



Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 6,855 — FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 1917.

PART I.—General : Minutes, Proclamations, Appointments, and General Government Notifications.
 PART II.—Legal and Judicial.
 PART III.—Provincial Administration.
 PART IV.—Land Settlement.
 PART V.—Mercantile, Marine, Municipal, Local, &c.

Separate paging is given to each Part, in order that it may be filed separately.

Part II.—Legal and Judicial.

	PAGE		PAGE
Passed Ordinances	—	Notices in Testamentary Actions	128
Draft Ordinances	111	Notices in Insolvency Cases	132
Notices from Supreme Court Registry	—	Notices of Fiscals' Sales	133
Notices from Council of Legal Education	—	Notices from District and Minor Courts	140
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of Supreme Court	140	Lists of Articled Clerks	—
Lists of Jurors and Assessors	—		

DRAFT ORDINANCES.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to revise the Provisions of Ordinance
No. 9 of 1885.

Preamble.	WHEREAS it is expedient to revise the provisions of Ordinance No. 9 of 1885: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :
Short title.	1 This Ordinance may be called "The Protection of Produce Ordinance, No. of 1917."
Definitions.	2 For the purposes of this Ordinance the following terms shall have the meanings hereby assigned to them :
"Labourer."	"Labourer" shall include all persons, except superintendents and assistant superintendents, temporarily or permanently employed on any plantation in any capacity, whether agricultural or menial or otherwise howsoever.
"Plantation."	"Plantation" shall include any land on which coffee, tea, cacao, cardamom, rubber, or cinchona are growing, and also any coconut estate.
Produce."	"Produce" shall include any plant or tree cultivated in any plantation, and the fruit, leaf, bark, root, stem, latex, or other portion of any such plant or tree.

Loitering or lurking in plantation to be an offence.

3 Every person found loitering or lurking about in a plantation, unless he can give a satisfactory reason to the Police Magistrate before whom he is tried for such loitering or lurking, shall be guilty of an offence, and shall be liable on conviction before such Magistrate to imprisonment of either description for any term not exceeding six months, or to a fine not exceeding twenty-five rupees. Provided that this section shall not apply to a plantation which is a coconut estate of less than twenty-five acres in extent.

Possessor of certain descriptions of produce to be deemed guilty of theft till contrary be shown.

4 (1) Whenever any one is found in possession of any of the following descriptions of produce, that is to say :

- (a) Any tea plant, or the seed, leaf, root, stem, or any other portion thereof ;
- (b) Any rubber plant, or the seed, stem, or any other portion of any rubber plant or tree ;
- (c) The fruit of the coffee plant ;
- (d) The fruit of the cardamom plant ;
- (e) The bark, whether of the branch, root, or stem of the cinchona tree ;

under such circumstances that there is reason to suspect that the same is not honestly in his possession, and he is unable to give a satisfactory account of his possession thereof, such person shall be guilty of an offence, and such offence shall be triable and punishable in the same manner as the offence of theft of prædial produce under section 368 of the Ceylon Penal Code.

(2) If in the circumstances of the case the offence is one which is triable by a Police Magistrate, and such Magistrate is a Magistrate to whom section 4 of Ordinance No. 4 of 1891 applies, he may, in addition to any term of imprisonment which he is authorized to impose, sentence the offender to be whipped. Provided that the number of lashes or strokes inflicted shall in no case exceed twenty.

Restriction on purchase of produce.

5 (1) It shall not be lawful for any one to purchase or take in barter or exchange or receive any produce from any labourer employed on any plantation.

(2) Any person committing a breach of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of an offence, and shall be liable on conviction before a Police Magistrate to rigorous or simple imprisonment for a period not exceeding six months, or to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees.

(3) If the Magistrate is a Magistrate to whom section 4 of Ordinance No. 4 of 1891 applies, he may, in addition to any punishment which he is authorized to impose, sentence the offender to be whipped. Provided that the number of lashes or strokes inflicted shall in no case exceed twenty.

(4) This section shall not apply to any plantation which is a coconut estate of less than twenty-five acres in extent.

Police Courts to have exclusive jurisdiction in respect of thefts of prædial produce in certain proclaimed districts.

6 In any district of the Colony the Governor in Council, by order notified in the "Government Gazette," may direct that a Police Court shall have jurisdiction to try, or inquire into, any case in which the accused is charged with the theft of any produce, or of any particular description of produce, and which would otherwise be triable by a Village Tribunal under "The Village Communities Ordinance, 1889," and thereupon the said Police Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction to try, or inquire into, all such cases, anything in the said Ordinance to the contrary notwithstanding.

Repeal of Ordinance No. 22 of 1886.

7 Ordinance No. 22 of 1886 is hereby repealed.

By His Excellency's command,
Colonial Secretary's Office, R. E. STUBBS,
Colombo, January 30, 1917. Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THIS Ordinance is a revision of Ordinance No. 9 of 1885, which has been found to have several defects.

2. The original Ordinance dealt with three offences :

- (a) Loitering about plantations ;
- (b) Unlawful possession of produce ; and
- (c) Purchase of produce from labourers.

