_\_\_ pigs, \_\_\_\_\_ sheep, \_\_\_\_\_ goats, \_\_\_\_\_ or cattle, as the case may be).

Given under my hand the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, and year first above-written.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Proper Authority.

NOTE.—State whether the license is the "original" or "duplicate." If the "duplicate" insert "The Stamp Duty of Rs. \_\_\_\_\_ has been affixed to the 'original' of this license."



## SCHEDULE II.

## PART I.

Description of Vehicle.	Stamp Duty to be affixed to "Original" License.	
	Duty for Vehicle kept for private use.	Duty for Vehicle to be let out on Hire.
	Rs.	Rs.
Vehicle suitable to be drawn by a horse or horses ... ..	10	20
Vehicle suitable to be drawn by two bulls ... ..	4	8
Vehicle suitable to be drawn by one bull ... ..	2	4
Jinricksha ... ..	5	10
Tram car ... ..	—	40
Motor car ... ..	10	—
Motor bicycle ... ..	5	—
Bicycles not being motor bicycles and other vehicles not enumerated above	3	6

## PART II.

Description of Vehicle.	Stamp Duty to be affixed to "Duplicate" License.
	Rs.
Vehicles suitable to be drawn by a horse or horses ... ..	10
Vehicles suitable to be drawn by two bulls...	4
Vehicles suitable to be drawn by one bull ...	2
Jinricksha ... ..	5
Motor car ... ..	10
Motor bicycles ... ..	5
Bicycles not being motor bicycles and other vehicles not enumerated above ...	3

## PART III.

Description of Vehicle.	Stamp Duty to be affixed to "Original" License.	
	Duty for Vehicle kept for private use.	Duty for Vehicle to be let out on Hire.
	Rs.	Rs.
Vehicles suitable to be drawn by a horse or horses ... ..	10	20
Vehicles suitable to be drawn by two bulls ... ..	4	8
Vehicles suitable to be drawn by one bull ... ..	2	4
Jinricksha ... ..	5	10
Motor car ... ..	10	—
Motor bicycle ... ..	5	—
Bicycles not being motor bicycles and other vehicles not enumerated above...	3	6

By His Excellency's command,

H. L. CRAWFORD,  
Acting Colonial Secretary.Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

THE object of this Ordinance is to provide for increased taxation on vehicles of every description to compensate for the loss of revenue on the abolition of tolls.

As the Ordinance is, if possible, to come into force on the 1st January, 1907, there seemed to be no object in preserving section 2 of Ordinance No. 1 of 1902, which has accordingly been repealed.

Sections 6, 7, and 8 of "The Vehicles Ordinance, 1901," have likewise been repealed, and section 4 of the present Ordinance has been substituted therefor. This necessitates the repeal of Schedules II. and III. of "The Vehicles Ordinance, 1901," and Schedules I. and II. of the present Ordinance have been substituted therefor.

Colombo, July 11, 1906.

J. H. TEMPLER,  
Acting Attorney-General.

B 2

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

## An Ordinance to amend "The Road Ordinance, 1861."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Road Ordinance, 1861," in certain particulars: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

To be read with No. 10 of 1861.

1 This Ordinance shall be construed and read as one with "The Road Ordinance, 1861," hereinafter referred to as "the principal Ordinance," and this Ordinance shall come into operation on the day of

Section 42 of Ordinance No. 10 1861 amended.

2 To section 42 of the principal Ordinance the following sub-sections shall be added:

42 (1) Every such householder is hereby required to fill up a statement in the form B in the schedule hereto setting out the vehicles owned by him or by the inmates, whether male or female, resident in his house respectively.

(2) A printed form of such statement shall be handed to such householder by the division officer or person acting on his behalf at the same time that such householder is required to fill up the list A, and any householder refusing or neglecting to fill up such statement, or who shall wilfully make a false statement in respect of any information required to be inserted in such statement, shall be guilty of an offence punishable by a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees.

Exemptions.

Provided always that no statement shall be required to be furnished under the provisions of this Ordinance in respect of any vehicle the property of the Governor or of the Government of Ceylon or employed in His Majesty's service.

## SCHEDULE.

## Form B.

Division of \_\_\_\_\_

District of \_\_\_\_\_

List of Vehicles at the premises of A. B. at \_\_\_\_\_

Carriage (whether drawn by one horse or two horses and whether plying for hire or kept for private use)	_____
Motor car (whether plying for hire or kept for private use)	_____
Motor bicycle (whether plying for hire or kept for private use)	_____
Bicycle (whether plying for hire or kept for private use)	_____
Jinricksha (whether plying for hire or kept for private use)	_____
Bullock cart for carriage of goods (whether drawn by a pair of bulls or one bull and whether plying for hire or kept for private use)	_____
Hackery or single or double bullock cart for conveyance of passengers (whether plying for hire or kept for private use)	_____
Steam or motor traction engine and the number of trucks and trolleys used therewith.	_____

By His Excellency's command,

H. L. CRAWFORD,  
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

THE object of this Ordinance is to secure a return by each householder of the number of vehicles kept at the premises occupied by such householder, so as to enable the tax on vehicles to be more easily collected.

2. This is effected by section 2.

Colombo, July 11, 1906.

J. H. TEMPLER,  
Acting Attorney-General.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

## An Ordinance to abolish Tolls on Roads and Bridges.

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to abolish tolls on roads and bridges within this colony: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Tolls Abolition Ordinance, 1906," and shall come into operation on the day of

Partial repeal of Ordinance No. 3 of 1896.

2 So much of "The Toll Ordinance, 1896," of "The Toll Amendment Ordinance, 1905," and of "The Municipal Councils' Ordinance, 1887," as relates to the establishment, levy, taking, receiving, and enforcement of tolls in respect of all roads and bridges in this island, is hereby repealed, but such repeal shall not affect—

- (a) The establishment, levy, taking, receiving, or enforcement of tolls in respect of canals and ferries under the provisions of the said Ordinances or any of them;
- (b) The past operation of any enactment hereby repealed, nor anything duly done or suffered under any enactment hereby repealed; nor
- (c) Any right, privilege, obligation, or liability acquired, accrued, or incurred under any enactment hereby repealed; nor
- (d) Any penalty, forfeiture, or punishment incurred in respect of any offence committed against any enactment hereby repealed; nor
- (e) Any legal proceeding or remedy in respect of any such right, privilege, obligation, liability, penalty, forfeiture, or punishment as aforesaid.

By His Excellency's command,

H. L. CRAWFORD,  
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

The object of this Ordinance is to abolish tolls on roads and bridges within the Colony. This is effected by section 2.

2. The revenue lost to the Colony will be largely recouped by a tax to be levied on all vehicles by an Ordinance to amend the Vehicles Ordinance, and by an increase on the duty on liquor licenses by an Ordinance amending the Licensing Ordinance.

Colombo, July 11, 1906.

J. H. TEMPLER,  
Acting Attorney-General.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend the provisions of "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898," in certain particulars: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

To be read as one with Ordinance No. 15 of 1898.

1 This Ordinance and "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898," hereinafter referred to as "the principal Ordinance," shall be construed and read as one Ordinance.

Repeal of Ordinance No. 14 of 1901.

2 Ordinance No. 14 of 1901, intituled "An Ordinance to amend 'The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898,'" is hereby repealed.

Amendment of section 187.

3 Section 187 of the principal Ordinance is hereby amended by substituting the figures 151 (1) for the figures 150 (4) in the fourth line of the said section.

Amendment of section 309 of principal Ordinance.

4 For sub-section (d) of section 309 of the principal Ordinance the following sub-section shall be substituted:

(d) The Governor may order a respite of the execution of the warrant or appoint some other time or other place for its execution.

Amendment of section 363.

5 For section 363 of the principal Ordinance the following section is substituted:

Death of a person in custody of police or in an asylum.

(1) When any person dies while in the custody of the police or in an asylum or prison, the officer who had the custody of such person or was in charge of such asylum or prison, as the case may be, shall forthwith give information of such death to a police magistrate of the police court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the body is found, and such police magistrate or an inquirer authorized by him shall view the body and hold an inquiry into the cause of death.

(2) For the purposes of an inquiry under this section a police magistrate or inquirer shall have all the powers which he would have in holding an inquiry into an offence.

Amendment of section 365.

6 For section 365 of the principal Ordinance the following section shall be substituted:

365. (1) The police magistrate shall, if he considers it expedient, call upon the government medical officer of the district, or any other medical practitioner, to hold a post-mortem examination of the dead body, and to report to such police magistrate regarding the cause of death.

(2) When a police magistrate or inquirer inquiring into the cause of death considers it expedient to make an examination of the dead body of any person who has been already buried in order to discover the cause of death, he may cause the body to be disinterred and examined.

Disinterment of bodies.

Section 411 amended.

7 To section 411 of the principal Ordinance the following sub-section shall be added and be numbered 411 (b):

Fiscal may execute conveyance to purchaser.

(b) Whenever immovable property has been sold under the provisions of this Code it shall be lawful for the fiscal, under whose direction the attachment and sale was carried out, to execute a conveyance in favour of the purchaser, and a conveyance so executed shall vest the property sold in the purchaser in like manner as if such conveyance had been executed by the person against whom the warrant for the attachment and sale of such immovable property was issued.

Amendment of  
schedule. II

8 In the eighth column of Schedule II, of the principal Ordinance the words "one hundred rupees" shall be substituted for the words "fifty rupees" as applying to sections 368 and 397 of the Ceylon Penal Code.

By His Excellency's command,

H. L. CRAWFORD,

Colonial Secretary's Office, Acting Colonial Secretary.  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

THIS Ordinance makes a few amendments to the Criminal Procedure Code mostly in the shape of supplying omissions.

Section 2 repeals Ordinance No. 14 of 1901, but the substantive portions of this enactment are re-enacted in sections 4 and 8.

