



Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 6,518 — FRIDAY, AUGUST 23, 1912.

PART I.—General: Minutes, Proclamations, Appointments,
and General Government Notifications.

PART II.—Legal and Judicial.

PART III.—Provincial Administration.

PART IV.—Land Settlement.

PART V.—Mercantile, Marine, Municipal, Local, &c.

Separate paying is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.

Part II.—Legal and Judicial.

	PAGE		PAGE
Passed Ordinances	—	Notices in Testamentary Actions	546
Draft Ordinances	535	Notices in Insolvency Cases	552
Notices from Supreme Court Registry	—	Notices of Fiscals' Sales	552
Notices from Council of Legal Education	—	Notices from District and Minor Courts	—
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of Supreme Court	554	Lists of Articled Clerks	—
Lists of Jurors and Assessors	554		

SUPPLEMENT.

List of Notaries Practising in Ceylon on July 1, 1912.

DRAFT ORDINANCES.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898," in certain particulars: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1912."

2 The following chapter shall be embodied in the principal Ordinance as chapter XII., in lieu of the chapter substituted for chapter XII. by Ordinance No. 37 of 1908:

CHAPTER XII.

Investigation of Offences.

Information in cognizable cases.

120. (1) Every information relating to the commission of a cognizable offence, if given orally to an officer in charge of a police station, shall be reduced to writing by him or under his direction and be read over to the informant; and every such information, whether given in writing or reduced

to writing as aforesaid, shall be signed by the person giving it, and the substance thereof shall be entered in a book to be kept by such officer in such form as the Governor may prescribe in that behalf.

(2) Any officer in charge of a police station may, without any special order in that behalf, investigate any cognizable offence.

Information in non-cognizable cases.

121. (1) When information is given to an officer in charge of a police station of the commission within the limits of such station of a non-cognizable offence, he shall enter in a book to be kept as aforesaid the substance of such information and refer the informant to the Magistrate.

Investigation into non-cognizable cases.

(2) No police officer shall investigate a non-cognizable case without the order of a Magistrate or a Justice of the Peace.

(3) Any police officer receiving such order may exercise the same powers in respect of the investigation (except the power to arrest without warrant), as an officer in charge of a police station may exercise in a cognizable case.

Special powers of police officers in special areas.

122. Notwithstanding anything in the two last preceding sections, the Governor may, by notification in the "Government Gazette," declare that within any area named in the notification officers in charge of police stations shall have power to investigate non-cognizable offences; and in any such case such officer within such area shall have the same powers with regard to the investigation of non-cognizable offences as under this Code he has with regard to cognizable cases.

Procedure where cognizable offence suspected.

123. (1) If, from information received or otherwise, an officer in charge of a police station has reason to suspect the commission of an offence which he is empowered to investigate, he shall forthwith send a report of the same to the Magistrate having jurisdiction in respect of such offence, and shall proceed in person, or shall depute one of his subordinate officers to proceed to the spot, to investigate the facts and circumstances of the case, and to take such measures as may be necessary for the discovery and arrest of the offender.

Provided as follows :

Where local investigation dispensed with.

(a) Where any information as to the commission of any such offence is given against any person by name and the case is not of a serious nature, the officer in charge of a police station need not proceed in person or depute a subordinate officer to make an investigation on the spot ;

Where police officer in charge sees no sufficient ground for investigation.

(b) If it appears to the officer in charge of a police station that there is no sufficient ground for entering on an investigation, he shall not investigate the case.

(2) In each of the cases mentioned in clauses (a) and (b) of the proviso to the last preceding sub-section, the officer in charge of the police station shall state in his said report his reasons for not fully complying with the requirements of that sub-section.

(3) Such Magistrate, on receiving such report, may direct an investigation, or, if he thinks fit, at once proceed, or, subject to the provisions of section 153, depute any Unofficial Police Magistrate to proceed to hold an inquiry, or otherwise to dispose of the case in manner provided in this Code.

Powers of police officer.

124. (1) Any police officer making an investigation under this chapter shall have power—

(a) To require the attendance before him of any person whom he has reason to believe to be acquainted with the circumstances of the case, and if necessary to detain such person till the conclusion of the investigation ;

(b) To examine orally any such person.

(2) Every such person shall be bound to attend as aforesaid and to answer truly all questions relating to the case put to him by such officer, other than questions the answer to which would have a tendency to expose him to a criminal charge or to a penalty or forfeiture.

Statements to police not to be signed or admitted in evidence.

125. (1) Every statement made by any person to a police officer in the course of an investigation under this chapter shall, if taken down in writing, be signed by the person making it, but such writing shall not be used as evidence, except for the following purposes, that is to say :

- (a) To impeach the credit of the person making it ;
- (b) To refresh the memory of the person to whom it was made ;
- (c) To corroborate the evidence of the person making it under section 157 of the Evidence Ordinance ;
- (d) To prove the cause of the death or the circumstances of the transaction which resulted in the death of the person making it under section 32 (1) of the Evidence Ordinance ;
- (e) To prove a charge under section 180 of the Penal Code.

(2) Every criminal court may require the production of any such statement, and may use such statement to aid it in the inquiry or trial, but neither the accused nor his agents shall be entitled to call for any such statement, nor shall he or they be entitled to see it merely because it is referred to by the court.

No inducement to be offered.

126. (1) No police officer shall offer or make, or cause to be offered or made, any inducement, threat, or promise to any accused or suspected person to induce him to make any statement.

(2) But no police officer or other person shall prevent, by any caution or otherwise, any person from making, in the course of any investigation under this chapter, any statement which he may be disposed to make of his own free will.

Search by police officer.

127. (1) Whenever an officer in charge of a police station, or a police officer making an investigation, considers that the production of any document or thing is necessary to the conduct of an investigation into any offence which he is authorized to investigate, and there is reason to believe that a person to whom a summons or order under section 66 has been or might be issued will not or would not produce such document or thing according to the directions of the summons or order, or when such document or thing is not known to be in the possession of any person, such officer may search or cause search to be made for the same in any place within the limits of the station of which he is in charge, or to which he is attached.

(2) Such officer shall, if practicable, conduct the search in person.

(3) If he is unable to conduct the search in person, and there is no other person competent to make the search present at the time, he may require any peace officer to make the search, and he shall deliver to such peace officer an order in writing, specifying the document or thing for which search is to be made, and the place to be searched ; and such peace officer may thereupon search for such thing in such place.

(4) Any officer in charge of a police station may require an officer in charge of another police station, whether in the same or a different district, to cause a search to be made in any place, in any case in which the former officer might cause search to be made within the limits of his own station. Such officer, on being so required, shall proceed according to the provisions of this section, and shall forward the thing found, if any, to the officer at whose request the search was made.

(5) The provisions of this Code as to search warrants shall, so far as may be, apply to a search made under this section.

When officer in charge of police station may require another to issue search warrant.

Remand.

128. (1) Whenever it appears that any investigation under this chapter in any case in which an accused person is in custody cannot be completed within the period of twenty-four hours fixed by section 37, and there are grounds for believing that the accusation or information is well-founded, the officer in charge of the police station shall

forthwith transmit to the nearest Magistrate (whether such Magistrate has jurisdiction in the matter or not) a report requesting a remand, and shall at the same time forward the accused to such Magistrate.

(2) The Magistrate to whom an accused person is forwarded under this section may from time to time authorize the detention of the accused in such custody as such Magistrate thinks fit for a term not exceeding fourteen days in the whole.

(3) If the Magistrate considers further detention unnecessary, he may, if he is a Magistrate having jurisdiction in the matter, direct the accused to be released on bail or to be discharged, or, if he has not such jurisdiction, he may order the accused to be forwarded to the Magistrate having jurisdiction in the matter.

(4) A Magistrate authorizing under this section detention in the custody of the police shall record his reasons for so doing.

(5) If such order is given by a Magistrate not having jurisdiction in the matter, he shall forward a copy of his order with his reasons for making it to the Police Magistrate having jurisdiction in the matter.

Release of
accused when
evidence
deficient.

129. If, upon holding an investigation under this chapter, or receiving a report from a subordinate officer to whom he shall have deputed such investigation, it appears to the officer in charge of the police station that there is not sufficient evidence or reasonable ground of suspicion to justify the forwarding of the accused to a Magistrate, such officer shall, if such person is in custody, release him on his executing a bond, with or without sureties, as such officer may direct, to appear, if and when so required before a Magistrate having jurisdiction in the matter.

Case to be
sent to
Magistrate
when evidence
is sufficient.

130. (1) If, upon an investigation under this chapter, it appears to the officer in charge of the police station that there is sufficient evidence or reasonable ground as aforesaid, such officer shall forward the accused under custody to the Magistrate having jurisdiction in the matter, or, if the offence is bailable and the accused is able to give security, shall take security from him for his appearance before such Magistrate on a day fixed and for his attendance from day to day before such Magistrate until otherwise directed.

(2) If the officer in charge of a police station forwards an accused person to a Magistrate, or takes security for his appearance before such Magistrate under this section, he shall send to such Magistrate any weapon or other article which it may be necessary to produce before him, and shall require the complainant (if any) and so many of the persons who appear to such officer to be acquainted with the circumstances of the case as he may think necessary to execute a bond to appear before the Magistrate as thereby directed, and prosecute or give evidence (as the case may be) in the matter of the charge against the accused.

Recusant
complainant or
witness may be
forwarded in
custody.

Provided that, if any complainant or witness refuses to attend or to execute a bond as directed, the officer in charge of the police station may forward him in custody to the Magistrate, who may detain him in custody until he executes such bond, or until the hearing of the case is completed.

Diary.

131. (1) Every police officer making an investigation under this chapter shall day by day enter his proceedings in the investigation in a diary, setting forth the time at which the information reached him, the time at which he began and closed his investigation, the place or places visited by him, and a statement of the circumstances ascertained through his investigation.

(2) Any criminal court may send for the police diaries of a case under inquiry or trial in such court, and may use such diaries, not as evidence in the case, but to aid it in such inquiry or trial. Neither the accused nor his agents shall be entitled to call for such diaries, nor shall he or they be entitled to see them merely because they are referred to by the court; but if they are used by the police officer who

made them to refresh his memory, or if the court uses them for the purpose of contradicting such police officer, the provisions of the Evidence Ordinance, sections 145 (2), 161, as the case may be, shall apply.

Report of
police officer.

132. (1) Every investigation under this chapter shall be completed without unnecessary delay, and as soon as it is completed the officer in charge of the police station shall forward to the Magistrate having jurisdiction in the matter a report in the prescribed form.

(2) Whenever it appears from a report forwarded under this section that the accused has been released on his bond, the Magistrate shall make such order for the discharge of such bond or otherwise as he thinks fit.

3 The following chapter shall be added to the principal Ordinance immediately after chapter XII.:

CHAPTER XII. A.

Inquirers.

Appointment
of inquirers.

132 A. (1) The Governor may appoint any person by name or office to be an inquirer for any area specified in the appointment.

(2) Any inquirer appointed under this section may be appointed—

- (a) With power to hold investigations into offences ;
- (b) With power to hold inquests of deaths under chapter XXXII. of this Code ;
- (c) With both of such powers.

Powers of
inquirers.