3. Its defects were as follows :—

- (a) It did not apply to rubber plantations.
- (b) The descriptions of produce specially protected did not include the tea plant or the leaf of the tea plant.
- (c) They did not include the rubber plant or rubber seed.
- (d) The section dealing with the possession of certain descriptions of produce was so framed that it was very difficult to define the offence.

4. The Ordinance has accordingly been amended in these particulars, viz. :—

- (a) The offence of unlawful possession is more clearly defined.
- (b) Tea plants, rubber plants, tea leaf, and rubber seed have been included in the descriptions of produce specially protected.
- (c) Arecanuts have been omitted from the descriptions of produce specially protected.
- (d) The fruit of the cacao tree has also been omitted, cacao thefts now being provided for by a special Ordinance.
- (e) The power to inflict whipping has been limited to Magistrates who are specially authorized to inflict whipping for the purposes of the offence of theft of prædial produce under Ordinance No. 4 of 1891. It is anomalous that only specially authorized Magistrates can inflict whipping in the case of theft of prædial produce, while any Magistrate can inflict whipping in the case of unlawful possession.

5. The question of coconut thefts has received considerable attention in recent years, and a proposal has been put forward from several sources that the theft of coconuts from a plantation exceeding 25 acres in extent, even when ordinarily triable by a Village Tribunal, should, at the option of the prosecutor, be triable by a Police Court. The basis of this suggestion appears to have been the fact that coconut estates under 25 acres in extent were not within the Ordinance now submitted to revision. It does not seem legitimate, however, to say that a person who owns a coconut estate of over 25 acres in extent may choose his court for a prosecution, while a person with a smaller estate may not. Nor does there seem any reason why a provision of this nature should be confined to coconut thefts, and should not be extended to thefts of cacao and other forms of produce. Another solution of the problem has accordingly been adopted by section 6, which enables the Governor to proclaim any district in which thefts of coconuts or other forms of produce are specially prevalent, and declares that in these districts thefts of any produce of this description which would otherwise be triable by Gansabhawa Courts shall be tried by the Police Court.

October 31, 1916.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Ceylon Evidence Ordinance, 1895."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Ceylon Evidence Ordinance, 1895": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Ceylon Evidence (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1917."

Proviso to
section 25 of the
principal
Ordinance.

2 The following proviso shall be added to section 25 of the principal Ordinance :

Provided that in any of the following cases, that is to say :

- (a) In any case in which any person is found in the possession of property as to which the law presumes, or deems in the circumstances of the case, that an offence has been committed, or was intended to be committed, unless a satisfactory explanation or account is given, or unless some other similar condition is satisfied, by the person accused ;
- (b) In any case in which a person is found at or about any premises or place as to which the law presumes, or deems in the circumstances of the case, that presence at or about such premises or place in the circumstances of the case is for an unlawful purpose, unless a satisfactory explanation or account is given, or unless some other similar condition is satisfied, by the person accused ;

any police officer by whom such person is found in possession of such property, or present at or about such premises or place, may, at or about the time when he is so found, call upon such person to account for such possession or presence before some independent person (not being a police officer) who is willing and reasonably competent for the purpose, and any statement so made, which purports to justify such possession or presence, recorded at the time by such police officer, and attested or certified by such independent person, shall be receivable in evidence on any charge in respect of such possession or presence, notwithstanding that it was made to, or in the presence of, the said or any police officer, or that it would otherwise be excluded under the provisions of the next succeeding section.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, January 30, 1917.

R. E. STUBBS,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE originating cause of this Ordinance is the decision of the Supreme Court in case *R. v. Kalu Banda*, (1912) 15 N. L. R. 422. In that case it was in effect decided by a Full Bench of the Supreme Court that *any statement* made to a police constable by a person charged with an offence, even though that statement was made for the purpose of exculpation and not intended as a confession, may be a confession within the meaning of section 17 of the Evidence Ordinance, and cannot be used in evidence against him. The Supreme Court decided that this was the effect of the terms of the Evidence Ordinance, and expressed the opinion that the object which the Legislature had in view in enacting section 25 of that Ordinance could not be attained if any other interpretation was adopted.

2. The law of Ceylon on this point differs greatly from the law and practice in force in England, and perhaps goes beyond the principle hitherto adopted in India.

3. There is a special class of cases in which this development of the law occasions considerable practical inconvenience. It is the class of cases in which the law presumes that an offence has been committed with reference to the possession of property by the accused person, or with reference to his presence at a particular place, unless he gives a satisfactory explanation of that possession or of that presence. It is always most material in these cases to know what the person accused said at the time when he was found in possession of the property or at the place in question. As the law stands, the evidence of what he so said is excluded if the person who found him was a police officer, and a police officer has been held to include a headman.

4. The principal enactments on our Statute Book which deal with offences of this character are :—

- (a) The Protection of Produce Ordinance, No. 9 of 1885 ;
- (b) The Cacao Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1904 ;
- (c) The Rubber Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1908 ;
- (d) The Ceylon Paper Currency Ordinance, No. 32 of 1884 ;
- (e) The Ceylon Penal Code :—

Section 394 (receiving stolen property).