Section 3 corrects a clerical error in section 187 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Section 4 re-enacts section 2 of Ordinance No. 14 of 1901.

Section 5 dispenses with the attendance of assessors at an inquest held on the body of a person who dies in a jail or asylum.

Section 6 restores section 190 of the Criminal Procedure Code of 1883, which was evidently overlooked when section 191 was preserved by section 363 of the 1898 Code.

Section 7 enables a Fiscal, where immovable property has been attached and sold under the provisions of section 411, to execute a conveyance in favour of the purchaser at such sale.

This power has been expressly given in case of property attached and sold under section 60 of the Code, but was omitted in the case of property attached and sold upon the forfeiture of a bond. It has been adapted from sub-section 8 of section 60.

Section 8 re-enacts section 3 of Ordinance No. 14 of 1901 and adds a reference to section 397 of the Penal Code, which was overlooked when Ordinance No. 14 of 1901 was enacted.

July 13, 1906.

J. H. TEMPLER,  
Acting Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to prohibit the carrying of Dangerous Knives.

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to prohibit the carrying of certain descriptions of dangerous knives: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Knives Ordinance, 190 ."

Application of Ordinance.

2 (1) The Governor in Executive Council may, by Proclamation to be published in the *Government Gazette*, declare this Ordinance to be in force in any district, village, or part of the island; and thereupon the same shall be in force within the limits and from the date mentioned in such Proclamation.

(2) The Governor in Executive Council may in like manner exempt from the operation of this Ordinance any district, village, or part of the island in which this Ordinance has been declared to be in force.

Definitions.

3 In this Ordinance, unless the contrary intention appears—

"Prohibited knife" means any knife, whether a clasp knife or otherwise, the blade of which is more than six inches in length, and is not so rounded or blunted at the point as to be incapable, in the opinion of the court, of being used as a stabbing instrument, and includes any sword, dagger, or similar weapon adapted for use as a stabbing instrument.

"Court" includes a village tribunal.

"Peace officer" bears the meaning given to the term by "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898."

Penalty for wearing, &c., prohibited knives.

4 Any person who carries on or about his person, or wears any prohibited knife, shall be guilty of an offence, and shall be liable, on conviction thereof, to a fine not exceeding one rupee, and the prohibited knife in respect of which he is convicted shall be forfeited.

Exception with regard to instruments used for lawful trade, &c.

5 Where the use of any instrument which is a prohibited knife within the meaning of this Ordinance is necessary for the purpose of carrying on any lawful trade, craft, or pursuit, the carrying or wearing of such instrument by any person when actually engaged in any such trade, craft, or pursuit, shall not be an offence under this Ordinance.

Exception with regard to military weapons.

6 Nothing in this Ordinance shall extend to the carrying or wearing of any military weapon by any person serving in any of His Majesty's forces or in any police force or in any corps of pioneers or volunteers.

Power of peace officers, &c., to require production of knives for inspection.

7 (1) It shall be lawful for any peace officer or headman or for any revenue or judicial officer to call upon any person wearing or carrying any instrument which may reasonably be suspected to be a prohibited knife, to produce the same to him for inspection; and, if such instrument proves to be a prohibited knife, to detain the same until such time as he can produce it before the court.

(2) Whoever, when called upon by any of the officers named in the foregoing sub-section to produce any such instrument for inspection, refuses or fails to do so forthwith, or prevents or attempts to prevent any such officer from detaining any prohibited knife, shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance, and shall be liable on conviction thereof to a fine not exceeding five rupees.

Court for trial of offences.

8 Every offence under this Ordinance shall be triable by the police court having local jurisdiction; but where such offence is committed within any division in which a village tribunal is established, it shall be triable, at the option of the complainant, either by the police court or by the village tribunal.

Limitation of prosecutions.

9 No prosecution shall be instituted against any person for an offence against this Ordinance after the lapse of one month from the time when the offence is alleged to have been committed.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, August 2, 1906.

H. L. CRAWFORD,  
Acting Colonial Secretary.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

THE object of this Ordinance is, as stated by His Excellency the Governor in his Minute of the 26th December, 1905, "to save the quick-tempered Sinhalese from the consequences of their want of self-control by prohibiting the carrying of knives that can be used as stabbing weapons."

Section 3 defines the knife the use of which is prohibited.

Section 4 provides the penalty for wearing or carrying "prohibited knives."

Sections 5 and 6 excepts from the provisions of the Ordinance instruments used for the purpose of carrying on any lawful trade, &c.; and military weapons in the hands of the military or police.

Section 7 defines the powers of a peace officer.

Sections 8 and 9 make provision for the prosecution of offenders.

J. H. TEMPLER,  
Acting Attorney-General.

Colombo, July 25, 1906.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Licensing Ordinance, 1891."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Licensing Ordinance, 1891," in certain particulars: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

To be read as one with Ordinance No. 12 of 1891.

1 This Ordinance shall be construed and read as one with "The Licensing Ordinance, 1891," hereinafter referred to as the principal Ordinance, and this Ordinance shall come into operation on the First day of January, 1907.

Section 12 of Ordinance No. 12 of 1891 amended.

2 (1) For section 12 of the principal Ordinance the following section shall be substituted:

The licenses hereunder specified shall within the limits of any municipal town be subject to the following stamp duties:

	Rs.	c.
License to sell by wholesale and by retail only beer and porter to be consumed on the premises or not... to be consumed on the premises	50	0
License to sell by wholesale and by retail intoxicating liquor not to be consumed on the premises	200	0
License to sell by retail intoxicating liquor to be consumed on the premises	250	0
License to keep a refreshment house	500	0
License to keep an hotel— If the premises in respect of which the license shall be granted shall be of or under the annual value of Rs. 1,000	500	0
For every additional Rs. 1,000 or fraction thereof of annual value in addition to the duty of Rs. 500, a further duty of Rs. 100, provided that the duty shall in no case exceed Rs. 2,500.		
License to keep a proprietary club...	250	0

(2) The licenses hereunder specified shall for all places and towns within the island not being a municipal town be subject to the following stamp duties:

	Rs.	c.
License to sell by wholesale and by retail only beer and porter to be consumed on the premises or not ... to be consumed on the premises	40	0
License to sell by wholesale and by retail intoxicating liquor not to be consumed on the premises	120	0
License to sell by retail intoxicating liquor to be consumed on the premises	150	0
License to keep a refreshment house	250	0
License to keep an hotel— If the premises in respect of which the license shall be granted shall be of or under the annual value of Rs. 1,000	400	0
On every additional Rs. 1,000 or fraction thereof of annual value in addition to the duty of Rs. 400, a further duty of Rs. 50, provided that the duty shall in no case exceed Rs. 2,500.		
License to keep a proprietary club	250	0

(3) A license to keep a refreshment house or a license to keep an hotel or a proprietary club shall entitle the licensee to sell intoxicating liquor by retail to be consumed on the premises to which such license extends.

(4) The whole of the proceeds of the stamp duties accruing from licenses issued under this Ordinance shall within the limits of any municipal town be paid into the municipal fund.

(5) One-half of the proceeds of stamp duties accruing from licenses issued under this Ordinance shall in the case of all towns and places in this colony other than a municipal town be paid to the local authority of such town or place and the other half shall be paid into the Treasury and credited to the general revenue of the colony, anything in respect of the town of Nuwara Eliya in Ordinance No. 20 of 1896 and in respect of local board towns in the Local Boards Ordinances for the time being in force to the contrary notwithstanding.

By His Excellency's command,

H. L. CRAWFORD,  
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

THE object of this Ordinance is to increase the duties on liquor licenses within Municipal and Local Board towns, and to impose duties on licenses in places not being Municipal or Local Board towns.

2. This is effected by clause 2.

3. Under the Ordinance Municipal towns will draw the whole of the revenue derived from this source as heretofore; Local Board towns and places which formerly drew no revenue from this source will only draw half the revenue accruing from these duties and the other half will go to the general revenue of the Colony. Local Board towns are expected to derive as much revenue from half of the increased duties as they are at present enjoying.

J. H. TEMPLER,  
Acting Attorney-General

Colombo, July 11, 1906.

## DISTRICT AND MINOR COURTS NOTICES.

**List of Uncertificated Insolvents in the District Court of Puttalam for the Half-year ended June 30, 1906.**

Nil.

District Court,  
Puttalam, August 6, 1906.

H. W. CODRINGTON,  
District Judge.

**List of Testamentary Cases under Official Administration in the District Court of Puttalam for the Half-year ended June 30, 1906.**

No. of Case.	Whose Estate.
129	Piru Pathuma Natchia, wife of Uduma Lebbe Maraikar Naina Lebbe Maraikar of Kalpitiya.
144	Halaperumage Lucian Fonseka.
169	Bastiampillai Manuelpillai of Mampuri.

District Court,  
Puttalam, August 6, 1906.

H. W. CODRINGTON,  
District Judge.

C. R. Avisawella, 5,055.

In the Court of Requests of Avisawella.

NOTICE is hereby given that a suit has been instituted in the Court of Requests of Avisawella by forty-six labourers of Panawatta estate against the proprietors or proprietor thereof, under the Ordinance No. 13 of 1889, for the recovery of their wages amounting to Rs. 350.

This 8th day of August, 1906.

P. E. KALUPAHANE,  
Chief Clerk.



## NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Joseph de Silva, Mudaliyar,  
No. 2,615. deceased, of Kotahena in Colombo.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before James Richard Weinman, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on the 28th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. A. C. Abeyewardena, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Joseph Martin de Silva of "Caxton House," Kotahena, in Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 17th day of July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the aforesaid petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of his late father Joseph de Silva, Mudaliyar, the above-named deceased, issued to him, unless the respondents (1) Alfred Walter de Silva of Panadure, (2) Edward Poster de Silva of Temple road in Maradana, (3) Ellen Josephine de Silva, (4) Flora Margaret de Silva, (5) Evelino Sophia de Silva, (6) Nellie Ernestina de Silva, (7) George Ernest de Silva, and (8) Sarah Elizabeth Susan de Silva for herself and as guardian *ad litem* of the minors (9) James Victor de Silva, (10) Robert Hugh de Silva, (11) Alexander Claude de Silva, and (12) Gerard Austin Frederick de Silva, all of "Caxton House," Kotahena, in Colombo, shall, on or before the 16th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. R. WEINMAN,  
District Judge.