132 B. An inquirer appointed with power to hold investigations into offence, shall for the purpose of such investigations have all the powers of an officer in charge of a police station under the last preceding chapter, and all the provisions of that chapter, in so far as they are applicable thereto, shall apply to an investigation held by an inquirer.

Provided that—

- (a) All statements made to an inquirer in the course of the investigation shall be taken down in writing ;
- (b) It shall not be necessary for an inquirer to keep a diary of the investigation as required by section 131 (1);
- (c) The provisions of section 122 shall not apply to inquirers.

Additional
powers of
inquirers.

132 c. In addition to the powers of a station house officer under the last preceding chapter, an inquirer appointed with power to hold investigations into offences shall have the following further powers :

- (a) Power to arrest or direct the arrest in his presence of any offender ;
- (b) Power to issue a warrant or to order the removal of an accused person arrested under a warrant.

Disqualification
for jury service.

4 To the list of persons disqualified for jury service under section 255 of the principal Ordinance there shall be added the following :

- (r) Sanitary Inspectors of the Colombo Municipality.

Certification of
depositions
by Magistrates.

5 The following sub-sections shall be added to section 299 of the principal Ordinance :

(5) (1) When a deposition has been read over to a witness and acknowledged to be correct, the Magistrate shall append to the evidence of the witness a certificate to the following effect, and shall sign such certificate with his initials, that is to say :

- (a) In the case of an English-speaking witness : “ Read over to the witness in open court in the presence of the accused and admitted by the witness to be correct ”; and
- (b) In the case of other witnesses : “ Read over and interpreted to the witness in open court in the presence of the accused and admitted by the witness to be correct.”

Evidence of
finger print
identification.

(2) The absence of such a certificate in a deposition shall not be a bar to the deposition being received in evidence in any case in which it is desired to tender the deposition in evidence, if it is proved by other evidence that the requirements of this section were in fact complied with.

6 (1) The following new sub-section shall be added after sub-section (3) of section 406 of the principal Ordinance, the subsequent sub-sections being numbered accordingly :

(4) Any document purporting to be a report under the hand of the officer in charge of the finger print identification office upon any finger impressions submitted to him for examination, search, or comparison in the course of any proceeding under this Code, may be used as evidence in any inquiry, trial, or other proceeding under this Code.

(5) Any document purporting to be a certificate under the hand of the identification officer attached to any court to the effect that a person named in the certificate was on a date named in the certificate convicted of an offence in the presence of such officer, and that the finger impressions on such certificate were made in the presence of such officer, and are the finger marks of the person so convicted, may be used as evidence in any inquiry, trial, or other proceeding under this Code.

(2) In sub-section (4) of the said section [numbered (6) in accordance with the last preceding sub-section], after the words "referred to in sub-section (3)" there shall be added the words "or the officers referred to in sub-section (4), or the officer referred to in sub-section (5)," and after the words "or witness or Government Analyst" there shall be added the words "or officer."

(3) In sub-section (5) of the said section [numbered (7) in accordance with sub-section (1) hereof] after the words "Government Analyst" there shall be added the words "or officer."

Amendment of
section relating to
deputation of
powers of Attorney-
General.

7 In section 393 of the principal Ordinance after the words "all or any of the powers" there shall be inserted the words "as regards Crown Counsel."

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, August 3, 1912.

HUGH CLIFFORD,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this Ordinance is to make various incidental amendments in the Criminal Procedure Code.

2. The most important provision is the re-casting of chapter XII. effected by the second clause. This chapter in the Indian Criminal Procedure Code is the chapter which regulates the investigations of offences by the police. It is by this chapter that the daily operations of the police in this matter are strictly governed. At the time of the first "Ceylon Criminal Procedure Code, 1883," Ceylon had only a very limited system of regular police, and it was thought not desirable to include the corresponding chapter of the Indian Code of 1882. In 1896, however, an adapted form of this chapter of the Indian Code was introduced into our Statute Book, not for the guidance of the police, but for the guidance of the "inquirers," first regularly established by the Ordinance for the Suppression of Crime, No. 15 of 1896, and in 1898 was embodied in our Criminal Procedure Code for the same purpose.

3. In the meantime the Ceylon Police Force had been regularly organized on a more extensive basis, and the system of station house officers had been established, and these officers became available for the same class of work that had been done by inquirers. In 1908 accordingly this "Inquirer's Code" was extended to station house officers. The result is that what was originally intended as a guide to police officers is made a guide for inquirers, with incidental effects on police officers.

4. In view of the development of the Ceylon Police Force, and the improvements that have in recent times been introduced into this chapter of the Indian Code in India, it has been thought desirable to restore this chapter to its true purpose, namely, the regulation of the action of the police, and to apply its provisions incidentally to inquirers, with the necessary modifications, in a subsequent chapter.

5. The main principle of the chapter is that (except in special centres where they are under the control of responsible officers, section 122) the police are not to concern themselves with petty offences, but are to confine their investigations to real crime. The Code draws a distinction between offences in which the immediate arrest of the offender without warrant is called for (cognizable offences), and offences in respect of which a warrant must be applied for (non-cognizable offences). A police officer must not take up the investigation of an offence of the latter character without the express authorization of a Magistrate or Justice of the Peace (a term which includes an Assistant Superintendent of Police). Each step of the police officer's investigation is to be reported to the Magistrate and is to be recorded in a diary. He is not bound (as under the present chapter XII.) to take down the statements of the persons he examines in writing. The case may be so simple that he may be able to investigate it rapidly, and take it straight to the Police Court.

6. The operations of inquirers are dealt with in a supplemental chapter, which applies the principles of chapter XII, with certain prescribed modifications. An inquirer unlike a police officer is bound to take down in writing the statements of all persons he examines.

7. Section 4 exempts the Sanitary Inspectors of the Municipality of Colombo from jury service.

8. Section 5 gives the obligation of law to a long standing practice, which is all but universal, but is at present only based upon a circular not included in "General Orders."

9. The object of section 6 is put upon a more regular footing the present system of identification of old offenders by finger prints: It establishes two principles:—

- (a) That the certificate that a man with particular finger prints was convicted of a particular offence must be furnished by a special officer, himself present at the conviction and attached to the court for the purpose;
- (b) That the identification of the finger prints of the criminal whom it is sought to identify with the criminal previously convicted shall be made by a special expert, who is placed in the same position as a Government Analyst.

10. The object of section 7 is to enable the Attorney-General to delegate to the Solicitor-General his powers of entering a *nolle prosequi* and pardoning an accomplice. This is necessitated by the enlargement of the direct power of the Solicitor-General under the re-organization of the Attorney-General's Department.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, June 26, 1912.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Indian Coolies Ordinance, 1909."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Indian Coolies Ordinance, 1909": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Indian Coolies (Amendment) Ordinance, 1911," and shall be read and construed as one with Ordinance No. 13 of 1889, Ordinance No. 7 of 1890, and "The Indian Coolies Ordinance, 1909."

Substituted definition for the word "labourer."

2 For the definition of the word "labourer," which by section 2 of "The Indian Coolies Ordinance, 1909," was substituted for the definition in section 3 of Ordinance No. 13 of 1889, the following definition shall be substituted:

"Labourer" means any person of the classes commonly known as "Indian coolies" and "Tulicans" employed or seeking to be employed on any estate for the purpose of the industry carried on upon the estate, and, unless the context otherwise requires, includes a kangany and a subordinate kangany.

Provided that nothing in this definition shall preclude a Superintendent from using any labourer employed upon his estate for the purposes of domestic labour.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office, HUGH CLIFFORD,
Colombo, August 15, 1912. Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this Ordinance is to correct a flaw which has been discovered in the definition of "labourer" in "The Indian Coolies Ordinance, 1909."

2. A "labourer" is, according to the definition, a person "whose name is borne on any register. This definition is obviously inapplicable to many of the sections of the Ordinance, and gives an opening to irregularities and frauds. Thus, if an employer employs an Indian cooly without registering him, it is no offence for another employer to engage such a cooly without a discharge ticket. Similarly, the coolies at the Ragama Dépôt, though not yet registered on any estate, are referred to as "labourers." So also the provisions of section 26 have been rendered impossible of application by this definition. A Magistrate by the section is empowered to issue certificates to "labourers," who, *inter alia*, have not been employed for thirty-six months. But, inasmuch as estate registers only came into existence after October 1, 1909, and as no person is a "labourer" unless he is entered on a register, labourers who have been unemployed for thirty-six months do not at present exist in Ceylon.

3. The definition has been amended accordingly, and a proviso has been added which will make it clear that an estate labourer does not cease to be a labourer by being detached for domestic service.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, August 5, 1912.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Interpretation Ordinance, 1901."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Interpretation Ordinance, 1901" (hereinafter referred to as "the principal Ordinance"), in certain particulars: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Interpretation (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1912."

New section 3 A.

2 The following sections shall be added to the principal Ordinance immediately after section 3.

Construction of references to members of the Legislative Council.

3 A. In any Ordinance and in any written law, whether passed or made before or after the commencement of this Ordinance, the following expressions shall have the meanings respectively assigned to them:

- (a) "The European Urban Member," or "The Urban Member," shall mean the member for the time being representing the European urban electorate under "The Legislative Council Ordinance, 1910."
- (b) "The European Rural Member," or "The Rural Member," shall mean the member of the Legislative Council for the time being representing the European rural electorate under "The Legislative Council Ordinance, 1910."
- (c) "The Ceylonese Member" shall mean the member of the Legislative Council for the time being representing the Ceylonese electorate under "The Legislative Council Ordinance, 1910."
- (d) "The Burgher Member" shall mean the member of the Legislative Council for the time being representing the Burgher electorate under "The Legislative Council Ordinance, 1910."
- (e) The "First Low-country Sinhalese Member" shall mean the senior member of the Legislative Council appointed by the Governor to represent the Low-country Sinhalese community.
- (f) The "Second Low-country Sinhalese Member" shall mean the junior member of the Legislative Council appointed by the Governor to represent the Low-country Sinhalese community.
- (g) The "First Tamil Member" shall mean the senior member of the Legislative Council appointed by the Governor to represent the Tamil community.
- (h) The "Second Tamil Member" shall mean the junior member of the Legislative Council appointed by the Governor to represent the Tamil community.
- (i) The "Kandyan Member" shall mean the member of the Legislative Council appointed by the Governor to represent the Kandyans.
- (j) The "Muhammadan Member" shall mean the member of the Legislative Council appointed by the Governor to represent the Muhammadan community.

Meaning of person.

Interpretation Act, 1889, section 19.

New section 4.

Exercise of powers and duties.

Interpretation Act, 1889, section 32.

3 B. In any Ordinance, whether passed before or after the commencement of this Ordinance, the expression "person," unless the contrary intention appears, shall include any body of persons corporate or unincorporate.

3 The following section shall be substituted for section 4 of the principal Ordinance:

4 Where any Ordinance or written law, whether passed or made before or after the commencement of this Ordinance, confers a power or imposes a duty, then, unless a contrary intention appears, the power may be exercised and the duty shall be performed from time to time as occasion requires.

New section 4 A. 4 The following section shall be added to the principal Ordinance immediately after section 4 :

Meaning of "principal Ordinance."