Section 449 (unlawful possession of house-breaking implements).

Section 450 (being found in a building, &c., for an unlawful purpose).

Section 451 (lurking in a public place).

There are other similar enactments on our Statute Book, but the substantial inconvenience caused by the decision is more particularly felt in regard to offences relating to prædial produce.

5. In order to relieve this inconvenience, it is now proposed that where, in cases of this description, the person finding the accused is a police officer, that officer may call upon him to give an account of his possession of the property in question, or of his presence at the place in question before some independent person who is willing and reasonably competent for the purpose, and that any statement so made purporting to justify the possession or the presence in question recorded at the time by the police officer and attested by the independent person shall be receivable in evidence.

October 31, 1916.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Rubber Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1908."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Rubber Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1908," in certain particulars :
Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Rubber Thefts Prevention (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1917."

Further offences.

2 The following section shall be added to the principal Ordinance :

8 A. (1) It shall be unlawful for any licensed dealer—

(a) To purchase or cause or suffer to be purchased any rubber ; or

(b) To receive or suffer to be received upon his licensed premises otherwise than by way of purchase any rubber not the produce of lands in his own possession or occupation, unless there shall be delivered with the rubber a declaration as nearly as convenient in the form C in the schedule hereto, signed by the owner of the rubber, specifying the lands of which the rubber is the produce, or in the case of rubber delivered from the premises of another licensed dealer, a declaration signed by such other licensed dealer as nearly as convenient in the form D in the said schedule.

(2) For the purpose of this section "owner" includes any person for the time being in charge of the lands of which the rubber in question is the produce.

(3) Any person who does or suffers any act in contravention of this section shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

(4) A declaration under this section shall not be subject to stamp duty.

(5) Every such declaration shall be retained for a period of one year by the licensed dealer, and all the provisions of sections 10 and 15 with reference to the inspection of the book prescribed by the next succeeding section shall apply to every such declaration.

(6) A person making under this section a declaration which to his knowledge is false in any material particular shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

Amendment of section 9.

3 In section 9 (1) (c) of the principal Ordinance, for the words " Where the person from whom the rubber is purchased is not a licensed dealer." there shall be substituted the words " Except where the rubber is delivered from the premises of a licensed dealer."

Amendment of section 16.

4 The following amendments shall be made in section 16 (1) of the principal Ordinance :

- (a) After the words " any person who is found " there shall be inserted the words " or is proved to have been."
- (b) After the words " may be charged with being " there shall be inserted the words " or having been."

Possession of rubber substantially in excess of capacity of lands alleged to have produced it.

5 The following section shall be added to the principal Ordinance :

16 A. The owner or the person for the time being in charge of any lands on which rubber shall have been produced who shall be proved within any specified period to have represented himself to have been in possession of or to have disposed of or otherwise dealt with as the produce of such lands a quantity of rubber which, regard being had to the quantity of rubber disposed of or dealt with by him prior to or after such period, is substantially in excess of any quantity which could reasonably have been derived from such lands, shall, unless he accounts for such excess to the satisfaction of the court, be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

Addition of new forms to schedule.

6 The following forms shall be added to the schedule of the principal Ordinance :

Form C.—Particulars of Rubber brought to the Premises of _____, at _____.

(Section 8 A.)

Date : _____.

Description : _____.

Approximate quantity : _____.

Person by whom brought : _____.

Whether for sale or otherwise : _____.

Description of situation of land of which the rubber is the produce } _____.

(Signed) _____,

(Signed) _____,

Owner of Rubber.

Witnesses to Signature.

Form D.—Particulars of Rubber brought to the Licensed Premises of _____, at _____, from the Licensed Premises of _____, at _____.

(Section 8 A.)

Date : _____.

Description : _____.

Approximate quantity : _____.

Whether for sale or otherwise : _____.

I hereby declare that the rubber delivered herewith is delivered from my licensed premises at _____, and that the same has been duly entered in my books in accordance with " The Rubber Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1908."

(Signed) _____,

(Signed) _____.

Licensed Dealer.

Witnesses to Signature.

By His Excellency's command.

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, January 30, 1917.

R. E. STUBBS,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this Ordinance is to deal with a difficulty which has been met with in the administration of "The Rubber Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1908."

2. By virtue of the returns which are kept in pursuance of section 9, it is from time to time found on an inspection of the books of licensed dealers that vendors of rubber have tendered as the produce of their lands in a given period quantities of rubber far in excess of any quantity which, making all possible allowances, could have been produced from their lands. The almost inevitable inference is that a certain quantity of the rubber so tendered has been dishonestly obtained. The section which deals with unlawful possession of rubber, however (section 16), has been held to apply only to rubber of which the person charged was found in possession at the actual time of his arrest. Moreover, even if this section were amended so as to cover previous periods, it would never be possible to specify what particular delivery of rubber was dishonestly obtained.