The 28th day of July, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Mohottige Don Stephen Perera,  
No. 2,616. deceased, of No. 29, Ketawalamulla  
lane in Demetagoda, Colombo.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before James Richard Weinman, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on the 20th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. E. G. Jayewardena, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mohottige Don Alexander Perera of No. 29, Ketawalamulla lane aforesaid; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 6th day of July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the aforesaid petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of his late son Mohottige Don Stephen Perera, the above-named deceased, issued to him, unless any person interested shall, on or before the 16th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. R. WEINMAN,  
District Judge.

The 20th day of July, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and  
Jurisdiction. Testament of Patrick Gordon  
No. 2,627c. Spence, late of Colombo.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before J. R. Weinman, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on the 2nd day of August, 1906, in the presence of Messrs. Julius and Croasy, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner George Hay Alston of Colombo; and the affidavit of the said George Hay Alston, dated 19th July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Patrick Gordon Spence, deceased, dated 8th March, 1900, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless any person interested shall, on or before the 23rd day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said George Hay Alston is the attorney of the executrix named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration, unless any person interested shall, on or before the 23rd day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. R. WEINMAN,  
District Judge.

The 2nd day of August, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Jayaratne Ranasinghe Vidane  
No. 2,628 C. Arachchige Thomas Fernando of  
Kollupitiya in Colombo, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before James Richard Weinman, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on the 2nd day of August, 1906, in the presence of Mr. J. N. Keith, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Jayaratne Ranasinghe Vidane Arachchige John Lionel Fernando of Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 26th day of July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the aforesaid petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of his late father Jayaratne Ranasinghe Vidane Arachchige Thomas Fernando, the above-named deceased, issued to him, unless the respondents (1) Jayaratne Ranasinghe Vidane Arachchige Theodora Fernando, wife of the Reverend John Abel Markus of Kekirawa in the District of Anuradhapura, and (2) Jayaratne Ranasinghe Vidane Arachchige Cyril Benjamin Fernando of Kollupitiya, shall, on or before the 30th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. R. WEINMAN,  
District Judge.

The 2nd day of August, 1906.

## In the District Court of Negombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Goods and  
Jurisdiction Chattels of Yagahamuni Don  
No. 952. Paulis Appuhamy of Madahawita,  
deceased.

Dissanayake Maria Engeltina Perera of  
Madahawita ..... Petitioner

Vs.

Yagahamuni Dona Cecilia of Madahawita.. Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A de A. Seneviratne, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on the 23rd day of June, 1906, in the presence of Mr. H. E. Wijetunga, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Dissanayake Maria Engeltina Perera of Madahawita; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 8th day of January, 1906, having been read: It is ordered that the said Maria Engeltina Perera, the petitioner, be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the goods and chattels of the late Yagahamuni Don Paulis Appuhamy of Madahawita, as wife of the deceased, unless the respondent above-named or any person on her behalf shall, on or before the 22nd day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

A. SENEVIRATNE,  
District Judge.

This 23rd day of June, 1906.

## In the District Court of Negombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Goods and  
Jurisdiction Chattels of Kachchekadugey Louis  
No. 953. Fernando of Kondagamulle, de-  
ceased.

Geekiyanager Ana Maria Fernando of  
Kondagamulle ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Kachchekadugey Charlis Fernando,  
(2) Kachchekadugey Isabel Fernando,  
(3) Kachchekadugey Ana Maria Fer-  
nando, (4) Kachchekadugey Davit Fer-  
nando, (5) Kachchekadugey Nikulas  
Fernando, (6) Kachchekadugey Pedro  
Fernando, all of Kondagamulla..... Respondents

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A. de A. Seneviratne, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on the 23rd day of June, 1906, in the presence of Mr. H. E. Wijetunga, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Geekianagey Ana Maria Fernando of Kondagamulle; and the affidavit of the said Ana Maria Fernando having been read: It is ordered that the said Geekiyanager Ana Maria Fernando, the petitioner, be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the goods and chattels of the late Kachchekadugey Louis Fernando of Kondagamulle, deceased, unless the respondents above-named or any person on their behalf shall, on or before the 14th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

A. SENEVIRATNE,  
District Judge.

This 23rd day of June, 1906.

## In the District Court of Negombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Goods and  
Jurisdiction Chattels of Wickramasinghe Sena-  
No. 954. nayaka William Perera, deceased.

Kahandawa Appuhamillagey Dona Isa-  
bella Hamine of Waradola ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Wickramasinghe Sonanayaka Siman  
Perera, (2) Chandrasekara Mudligey  
Carlina Perera ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A. de A. Seneviratne, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on the 23rd day of June, 1906, in the presence of Mr. H. E. Wijetunga, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Kahandawa Appuhamillagey Dona Isabella Hamine of Waradola; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 25th day of May, 1906, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner, the said Dona Isabella Hamine, be and she is hereby declared, as wife, to have letters of administration to the goods and chattels of the late Wickramasinghe Sonanayaka William Perera, deceased, unless the respondents above-named or any other person on their behalf shall, on or before the 22nd day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

A. SENEVIRATNE,  
District Judge.

This 23rd day of June, 1906.

## In the District Court of Negombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction late Marasinhage Marthino Fer-  
No. 957. nando of Makalandane.

Marasinhage Thomas Fernando of Maka-  
landane ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Malnaidelage Punchi Hami, (2) Mara-  
sinhage Manthonis Fernando, (3) Mara-  
sinhage Davith Fernando, (4) Mara-  
sinhage Sarnelis Fernando, (5) Mara-  
sinhage Appu Sinno Fernando, (6) Mara-  
sinhage Louis Fernando, (7) Mara-  
sinhage Selestine Fernando, all of Maka-  
landane..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A. de A. Seneviratne, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on the 20th July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. W. M. Rajapakse, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Marasinhage Thomas Fernando of Makalandane; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 2nd day of July, 1906, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner, the said Thomas Fernando, be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the late Marasinhage Marthino Fernando, the deceased above-named, unless the respondents or any person on their behalf shall, on or before the 24th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

A. SENEVIRATNE,  
District Judge.

The 30th day of July, 1906.

In the District Court of Negombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Solomon Christopher Sena-  
No. 958. nayeka of Botale.

Don John Senanayeka of Botale.....Petitioner.  
Vs.

Anne Cornelia Amerasekera Kulatileke of  
Botale ..... Respondent.

THIS action coming on for disposal before A. de A. Seneviratne, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on the 18th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. W. M. Rajapakse, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Don John Senanayeka of Botale; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 5th day of June, 1906, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner, the said John Senanayeka, be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the late Solomon Christopher Senanayeka, the deceased, unless the respondent above-named or any person on her behalf shall, on or before the 22nd day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

A. SENEVIRATNE,  
District Judge.

The 18th day of July, 1906.

In the District Court of Negombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Kankanige Davith Perera  
No. 959. Nanayakkara Appuhamy of Gona-  
gaha, deceased.

Jayasinha Arachchige Dona Isabella  
Hamine of Gonagaha.....Petitioner.  
Vs.

(1) Kankanige Johannes Perera Nana-  
yakkara Appuhamy, (2) Kankanige  
Jane de Cornelia Perera Hamine, (3)  
Kankanige Ernest Perera Nanayakkara  
Appuhamy, all of Gonagaha..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A. de A. Seneviratne, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on the 20th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. W. M. Rajapakse, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Jayasinha Arachchige Dona Isabella Hamine of Gonagaha; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 11th day of June, 1906, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner, the said Dona Isabella Hamine, be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the late Kankanige Davith Perera, deceased, unless the respondents above-named or any person on their behalf shall, on or before the 22nd day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

A. SENEVIRATNE,  
District Judge.

The 20th day of July, 1906.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the estate of the late  
Jurisdiction. Suria-atchigey Don Cornelis and  
No. 431. Kiriwattuduwegy Nonnohamy,  
deceased, of Kotalawela in Rayi-  
gam korale

THIS matter coming on for disposal before P. E. Pieris, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on the 10th day of May, 1906, in the presence of Mr. S. R. Wijemanne, Proctor, on the part of the

petitioner, Suria-atchigey Don Sadrus of Kotalawela; and the affidavit and petition of the said petitioner, dated 3rd May, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the said Suria-atchigey Don Sadrus of Kotalawela, be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased Suria-atchigey Don Cornelis and Kiriwattuduwegy Nonnohamy, issued to him as son, unless the respondents—(1) Suria-atchigey Don Brampy, (2) Suria-atchigey Don Dionis, (3) Seelaratana Unnanse, all of Kotalawela shall on or before the 7th day of June, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

P. E. PIERIS,  
District Judge.

The 10th day of May, 1906.

The date for showing cause has been extended to 28th June, 1906.

P. E. PIERIS,  
District Judge.

June 7, 1906.

The date for showing cause has been extended to 19th July, 1906.

P. E. PIERIS,  
District Judge.

June, 28, 1906.

The date for showing cause has been extended to 8th August, 1906.

P. E. PIERIS,  
District Judge.

July 19, 1906.

The date for showing cause has been extended to the 16th August, 1906.