4 A. Where after the commencement of this Ordinance any Ordinance is declared to be passed to amend any other Ordinance, the expression " the principal Ordinance " shall mean the Ordinance to be so amended, and the amending Ordinance shall be read as one with the principal Ordinance.

New section.

5 The following section shall be added to the principal Ordinance immediately after section 8 :

Construction of Ordinances declaring offence summarily triable.

8 A. Where in any Ordinance passed during or after the present session of the Legislature it is declared that any offence shall be triable summarily, or by a Police Magistrate, or words are used implying that any offence shall be triable summarily or by a Police Magistrate, in any such case, unless the contrary intention appears, the Magistrate trying the case shall be deemed to have power to inflict the full penalty prescribed for the offence, notwithstanding any limitation of his ordinary powers or jurisdiction.

Addition of new sub-section to section 9.

6 The following sub-section shall be added to section 9 of the principal Ordinance :

Appointments and dismissals.

(6) For the purpose of conferring power to dismiss, suspend, or reinstate any officer, it shall be deemed to have been and to be sufficient to confer power to appoint him.

Addition to section 7.

7 The following paragraph shall be added to the paragraphs contained in section 11 of the principal Ordinance, after paragraph (c), the subsequent paragraphs being re-lettered accordingly :

Power to regulate, &c., implies power to issue licenses.

(d) In any rule power to regulate, supervise, and control shall be deemed to include power to issue and refuse licenses without fee for the purpose of such regulation, supervision, or control.

Verbal amendment to paragraph (d) of section 11.

8 In section 11 the following words shall be added to paragraph (d) after the words " force of law," that is to say, " as fully as if they they had been enacted in the Ordinance."

Addition of new sub-section to section 11.

9 The following sub-section shall be added to section 11 of the principal Ordinance :

Special powers not to derogate from general powers.

(3) Where any Ordinance, whether passed before or after the commencement of this Ordinance, confers power or any authority to make rules, regulations, or by-laws for any general purpose, and also for any special purposes incidental thereto, the enumeration of the special purposes shall not be deemed to derogate from the generality of the powers conferred with reference to the general purpose.

New section.

10 The following sections shall be added to the principal Ordinance immediately after section 11 :

Power to issue Proclamation and make Order, includes power to amend or rescind such Proclamation, Order, or Notification.

11 A. Where any Ordinance, whether passed before or after the commencement of this Ordinance, confers power or any authority to issue any Proclamation, or make any Order or Notification, any Proclamation, Order, or Notification so issued or made may be at any time amended, varied, rescinded, or revoked by the same authority and in the same manner, and subject to the like consent and conditions, if any, as such original Proclamation, Order, or Notification.

Construction of statutory rules, &c.

11 B. Where any Ordinance, whether passed before or after the commencement of this Ordinance, confers power to make, grant, or issue any instrument, that is to say, any Proclamation, Letters Patent, Order in Council, Order, Warrant, Scheme, Rules, Regulations, or By-laws, expressions used in the instruments, shall, unless the contrary intention appears, have the same respective meaning as in the Ordinance conferring the power.

Interpretation Act, 1889, section 31.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, August 3, 1912.

HUGH CLIFFORD,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE primary object of this Ordinance is to provide convenient formulas for designating Members of the Legislative Council in Ordinances, Proclamations, and other public notices. The provision is introduced in accordance with the direction of the Secretary of State, in consequence of the use of such a formula in Ordinance No. 2 of 1911.

2. Advantage has been taken of the opportunity to add a number of useful and convenient new provisions to the Interpretation Ordinance.

3. New section 3 B is taken from the English Interpretation Act.

4. New section 4 is also taken from the English Interpretation Act, and is a more generalized form than our existing section 4, the application of which is limited to the Governor.

5. The object of new section 4 A is to dispense with a formula which now occurs in every amending Ordinance.

6. The object of new section 8 A is to make it clear that where special powers of trying an offence summarily are conferred upon a Magistrate, he shall have power to inflict the full penalty applicable to the offence, unless a contrary intention appears. The clause extends to Ordinances passed during the present session of the Legislature, because in one of these Ordinances (the Public Performances Ordinance, No. 7 of 1912) this intention is not expressed with sufficient clearness, and because other Ordinances have been drafted in anticipation of the passing of this clause.

7. The object of the new sub-section comprised in section 6 is to make it clear that power to appoint an officer includes power to dismiss, suspend, or reinstate him. Attention has been drawn to the necessity of such a provision by certain provisions of the new Excise Ordinance.

8. The object of sections 7 and 8 is to make it clear that power to regulate implies power to license, and also to strengthen the words which give the force of law to rules, &c., duly promulgated.

9. Section 9 aims at shortening the language of Ordinances by the elimination of a formula now generally employed with regard to rule-making powers.

10. New section 11 A makes it clear that any Proclamation, Order, or Notification may be amended, varied, rescinded, or revoked by the authority making it.

11. New section 11 B embodies a provision of the English Interpretation Act, which declares that words and expressions used in subordinate legislation shall have the same meaning as any enactment authorizing such legislation.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, July 23, 1912.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information. This Bill will not be introduced into Council until next year:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Vehicles Ordinance, 1901."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Vehicles Ordinance, 1901," hereinafter referred to as "the principal Ordinance": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited for all purposes as "The Vehicles (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1912."

Construction.

2 The principal Ordinance and this Ordinance shall be read and construed as one Ordinance, and may be cited for all purposes as "The Vehicles Ordinances, 1901 and 1912."

Commencement of Ordinance.

3 This Ordinance shall come into force on such date as the Governor may, by Proclamation in the "Government Gazette," appoint.

Addition of new sub-section.

4 The following sub-section shall be added to section 38 of the principal Ordinance:

(2) In the case of carts and horse-drawn vehicles such lights shall be—

- (a) So constructed as to throw a white light in the direction in which the vehicle is proceeding and a red light in the opposite direction;
- (b) So placed, one on either side of the vehicle, as to show the width of the vehicle;
- (c) Lighted and kept alight so as to afford adequate means of signalling the movement and position of the vehicle.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, August 9, 1912.

HUGH CLIFFORD,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this amending Ordinance is to diminish the risk of collisions between motors and vehicles drawn by bullocks or horses when travelling at night.

2. At present a bullock cart is only compelled to carry one light, and this is usually so slung that it is more visible in the rear than in front. A horse-drawn vehicle must carry two lights, but is under no obligation to throw any illumination to the rear, so that such a vehicle is often invisible to an approaching motor.

3. The Ordinance accordingly provides that such vehicles shall carry two lights, each throwing a white light in front and a red light behind.

4. As it is recognized that the Ordinance involves the disturbance of an established custom, and that the change cannot be introduced at short notice, a clause is inserted providing its being brought into operation on a day fixed by the Governor.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, August 6, 1912.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Land Acquisition Ordinance, 1876."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Land Acquisition Ordinance, 1876," hereinafter referred to as "the principal Ordinance": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Land Acquisition (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1912," and may be cited as one with the principal Ordinance.

Addition of new sections.

2 The following sections shall be added to the principal Ordinance:

Acquisition by way of exchange.

46. (1) In any case in which a notice has been issued under section 7 of this Ordinance, the Government Agent may agree with any person interested in any land that the consideration for the acquisition of such land shall be an exchange of other land the property of the Crown instead of a pecuniary compensation.

(2) In any such case the provisions of this Ordinance shall apply to the said acquisition with the following modifications:

(a) No inquiry as to the value of the land need be made under section 8.

(b) In lieu of the agreement of the amount of the compensation under section 10, the agreement of exchange shall be recorded in writing, and the Government Agent shall make his award in pursuance thereof and file the same in his office.

(c) The land acquired shall vest in His Majesty in terms of section 12 (1) upon the issue of the grant of the land received in exchange therefor.

(d) Where there are several persons interested, and such persons agree as to their shares in or any partition of the land to be received in exchange for the land acquired, the particulars of such shares or partition shall be specified in the award, and as between such persons the award shall be conclusive evidence of the correctness of such shares or partition.

(e) The issue of a grant in pursuance of an agreement of exchange shall have the same effect for the purpose of barring claims against the Government for compensation as payment of the compensation under section 36.

Provided that any person lawfully entitled to the land so acquired shall (except as against *bona fide* purchasers for value without notice) have the same right for the recovery of the land exchanged therefor, as he would have had for the recovery of the land acquired before the acquisition. Provided further that nothing herein contained shall be deemed to prejudice any right to damages or any other remedy of any person lawfully entitled to the land acquired against the person to whom the grant of the exchanged land was issued.

(f) When the land acquired is subject to any entail, settlement, or *fidei commissum*, the land granted in exchange thereof shall be subject to the same entail, settlement, or *fidei commissum*.

Acquisition by way of gift.

47. If in any case in which proceedings have been taken for the acquisition of any land under this Ordinance for a public purpose, the person claiming to be the owner of the land desires to make a free gift of the land to His Majesty for the said purpose and to renounce all claim to compensation therefor, a record in writing to that effect duly signed by such person in the presence of the officer acquiring the land on behalf of the Crown shall be sufficient to vest the land in His Majesty absolutely, and shall have the same effect for the purpose of barring claims for compensation at the instance of any person whomsoever as payment of compensation under section 36.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, August 12, 1912.

HUGH CLIFFORD,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this Ordinance is two-fold: (1) to allow of land being acquired for public purposes by way of exchange for other land the property of the Crown instead of a pecuniary compensation; (2) to allow persons who desire to present land to the Crown for public purposes to do so under the Land Acquisition Ordinance, No. 3 of 1876, without going through fictitious formalities. The advantage of the adoption of this method of procedure instead of a deed of gift is that the Ordinance confers an unimpeachable title upon the Crown.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

August 6, 1912.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Joint Estate of Veer-
Jurisdiction. appa Pattar Sittaravelu Pattar and his
No. 4,258. wife Vadivamma, deceased, both of
Muttukristna street, in Colombo.

Suppiah Assary Nagamma of Pickering's road in
Kotahena Petitioner.

And

(1) Thangam, daughter of C. Veerappah Pattar
Sittaravelu Pattar, (2) Suppiah Asary Sivakamy,
(3) Ana Veloe Asary, (4) Suppiah Asary Selliah,
all of Pickering's road, Colombo Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on August 1, 1912, in the presence of Mr. Pullenayagam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Suppiah Assary Nagamma of Pickering's road, Kotahena, Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 15, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of Veerappa Pattar Sitaravelu Pattar and his wife Vadivamma, deceased, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioner, as an heir of above-named deceased, is entitled to have letters of administration with the will annexed issued to her accordingly, unless the

respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 1, 1912. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of
Jurisdiction. Ranamukadewagey Odiris Fernando of
No. 4,309. Wanawahala in the Adikari pattu of
Siyane korale.

Illandaredewagey Rodo Fernando of Wana-
wahala in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale. Petitioner.

And

(1) Ranamukadewagey Wello Fernando, (2) Ranamukadewagey Joslin Fernando, (3) Ranamukadewagey William Fernando, all of Wana-wahala aforesaid Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on July 22, 1912, in the presence of Mr. W. P. Gunewardene, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Illandaredewagey Rodo Fernando of Wanawahala aforesaid; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 22, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the above-named deceased,

to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 22, 1912. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of
Jurisdiction. Basil Walter Ebell, late of Colombo,
No. 4,313. deceased.