3. It is accordingly proposed that persons selling rubber to licensed dealers shall be required to deliver with the rubber a statement specifying the lands of which the rubber sold is the produce (a fact which the dealer is already in any case bound to ascertain and enter under section 9), and it is declared that if any person shall be found to have represented himself as having produced from specified lands during a given period a quantity of rubber which is substantially in excess of the quantity which could reasonably have been derived from those lands, he shall be guilty of an offence.

4. Section 16 is also amended by extending the offence of being in possession of rubber suspected to be stolen to past possession. At present cases arise when a carter is found in possession of stolen rubber, and explains that he received it in good faith for carriage for some other person. This other person, however, cannot be charged, as the rubber was not in his actual possession at the time it was seized.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

October 31, 1916.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Cacao Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1904."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Cacao Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1904," in certain particulars: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Cacao Thefts Prevention (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1917."

Further offences.

2 The following section shall be added to the principal Ordinance :

9 A. (1) It shall be unlawful for any licensed dealer—

(a) To purchase or cause or suffer to be purchased any cacao ; or

(b) To receive or suffer to be received upon his licensed premises otherwise than by way of purchase any cacao not the produce of lands in his own possession or occupation, unless there shall be delivered with the cacao a declaration as nearly as convenient in the form C in the schedule hereto, signed by the owner of the cacao, specifying the lands of which the cacao is the produce, or in the case of cacao delivered from the premises of another licensed dealer, a declaration signed by such other licensed dealer as nearly as convenient in the form D in the said schedule.

(2) For the purpose of this section "owner" includes any person for the time being in charge of the lands of which the cacao in question is the produce.

(3) Any person who does or suffers any act in contravention of this section shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

(4) A declaration under this section shall not be subject to stamp duty.

(5) Every such declaration shall be retained for a period of one year by the licensed dealer, and all the provisions of sections 11 and 16 with reference to the inspection of the book prescribed by the next succeeding section shall apply to such declaration.

(6) A person making under this section a declaration which to his knowledge is false in any material particular shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

Amendment of section 10

3 In section 10 (1) (5) of the principal Ordinance, for the words "Where the person from whom the cacao is purchased is not a licensed dealer," there shall be substituted the words "Except where the cacao is delivered from the premises of a licensed dealer."

Amendment of section 17.

4 The following amendments shall be made in section 17 (1) of the principal Ordinance :

(a) After the words "any person who is found" there shall be inserted the words "or is proved to have been."

(b) After the words "may be charged with being" there shall be inserted the words "or having been."

Possession of cacao substantially in excess of capacity of lands alleged to have produced it.

5 The following section shall be added to the principal Ordinance :

17 A. The owner or the person for the time being in charge of any lands on which cacao shall have been produced who shall be proved within any specified period to have been in possession of or to have disposed of or otherwise dealt with as the produce of such lands a quantity of cacao which, regard being had to the quantity of cacao disposed of or dealt with by him prior to or after such period, is substantially in excess of any quantity which could reasonably have been derived from such lands, shall, unless he accounts for such excess to the satisfaction of the court, be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

Addition of new forms to schedule.

6 The following forms shall be added to the schedule of the principal Ordinance :

Form C.—Particulars of Cacao brought to the Premises of _____, at _____.

(Section 9 A.)

Date : _____.

Description : _____.

Approximate quantity : _____.

Person by whom brought : _____.

Whether for sale or otherwise : _____.

Description of situation of land of which } _____
the cacao is the produce }

(Signed) _____.

(Signed) _____.

Owner of Cacao.

Witnesses to Signature.

Form D.—Particulars of Cacao brought to the Licensed Premises of _____, at _____, from the Licensed Premises of _____, at _____.

(Section 9 A.)

Date : _____.

Description : _____.

Approximate quantity : _____.

Whether for sale or otherwise : _____.

I hereby declare that the cacao herewith is delivered from my licensed premises at _____, and that the same has been duly

entered in my book in accordance with "The Cacao Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1904."

(Signed) _____,

Witnesses to Signature.

(Signed) _____,

Licensed Dealer.

By His Excellency's command,
Colonial Secretary's Office, R. E. STUBBS,
Colombo, January 30, 1917. Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THIS Ordinance effects an amendment of "The Cacao Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1904," on the same lines as that proposed to be effected with reference to "The Rubber Thefts Prevention Ordinance, 1908," by a companion Ordinance, to which reference is invited.

October 31, 1916.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Fiscals Ordinance, 1867."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Fiscals Ordinance, 1867": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Fiscals (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1917."

Addition of new section.

2 After section 28 of the principal Ordinance, the following section shall be added and shall be numbered 29:

Fiscal, Deputy Fiscal, or marshal to administer oath or affirmation.

29. Every return made by a Fiscal, Deputy Fiscal, or marshal to process issued under the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code shall be duly verified by the oath or affirmation of the officer employed to execute the same; and for such purpose the Fiscal, Deputy Fiscal, or marshal is hereby authorized to administer such oath or affirmation.