P. E. PIERIS,  
District Judge.

August 6, 1906.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Hettiaratchige Don Samis  
No. 436. Ranasinhe Jayesekara, Registrar  
of Andawala, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before P. E. Pieris, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on the 22nd day of May, 1906, in the presence of Mr. C. A. L. Orr, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Hettiaratchige Don William Ranasinhe Jayesekara Appuhamy of Andawala; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 16th May, 1906, and the application dated the 22nd May, 1906, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner Hettiaratchige Don William Ranasinhe Jayesekara Appuhamy of Andawala be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said Hettiaratchige Don Samis Ranasinhe Jayesekara, Registrar, issued to him, unless the respondents (1) Jayesinhe Gurnanselage Dona Emalia Hamine of Andawala, (2) Hettiaratchige Dona Helena Ranasinhe Jayesekara and her husband, (3) Jayenattikorallage Don Pedrick Jayewardene Appuhamy of Welipenna shall, on or before the 21st day of June, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

P. E. PIERIS,  
District Judge.

The 22nd May, 1906.

The date for showing cause contrary to the above *Order Nisi* is fixed for the 20th August, 1906.

P. E. PIERIS,  
District Judge.

## In the District Court of Kalutara.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Kadir Lebbe Mohamado  
No. 449. Lebbe of Beruwala, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for final disposal before P.E. Pieris, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on the 19th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. A. de Abrew, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mohamado Lebbe Mohamado of Beruwala; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated 16th July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the said Mohamado Lebbe Mohamado be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased Kadir Lebbe Mohamado Lebbe of Beruwala, unless the respondents (1) Mohamado Lebbe Abdul Hamidu, (2) Mohamado Lebbe Sinala Umma, (3) Thamby Ibrahim, all of Massala in Beruwala, shall, on or before 20th August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

P. E. PIERIS,  
District Judge.

The 19th July, 1906.

## In the District Court of Kandy.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Hinnaygedara *alias* Rangkot-  
No 2,503. gedara Nanduva Dureya, deceased,  
of Doolmure in Harispattu.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before John Henrius de Saram, Companion of the Most Distinguished Order of Saint Michael and Saint George, District Judge of Kandy, on the 19th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Messrs. Sproule and Sproule, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Rangkothgedara Bandiya of Doolmure; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated 16th July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner Rangkothgedara Bandiya of Doolmure be and he is hereby declared entitled to letters of administration to the estate of Hinnaygedara *alias* Rangkothgedara Nanduva Dureya, deceased, of Doolmure in Harispattu, as the son of the said deceased, unless Rangkothgedara Dingiree of Doolmure shall, on or before the 17th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. H. DE SARAM,  
District Judge.

The 19th day of July, 1906.

## In the District Court of Jaffna.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Pattinippillai, wife of Karthi-  
No. 1,762. kesar Sithamparappillai of Maki-  
yappiddy, deceased.

Karthikesar Ponnampalam of Makiap-  
pidy ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Karthikesar Sithamparappillai of Makiappiddy, presently of Rasa in Federated Malay States, (2) Ponnampalam Nakamuttu and wife, (3) Nakupillai of Manippay ..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Pattinippillai, wife of Karthikesar Sithamparappillai, coming on for disposal before W. R. B. Sanders, Esq.,

District Judge, on the 3rd day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. S. Sittampalam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 2nd day of July, 1906, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the brother of the husband of the said intestate and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before the 20th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

W. R. B. SANDERS,  
District Judge.

This 3rd day of July, 1906.

## In the District Court of Jaffna.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Valliammai, wife of Viswaling-  
No. 1,766. gam Selvathurai of Vadukkodai  
West, deceased.

Arumukam Murukesar of Moolai ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

1, Viswalingam Selvathurai *alias* Ampalavanar of Vadukkodai West, now of Badulla; 2, Kanthappar Subramaniam and wife; 3, Sellamuttupillai, both of Tholpuram; and 4, Kanthappar Sellappah and wife; 5, Marimuttupillai, both of Tholpuram, now of Port Dickson in the State of Negri Sembilan ..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Arumukam Murukesar of Moolai, the above-named petitioner, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, Valliammai, wife of Viswalingam Selvathurai, coming on for disposal before W. R. B. Sanders, Esq., District Judge, on the 13th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. S. Sittampalam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 11th day of July, 1906, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the father of the said intestate and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before the 27th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

W. R. B. SANDERS,  
District Judge.

This 13th day of July, 1906.

## In the District Court of Mannar.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Maria  
Jurisdiction. Anthu, wife of Sebastian, late of  
No. 128. Karampaikulam, deceased.

Anthony Philippu of Karunkandel ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

Rebecca, wife of Anthony Philippu of Karunkandel ..... Respondent.

THIS matter having come on for disposal before John Scott, Esq., District Judge of Mannar, on the 24th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. S. Mudaliyar Anantham, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Anthony Philippu of Karunkandel; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated 20th day of July, 1906, having been read: It is ordered that the said Anthony Philippu be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased Maria Anthu, wife of Sebastian, issued to him, unless the respondent above-named or any other person shall, on or before the 25th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the contrary.

JOHN SCOTT,  
District Judge.

This 24th day of July, 1906.

In the District Court of Mannar.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Peeru  
Jurisdiction. Mohamado Kader, late of Eruk-  
No. 129. kelempiddy, deceased.  
Neina Mohamado Savuraly of Erukkelem-  
piddy ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Kappanachhia, widow of Kader, and  
(2) Kader Nagoorpichai of Erukkelem-  
piddy ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before John Scott, Esq., District Judge of Mannar, on the 30th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. S. Mudaliyar Anatham, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Neina Mohamado Savuraly of Erukkelempiddy; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated 27th day of July, 1906, having been read: It is ordered that the said Neina Mohamado Savuraly be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased Peeru Mohamado Kader issued to him, unless the respondents above-named or any other person shall, on or before the 25th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the contrary.

JOHN SCOTT,  
District Judge.

The 30th day of July, 1906.

In the District Court of Matara.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Vidana Gamatchigey Don  
No. 1,518. Janis de Silva Vel-vidane, deceas-  
ed, of Kamburugamuwa.

Wickramasin Gamatchigey Dona Ciciliana  
Hamine, widow of the deceased Vidana  
Gamatchigey Don Janis de Silva, Vel-  
vidane of Kamburugamuwa ..... Petitioner.

- (1) Vidana Gamatchigey Dona Rosina  
Hamine and her lawful husband (2)  
Don Cornelis Merenchi Abesekera Leana  
Aratchi, both of Pategama, (3) Vidana  
Gamatchigey Dona Arlina, (4) Vidana  
Gamatchigey Dona Francina, (5) Vi-  
dana Gamatchigey Dona Carlina, (6)  
Vidana Gamatchigey John Perera, (7)  
Vidana Gamatchigey Mendis Perera,  
(8) Vidana Gamatchigey Carolis Perera,  
(9) Vidana Gamatchigey Deonis Perera,  
all of Kamburugamuwa; the 3rd to 9th  
are minors by their guardian *ad litem*  
(10) Don Abraham Merenchi Abesekera,  
Vidane Aratchi of Pategama ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. R. E. Loftus, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on the 26th day of June, 1906, on the motion of Wickramasin Gamatchigey Dona Ciciliana Hamine of Kamburugamuwa; and the affidavit of Don Abraham Merenchi Abesekera, Vidane Aratchi of Goigoda Panguwa, dated 13th June, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the said Wickramasin Gamatchigey Dona Ciciliana Hamine be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased V. G. Don Janis de Silva issued to her, as widow of the said deceased, and that Don Aberan Merenchi Abesekera be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors 3rd to

9th respondents, unless the 1st and 2nd respondents shall, on or before the 28th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

T. R. E. LOFTUS,  
District Judge.

The 28th day of June, 1906.

In the District Court of Tangalla.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Don Davith Abesundera,  
No. 401. deceased, of Kotowamulla.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before E. G. Auwardt, Esq., Acting District Judge of Tangalla, on the 2nd day of August, 1906, in the presence of Mr. V. S. Wickramanayaka, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of Don Thiyadoris Abesundera of Kotowamulla, dated 5th July, 1906, having been read, and all parties heard:

It is ordered that the letters of administration be issued to the said Don Thiyadoris Abesundera, unless the respondents (1) Don Jacoris Abesundera, (2) Don Charles Abesundera, both of Kotowamulla, and (3) Don Davith Pranandis Wijewante of Parapamulla, shall, on or before the 3rd day of September, 1906 show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

EUGENE G. AUWARDT,  
Acting District Judge.

The 2nd day of August, 1906.

In the District Court of Batticaloa.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Sin-  
Jurisdiction. nankutti Kanapati of Mattikali,  
No. 472. deceased.

Seenitamby Pattenkatti Tampiappa  
of Mattikali ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Kanapati Valliammai, (2) Kanapati  
Murugappan, (3) Kanapati Elaya-  
tamby, (4) Kanapati Sinnatamby, (5)  
Kanapati Chellamma, (6) Kanapati  
Velupillai, (7) Kanapati Nagamuttu,  
(8) Chellappah Chellaturai, (9) Kana-  
pati Marimuttu, (10) Kanapati Elaya-  
tamby, (11) Kanapati Seeni, all of  
Mattikali ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge of Batticaloa, on the 21st July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. D. W. Kadramer, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the petition dated 20th July, 1906, and the affidavit dated 19th July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased Sinnankutti Kanapati issued to him, as son-in-law of the deceased, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before the 21st day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of the court to the contrary.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,  
District Judge.

21st July, 1906.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate  
Jurisdiction. Estate of the late Talgasange  
Case No. 840. Rajaguru Mudiyanselege Ranhami,  
Aratchi of Irihabe.