Frederick William Andree of Hemington, Avisawella
Petitioner.

And

1, Ernest Priar Ebell; 2, Mary Christabel Ebell;
3, Frederick Walter Ebell, all of Colombo. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on July 26, 1912, in the presence of Mr. Arthur Alvis, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Frederick William Andree of Avisawella; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 18, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a brother-in-law of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 26, 1912. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Karunaratne
Jurisdiction. Mohandirange Joseph Frederick Fer-
No. 4,317. nando, deceased.

Wickremeratne Vidanelage Elizabeth Fernando of
Mattacooly Petitioner.

And

(1) Karunaratne Mohandirange James Peter
Fernando, and (2) Karunaratne Mohandirange
David Ebenizer Fernando, both of Matta-
cooly Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on July 31, 1912, in the presence of Messrs. Vanderstraaten and Vanderstraaten, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Wickremeratne Vidanelage Elizabeth Fernando of Mattacooly; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 24, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 31, 1912. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Kudaligamagey John Perera of Atti-
No. 4,319. diya in the Salpiti korale, deceased.

Dewamullagey Lavaranthina Perera of Attidiya
aforsaid Petitioner.

And

(1) Kudaligamagey Francis Perera, and (2)
Kudaligamagey Leilawathy Perera, minors, by
their guardian *ad litem* (3) Dewamullagey Caro-
lis Perera, all of Attidiya. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on

July 30, 1912, in the presence of Mr. D. W. Walpole, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Dewamullagey Lavaranthina Perera of Attidiya; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 19, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the above named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 30, 1912. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testa-
Jurisdiction. ment of Thawanna Shaik Mohamadu of
No. 4,320. 55, Ferry street, in Colombo, deceased.

Seena Naina Mohamadu of No. 55, Ferry street,
in Colombo Petitioner.

And

(1) Mana Abraham Amma, (2) Peena Assen Beebi,
(3) Mohamadu Sheriff, (4) Salma Beebi, (5)
Ibrahim Beebi, (6) Ossan Beebi, all of Ferry
street, Colombo. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on August 2, 1912, in the presence of Mr. A. M. Rupesinghe, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Seena Naina Mohamadu of No. 55, Ferry street, Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated June 14, 1912; and of the notary dated July 31, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Thawanna Shaik Mohamadu, of No. 55, Ferry street, Colombo, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioner above named is the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate thereof issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 2, 1912. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Joint Estate of Halella-
Jurisdiction. dewage Peoris, Police Kankania and
No. C/4,321. Illandaridewage Panchina, husband and
wife, late of Hiswella in the Gangaboda
pattu of Siyane korale.

Halelladewage Sadorisa of Hiswella aforesaid Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Halelladewage Gumerisa, (2) ditto Leanchy and
her husband (3) Keeragaladewage Karamanisa,
(4) Halelladewage Pody and her husband (5)
Kanattedewage Pealis, (6) ditto Grigoris, (7)
ditto Sarnelis, (8) Halelladewage Assonchy and
her husband (9) Kalanchydeewage Juwanisa,
(10) Halelladewage Polonchiya, (11) ditto
Dilonchi, (12) Kanattedewage Bampia, (13)
ditto Ranso, all of Hiswella. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on August 1, 1912, in the presence of Mr. D. C. Pedris, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Halelladewage Sadorisa of Hiswella; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 30, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the eldest son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to their estate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 12, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 1, 1912. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

11376
Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testa-
Jurisdiction. ment with two Codicils thereto of Archi-
No. C/4,324. bald William Renny of Durham Lodge,
368, Shirley road, Shirley, in the County
Borough of Southampton, M.B., deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on August 3, 1912, in the presence of Messrs. F. J. and G. de Saram, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Cosmo Moray Gordon of Colombo; and (1) the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 30, 1912, (2) the power of attorney dated April 11, 1912, and (3) the order of the Supreme Court dated July 22, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of the said Archibald William Renny, deceased, dated May 11, 1910, with two codicils thereto, dated respectively January 14, 1911, and January 18, 1911, an exemplification whereof (under the Seal of His Majesty's High Court of Justice in England) has been produced, and is now deposited in this court, be and the same are hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the said Cosmo Moray Gordon is the attorney in Ceylon, of the executrices named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration with copies of the said will and codicils annexed issued to him accordingly, unless any person interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 3, 1912.

L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

41/-
391
In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testa-
Jurisdiction. ment of Saravanamuttu Hallock, late of
No. 4,331. Kynsey road in Colombo, deceased.

(1) Chellappa Rasanayagam of Panwila, (2) Hallock Rajanathan, (3) Hallock Wijenathan of Kynsey road, Colombo Petitioners.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on August 20, 1912, in the presence of Mr. Tiruvilingam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioners Chellappa Rasanayagam, Hallock Rajanathan, and Hallock Wijenathan; and the affidavit (1) of the said petitioners dated August 20, 1912, and (2) the Notary and attesting witnesses dated August 20, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of Saravanamuttu Hallock, deceased, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court; be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioners are the executors named in the said will and that they are entitled to have probate thereof issued to them accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before September 12, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 20, 1912.

L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

41/-
In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Bodiabaduge Paul Perera of Desastra
No. 742. Kalutara, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on June 17, 1912, in the presence of the petitioner C. B. Paulickpulle, Secretary of the District Court of Kalutara; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated June 17, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner C. B. Paulickpulle be and he is hereby declared entitled to administer the estate of the deceased above named, as the Secretary of this court, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Hettihewage Helena Silva alias Helena Hamie, (2) Bodiabaduge Anthonis Romulus Danister Perera, (3) Bodiabaduge Florida Henrietta Perera, (4) Bodiabaduge Precila Wilhelmina Perera,

(5) Bodiabaduge Lawrence Peter Perera, and (6) Bodiabaduge Abraham Oswald Perera, all of Desastra Kalutara—shall; on or before July 31, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 17, 1912.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

The date for showing cause to the above *Order Nisi* is extended to August 30, 1912.

By order of court,

C. B. PAULICKPULLE,
Secretary.

July 31 1912.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi declaring Will Proved.

8-41-
9035
Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testa-
Jurisdiction. ment of the late Edward de Silva Amar-
No. 747. suriya of Pilane, in Galle.
Class IV.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on July 24, 1912, in the presence of Mr. M. H. Jayatileke, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Domingo Amarasuriya of Pilane in Galle; and the affidavit (1) of the said petitioner dated July 15, 1912, and (2) of the attesting witnesses dated July 15, 1912, having been read: It is ordered that the will of the said Edward de Silva Amarasuriya of Pilane in Galle, deceased, dated November 28, 1910, the original of which has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the said Domingo Amarasuriya of Pilane in Galle is entitled to have letters of administration with a copy of the will annexed, unless the respondents—(1) Dr. Charles Amarasuriya, (2) Tantulas Amarasuriya of Nuwara Eliya, (3) Gibson Amarasuriya of Pilane in Galle, (4) Somie Amarasuriya of Weligama, (5) Clara Amarasuriya, (6) Romulus Amarasuriya of Pilane in Galle, (7) Arthur Renuw Amarasuriya, (8) Walter Rufus Amarasuriya of Pilane, (9) Florence Lily Amarasuriya of ditto, (10) Amy Amarasuriya of ditto, (11) Nellie Amarasuriya of ditto, (12) Wilfred Amarasuriya of ditto, (13) Ermanis Wijenayaka Weeraratne of Unawatuna—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 24, 1912.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi declaring Will Proved.

8-41-
Testamentary In the Matter of the Joint Last Will and
Jurisdiction. Testament of the late Don Peiris Dassan-
No. 749. naika Wijegunawardana, Vidane Arachchi
of Kumbuke and of his wife Don Justina
Ranasinha Wijesekera Hamine.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on August 1, 1912, in the presence of Mr. M. H. Jayatileke, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Dona Justina Ranasinha Wijesekera Hamine; and the affidavit (1) of the said petitioner dated July 26, 1912, and (2) of the Notary and attesting witnesses dated July 26, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of the said Don Peiris Dassanayaka Wijegunawardana, Vidane Arachchi of Kumbuke, deceased dated October 9, 1912, the original of which has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the said Dona Justina Ranasinha Wijesekera Hamine of Kumbuke is the executrix named in the said will and that she is entitled to have probate issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Don Brumpy Vidhyasekera of Molligoda and wife (2) Dona Johana Dassanayake Wijegunawardane Hamine of ditto, (3) Dona Juliana Dassanayake Wijegunawardane Hamine of Kumbuke and husband (4) Julius de Alwis Kulatunga Appuhamy of ditto, (5) Dona Jimona Dassanayake Wijegunawardane Hamine—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 1, 1912.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Wijesuriya Arachchige Jalis Appu, deceased, of Mabima, in the Ragam pattuwa of the Alutkuru korale.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before J. Scott, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on July 25, 1912, in the presence of Messrs. de Silva and Perera, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Wijesuriya Arachchige Dona Lucihamy of Mabima; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated July 16, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration of the estate of the deceased above named issued to her, unless the respondents W. Jane Nona of Mabima and W. Albinona of Mabima, minors, by their guardian *ad litem* W. Elias Appu of Theragama, in Kurumegala District shall, on or before August 28, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said W. Elias Appu be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors for the purpose of this action.

JOHN SCOTT,
District Judge.

July 25, 1912.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Koswatte Mohandirangedera Nawaratna Panikki Mudianselage Appuhamy, Vedarala, deceased, of Polgaha-ange, in Gangapalata korale of Udu-nuwara.

THIS action coming for disposal before Felix Reginald Dias, Esq., District Judge, Kandy, on July 25, 1912, in the presence of Mr. G. C. Rambukpota, Proctor, on the part of the petitioners Koswatte Mohandirangedera Kiri Banda and Koswatte Mohandirangedera Siyatu *alias* Peter Bernard Samarakoon, both of Polgaha-ange, in Gangapalata korale of Udu-nuwara; and the affidavits of the first-named petitioners and of Tikiri Banda Panabokke of Campola, dated July 17 and 19, 1912, respectively having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Koswatte Mohandirangedera Nawaratna Panikki Mudianselage Appuhamy, Vedarala, deceased, dated May 12, 1912, and now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless (1) Yalagoda Walimunigedera Pallaha Walawwe Bandara Menika of Polgaha-ange, (2) Koswatte Mohandirangedera Kiri Banda of Polgaha-ange, (3) ditto Ukku Banda of Polgaha-ange, (4) ditto Punchi Menika of Polgaha-ange, (5) ditto Loku Menika of Panabokke, (6) ditto Agnes Samarakoon of Kegalla, (7) ditto Harriet Samarakoon of Cotta, (8) ditto Mutu Menika of Polgaha-ange, and (9) ditto Mudianselage of Polgaha-ange shall, on or before September 12, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said petitioners are the executors named in the said will, and they are entitled to have probate of the same issued to them accordingly, unless the said respondents shall, on or before September 12, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

F. R. DIAS,
District Judge.

July 25, 1912.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Thiagar Selampaiyniar of Periyapalai, deceased.

Akilesar Ponniah of Periyapalai Petitioner.

Vs.

Thiagar Thampiah of Periyapalai Respondent.