By His Excellency's command,
Colonial Secretary's Office, R. E. STUBBS,
Colombo, January 29, 1917. Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THIS Ordinance originates as follows. Section 29 of "The Fiscals Ordinance, 1867," originally contained at the commencement of the section the following words:—"Every return to process shall be made by the Fiscal or Deputy Fiscal or Marshal, and shall be duly verified by the oath or affirmation of the officer employed to execute the same; and for such purpose the Fiscal, Deputy Fiscal, or Marshal is hereby authorized to administer such oath or affirmation."

2. The Criminal Procedure Code of 1883 repealed this section, but carefully retained the words above quoted.

3. When the Civil Procedure Code of 1889 was enacted, this Code contained all the necessary provisions for the service of civil process. It was apparently, therefore, thought unnecessary to retain the remnant of section 29, and it was repealed. It was overlooked, however, that the repealed words were still necessary for the purposes of the processes of criminal courts.

4. The result is that there is no provision in the law, as it stands at present, for the administration of the necessary oaths to the Fiscal's officers for the purposes of the processes of criminal courts.

5. The Ordinance accordingly re-enacts the repealed words.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, January 18, 1917.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Quarantine and Prevention of Diseases Ordinance, 1897."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Quarantine and Prevention of Diseases Ordinance, 1897": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Quarantine and Prevention of Diseases (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1917."

Amendment of section 11 with reference to forwarding or repatriating persons landed for medical treatment, &c.

2 The following amendments shall be made in section 11 of the principal Ordinance :

(a) For the words "should such person not be conveyed thither in the vessel from which he may have landed," there shall be substituted the words : "or at the option of the Principal Collector of Customs to the place of his original departure, unless arrangements shall be made to the satisfaction of the Principal Collector of Customs for his conveyance to such place of destination or departure."

(b) The following paragraph shall be added to the section :

The Principal Collector of Customs shall be entitled to require the master or agent of any vessel from which any such person shall have been landed, or the master or agent of any other vessel belonging to the same line or company as such vessel, upon its being certified by the Principal Civil Medical Officer that the person in question is in a sufficient stage of recovery for travelling, to receive and keep such person on board the vessel in question for the purpose of being conveyed to the place of his original destination, or to the place of his original departure, as the case may be, and may refuse a port clearance to such vessel until his requirement is complied with.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, February 1, 1917.

R. E. STUBBS,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this Ordinance is to deal with the case, which is from time to time occurring, of a person being landed in the Colony for medical treatment, or on the ground of supposed mental derangement, and the agent at whose request he was landed declining to remove him from the Colony when he has sufficiently recovered to be able to travel. The agent may at present be called upon to give a bond to pay the expenses of the removal of the patient to his port of destination. But if, as sometimes happens, the patient is suffering from mental disease, the shipping company may elect to pay his passage money rather than take him on board, and no other company may consent to do so. It is thought right, therefore, that power should be given to compel any shipping company which has landed such a patient in the Colony to remove him either to his place of destination, or if this is found more convenient, to the place of his original departure.

2. It is also provided that the bond above referred to must be given for the expenses of his conveyance to the place of his original destination or the place of his original departure at the office of the Principal Collector of Customs.

December 19, 1916.

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Enemy Firms Liquidation Ordinance, No. 20 of 1916."

Preamble.	W HEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Enemy Firms Liquidation Ordinance, No. 20 of 1916" : Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :
Short title.	1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Enemy Firms Liquidation (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1917."
Amendment to section 2 of principal Ordinance.	2 The following words shall be added to paragraph (c) of section 2 of the principal Ordinance : "or any company or any branch in Ceylon of any company which is incorporated or carries on business in enemy territory.
Addition of sub-section to section 2 of principal Ordinance.	3 The following sub-sections shall be added to section 2 of the principal Ordinance, the first part of the section being numbered accordingly, and shall be deemed to have been in force as from the date of the commencement of the principal Ordinance :
Provision for addition or retirement of liquidators.	(2) Any reference to a "liquidator" or "liquidators" in this Ordinance shall include any liquidator appointed in succession to, or in addition to, or in substitution of, any liquidator previously appointed, or deemed to be appointed, for the purposes of the same liquidation, and any liquidator continuing to act as a liquidator, after any other liquidator previously acting in conjunction with him shall have ceased to act, and all acts done, all proceedings taken or instituted, all powers or authorities conferred, and all obligations entered into by, upon, or affecting any person for the time being acting as liquidator in any liquidation under this Ordinance, shall have effect as if the person or persons for the time being acting as liquidator or liquidators under this Ordinance, and in the case of the liquidation of the firm of Messrs. Freudenberg & Co., the persons for the time being acting either as controllers under the order of the court, or as liquidators under this Ordinance, constituted a continuous legal personality.
	(3) In all actions or proceedings instituted or carried on by or against any liquidator or liquidators appointed or deemed to be appointed liquidators under this Ordinance, whether in the name of the firm under liquidation or otherwise, it shall be sufficient to describe the said liquidator or liquidators under the style of "the liquidator" or "the liquidators" of the firm in question, and in any pending or revived action or proceeding the necessary amendment may be made accordingly.
	(4) No act or proceeding by any liquidator, or in the course of any liquidation, shall be liable to be impeached or prejudiced by reason of any change in the firm of any persons appointed or deemed to be appointed liquidators under this Ordinance, or by reason of the erroneous description, omission, or inclusion of any person as liquidator, or by reason of any other formal defect relating to the name, description, or appointment of any liquidator.
Addition of sub-section to section 6 of principal Ordinance.	4 The following sub-section shall be added to section 6 of the principal Ordinance :
Power to satisfy certain claims against enemies out of assets of firm under liquidation.	(4) In any case in which the custodian of enemy property is satisfied that any enemy firm in course of liquidation is indebted to any enemy resident or carrying on business in the territory of a State at war with His Majesty, and that funds would be available to satisfy the said debt, in whole or in part, if such debt were entitled to satisfaction in the liquidation, and that any person resident or carrying on business in the Colony has a valid claim against such enemy resident or carrying on business as aforesaid, but is unable