Ekanayake Mudiyanselege Ranmenika of  
Irihabe ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Rajaguru Mudiyanselege Menikhami;  
(2) Rajaguru Mudiyanselege Mutumenika,  
(3) Rajaguru Mudiyanselege Ukkurala,  
(4) Rajaguru Mudiyanselege Banda, (5)  
Rajaguru Mudiyanselege Dingira all of  
Irihabe in Dewamedde korale..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before B. Hill, Esq., District Judge of Kurunegala, on the 19th day of July, 1906, in the presence of Mr. Moonemalle on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 17th July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that Ekanayake Mudiyanselege Rammenika of Irihabe, the said petitioner, be declared to have letters of administration to the intestate estate of the late Talgasange Rajaguru Mudiyanselege Ranhami, Aratchi of Irihabe, issued to her as the widow and an heir of the said deceased, unless the respondents aforesaid or any other person interested shall, on or before the 20th day of August, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of the court to the contrary.

BETRAM HILL,  
District Judge.

The 19th day of July, 1906.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate  
Jurisdiction. of the late Warnakula Patabendi-  
No. 842. ge James Perera of Polpitiya.

Dehiwelage Proletina Costa of Polpitiya... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Warnakula Patabendige Marthelis Perera, (2) Warnakula Patabendige Marianu Perera, (3) Warnakula Patabendige Engrasia Perera, (4) Waduge Jokinu Livera, all of Polpitiya in Meddeketiya korale..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before B. Hill, Esq., District Judge of Kurunegala, on the 3rd day of August, 1906, in the presence of Mr.

Madawela on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated 25th July, 1906, having been read:—

It is ordered that Dehiwelage Proletina Costa of Polpitiya, the said petitioner, be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the late Warnakula Patabendige James Perera of Polpitiya issued to her as the mother and an heir of the said deceased, unless the respondents aforesaid or any other person interested shall, on or before the 3rd day of September, 1906, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

BETRAM HILL,  
District Judge.

The 3rd day of August, 1906.

In the District Court of Anuradhapura.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate  
Jurisdiction. and Effects of Kavenna Masthan  
No. 136. Bhai, late of Rampatwila, de-  
ceased.

Pathumisa of Rampatwila in Kanadara  
korale..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Kader Bebie, (2) Bacha Mea, (3) Amer Bee, and (4) Asin Mea, all minors by their guardian *ad litem* Kader Meera Mohammadu of Rampatwila, aforesaid ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before J. S. de Saram, Esq., Additional District Judge of Anuradhapura, on the 28th day of July, 1906, on the motion of Mr. S. D. Krisnaratne, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Pathumisa of Rampatwila; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 24th day of July, 1906, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner aforesaid be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of Kavenna Masthan Bhai, deceased, issued to her as widow of the said deceased, unless sufficient cause be shown to the satisfaction of this court on or before the 31st day of August, 1906.

J. S. DE SARAM,  
Additional District Judge

The 28th day of July, 1906.

## NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

## Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Ang Koona Vana Murugappa Chetty of  
Sea street in Colombo.....Plaintiff.  
No. 19,100. Vs.

Cottage Lawrence de Silva of Demata-  
goda in Colombo.....Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, September 12, 1906, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above case, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,461, with interest on Rs. 2,300 at 24 per cent. per annum from October 30, 1903, till December 4, 1903, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs of suit, less Rs. 400, viz.:-

All that allotment of land called Godellapitahena, situated at Dalugama in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale in the District of Colombo, Western Province, now formed into a cocoanut estate; and bounded on the north by lands claimed by A. Don Hendrick and others, R. D. Simon Appuhamy and others, A. Caro Appu and others, Bastian Fernando and others, J. R. Migel Pulle, and by land described in plan No. 55,422; on the north-east by lands claimed by Baba Kankanama and Isaac Silva Appuhamy and by land described in plan No. 55,422; on the east by land claimed by J. R. Migel Pulle, W. D. Perera, A. Isaac Perera, and M. Julis Fernando; on the south-east and south by land described in plan No. 55,422 and by land claimed by J. R. Migel Pulle, E. Perera, and Dona Lucia and others, T. Saram, V. Dona Cathrina, D. G. Jayatilleke, W. D. Perera, V. Don Mathes Appuhami, R. Simon Appu, and B. Bastian Dias; on the south-west by lands claimed by V. Dona Cathrina, D. G. Jayatilleke, V. Don Mathes Appuhamy, B. Bastian Dias Nayde, B. Juan Dias Nayde and others, and T. Juan Appuhamy and others; and on the west and north-west by lands claimed by T. Juan Appuhamy and others, A. Don Hendrick and others, R. Don Simon Appuhamy and others, A. Caro Appu and others, A. Don Mathes and others, Baba Kankanama and Bastian Fernando and others; containing in extent 52 acres 3 roods and 24 perches, save and except therefrom two portions in extent 20 acres 3 roods and 21 perches, marked A and B in the figure of survey No. 56,307 dated March 22, 1862, and duly authenticated by Charles Simon, Esq., Surveyor-General; and all the estate, right, title, and interest, claim, and demand of the defendant into, upon, or out of the said property.

Fiscal's Office, E. ONDATJE,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Vayna Veeyanna Rana Ana Kaderasen  
Chetty of Sea street, Colombo, present-  
ly of India.....Plaintiff.  
No. 21,869 C. Vs.

(1) Meera Lebbe Marikar Zeynudeen of  
Wellawatta, and (2) Umma Habibu of  
Grandpass, Colombo, administratrix of  
the estate of Saibo Minna Marikar  
Hadjar, deceased, carrying on business  
in partnership under the name firm,  
and style of the Colombo General  
Trading Co. in Colombo.....Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, Septem-  
ber 8, 1906, at 12 o'clock noon, will be sold by  
public auction at the premises the right, title, and

interest of the 2nd defendant as administratrix of  
the estate of Saibo Minna Marikar Hadjar, deceased,  
in the following property, for the recovery of the sum  
of Rs. 29,970, together with interest on Rs. 27,000 at  
12 per cent. per annum from March 1, 1905, to July  
28, 1905, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum till  
payment in full and costs of suit, less a sum of  
Rs. 46-20, viz.:-

All that house and ground bearing assessment  
No. 98, situated at Main street in Pettah within the  
Municipality of Colombo; and bounded on the north  
by the verandah along Main street used as a passage,  
on the east by premises bearing assessment No. 97  
belonging to the late Saibo Alia Marikar, on the south  
by the property of the heirs of the late Alema Umma  
alias Sinnachchy Umma, and on the west by premises  
bearing assessment No. 99, property of the heirs of  
the late Hamidu Lebbe Cader Umma; containing in  
extent 1 square perch and 20/100 of a square perch,  
together with all and singular the rights, easements,  
servitudes, and appurtenances thereunto belonging.

E. ONDATJE,  
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Moona Roona Ana Roona Palaniappa  
Chetty of Sea street, Colombo.....Plaintiff.  
No. 22,836 C. Vs.

(1) Madar Lebbe Sinne Lebbe Marikar  
of Grandpass, Colombo, and (2) Omer  
Lebbe Marikar Sinne Lebbe Marikar  
of Maligakanda, Colombo.....Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, Sep-  
tember 6, 1906, will be sold by public auction  
at the respective premises the following property  
declared bound and executable under the decree  
entered in the above action and ordered to be sold  
by the order of court dated July 18, 1906, for the  
recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,453, with interest on  
Rs. 2,200 at 18 per cent. per annum from January 11,  
1906, till March 30, 1906, and thereafter on the  
aggregate amount of the decree at 9 per cent. per  
annum till payment in full and costs of suit, viz.:-

At 3 P.M.

1. All that part of a garden, with the buildings  
standing thereon, situate and lying on the east side of  
the road to Maradana within the gravets of Colombo,  
now bearing assessment No. 53 and known as Parana-  
wadiya lane in Maradana within the Municipality of  
Colombo; and bounded on the north by the other  
part of this garden belonging to Tamby Rasa, on the  
east by the other part belonging to Johannis Perera,  
on the south by the other part belonging to Johannes  
Dep, and on the west by a footpath; containing in  
extent 36 and 48/100 square perches as per figure of  
survey thereof, dated December 4, 1832, authenti-  
cated by Gaulterns Schneider, Land Surveyor-General  
(excluding therefrom a portion in extent 15-50 square  
perches sold and conveyed by deed No. 1,213, dated  
December 14, 1900, and attested by P. D. A. Mack  
of Colombo, Notary Public).

At 3.30 P.M.

2. All that remaining portion of land shaded pink  
in the plan and marked letter A with a house standing  
thereon bearing assessment No. 11, situated at Mara-  
dana within the Municipality of Colombo; bounded  
on the north by the property of Periatamby, on the

east by a portion of the same land marked B of Mariambo Natchia, wife of Baas Tamby Lebbe, on the south by a road to Maligakanda, and on the west by the property of Madar Umma; containing in extent 3 and 16/100 square perches.

E. ONDATJE,  
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

M. L. M. Mohamado Sheriff of New Moor street, Colombo ..... Plaintiff.

No. 22,927. Vs.

Kottuporattu Abdul Rahiman and another of Bankshall street, Pettah... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 8, 1906, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 600, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from January 30, 1906, till payment in full and costs, viz. :—

The right, title, and interest of the first defendant in and to the unexpired term of indenture of lease No. 3,501, dated August 4, 1902, attested by John William Vanderstraaten, Notary Public, granted by M. L. M. Mohamado Sheriff for a term of 5 years from July 1, 1902, in respect of the following property, to wit :—

All that boutique and premises bearing assessment Nos. 72 and 17, Bankshall street and Butcher's street; within the Municipality of Colombo, described in the title deeds as all that part of a bankshall including the outer verandah, situated and lying in Sea street, within the Pettah of Colombo; bounded on the north-east by the bankshall of Francisco Mayon Perumal and others and by the other part, on the south-east by the house of Philippu Silva Kangaan, on the south-west by the bankshall of Casie Lebbe Sinne Marikar, and on the north-west by Sea street; containing in extent 7 square perches and 55/100 of a perch more or less.

E. ONDATJE,  
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Yayna Ana Rawana Mana Cannasamy of Sea street in Colombo ..... Plaintiff.