THIS matter of the petition of Akilesar Ponniah of Periyapalai, praying for letters of administration to the

estate of the above-named deceased Thiagar Selampaiyniar, coming on for disposal before M. S. Pinto, Esq., District Judge, on July 25, 1912, in the presence of Messrs. Casipillai and Cathiravelu, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated July 25, 1912, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is one of the heirs of the said intestate and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before August 27, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 25, 1912.

M. S. PINTO,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Tankam, wife of Arumugam Vaitilingam of Kokkuvil, deceased.

Marutappan Chinnattamby of Kokkuvil Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Arumugam Vaitilingam of Kokkuvil, (2) Chinnattamby Navaratnam of ditto, (3) Kadiramalai, daughter of Chinnattamby of ditto, the second and third named are minors by their guardian *ad litem*, Marutappan Vallipuram of Tarady Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Marutappan Chinnattamby of Kokkuvil, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Tankam, wife of Arumugam Vaitilingam of Kokkuvil, coming on for disposal before M. S. Pinto, Esq., District Judge, on July 12, 1912, in the presence of Mr. K. Somasundram, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated May 22, 1912, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the next of kin of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before August 27, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 12, 1912.

M. S. PINTO,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Sarasupathipillai wife of Amaresar Veluppillai, of Chavagachcheri, deceased.

Vesuvanatar Thamocharampillai of Chavagachcheri Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Amaresar Veluppillai of Chavagachcheri, (2) Swaminatar Vyttilingam of ditto, and (3) Vyttilingam Murukappan of ditto, the 3rd respondent is a minor, by his guardian *ad litem* the 2nd respondent Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Vesuvanatar Thamocharampillai of Chavagachcheri, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Sarasupathipillai, wife of Amaresar Veluppillai, coming on for disposal before M. S. Pinto, Esq., District Judge, on July 31, 1912, in the presence of Messrs. Tambiah S. Cooke and P. S. J. Chrysostom, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner; and affidavit of the petitioner dated June 17, 1912, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the next of kin of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before August 27, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 31, 1912.

M. S. PINTO,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Sinnapillai, widow of Murukar Kathir-
No. 2,578. kamar, of Karadivu West, deceased.

(1) Perampalam Arumugam and wife (2) Sinna-
kutty of Karadivu West Petitioners.

Vs.

(1) Kathirkamar Kanapathipillai of Karadivu
West, (2) Ponny, daughter of Kathirkamar, of
ditto, (3) Sinnammah, daughter of Kathirkamar,
of ditto, (4) Kathirkamar Tambipillai, of ditto,
the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th respondents are minors, by
their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Perampalam Arumugam
and wife, Sinnakutty of Karadivu West, praying for letters
of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased
Sinnapillai, widow of Murukar Kathirkamar, coming on for
disposal before M. S. Pinto, Esq., District Judge, on
August 5, 1912, in the presence of Messrs. Tambiah S. Cooke
and P. S. J. Chrysostom, Proctors, on the part of the
petitioner; and affidavit of the petitioner dated June 25,
1912, having been read: It is declared that the petitioners
are the creditors of the said intestate, and are entitled to
have letters of administration to the estate of the said
intestate issued to them, unless the respondents or any other
person shall, on or before August 27, 1912, show sufficient
cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 5, 1912.

M. S. PINTO,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Subramanier Ramalingam of Vannar-
No. 2,588. ponnai East, deceased.

Ramalingam Subramaniam of Vannarponnai
East Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Ramalingam Arulampalam of Vannarponnai
East, (2) Ramalingam Muttiah of ditto, (3)
Suppammah, widow of Subramanier Ramalingam,
of ditto Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Ramalingam Subra-
maniam of Vannarponnai East, praying for letters of
administration to the estate of the above-named deceased,
Subramanier Ramalingam of Vannarponnai East, coming
on for disposal before M. S. Pinto, Esq., District Judge, on
July 24, 1912, in the presence of Mr. V. Ramalingam,
Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of
the petitioner dated July 22, 1912, having been read: It is
declared that the petitioner, as one of the heirs of the said
intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration
to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the
respondent or any other person shall, on or before August 27,
1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court
to the contrary.

July 24, 1912.

M. S. PINTO,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Mannar.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate, Goods, Chattels,
Jurisdiction. Rights, and Credits of Katpakapillai,
No. 171. wife of Subramaniam, late of Mannar,
deceased.

Ramalingam Sinnatamby Subramaniam of Man-
nar Petitioner.

Vs.

Vettinayagam, widow of Sinnappu, of Kusavan-
kulam, in Vannarponnai, Jaffna Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before R. N. Thaine,
Esq., District Judge of Mannar, on August 9, 1912, in the
presence of Mr. A. L. Savundranayagam, Proctor, on the
part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner
dated August 9, 1912, having been read: It is ordered that

the said petitioner is entitled to have letters of administra-
tion to the estate of the deceased above named issued to him,
as husband of the deceased, unless the respondent above
named or any other person shall, on or before September 20,
1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court
to the contrary.

August 9, 1912.

R. N. THAINE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Waduthantiri Mathes, deceased, of Lew-
No. 4,178. duwa.

Waduthantiri Andoris of Lewduwa in Batapola. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Kellapothavithanage Podimona, (2) Waduthan-
tiri Punchihamy, (3) Waduthantiri Mango, all of
Amugoda in Elpitiya, (4) Waduthantiri Loku-
hamy, wife of (5) Daluwathumullegamage
Brampy, both of Manangoda in Batapola, (6)
Waduthantiri Saro, (7) Daluwathumullegamage
Siman Appu, (8) Daluwathumullegamage Niculas
Appu, all of Pansalgoda in Batapola, (9) Dalu-
wathumullegamage Babunhamy, wife of (10)
Uyanage Menis Appu, both of Benwalakanda in
Batapola, (11) Waduthantiri Yendoris of Lew-
duwa in Batapola, (12) Waduthantiri Silindu,
also of Lewduwa in Batapola, (13) Waduthantiri
Endoris of Nindana in Batapola Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. J. Smith,
Esq., District Judge, Galle, on July 24, 1912, in the presence
of Mr. J. P. S. de Silva, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner,
Waduthantiri Andoris; and the affidavit of the petitioner
dated July 23, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the 1st respondent be appointed
guardian *ad litem* over the 2nd and 3rd respondents, unless
the respondents shall, on or before September 3, 1912,
show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the
contrary.

It is further declared that the said Waduthantiri Andoris
is a son of the deceased, and that he is as such entitled to
have letters of administration issued to him accordingly,
unless the respondents shall, on or before September 3,
1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court
to the contrary.

July 24, 1912.

F. J. SMITH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Peduruhewa Dollis, deceased, of Lew-
No. 4,179. duwa.

Peduruhewa Myishamy of Lewduwa in Batapola. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Sawanawadu Babehamy, wife of (2) Muniwara-
thantirige Andoris, both of Malawenne in Hik-
kaduwa, (3) Sawanawadu Babahamy, wife of (4)
Wathudura Myishamy, both of Meetiagoda in
Batapola, (5) Peduruhewa Nachcho of Hik-
kaduwa, (6) Perumabadu Appu Sinno, (7)
Perumabadu Manimel, (8) Perumabadu Maththo
alias Agiris, (9) Perumabadu John Sinno, (10)
Perumabadu Baron Sinno, (11) Perumabadu
Baby Nona, (12) Perumabadu Punci Nona, (13)
Peduruhewa Jarlis, all of Lewduwa in Bata-
pola Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. J. Smith,
Esq., District Judge, Galle, on July 24, 1912, in the presence
of Mr. J. P. S. de Silva, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner
Peduruhewa Myishamy; and the affidavit of the petitioner
dated July 23, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the 6th respondent be appointed
guardian *ad litem* over the 11th and 12th respondents,
unless the respondents shall, on or before September 3,
1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court
to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Peduruhewa Myishamy is a son of the deceased, and that he is as such entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents shall, on or before September 3, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 24, 1912.

F. J. SMITH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Tangalla.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved, &c.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Kekanadure Vidana Arachchige Gunawathami, deceased, of Pallattara. No. 536.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge, Tangalla, on August 8, 1912, in the presence of Kekanadure Vidana Arachchige Nikulashami of Pallattara, the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 7, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that letters of administration to the estate of Kekanadure Vidana Arachchige Gunawathami, deceased, be issued to the petitioner aforesaid as his son, unless the respondents (1) Kekanadure Vidana Arachchige Davithami of Beligalla, (2) Wirasinge Kurulle, (3) Wirasinge Nikulas, (4) Wirasinge Hinappu, (5) Wirasinge Eliyas, (6) Wirasinge Dionis, (7) Wirasinge Nonnohami, all of Puwakdandawa, shall, on or before September 5, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Kekanadure Vidana Arachchige Davithami of Beligalla be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 7th respondents for the purpose of this case, unless the 1st respondent above named shall, on or before September 5, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 8, 1912.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Mapa Wijesinha Urdihamy, deceased, of No. 940. Muttibendiwila.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. R. E. Loftus, Esq., District Judge, Chilaw, on August 3, 1912, in the presence of Mr. C. E. Corea, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Adicari Mudiyansele Pereis Appuhamy of Talawela; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 31, 1912, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner Adicari Mudiyansele Pereis Appuhamy of Muttibendiwila, be appointed administrator of the late Mapa Wijesinha Urdihamy, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly; and it is further ordered that the 4th and 8th respondents be appointed guardian *ad litem* over 6th, 7th, 10th, and 11th respondents, unless the respondents—(1) Dingiri Menikhamy of Welimulle, (2) Podi Nona of Hendiapola, in the District of Kurunegala, (3) Babasinno of Muttibendiwila, (4) Podi Sinno of ditto, (5) Babahamy of Puruduwellla, (8) Kirimenik Hamy of ditto, (9) William Sinno of ditto—shall, on or before August 30, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 8, 1912.

T. R. E. LOFTUS,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Sembanayake Mudiyansele Punchirala of Bogamuwa, deceased. No. 1,121.

Missan Arachchi Kankanamalage Kachohamy of Bogamuwa Petitioner.

And

(1) Sembanayake Mudiyansele Baby Nona of Bogamuwa, in Dambadeni Udukaha Korale East, (2) Missan Arachchi Kankanamalage Peter Singho of Nelligama, in Hapitigam korale, guardian *ad litem* of the 1st respondent. . . . Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before P. E. Pieris, Esq., District Judge of Kurunegala, on July 30, 1912, in

the presence of Mr. W. de Silva, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 25, 1912, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the above-named deceased, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 28, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 13, 1912.

P. E. PIERIS,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Herath Mudiyansele Hetuhamy⁴ No. 1,122. Vidane of Bambaragammana, deceased.

Herath Mudiyansele Ran Menica of Bambaragammana Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Herath Mudiyansele Ukkumenica, (2) ditto Ranhamy, (3) Chandrasekera Herath Mudiyansele Dingiri Menika, (4) Herath Mudiyansele Ukkubanda, all of Bambaragammana, guardian *ad litem* of the 1st and 2nd respondents Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before P. E. Pieris, Esq., District Judge of Kurunegala, on July 30, 1912, in the presence of Mr. W. de Silva, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 15, 1912, having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the eldest daughter of the above-named deceased, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 28, 1912, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 30, 1912.