to obtain satisfaction of such claim owing to the circumstances of the present war, the custodian may direct the said claim to be satisfied, either in whole or in part, out of the assets of the said firm in course of liquidation, and upon the said claim being so satisfied the indebtedness of the said enemy firm to the said enemy shall be deemed to be discharged *pro tanto*.

Addition of sub-section to section 8 of principal Ordinance.

Provision for changes in the firm of the controllers of Messrs. Freudenberg & Co.

5 The following sub-section shall be added to section 8 of the principal Ordinance, and shall be deemed to have been in force as from the date of the commencement thereof :

(6) All references to "the controllers" in this section shall be construed as references to the persons for the time being constituting the firm carrying on business at the date of the passing of this Ordinance under the firm name of Messrs. Ford, Rhodes, Thornton & Company, who shall have been appointed either as controllers under the said order, or as liquidators by the Governor under this Ordinance, notwithstanding any change in the constitution of the said firm, or any modification in the said firm name, and the powers conferred upon Harold Douglas Thornton, Patrick Haggard Fraser, and Maurice John Harding by the power of attorney referred to in the last preceding sub-section shall be deemed to have been conferred upon and to be exercisable by the members of the said firm, appointed as aforesaid, for the time being resident in the Colony.

Amendment of section 11 of principal Ordinance.

6 (1) The following paragraphs shall be added to sub-section (1) of section 11 of the principal Ordinance :

(e) To require any person who shall have in his possession or control any keys, safe, office furniture, or other things whatsoever belonging to an enemy firm which may have been used in connection with the business of the firm, to deliver the same into the possession of the liquidator.

(f) To require any person who, before the appointment of the liquidator, managed or assisted in the management of the business of an enemy firm, to give such assistance in connection with the liquidation as may be required.

(2) In sub-section (2) of section 11 of the principal Ordinance, for the expression "(c) and (d)," there shall be substituted the expression "(c), (d), (e), and (f)."

Addition of sub-section (2) to section 14 of principal Ordinance.

7 The following sub-section shall be added to section 14 of the principal Ordinance, the first part of the section being numbered accordingly :

(2) In any case in which any property of an enemy is subject to any mortgage, lien, or charge in favour of an enemy firm, and owing to the circumstances of the present war it is not reasonably practicable to enforce such mortgage, lien, or charge under the last preceding section, the powers of the court under this section shall include power to vest such property in the liquidator for the purposes of the liquidation, subject to the rights of any person entitled to any prior mortgage, lien, or charge, on such terms and conditions as to the court shall seem just.

Amendment of section 18 of principal Ordinance.

Amendment of section 20 of principal Ordinance.

8 In section 18 of the principal Ordinance, after the words "in any proceeding instituted," there shall be inserted the word "revived."

9 The following amendments shall be made in section 20 of the principal Ordinance :

(a) In the first line of the section, after the words "assets of the enemy firm," there shall be added the words "available in the Colony."

(b) The following sub-sections shall be added to the section, the first part thereof being numbered accordingly :

(2) In any such case the custodian of enemy property may, by order published in the "Government Gazette," vest in the liquidator for the purposes of the liquidation, subject to the rights of any secured creditor, any property belonging to any partner of the firm (or, in the case of a

firm consisting of a single person, to the person constituting the firm), which is not the property of the firm within the meaning of this Ordinance, and such property so vested shall, subject as aforesaid, be deemed to be property of the firm for the purposes of the liquidation.

(3) In any case in which any such order has been made, it shall be the duty of the liquidator, on realizing the said property, before applying the proceeds thereof for the purposes of the liquidation, to satisfy out of such proceeds any debt due to any person from such partner or person, as the case may be, which is not a firm debt.

Provided that no creditor shall be entitled to the benefit of this sub-section unless he shall have made a claim in respect of his debt to the liquidator within one month of the publication of the said order in the "Government Gazette."

Provided further that if the proceeds of the said property are not sufficient to satisfy all the just claims so made to the liquidator, such proceeds shall be applied, subject to the rights of any secured creditor, in the satisfaction of the said claims *pro rata*.

Amendment of section 35 of principal Ordinance.