No. 23,094. Vs.

Wickremaratne Vidanalage Martinus Fernando, also known as Vidanalage Martinus Wickramaratne, of St. Sebastian Hill in Colombo ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, September 10, 1906, at 3 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property, declared bound and executable under the decree entered in the above action, and ordered to be sold by the order of court dated July 13, 1906, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 3,182.45 with interest on Rs. 2,950 at 15 per cent. per annum from March 6, 1906, till May 18, 1906, and thereafter on the aggregate amount of the decree at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full and costs of suit, viz. :—

All that allotment of land marked letter C, called Punchiwattakumbura situated at Mattakkuliya within the Municipality of Colombo; bounded on the north-east by a portion of Punchiwattakumbura allotted to Wickramaratne Vidanalage Johannes Fernando, south-

east by the other part now belonging to the estate of Mr. N. D. P. Silva, on the south-west by a portion of Punchiwattakumbura allotted to Wickramaratne Devenividanalage Elizabeth Fernando, on the north by the property of Mr. Tavel, now the property of W. V. M. Fernando; containing in extent one acre and twenty-seven square perches, together with all and singular the buildings and plantations thereon and the appurtenances thereof or thereunto in any wise belonging or used or enjoyed therewith, or reputed or known as part or parcel thereof, and all the estate, right, title, interest, claim, and demand whatsoever of the defendant in, to, upon, or out of the said property.

E. ONDATJE,  
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Frank Carew Radcliffe of Kirimetiya estate, Galaha, Kandy ..... Plaintiff.

No. 23,137. Vs.

1, Abdul Careem Tamby Amardeen;  
2, Abdul Careem Tamby Noordeen,  
both of Dean's road, Maradana,  
Colombo ..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, September 11, 1906, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the following property declared bound and executable under the decree entered in the above case and ordered to be sold by the order of court, dated June 14, 1906, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 10,318.87, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from March 15, 1906, till payment in full and costs of suit Rs. 202.09, viz. :—

At 2 P.M.

(1) All that southern portion marked lot No. 3, and coloured pink in the plan made by C. Schwallie, Surveyor, dated September 18, 1883, bearing assessment No. 62A of the garden Doowewatta, situated at Dean's road, Maradana, within the Municipality of Colombo; bounded on the north by a portion of the same garden lot No. 2, on the south and west by a canal, and on the east by the high road; containing in extent 22 and 88/100 square perches.

At 2.30 P.M.

(2) All that portion of garden from and out of the land bearing assessment No. 62B, marked lot No. 2 on the said plan hereto and therein coloured pink, also a part of the said garden called Doowewatta; which said portion is bounded on the north by the portion of the same land of Abdool Careem Tamby Samsadeen, on the east by the high road, on the south by the portion of the same land of Abdul Careem Tamby Noordeen, and on the west by the canal; containing in extent 6 and 94/100 perches.

At 3 P.M.

(3) All that remaining portion of the land bearing assessment No. 62B, marked lot No. 2 and coloured pink in the said plan hereto; which said portion is bounded on the north by the portion sold to the said Abdul Careem Tamby Amardeen, on the west by a canal, on the south by a portion of the same garden marked lot No. 3, and on the east by the high road; containing in extent 15 and 94/100 perches.

E. ONDATJE,  
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906.



In the District Court of Colombo.

K. R. M. P. Maniappa Chetty of Sea street, Colombo ..... Plaintiff.  
No. 23,152 C. Vs.

(1) John R. Ingram, (2) W. P. Richardson, and (3) C. A. Vandort, all of Hospital street, Fort, Colombo. .... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, September 4, 1906, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at No. 21, Barber street, Colombo, the following property of the 1st defendant, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 341.25, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from October 14, 1905, till payment in full, viz. :—

One piano, 1 settee, 1 piano stool, 2 nedun oval back chairs, 4 bentwood chairs, 1 lamp, 1 clock, 1 whatnot with ornaments, 1 nedun invalid's chair, 1 rattan invalid's chair, 1 nedun whatnot, 2 bentwood chairs, 1 dinner set, 1 nedun lounge, 1 jakwood dining table, 4 jakwood chairs, 1 jakwood arm chair, 1 iron bedstead, 1 mirror and table, 4 jakwood almira's, 1 jakwood sofa, 1 iron bedstead, 1 jakwood sofa with tent, 1 jinricksha, 1 clock, 10 pictures, 10 English wood chairs, 1 looking-glass and table, 2 rattan chairs, 1 conversation chair.

Fiscal's Office, E. ONDATJE,  
Colombo, August 8, 1906. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Seena Thana Kana Nana Sana Anamala Chetty of Negombo ..... Plaintiff.  
No. 5,675. Vs.

(1) Joseph John Xavier Fernando of Negombo, (2) John Peter Fernando of Chilaw ..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on September 8, 1906, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

An undivided one-fifth share of the land called Mahawattarama (a portion of Katukenda estate), situate at Petigoda and Katukenda in Dunagaha pattu of Alutkuru korale, the entire lot being bounded on the north by Maha-oya, on the east by lot No. 2 of this land, in extent 181 acres 3 roods and 1 perch, belonging to the heirs of the late Domingo Tissera, on the south by a road separating the lot No. 3 of this land, in extent 340 acres 2 roods and 22 perches, belonging to Clara Pinto, and on the west by Maha-oya; containing in extent 58 acres 2 roods and 28 perches more or less.

Amount to be levied Rs. 436, with interest on Rs. 250 at 30 per cent. per annum from August 16, 1904, to February 10, 1905, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum till payment.

FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,  
Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Negombo, August 7, 1906. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Negombo.

(1) Paschalgey Don Bartholemews James of Amandolowa, (2) Kodikaraarachchige Francisco Perera of Tudella ..... Plaintiffs.  
No. 6,248. Vs.

(1) Kuranagey Jagarias Perera and wife (2) Dassanayakege Dona Maria Egina, both of Katunaika ..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on September 6, 1906, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the

following property hypothecated by bond No. 2,642 dated October 23, 1902, viz. :—

The allotment of land called Gorakagahawatta, situate at Katunaika in Dasiya pattu of Alutkuru korale, together with all the buildings, trees, and plantations, and other things standing thereon; the said allotment being bounded on the north by another portion of this land belonging to Albano, on the east by the garden belonging to Warnacullasuriya Sawaral Fernando, on the south by the high road leading to and from Kadiranakurunduwatta, and on the west by the high road leading to Colombo; containing in extent 2 roods more or less, and declared liable to be sold in satisfaction of the decree entered in the above case.

Amount to be levied, Rs. 3,361.20 with interest on Rs. 3,184 at 9 per cent. per annum from February 7, 1906, till payment.

FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,  
Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Negombo, August 7, 1906. Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Negombo.

Suna Pana Kana Nana Muttu Irulappa Pulle of Negombo ..... Plaintiff.  
No. 12,962. Vs.

(1) Sakrawartige Davith Fernando, (2) Sakrawartige Charles Fernando, and (3) Sakrawartige Juan Fernando, all of Andimulla ..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on September 3, 1906, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

1. The land called Meellagahawatta, situate at Andimulla in Dunagaha pattu, Alutkuru korale; and bounded on the north by the land of Sakrawartige Sardiell Fernando and others, on the east by the land of Lintottage Davith Fernando and others, on the south by the land of Davith Fernando and others, and on the west by the land of Lintottage Davith Fernando and others; containing in extent 6 acres more or less.

2. The field called Padiliyawela, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Kosgahalanda and Makullagahalanda, on the east by the land of Davith Fernando, on the south by the land of Peduru Muppu and others, and on the west by the land of Dominikku Vedarala and others; containing in extent 4 parrahs of paddy-sowing more or less.

Amount to be levied Rs. 237.25, with interest on Rs. 212 at 9 per cent. per annum from July 28, 1905, till payment in full.

FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,  
Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Negombo, August 7, 1906. Deputy Fiscal.

#### Central Province.

In the District Court of Kandy.]

A. Fernando of Kandy ..... Plaintiff (dead).  
And

Walimunt Mudianselage Ukku Manika, executrix of the last will and testament of A. Fernando, deceased. .... Substituted Plaintiff.  
No. 16,974. Vs.

S. de Pless Pol of Richmond Villa, Kandy ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on September 1, 1906, at 12 o'clock noon, will be sold by public

auction at the premises the following property of the said defendant, namely:—

1. One very large steel and iron portable structure with galvanized roofing partitioned for 12 compartments.
2. One very large kennel to contain about ten dogs, made of wood.
3. Two cast iron green enamelled full size baths with nickelplated fittings, all lying in a room at "Haramby House," Lake road, Kandy.

Amount of writ Rs. 3,500 and poundage.

A. V. WOUTERSZ,  
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,  
Kandy, August 8, 1906.

### Northern Province.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Ampalavanar Ponnampalam of Kokkuvil, now residing at No 28, East Bazaar road, Cantonment, Rangoon, Burma, by his attorney S. K. Lawton of Manipay..... Plaintiff.

No 3,029. Vs.

- (1) Sittampalam Ampalavanar of Kokkuvil, (2) Ampalavanar Veluppillai of Manipay as the administrator of the estate of the late Nagamuttu, wife of Sittampalam Ampalavanar of Kokkuvil.. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, September 12, 1906, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the defendants in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 410·66, with interest on Rs 220 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from December 4, 1902, until payment in full and costs of suit being Rs 72·19, viz.:—

In a divided half share on the southern side of a piece of land situated at Vannarponne West, called Mudavempadi and Odaivalavu, containing or reputed to contain in extent 21½ lachams varaku culture with its appurtenances; the said half share is bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of Thankam, wife of Vaitilingam and others, north by property of Sellam, wife of Thampu, west by lane, and on the south by bye-lane.

V THAMBIPILLAI,  
for Fiscal, Northern Province.