P. E. PIERIS,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kegalla.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. Edirisinghe Mudiyansele Babasinno No. 389. Appuhamy, late Arachchi of Batuwita, deceased.

Edirisinghe Mudiyansele Appuhamy of Batuwita Petitioner.

And

(1) Disanayaka Appuhamillage Punchi Nona of Batuwita, (2) Edirisinghe Mudiyansele Dingiri Menika of ditto, (3) ditto Podi Menika of ditto, (4) ditto Samel Appuhamy of ditto, (5) ditto Peris Nona of ditto, (6) ditto Seda Nona of ditto, (7) ditto Sosanchi Nona of ditto Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. de Livera, Esq., District Judge of Kegalla, on July 25, 1912, in the presence of Mr. J. R. Molligode, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the petitioner's affidavit dated July 18, 1912, having been duly read:

It is ordered and declared that the petitioner, as the eldest son of the deceased, is entitled to letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, and that letters of administration be issued to him accordingly unless the above-named respondents or any person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the contrary to the satisfaction of this court.

Kegalla, July 25, 1912.

W. DE LIVERA,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kegalla.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Wickramatantrige Don Siyadoris Appu No. 387. of Taldua, in Atulugam korale of the District of Kegalla, deceased.

(1) Pūchihewage Don Vibutiratna, manager of the firm of P. D. Pederis Appuhamy and Co., of Avisawella, (2) Pīlənavidanage Harmanis Silva of Avisawella Petitioners.

Vs.

The Secretary of the District Court of Kegalla. Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. de Livera, Esq., District Judge of Kegalla, on July 10, 1912, in the

presence of Mr. A. F. R. Goonewardena, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the petitioner's affidavit dated May 28, 1912, having been duly read: It is ordered and declared that the respondent, the Secretary of the District Court of Kegalla, is entitled to letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, and that letters of administration be issued to him accordingly, unless the above-named respondent or any person or persons interested shall, on or before August 29, 1912, show sufficient cause to the contrary to the satisfaction of this court.

W. de LIVERA,
District Judge.

July 10, 1912.

NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,461. In the matter of the insolvency of Thomas Foenander of Wellawatta, in Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on September 26, 1912, for the grant of a certificate of conformity to the insolvent.

By order of court,

D. M. JANSZ,
Secretary.

Colombo, August 19, 1912.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,472. In the matter of the insolvency of Gustinnadewage Singho Baba Fernando of Peliyagoda.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting

of this court on August 29, 1912, to consider an offer of composition.

By order of court,

D. M. JANSZ,
Secretary.

Colombo, August 20, 1912.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,487. In the matter of the insolvency of Mananadewagey Marthelis of No. 9, Old Moor street, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on September 5, 1912, for proof of further claims.

By order of court,

D. M. JANSZ,
Secretary.

Colombo, August 19, 1912.

NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Alfred Baur of Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 30,375. Vs.

(1) Marukkudewagey James Fernando and (2) Kaluwaduwaye Edonis Fernando, both of Peliyagoda, in the District of Colombo Defendants.

Marukkudewagey Jamis Fernando, administrator of the estate of the 2nd defendant, in place of the 2nd defendant (dead) Substituted Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, September 18, 1912, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises, the following property declared to be sold by the decree entered in the above action for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 5,496.25, with interest on Rs. 4,000 at 12 per cent. per annum from January 1, 1910, to May 7, 1912, thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum, till payment in full and taxed costs, Rs. 224.87 and poundage, viz.:—

At 2.30 P.M.

All that garden called Kosgahawatta *alias* Rukkaththanagahawatta together with the buildings, trees, and plantations thereon, situated at Peliyagoda, in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru Korale South, in the District of Colombo, Western Province; and bounded on the north by high road leading to Kandy, on the east by the field of P. Fernando, on the south by the property of Pedro Rodrigo Philippu Pulle or of Anthony Rodrigo Candappa Pulle, and on the west by the property of Lazarus Rodrigo Candappa Pulle; containing in extent 1 rood 19 and 35/100 square perches.

At 3 P.M.

(2) All that garden called Rukkaththanagahawatta, otherwise known as Kosgahawatta, together with the buildings, trees, and plantations thereon, situated at Peliyagoda aforesaid; and bounded or reputed to be bounded on the north-west by a path and a field, on the east and south by a road leading to Kandy, and on the south-west by the limit of the garden of Peonkarage Pedro Perera; containing in extent 10 square perches more or less.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 20, 1912.

E. ONDATJE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Colombo.

David Dawapurathna of Colombo, Proctor Plaintiff.
No. 25,222. Vs.

Ingles Mortier of Forbes road, Maradana,
Colombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, September 16, 1912, at 3.30 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 103.72, with legal interest thereon from October 16, 1911, till payment in full, and costs of suit Rs. 59.75, with poundage, viz.:—

An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of all that allotment of land with the buildings standing thereon bearing assessment No. 18, situated at Kayman's Gate, Pettah, within the Municipality of Colombo; bounded on the north by the yard, east by premises bearing No. 19, south by Kayman's Gate, and west by premises bearing No. 17; containing in extent 1 and 87/100 perches more or less.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 20, 1912.

E. ONDATJE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Eustace F. de Saram of Colombo..... Plaintiff.
No. 33,640. Vs.

Sultan Marikar Mohideen Hadjar of No. 6, New
Urugodawatta road in Colombo..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, September 19, 1912, at 3.30 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 750, with interest thereon at 12 per cent. per annum from November 3, 1911, to July 8, 1912, and thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full and costs of suit, viz. :—

All those two allotments of land and premises bearing assessment Nos. 6, 7, and 7a, situated at New Urugodawatta road within the Municipality of Colombo; comprising the lots Nos. 11 and 12, lying contiguous to each other, at which lot No. 11 is bounded on the north by the property of the late E. H. Keysteman, on the east by lot No. 12 purchased by Dehiwellege Thomas Perera, on the south by lot No. 10 purchased by Dehiwellege Abraham Perera, and on the west by the Urugodawatta new road, and containing in extent 17 and 30/100 perches; and which said lot No. 12 is bounded on the north by the property of the late E. H. Vaysteman, on the east by the lot No. 13 purchased by Kamatahelluge William Perera, on the south by the Urugodawatta new road, and on the west by lot No. 11 purchased by Dehiwellege Thomas Perera; containing in extent 17 and 36/100 square perches, excluding from the said premises Nos. 6, 7, and 7a the portion sold and conveyed to Samsadeen Marikar Hadjar Zeinath Umma by deed No. 2,306 dated July 22, 1908, attested by F. A. Prins (Jr.) of Colombo, Notary Public, and the portion sold and conveyed to K. Stephen de Silva by deed No. 1,159 dated June 15, 1909, attested by W. A. S. de Vos of Colombo, Notary Public.

The said two lots are now forming one property; and bounded on the north by the property of Stephen de Silva, on the south by the property of Samsadeen Marikar Hadjar Zenith Umma, on the west by the new Urugodawatta road, and on the east by the property of J. de Saram.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 20, 1912.

E. ONDATEJE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Don Franciskuge Simon Perera of Church street,
Slave Island, Colombo..... Plaintiff.
No. 34,032. Vs.

Pallewattege Carolis Costa of Wellampitiya, in
Ambatalenpahala of Alutkuru Korale South..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, September 20, 1912, at 3 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 520, with interest on Rs. 500 at 16 per cent. per annum from March 11, 1912, to April 23, 1912, and thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment and costs taxed at Rs. 98.75 and poundage, viz. :—

All that land called Welangahawatta and the buildings standing thereon, situated at Wellampitiya in Ambatalenpahala in Alutkuru Korale South, in the District of Colombo, Western Province; and bounded on the north by land purchased by Sarange Don Adirian Appuhamy, land belonging to Attamba Arachchige Pederick Figera and high road, on the east by Delgahahena, on the south by high road and the land of Nanayakkara Gamage Don Hendrick Appuhamy, now a portion of Pelengahawatta, belonging to Hendrick Appuhamy and Aigdahamy, and on the west by dewata road and the land purchased by Wijesinghe Patirage Simon Perera and the high road leading to Kolonnawa; containing in extent about 1½ acres with the appurtenances thereto belonging.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 20, 1912.

E. ONDATEJE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Dannakuwathewaduge Daniel Andiris Fernando
of Skinner's Road South, Colombo..... Plaintiff.
No. 33,988. Vs.

Peter Salgado of Colpetty in Colombo..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, September 23, 1912, at 3.30 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises, in the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 10,600, with interest on Rs. 10,000 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from February 29, 1912, to June 21, 1912, and thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full and taxed costs, Rs. 170.37 and poundage, viz. :—

All that allotment of land with the buildings thereon bearing assessment No. 248, situated at Colpetty, within the Municipality of Colombo, and described in the title deeds thereof as all that northern half part of the garden with the buildings standing thereon, situated at Colpetty in Colombo; bounded on the north by the property of Matarage Marthelis Perera, on the east by the railway line, on the south by the other half part of this garden and railway line, and west by the seashore; containing in extent 1 rood 20 square perches and 68/100 of a square perch.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 20, 1912.

E. ONDATEJE,
Deputy Fiscal.

Northern Province.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Arunugam Thambiah of Thavaday..... Plaintiff.
No. 7,864. Vs.

Kanthan Sinnatamby of Kokkuvil..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, September 20, 1912, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the following property, decreed to be sold by decree entered in the above action for the recovery of Rs. 903.42, with interest on Rs. 540, at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum, from April 10, 1911, until payment in full and cost of suit being Rs. 135.07, and charges, viz. :—

A piece of land situated at Kokkuvil called Ninaittatumdittan, containing or reputed to contain in extent 9½ lachams of varagu culture, with all its appurtenances, which include palmyras and cultivated plants, house, share of well, and right of path along the eastern boundary of the southern land to and from the road on the south of the said southern boundary land; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Kartikesar Arunasalam Sivakkolunthu, wife of Selvaturai, muttu, wife of Vallipuram, and Marutappan Vallipuram, north by the property of Vaitty Nanni, west by the property of Vallimmai, wife of Vally, and others, and on the south by the property of Ponnamma, wife of Vallipuram, and front of path.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, August 17, 1912.

S. SABARATNAM,
Deputy Fiscal.

North-Western Province.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Suna Pana Ana Welleappa Chetty, by attorney
Suna Pana Ana Veyna Kannappa Chetty of
Kurunegala..... Plaintiff.
No. 4,217. Vs.

Ranmetta Durayalage Kekulage Hapuwala Duraya
of Welihewera, in Angoma korale..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 14, 1912, commencing at 10 o'clock in the morning, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, mortgaged by bond No. 24,675 dated February 23, 1911, and attested by C. S. Leitao, Notary Public.

(1) Nagulwalagawawatta, in extent of 12 lahas kurakkan sowing, situate at Welivehena in Angoma korale; and bounded on the north by field, on the east by the chena of Hapuwa Duraya, on the south by the jungle, and on the west by the garden of Hapuwa Duraya, with all the plantations thereon.