10 The following words shall be added to sub-section (1) of section 35 of the principal Ordinance: "or which is incorporated or carries on business in enemy territory.

Amendment of sub-section (3) of section 35 of principal Ordinance.

11 In sub-section (3) of section 35 of the principal Ordinance, after the words "in the course of any liquidation under this Ordinance," there shall be inserted the words "or in any proceedings referred to in section 21 of this Ordinance."

Addition of new section to principal Ordinance.

12 The following section shall be added immediately after section 36 of the principal Ordinance, and shall be numbered 36 A:

Provisions as to contracts against public interests.

See "The Trading with the Enemy (Amendment) Act, 1916," section 2.

36 A. Where it appears to the Governor in Executive Council that a contract entered into before or during the war with an enemy firm, in respect of whose business a liquidator or liquidators have been appointed, or are deemed to have been appointed, under this Ordinance, is injurious to the public interest, the Governor in Executive Council may, by order, cancel or determine such contract, either unconditionally or upon such conditions as the Governor in Executive Council may think fit, and thereupon such contract shall be deemed to be cancelled or determined accordingly

Addition of new chapter to principal Ordinance.

13 The following chapter shall be added to the principal Ordinance:

CHAPTER VI.

Sales of Immovable Property.

Special definitions.

38. The expression "prohibited person" means—

- (a) Any enemy;
- (b) Any person resident or carrying on business in enemy territory;
- (c) Any corporation under enemy control;
- (d) Any person who but for the conclusion of any peace made in relation to the present war would be an enemy, or a person resident or carrying on business in enemy territory, or a corporation under enemy control.

The expression "corporation under enemy control" includes—

- (a) A corporation of which any of the directors or persons occupying the position of directors by whatever name called is an enemy, or a person resident or carrying on business in enemy territory;
- (b) A corporation shareholders in which holding ten per cent. or more of the issued capital or voting rights of the corporation are enemies, or persons resident or carrying on business in enemy territory, or persons who hold such capital or voting rights, directly or indirectly, for or at the disposal of enemies or persons resident or carrying on business in enemy territory;

(c) A corporation which is by any other means whether of a like or different character in fact under the control, directly or indirectly, of enemies or persons resident or carrying on business in enemy territory or corporations under enemy control;

(d) A corporation the executive whereof is a corporation within paragraph (a), (b), or (c) of this definition.

The expression "foreigner" means a person other than a British subject, and includes a foreign corporation.

The expression "corporation under foreign control" includes—

(a) A corporation of which any of the directors or persons occupying the position of directors by whatever name called is a foreigner;

(b) A corporation shareholders in which holding twenty-five per cent. or more of the issued capital or voting rights are foreigners or persons who hold such capital, or voting rights, directly or indirectly, for or at the disposal of foreigners;

(c) A corporation which is by any other means whether of a like or different character in fact under the control, directly or indirectly, of foreigners or corporations under foreign control;

(d) A corporation the executive whereof is a corporation within paragraph (a), (b), or (c) of this section.

A reference to any "immovable property" (whether in this or any other chapter of this Ordinance) includes a reference to any part of such property, and to any right, title, or interest, whether legal or beneficial, in such property.

39. No sale of any immovable property by any liquidator under this Ordinance—

(a) Shall be made to any prohibited person or to any person acting for or on behalf of or in trust for any prohibited person, or to any other person who may be precluded from purchasing such property by the prescribed conditions of sale;

(b) Shall be completed unless and until an affidavit in the form prescribed in the schedule to this Ordinance (subject to such alterations or additions, if any, as may be directed by the custodian of enemy property) shall have been made before a Justice of the Peace by the purchaser, or in the case of a purchaser who is a corporation, by some officer of the corporation duly authorized in that behalf.

40. Except under the authority of an order of the Governor issued with the consent of the Secretary of State, either with reference to any particular case or class of cases or generally, no person in whom any immovable property sold under this Ordinance shall be or become vested—

(a) Shall sell, transfer, lease, mortgage, devise, or bequeath such property to any prohibited person (either alone or jointly with any other person); or

(b) Shall in any way deal therewith so that the same shall be acquired or owned by any prohibited person (either alone or jointly as aforesaid), or be held on behalf of or in trust for or for the benefit of or so as in any way to come under the control of any prohibited person (either alone or jointly as aforesaid);

and if any person contravenes any of the provisions of this section, such person, or in the case of a deceased person, his heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally to the extent to which his estate shall have come into their hands, shall forfeit to His Majesty a sum of seventy-five thousand rupees, to be recovered by application to the Supreme Court at the suit of the Attorney-General.

Provided that a person shall not be liable to a forfeiture under this section, if he shall prove that the act in respect of which the forfeiture is sought was done in ignorance, that it was contrary to this section, and that the person doing the act took all reasonable precautions to satisfy himself that such act was not contrary to this section.

No sale to prohibited persons. Declaration by purchasers.

Prohibition of acquisition of interest by prohibited person.

Forfeiture of interest acquired by prohibited persons, &c.