Fiscal's Office,  
Jaffna, August 4, 1906.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Ampalavanar Ponnampalam of Kokkuvil, now residing at No. 28, East Bazaar road, Cantonment, Rangoon, Burma, by his attorney S. K. Lawton of Manipay..... Plaintiff.

No. 3,029. Vs.

- (1) Sittampalam Ampalavanar of Kokkuvil; (2) Ampalavanar Veluppillai of Manipay as the administrator of the estate of the late Nagamuttu, wife of Sittampalam Ampalavanar of Kokkuvil ..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, September 13, 1906, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the late Nagamuttu, wife of Ampalavanar in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 410·66, with interest on Rs. 220 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from December 4, 1902,

until payment in full and costs of suit being Rs 72·19, viz.:—

In a piece of land situated at Kokkuvil called Talaiyali, containing or reputed to contain in extent 8 ⅓ lachams varaku culture with its appurtenances; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of Ampalavanar and others, north by property of Annappillai, west by property of the heirs of the late Nagamuttu, and south by property of Sinnamma and others.

V. THAMBIPILLAI,  
for Fiscal, Northern Province.

Fiscal's Office,  
Jaffna, August 4, 1906.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Ana Seena Seyna Pitchaikanyey of Jaffna.... Plaintiff.  
Sinnattampi Kailasapillai of Chiviaterru..... Substituted Plaintiff.

No. 3,521. Vs.

Brownrigg Manuel Sathurukkalsinghe of Jaffna..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, August 30, 1906, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 1,150 with interest thereon at the rate of 15 per cent. per annum from September 1, 1903, until payment in full and costs of suit being Rs 91·50, viz.:—

In the cocoanut estate situated at Kolumpaturai and Chiviyaterru called and known as the Kolumpaturai estate which consists of the following parcels, viz.:—

1. Situated at Kolumpaturai called Amararkone Mutaliarkadu Talaimadai and Amararkone Mutaliarkadu in extent 332 ⅓ lachams varaku culture.
2. Situated at Kolumpaturai called Amararkone Mutaliarkadu Talaimadai in extent 57½ lachams varaku culture.
3. Situated at Kolumpaturai called Amararkone Mutaliarvayal and Kadatkaravayal in extent 67 lachams paddy culture.
4. Situated at Kolumpaturai called Kadatkaraiyitpalam and Parankivadali in extent 29½ lachams varaku culture.
5. Situated at Kolumpaturai called Kaikkinattadi in extent 6½ lachams varaku culture.
6. Situated at Kolumpaturai called Mullaivalavu in extent 8 lachams varaku culture.
7. Situated at Kolumpaturai called Kadatkaravayal in extent 4 lachams varaku culture with a stone-built house standing thereon.
8. Situated at Kolumpaturai called Odaivalavu in extent 10 lachams varaku culture.
9. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Putarayakadu in extent 11 lachams varaku culture.
10. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Putarayakadu in extent 10 lachams varaku culture.
11. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Nerunchikkadduppulam in extent 5 lachams varaku culture.
12. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Nerunchikkadduppulam in extent 10 lachams varaku culture.
13. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Putarayakadu in extent 10 lachams varaku culture.
14. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Putarayakadu and Nerunchikkadu in extent 12½ lachams varaku culture.
15. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Viramalakiyakuriyankadu in extent 10 lachams varaku culture.
16. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Nerunchikkadduppulam in extent 5 lachams varaku culture and Nerunchikkadu and Putarayakadu in extent 16 lachams varaku culture.

17. Situated at Kolumputurai called Nerunchipulam in extent 106 lachams varraku culture, situated at Chiviyaterru called Nerunchikkadu and Putaraya-kadu or Anunkaravalavu in extent 40 lachams varraku culture, situated at Chiviyaterru called Putaraya-kadu or Muttarvalavu in extent 15½ lachams varraku culture, situated at Chiviyaterru called Putaraya-kadu in extent 9 lachams varraku culture, situated at Kolumputurai called Amararkone Mutaliar-valavu or Tissaitoddam Sodai Sinnatoddam in extent 94 lachams varraku culture. Total extent is 264½ lachams varraku culture.

18. Situated at Chiviyaterru called Putaraya-kadu in extent 421 lachams varraku culture.

All these eighteen parcels forming one block and containing or reputed to contain in extent 1,290 lachams varraku culture and paddy culture, together with all the buildings and appurtenances belonging thereto; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of Visuvanatar Casipillai, A. Kartigesu Visuvarvalu, A. Chelliah, Muttatampi Kopali, and lane and property of Aiyattai wife of Velar, north by rail road, west by property of the heirs of John Edward Satturukkalsinghe, J. M. Aseerpatam, heirs of John Edward Satturukkalsinghe, Muttamma wife of Benjamin Santiagopillai, Nagamuttu Perumal, Murugar Sivaguru, Ponnachy wife of Tampimuttu, and road, and south by road, sea-beach, burial ground, and sea beach.

V. THAMBIPILLAI,  
for Fiscal

Fiscal's Office,  
Jaffna, August 3, 1906.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Ana Seena Seyna Pitehaikany of Jaffna.... Plaintiff.  
No. 3,521. Vs.

Sinnatampi Kailasapillai of  
Chiviyaterru ..... Substituted Plaintiff.  
Brownrigg Manuel Satturukkalsinghe of  
Jaffna ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, August 31, 1906, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 1,150, with interest thereon at the rate of 15 per cent. per annum from September 1, 1903, until payment in full and costs of suit being Rs. 91-50, viz.:—

In a piece of land situated at Karaiyur called Pirayadivalavu, Nochchitalvu, and Aseervata Mutaliar Valavu Vidu Olunkai, containing or reputed to contain in extent 26 lachams varraku culture and 8 kulies with houses including an upstairs house, wells, plantations, and other appurtenances; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by road, north by the Main street, west by property of Mariapillai, widow of John Nicholas, and heirs of the late Evisenapillai, widow of Tampar, and south by property of Tankakuddi, widow of Francis Selliah, excluding however therefrom an extent of 8 lachams varaku culture on the western side of this land, and excluding also a divided one-half share on the western side of the upstairs house above referred to.

In a piece of land situated at Karaiyur called Nochchitalvu, containing or reputed to contain in extent 7 lachams paddy culture with the appurtenance belonging thereto; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Roman Catholic Mission, north by the property of the defendant, west by the property of Gabriel Stephen and wife Annammah, and on the south by Main street.

In a piece of land situated at Karaiyur called Toddattukku Metku Vayal and Thevarir Kulatuvayal,

containing or reputed to contain in extent 18½ lachams paddy culture; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Roman Catholic Mission, north by property of Deogupillai Philips and tank, west by property of Ponnampalam Muttucumararu, and south by property of M. M. Edirmannasinghe, Alex. Toussaint, Lawrencepillai, Gabriel Stephen, and wife Annammah and the defendant.

V. THAMBIPILLAI,  
for Fiscal.

Fiscal' Office,  
Jaffna, August 3, 1906.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Brownrigg Manuel Satturukkalsinghe of  
Jaffna Town, 2nd defendant ..... Petitioner.  
No. 3,670. Vs.

The Fiscal of the Northern Province, 4th  
respondent ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, September 10, 1906, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said 2nd defendant-petitioner, in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 289-30, viz.:—

1. In a piece of land situated at Kolumputurai called Aiyantoddam, containing or reputed to contain in extent 3 lachams varaku culture and 11½ kulies with its appurtenances, but excluding therefrom the ground taken for the road; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of B. M. Satturukkalsinghe, north by property of B. M. Satturukkalsinghe and others, west by property of Ramu Sammugam and others, and south by property of Suppar Kanapathy and others.

2. In an undivided half share of a piece of land situated at Kolumputurai called Kudiyiruppu, containing or reputed to contain in extent 3 lachams varaku culture with its appurtenances; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by road, north by lane, west by property of Nallar Thavasy; and south by property of B. M. Satturukkalsinghe.

4. In an undivided half share of a piece of land situated at Kolumputurai called Vadalithoddam, containing or reputed to contain in extent 6½ lachams varaku culture with its appurtenances; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of B. M. Satturukkalsinghe, north by property of Suppar Kanapathy and others, west by property of B. M. Satturukkalsinghe, and south by property of Teivanai, wife of Sammugam and others.

5. In a piece of land situated at Kolumputurai called Odaivalavu, containing or reputed to contain in extent 10 lachams varaku culture with its appurtenances; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of B. M. Satturukkalsinghe and others, north by property of Suppar Kanapathy and others, west by property of B. M. Satturukkalsinghe and road, and south by property of Kantar Kanapathy.

6. In an undivided half share of a piece of land situated at Kolumputurai called Kaikinattady, containing or reputed to contain in extent 13½ kulies with well; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of the heirs of the late Vayittlingam, north by property of Nallar Thavasy, west by road, and south by property of Ponnachy, wife of Tampimuttu.

V. THAMBIPILLAI,  
for Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,  
Jaffna, August 4, 1906.

In the Court of Requests of Jaffna.

Chupper Vaitaianather of Nalloor . . . . . Plaintiff.  
No. 5,245 A. Vs.

1, Velu Murugesu and his wife 2, Chitamparam of Nalloor . . . . . Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, September 14, 1906, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 295, with interest on Rs. 250 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from May 22, 1906, till payment in full (provided such interest does not exceed Rs. 205) and costs of suit being Rs. 27.57, viz. :—

In a piece of land situated at Maravakurichchi in Pooneryn called Kilavikulam and Kilavikulam Palakaitarai, containing or reputed to contain in extent seventy-seven and a half lachams paddy culture; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east and south by tank, north by property of Arumukammuttu and sister and Sinnappillai, wife of Kantiah, and on the west by property of Sinnathankan, wife of Velu.

Fiscal's Office, V. THAMBIPILLAI,  
Jaffna, August 7, 1906. for Fiscal.