(2) Kadupolahena, now garden of 1 pela kurakkan, and its adjoining Dangahamulahena, now garden of about 5 lahas kurakkan sowing in extent, situate at Welivehena in Angoma korale aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the limit of the village Adukkana and chena belonging to Pini, on the south by Bogahamulahena belonging to Pini and Migahamulahena belonging to Bandiya, on the west by the (agala) ditch of the chena belonging to Aruma and Bandiya, and on the north by the village limit of Udugampolagedara and the land within the said boundaries and the house standing thereon.

(3) Ambagahamulawatta, in extent of about 3 lahas kurakkan sowing, situate at Welivehena aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Wekande, on the south by Beliroda of the field of Setuwa, on the west by the ditch of the residing garden of Kiriya, and on the north by the ditch of Kolongahamulawatta belonging to Kiriya, out of the plantations on the land within the said boundaries exclusive of 7 bearing coconut trees and undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the remaining plantations and of the entire land.

(4) Dangahamulahitawatta of 6 lahas kurakkan sowing extent, situate at Welivehena; bounded on the north by tank, on the east by mukalana, now garden, planted by Setuwaduraya and Ukku, on the south by the limit of the land of plaintiff and Jambuwa, and on the west by fence of the garden of Baiya Maruduraya, an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the said land within the said boundaries. This garden contains bearing coconut trees.

(5) Nagulwalagawakumbura of 7 pelas paddy sowing extent, situate at Welivehena; and bounded on the east by ela, on the south by Nagulwalagawakumburewatta, on the west by Lindapitiyakumbura, and on the north by ela.

(6) Nagulwalagawahena, in extent of about 2 lahas kurakkan sowing, situate at Welivehena; and bounded on the east by the village limit of Hidawa, on the south by Kongahamulahena belonging to the debtor, on the west by Nagulwalagawawatta belonging to the debtor, and on the north by ela.

(7) Kosgahamulawatta, in extent of about 2 lahas kurakkan sowing, situate at Wetahepitiya, in Angoma korale aforesaid; and bounded on the east by chena presently belonging to Hapuwaduraya and which had been owned by Poola and others, on the south by the pillawa of Bilinda Maruduraya, on the west and north by field, an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the land within the said boundaries.

(8) Lindapitiyahena, now garden of about 1 tinba kurakkan sowing, situate at Welivehena aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the rock "gala," on the west by Dungahamulawatta, and on the north by Lindapitiyekumbura and Nugawalakumburapillewa, together with the coconut trees standing thereon.

Amount to be levied Rs. 3,355, with legal interest thereon from July 13, 1911, till payment in full and costs.

Fiscal's Office, S. D. SAMARASINHE,
Kurunegala, August 20, 1912. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Muna Kandiah of Udappu Plaintiff.
No. 4,514. Vs.

K. A. C. Cader Saibo Markkan and another of
Pulichchakulam Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, September 18, 1912, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

1. The residing garden called Kottasepandinchiwatta of about 6 acres 1 rood and 38 perches in extent, situated at Battulu-oya in Anavilundan pattu, Pitigal Korale North, in the District of Chilaw.

2. Eighteen-eightieth shares of the garden called Nikalansena of about 25 acres in extent, situated at Kiriyan-kalliya aforesaid.

Amount to be levied Rs. 554.87, with further interest on Rs. 255 from January 25, 1912, up to March 11, 1912, at 9 per cent. per annum, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, A. V. HERAT,
Chilaw, August 19, 1912. Deputy Fiscal.

BY virtue of a mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the District of Galle will be holden at the Court-house at Galle on Monday, September 16, 1912, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office, J. A. LOURENSZ,
Galle, August 19, 1912. for Fiscal.

LIST OF JURORS AND ASSESSORS.

Chilaw District.

LIST of Persons in the District of Chilaw qualified to serve as Jurors and Assessors, under the provisions of the Ordinance No. 15 of 1898, as amended by the Ordinance No. 1 of 1910, for the year 1912-1913.

N.B.—The Jurors numbered in a separate series on the left of those indicating Ordinary Jurors are qualified to serve as Special Jurors.

ENGLISH-SPEAKING JURORS.

1 .. 1	Amarasekera, Daniel Herat, landed proprietor, Madampe	5 .. 5	Arulnayakam, M. T., overseer, Public Works Department, Walahapitiya
2 .. 2	Amarasekera, John Albert, landed proprietor, Madampe	6	Abeyakoon, Siman Peter, estate superintendent, Irrenawila
3 .. 3	Amarasekera, Ernest, landed proprietor, Nattakumbura	6 .. 7	Abeyakoon, Edward Nathaniel, secretary, Local Board, Chilaw
4 .. 4	Amarasekera, Lionel, landed proprietor, Dun-kannaawa	7 .. 8	Batuwantudawa, E., estate superintendent, Walahapitiya

8 .. 9	Bower, S. H., district engineer, Chilaw	24 .. 30	Jayawardena, Alexander Primrose, landed proprietor, Madampe
9 .. 10	Corea, Peter Allan, landed proprietor, Karukuwa	25 .. 31	Jayawardena, Francis, landed proprietor, Madampe
10 .. 11	De Livera, Isaac, landed proprietor, Madampe	26 .. 32	Jayasekara, Modarage Bastian Waas, notary public, Nainamadama
11 .. 12	De Almeda, Palliyaralalage Don Thomas, landed proprietor, Vennappuwa	27 .. 33	Mendis, R. A., estate superintendent, Tabbowa
12 .. 13	De Costa, Henry, landed proprietor, Chilaw	28 .. 34	Perera, G. S., landed proprietor, Rajakadaluwa
13 .. 14	De Jong, J. W., landed proprietor, Mugunuwatawana	29 .. 35	Perera, Bodiya Baduge Charles Stephen, landed proprietor, Tamberawila
14 .. 15	De Silva, C. G., estate superintendent, Madampe	30 .. 36	Pietersz, Lawrence Henry, notary public, Uthitiyawa
16	Dewanayagam, D. C., treasury officer, Chilaw	31 .. 37	Panditasekara, Joseph Philip, landed proprietor, Madampe
17	Ekanayaka, A. E., estate superintendent, Tabbowa	32 .. 38	Panditasekara, Graham, landed proprietor, Madampe
15 .. 18	Fernando, Joseph Benedict, landed proprietor, Chilaw	33 .. 39	Rajaratnam, C., superintendent, Minor Roads, Chilaw
16 .. 19	Fernando, Warnakulasuriya Mahatelge Eugeen, landed proprietor, Vennappuwa	34 .. 40	Silva, James, landed proprietor, Mudukatuwa
17 .. 20	Fernando, Warnakulasuriya Kalugamage William, landed proprietor, Vennappuwa	35 .. 41	Silva, Sampathawaduge Augustine, landed proprietor, Chilaw
18 .. 21	Fernando, Warnakulasuriya Pius, landed proprietor, Tamberawila	36 .. 42	Seneviratna, James Walter, landed proprietor, Madampe
19 .. 22	Fernando, Warnakula Weerasuria Albert, merchant, Nainamadama	37 .. 43	Seneviratna, Roland, landed proprietor, Merawala
20 .. 23	Fernando, Warnakula Weerasuria Timothy, landed proprietor, Marawila	44	Muttaiah, F. S., irrigation inspector, Chilaw
21 .. 24	Fernando, Mihidukulasuria Joseph Thomas, landed proprietor, Chilaw	38 .. 45	Vandort, E. M., superintendent of mills, Kudawewa
25	Gunasekara, Graham, secretary, District Court, Chilaw	39 .. 46	Wamadewa, estate superintendent, Siringampola estate
26	Gould, A. V., estate superintendent, Nelunkulia, Chilaw	47	Wickramasinhe, Henry Perera, estate superintendent, Dunkannawa
27	Ferdinands, Bertram Hugh, forest ranger, Chilaw	40 .. 48	Felsinger, C., government surveyor, Chilaw
22 .. 28	Jayawardena, A. W., landed proprietor, Madampe	49	Disanayaka, H. W., estate superintendent, Walahapitiya
23 .. 29	Jayawardena, David, landed proprietor, Madampe	50	Wiratunga, Don Edward, forest ranger, Chilaw
		51	Abeyasinhe, Edwin Francis Dias, registrar of lands, Chilaw

SINHALESE-SPEAKING JURORS.

1	Appuhamy, K. D., landed proprietor, Horagolla	23	Fernando, Julian, merchant, Chilaw
2	Appuhamy, Randenikorallage Baronchi, landed proprietor, Puruduwella	24	Fernando, Joseph Thomas, landed proprietor, Chilaw
5	Appuhamy, Randenikorallage Karanis, landed proprietor, Kudawewa	25	Fernando, Anthony Manuel, cultivator, Chilaw
4	Appuhamy, Hendrick Dabarera, landed proprietor, Mudukatuwa	26	Fernando, G. Emmanuel, stamp vendor, Chilaw
5	Appuhamy, Hettiarachchige Don Joronis, landed proprietor, Mahawewa	27	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Anthony, landed proprietor, Horagolla
6	Appuhamy, Vendakoon Mudiyansele Hendrick, landed proprietor, Mawila	28	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Siman, landed proprietor, Horagolla
7	Appuhamy, Jayasinhe Mudiyansele Herat Simno, landed proprietor, Kachchirawa	29	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Cornelis, landed proprietor, Horagolla
8	Appuhamy, Jayasuria Don Anthony, landed proprietor, Weerahena	30	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Julian, landed proprietor, Marawila
9	Appuhamy, Jayacodiarachchige Don Charles, landed proprietor, Dankotuwa	31	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Gregoris, landed proprietor, Marawila
10	Appuhamy, Liyana Arachchige Don Theodoris, landed proprietor, Dankotuwa	32	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Elaris, landed proprietor, Marawila
11	Appuhamy, G. A. M. Appusimno, landed proprietor, Kudawila	33	Fernando, Warnakulasuria John, landed proprietor, Marawila
12	Appuhamy, Ratnayaka Mudiyansele Sausseris, landed proprietor, Metikotuwa	34	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Martino, landed proprietor, Marawila
13	Appuhamy, Gamarala A. M. Jokino, landed proprietor, Etiyawela	35	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Charles, landed proprietor, Toduwawa
14	Appuhamy, Don Salman, landed proprietor, Dummaladeniya	36	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Gregoris, landed proprietor, Mahawewa
15	Croos, Warnakulasuria Clemento, landed proprietor, Angampita	37	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Clemento, landed proprietor, Weerahena
16	Dasanayaka Hitthamillage Ungurala, landed proprietor, Puruduwella	38	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Elaris, trader, Waikkal
17	Dharmasena, K. P. R., landed proprietor, Lunuwila	39	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Anthony, landed proprietor, Tamberawila
18	Don Juse, M. P. A., landed proprietor, Lunuwila	40	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Martino, landed proprietor, Nainamadama
19	Don Elaris Perera, Warnakula Additta Arsanilaitta, landed proprietor, Marawila	41	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Urban, landed proprietor, Marawila
20	Don Lucas de Silva, vedarala, landed proprietor, Haldanduwana	42	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Pedirikku, landed proprietor, Nainamadama
21	Don Raphael, Lowe Warnakula Aditta Arsanilaitta, landed proprietor, Marawila	43	Fernando, Warnakulasuria Polesiyano, landed proprietor, Nainamadama
22	Fernando, Charles, estate superintendent, Arachchikattuwa	44	Fernando, Warnakulasuria John, landed proprietor, Nainamadama