#### Southern Province.

In the District Court of Matara.

Gardiye Punchihewage Charles de Silva  
of Kataluwa . . . . . Plaintiff.  
No. 3,795. Vs.

Kahakatchy Patabendige Danohamy,  
late Constable Arachchi of Dondra . . . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, September 3, 1906, at 12 o'clock noon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 1,087.47, with interest on Rs. 720 at 16 per cent. per annum from December 21, 1905, till February 14, 1906, and legal interest on the aggregate amount from February 14, 1906, viz. :—

The soil and plantation of Maha-awariyawatta, situate at Dondra in the Wellaboda pattu of the Matara District; and bounded on the north by Amarasekaragewatta *alias* Mahadelgahawatta, east by Main street, south by Punchi-awariyawatta, and on the west by Joolgahakoratuwa and Habaraduwalapadinchiwasitiyawatta.

H. J. DE LIVERA,  
Deputy Fiscal.  
Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Matara, August 4, 1906.

#### North-Western Province.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Morawakkorallage Dona Peterrella Attygalle of Kolamune, executrix of the last will and testament of the late Don Charles Genoris Seneviratne Attygalle, Mudaliyar, of Kolamune, and sole heir of the said deceased, by her lawful attorney John Kotalawala . . . . . Plaintiff.  
No. 2,507. Vs.

(1) Moona Sammugavel Tever and his daughter (2) Muttupilawadi, both of Yaggepitiya in Maha Galboda Megoda korale . . . . . Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 8, 1906, commencing at 8 o'clock in the morning, will be sold by public auction at the

premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

(1) Kohombagahamulawatta, Bombigahamulawatta, Kolongahamulawatta, and another Kolongahamulawatta, all adjoining each other and forming one allotment of land called Hitinawatta, in extent 18 acres and 1 perch, situate at Yaggepitiya in Maha Galboda Megoda korale of the Weudawili hatpattu; and bounded on the north by the Dambulla road, east by Ambalanpitiya and dewata para, south and west by fields belonging to the villagers.

(2) Bakmigahakumbura of three pelas of paddy sowing extent, Amunekumbura of three pelas paddy sowing extent, and pilawa of one seer of kurakkan sowing extent, adjoining each other; and bounded on the north by the land of Tenna, east by Tenna's field, south by Mutuwa's field, west by field of Sammugavel Tever, situate at Yaggepitiya.

(3) Bulugahakumbura of one amunam of paddy sowing extent, situate at Yaggepitiya aforesaid; and bounded on the east by bombi tree, south by Upasakara's field, west by the field of Mutuwa, and north by ela.

(4) Kuppayakumbura of about two amunams of paddy sowing extent, situate at Yaggepitiya aforesaid and bounded on the east by milla tree, south by ela west by Baiya's field, north by land of Meera Neina, late Arachchi.

Amount to be levied is Rs. 9,634, with interest and poundage.

C. V. REBEIRA,  
Deputy Fiscal.  
Fiscal's Office,  
Kurunegala, August 7, 1906.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Muttu Kura Pena Somasundaram  
Chetty of Madampe . . . . . Plaintiff.  
No. 3,317. Vs.

Warnakulasuriya Ugo Tissera and sister  
W. Gustina Tissera, both of Weehena. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, September 5, 1906, commencing at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

One acre and fifteen perches extent together with the coconut trees and all the productive trees appertaining thereto from the northern half share of the land called Nugagahawatta *alias* Kadjugahawatta, which is in extent 4 acres 2 roods and 30 perches, situate at Mahawewa in Yatakalan pattu, Chilaw District.

2. Two-eighteenth shares including the coconut trees and all the other productive trees appertaining thereto, and 9/18 shares of the house built thereon, including the soil appertaining thereto, belonging to the 1st defendant, and 3 coconut trees together with the soil appertaining thereto, belonging to the 2nd defendant, from and out of the garden Talgahawatta *alias* Godabemakiyana Talgahawatta, of the extent of 1½ or 2 acres, situate at Weehena in Yatakalan pattu aforesaid.

3. The undivided half share together with all the properties appertaining thereto, belonging to the 2nd defendant of the garden Bakinigahawatta *alias* Koswatta of 1½ acres in extent, situate at Bakinigahawara in Mahawewa in the aforesaid korale.

Amount to be levied Rs. 1,881.75, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from March 7, 1905, and poundage.

E. LAWSON KOCH,  
Deputy Fiscal.  
Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Chilaw, August 7, 1906.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Muttu Wairen Nalla Rakku and another  
of Udappu ..... Plaintiff.  
No. 3,403. Vs.

Habibu Muhammado, Division Officer,  
Sego Mohideen of Battulu-oya ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 8, 1906, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, specially mortgaged with the plaintiff, viz. :—

Two-sixth share of the land called Wavunthottem of 5 acres in extent, situate at Battulu-oya in Anaiwilundan pattu, Chilaw District, as secondary mortgage.

Sixteen rows of cocoanut trees on the northern side and one half share of the house standing on the garden belonging to the defendant (excluding therefrom three rows of cocoanut trees on the southern side) as a secondary mortgage, situate at Battulu-oya aforesaid.

The unexpired term of the lease of the garden called Sinnemawalihottom, situate at Vellawalai in the aforesaid pattu, effected by lease bond No. 4,604 dated January 24, 1901.

Amount to be recovered Rs. 1,186.25, costs and poundage.

E. LAWSON KOCH,  
Deputy Fiscal.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Chilaw, August 7, 1906.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Muttu Wairen Nalla Rakku and another of  
Udappu ..... Plaintiffs.  
No. 3,404. Vs.

Sego Mohiadeen, son of Habibu Muhammado, Division Officer, Udappanare. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 8, 1906, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property specially mortgaged with the plaintiff, viz. :—

Sixteen rows of cocoanut trees on the northern side and one half share of the house of garden belonging to the defendant, situate at Battulu-oya, Anaiwilundan pattu, Chilaw District.

The unexpired term of the lease of half share of the land called Sinna Maweli Thoddam, situate at Vellamale on the said pattu, effected by lease bond No. 4,604, dated January 24, 1901, and No. 4,292, dated June 11, 1901.

The unexpired term of the lease of  $\frac{1}{2}$  share excluding 3 cocoanut trees of 5 acres of land on the northern side of the garden called Maweli Cholai, situate in the village Ma-elia of the said pattu, effected by bond No. 4,604, dated January 24, 1901, and No. 4,292, dated June 11, 1901.

Amount to be levied Rs. 2,315, costs and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. LAWSON KOCH,  
Chilaw, August 7, 1906. Deputy Fiscal.

#### Province of Uva.

In the District Court of Badulla.

Harry Creasy of Colombo, administrator  
of the estate of the late George Brooks,  
formerly of Whitchurch, England,  
deceased ..... Plaintiff.

No. 2,045. Vs.

1, Helen Mary Wynell Mayow of Carlton.  
Nuwara Eliya, executrix of the will of  
John Harding Wynell Mayow, deceased,  
and three others ..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 1, 1906, at 12 o'clock noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant Helen Mary Wynell Mayow, executrix of the will of John Harding Wynell Mayow, deceased, in the following property, viz. :—

An undivided half part or share of and in all that estate called and known as Brae, situate in the village Kalupahana in Kandapalla korale of Wellawaya in the District of Badulla, Province of Uva, consisting of two allotments of land which adjoin each other, and from their situation as regards one another can be included in one survey, namely :—

All that allotment of land called Udameriyakele ; bounded on the north-east by land reserved, south-east by land described in plan No. 116,548, on the south and west by reservation along the stream, and on the north-west by land described in plan No. 116,471 ; containing in extent 122 acres.

(2) An allotment of land called Udameriyakele ; bounded on the north by reservation along the stream, east by land described in plan No. 116,622 and a stream, and south and west by streams ; containing in extent two acres together with an undivided half part or share of the plantations growing thereon and of a small bungalow and two sets of lines with shingle roof standing thereon.

Amount to be levied, Rs. 67,345 and interest.

Fiscal's Office,  
Badulla, August 7, 1906.

T. REID,  
for Fiscal.

## NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,164. In the matter of the insolvency of  
S. G. C. Gomes Wickremesinghe  
of Galkissa.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on August 23, 1906, for proof of further claims.

By order of court,  
J. B. Misso,  
Secretary.

Colombo, August 3, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,219. In the matter of the insolvency of  
Simon George Anderson de Sam-  
payo of Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that the above-named insolvent has been awarded a certificate of the first class.

By order of court,  
J. B. Misso,  
Secretary.

Colombo, August 3, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,234. In the matter of the insolvency of John Samuel de Silva (junior), of Wellawatta, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that the above-named insolvent has been awarded a certificate of the third class.

By order of court,

J. B. Misso,  
Secretary.

Colombo, August 7, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,239. In the matter of the insolvency of James Rode of San Sebastian street in Colombo.

WHEREAS James Rode has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of the estate of the said James Rode has also been filed under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said James Rode insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on September 13, 1906, and on September 27, 1906, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court,

J. B. Misso,  
Secretary.

Colombo, August 7, 1906.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,241. In the matter of the insolvency of Mahamarakkalage Juanis Perera of Kollupitiya in Colombo.

WHEREAS Mahamarakkalage Juanis Perera has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of the estate of the said Mahamarakkalage Juanis Perera has also been filed under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said Mahamarakkalage Juanis Perera insolvent accordingly, and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on August 30, 1906, and on September 13, 1906, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court,

J. B. Misso,  
Secretary.

Colombo, August 2, 1906.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

No. 41. In the matter of the insolvency of Hassana Markar Abdul Aziz of Ratnapura.

NOTICE is hereby given that the second sittings in the above matter has been adjourned for August 22, 1906.

By order of court,

L. DE SILVA,  
Secretary.

District Court,  
Ratnapura, August 4, 1906.