- | | |
|--|---|
| 45 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Augustino, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 70 Perera, Warnakulasuria Bernado, landed proprietor, Waikkal |
| 46 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Manuel, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 71 Perera, W. A. A. Joronis, landed proprietor, Nainamadama |
| 47 Fernando, K. Padiricku, landed proprietor, Angampita | 72 Perera, J. K. Paulo, landed proprietor, Nainamadama |
| 48 Fernando, K. Juan, landed proprietor, Boralessa | 73 Perera, Sembukutti Arachchige Domiciano, landed proprietor, Madampe |
| 49 Fernando, K. J. Jagarias, annavirala, landed proprietor, Vennappuwa | 74 Pietersz, John Henry Annavi, landed proprietor, Ulhitiyawa |
| 50 Fernando, W. W. Santiago, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 75 Peries, Pattiyapatirennhelage Elaris, landed proprietor, Mawila |
| 51 Fernando, W. S. J. Paulu, landed proprietor, Katuneriya | 76 Peries, Pattiyapatirennhelage Charles, landed proprietor, Mawila |
| 52 Fernando, W. S. J. Bernado, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 77 Peries, Jeremias, estate superintendent, Pilakatumulla |
| 53 Fernando, K. Elaris, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 78 Peris, P. A., landed proprietor, Weerahena |
| 54 Fernando, K. Paulu, landed proprietor, Katuneriya | 79 Pinto, Diago Ambrose, notary public, Chilaw |
| 55 Fernando, Warnakula Wirasuria Ludwin, landed proprietor, Marawila | 80 Pati-ratna, L. C., notary public, Yogyiana |
| 56 Fernando, Warnakula Wirasuria Henry, registrar, landed proprietor, Marawila | 81 Rupasinha, Baron Perera, landed proprietor, Madampe |
| 57 Fernando, Warnakula Wirasuriya John, cultivator, Marawila | 82 Silva, Lattawahandi Hendrick, landed proprietor, Madampe |
| 58 Gunatilaka, Alexander Perera, landed proprietor, Madampe | 83 Silva, Liyanage James, landed proprietor, Weerahena |
| 59 Gunaratna, Vedarala, G. A. M. Charles, landed proprietor, Kudawila | 84 Silva, Liyanage Stephen, landed proprietor, Weerahena |
| 60 Gunaratna, G. A. M. Simon Peter, landed proprietor, Kudawila | 85 Tamel, Warnakulasuria Francis B., landed proprietor, Marawila |
| 61 Jayamanna Muppu, Don Moses, landed proprietor, Madampe | 86 Tissera, W. Bernado, landed proprietor, Angampitiya |
| 62 Jayamanna, Stanislaus, landed proprietor, Horagolla | 87 Tissera, Warnakulasuria Sebastian, landed proprietor, Angampitiya |
| 63 Janse, Paulu, landed proprietor, Katuneriya | 88 Wickramasinha, Kuruppu Mudiyanseleage Baba Simno, landed proprietor, Weerahena |
| 64 Jayatuhamy, P. K., registrar, Munnessarama | 89 Wickramasinha, Kuruppu Mudiyanseleage Velappuhamy, landed proprietor, Narawila |
| 65 Karunaratna, D. M., notary public, Lunuwila | 90 Waas, M. Gratien, landed proprietor, Nainamadama |
| 66 Oberis, John, landed proprietor, Talwila | 91 Wijesurendra, Don Jeramias, landed proprietor, Nainamadama |
| 67 Perera, G. S., landed proprietor, Rajakadaluwa | |
| 68 Perera, Nicholas, estate superintendent, Nelunkuliya | |
| 69 Perera, Nanayakkara W. Juan, landed proprietor, Toduwawa | |

TAMIL-SPEAKING JURORS.

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1 Ana Kana Savul Hamido, trader, Chilaw | 23 Fernando, Adappage Paulu Annavi, landed proprietor, Nainamadama |
| 2 Assan Saibo Gunun Saibo, trader, Chilaw | 24 Kurera, Warnakulasuria Santiago, landed proprietor, Bolawatta |
| 3 Ana Runa Avanna Narayanan Chetty, trader, Chilaw | 25 Kuna Pena Ana Annamale Chetty, trader, Madampe |
| 4 Ana Murugesu, trader, Nattandia | 26 Kartna Tambi Kasi Mohideen, trader, Madampe |
| 5 Ana Sinnappen, landed proprietor, Udappu | 27 Kanawadipulle, trader, Kekulawadiya |
| 6 Ana Alagappen, landed proprietor, Udappu | 28 Muna Miyana Meera Saibo, trader, Madampe |
| 7 Ana Sini Mohammad, trader, Nattandia | 29 Muna Nalla Wairen, landed proprietor, Udappu |
| 8 Ana Velupulle, trader, Nattandia | 30 Nalla Rakku Nalla Wairen, cultivator, Udappu |
| 9 Chena Chena Mohammado Mohideen, trader, Nattandia | 31 Pakir Bawa Iburala Marikkar, trader, Madampe |
| 10 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Jokino Anthony, landed proprietor, Mattakkotuwa | 32 Pitche Thamby Omar Lebbe, trader, Kottaramulla |
| 11 Fernando, N. Bastian, landed proprietor, Angampitiya | 33 Pietersz, Selestino H., landed proprietor, Aluttota |
| 12 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Francisco Annavi, landed proprietor, Kammala | 34 Ramalingam Sinna Kamachchi, trader, Udappu |
| 13 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Pirisiyano, landed proprietor, Kammala | 35 Ravanna Mana Muna Vina Venakithan Chetty, trader, Madampe |
| 14 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Joronis, landed proprietor, Kammala | 36 Sena Wairen, landed proprietor, Udappu |
| 15 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Suse, landed proprietor, Nanjundankare | 37 Seeyanna Muna Mohammado Usup, trader, Chilaw |
| 16 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Gabriel Annavi, landed proprietor, Nanjundankare | 38 S. M. K. Mohammado Abdul Cader, trader, Lunu-oya |
| 17 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Ambrose, landed proprietor, Waikkal | 39 Tissera, Warnakulasuria Elaris, landed proprietor, Weehena |
| 18 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Davido Annavi, Waikkal | 40 Tissera, Warnakulasuria Martino, landed proprietor, Weehena |
| 19 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Pius, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 41 Tissera, Warnakulasuria Telenis, landed proprietor, Weehena |
| 20 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Lewis, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 42 Tissera, Warnakulasuria Suse, trader, Waikkal |
| 21 Fernando, Warnakulasuria Davido, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 43 Tissera, Warnakulasuria Pelis, landed proprietor, Waikkal |
| 22 Fernando, Adappage Suse, landed proprietor, Nainamadama | 44 Tissera, Warnakulasuria Elaris, landed proprietor, Waikkal |
| | 45 Tavarera, Warnakulasuria Davido, landed proprietor, Kammala |
| | 46 Velandy, K., estate superintendent, Pilakatumulla |
| | 47 Waas, Gabriel, landed proprietor, Mattakkotuwa |

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Chilaw, August 16, 1912.

T. LOFTUS,
Deputy Fiscal.

DRAFT ORDINANCES.*(Continued from page 546.)***MINUTE.**

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Ceylon Penal Code, 1883."**Preamble.**

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Ceylon Penal Code, 1883," hereinafter called "the principal Ordinance": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Penal Code (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1912," and shall be read as one with the principal Ordinance.

2 For section 38 of the principal Ordinance the following section shall be substituted:

38. (a) Except in the chapter and sections mentioned in clauses (b) and (c) of this section, the word "offence" denotes a thing made punishable by this Code.

(b) In chapter IV. and in the following sections, namely, sections 60, 61, 62, 63, 67, 100, 101, 101 A, 102, 103, 105, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 184, 191, 192, 200, 208, 210, 211, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 338, 339, 377, 378, and 431, the word "offence" denotes a thing punishable in Ceylon under this Code, or under any law other than this Code.

(c) And in sections 138, 174, 175, 198, 199, 209, 213, and 427, the word "offence" has the same meaning when the thing punishable under any law other than this Code is punishable under such law with imprisonment for a term of six months or upwards, whether with or without fine.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, August 16, 1912.

HUGH CLIFFORD,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this Ordinance is to deal with the Indian betting advertisements which are from time to time published in the local papers.

2. It is an offence under the Gaming Ordinance, No. 17 of 1887, to keep a betting establishment in Ceylon. It is also an offence to advertise such a betting establishment, inasmuch as to do so constitutes an offence of abetting. This is the effect of section 38 (b) of the Penal Code, which provides in certain specified sections that—

"The word 'offence' denotes a thing punishable in Ceylon under this Code or *under any law other than this Code.*"

Section 101 of the Penal Code which defines abetting is one of the specified sections. Consequently, a person who abets an offence under the Gaming Ordinance commits an offence under the Penal Code.

3. In 1909, by Ordinance No. 10 of that year, the following section (101 A) was inserted in the Penal Code:—

"A person abets an offence within the meaning of this Code who in Ceylon abets the commission of any act without and beyond Ceylon which would constitute an offence if committed in Ceylon."

Unfortunately, when this section was enacted, care was not taken to include it in the sections specified in section 38. It therefore only applies to offences under the Penal Code proper, and does not apply to the Gaming Ordinance. A newspaper proprietor, therefore, who publishes an advertisement of an Indian betting establishment, commits no offence.

4. The Ordinance provides for this omission by re-enacting section 38 (a), and including therein, among the specified sections, section 101 A.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance for the Regulation of Chauffeurs.

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to provide for the regulation of chauffeurs: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited for all purposes as "The Chauffeurs Regulation Ordinance, No. of 1912."

Ordinance No. 11 of 1865 and Ordinance No. 28 of 1871 to apply to chauffeurs as if they were domestic servants.

2 Ordinance No. 11 of 1865 (except section 12 thereof), together with all amendments of the same, and Ordinance No. 28 of 1871, together with all amendments of the same, shall apply to chauffeurs in all respects as if they were domestic servants.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, August 23, 1912.

HUGH CLIFFORD,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this Ordinance is to provide for the regulation of chauffeurs. It is intended to take the place of the two Ordinances already introduced into the Legislative Council, declaring that, for the purpose of Ordinances No. 11 of 1865 and No. 28 of 1871, the word "servant" and "domestic servant" respectively should be deemed to include chauffeurs.

2. It is understood that chauffeurs object to being ranked as domestic servants, and that it is contended that it is not the custom of the country so to classify them. It is admitted that some regulation is necessary, but separate regulation is asked for.

3. It would not be convenient to establish a wholly different system of registration and regulation from that which is in force with regard to domestic servants, and which is in every respect serviceable and adequate for the purpose of chauffeurs. The system established by the two Ordinances referred to is, moreover, of value not only to the employers, but to the employes, as it allows an employe to sue his employer for wages in the Police Court, requires the employer to find him lodging, food, and medical attendance when he is sick, and provides the employe with a registration book, which is of great value to the employes generally, as giving them an officially recognized character record.

4. Inasmuch as it appears that it is not so much the system of regulation, but the name of domestic servant to which objection is raised, it is proposed to substitute the present Ordinance, which simply declares that the two Ordinances referred to shall apply to chauffeurs as though they were domestic servants.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, August 22, 1912.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.