41. In the following cases, that is to say :

- (a) If any person purchases any immovable property sold under this Ordinance contrary to paragraph (a) of section 39 ;
- (b) If any sale, transfer, lease, mortgage, devise, or bequest of, or other dealing with, such immovable property takes place contrary to section 40 ;
- (c) If any person in whom any immovable property sold under this Ordinance shall be or become vested (not being a property in respect of which the Governor shall have issued an order under section 40) shall be or become a prohibited person ;

the Attorney-General (without prejudice in the case of a contravention of section 40 to his powers under that section) may apply to the Supreme Court for a declaration—

- (i.) That any right, title, or interest in any such immovable property acquired, or purporting to be acquired, contrary to either of the said provisions, or vested in any person who is or has become a prohibited person ; or
- (ii.) In the case of any person liable to a forfeiture under section 40 any right, title, or interest in the immovable property concerned which may be vested in such person,

is forfeited to His Majesty, and the court shall declare the same forfeited accordingly as from the date when the liability to such forfeiture first accrued.

Provided always that in dealing with the application the court may take into consideration the position of persons, not being themselves prohibited persons—

- (a) Who have *bona fide* for value and without actual notice or knowledge of the circumstances occasioning the liability to such forfeiture (the burden of proof whereof shall be on them) acquired any right, title, or interest in such immovable property through or under any person liable to the forfeiture thereof ; or
- (b) Who have acquired any right, title, or interest in any such immovable property under the will of or otherwise through or under any person liable to the forfeiture thereof ;

and may make such order as it thinks fit, wholly or partially relieving such persons from the forfeiture of any such right, title, or interest so acquired, and may otherwise make such order as it may under the circumstances deem equitable.

Power of Governor to require particulars of nationality, &c.

42. (1) The Governor may at any time require any person claiming to be the owner, lessee, or mortgagee of any immovable property sold under this Ordinance, or to be otherwise interested therein, or apparently acting in the management or control or enjoyment thereof, to furnish him in writing with such particulars as appear to the Governor to be necessary to enable him to ascertain whether any such person is a prohibited person, or whether such property is owned by or held for or on behalf of or in trust for or for the benefit of or in any way so as to come under the control of a prohibited person, and in the case of a corporation may also require any director of the corporation or person occupying the position of director by whatever name called, or the secretary or any other officer of the corporation performing the duties of secretary, or any person acting as manager or agent for the corporation, in connection with the property, to furnish the required particulars.

(2) If any person fails when required to furnish such particulars as it is in his power to give, or ascertain, or furnishes particulars which are false in any material respect, he shall be guilty of an offence, and liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding one thousand five hundred rupees, or to imprisonment of either description for a period not exceeding six months, or to both.

(3) Particulars furnished in pursuance of this section may be used in evidence against the person by whom, or the corporation on behalf of which, they are furnished in any

proceedings under this chapter, and the fact that any person who has been required to furnish particulars in pursuance of this section refuses or neglects to furnish such particulars as it is in his power to give or ascertain, or furnishes particulars which are false in any material respect, shall in any proceedings under section 40 or section 41 be *prima facie* evidence that the person or corporation regarding whom the particulars were required is a prohibited person.

Procedure.

43. The Judges of the Supreme Court may make rules regulating the practice and procedure to be observed in and in relation to all proceedings before the Supreme Court under this chapter, and, pending the making of such rules, such practice and procedure shall be observed as the court may direct.

Power of Governor to extend provisions of this chapter.

44. It shall be lawful for the Governor, by Proclamation published in the "Government Gazette," in any case in which for economic, military, or other public reasons he shall deem it desirable that any immovable property to be sold under this Ordinance and specified in the Proclamation should either permanently or for a defined period be maintained under British control, to declare for the purpose of any such property, and either until further orders or for any such period as shall be from time to time specified by Proclamation, that the expression "prohibited person" in this chapter shall extend to and include—

- (a) Any foreigner; and
- (b) Any corporation under foreign control;

and thereupon the provisions of this chapter shall be construed accordingly, subject to such exceptions or relaxations as may be specified in the Proclamation.

SCHEDULE.

Affidavit by an Individual Buyer.

(Section 39.)

I, _____, of _____, make oath and state as follows (or not being a Christian, do hereby solemnly, sincerely, and truly declare, affirm, and say as follows):—

1. I am a _____ subject, and reside and carry on business at _____, and am not an enemy within the meaning of "The Enemy Firms Liquidation Ordinance, No. 20 of 1916," or a person resident or carrying on business in enemy territory.

2. I further state and declare that there is no arrangement under which I am to hold the property which I am purchasing from the liquidator, or any right, title, or interest therein for or on behalf of or in trust for or for the benefit of or so that it shall in any way come under the control of an enemy within the meaning of "The Enemy Firms Liquidation Ordinance, No. 20 of 1916," or a person resident or carrying on business in enemy territory or a corporation under enemy control, _____,* within the meaning of the said Ordinance, either alone or jointly with another or others.

* In cases in which an order has been made under section 44 add "or a foreigner, or a foreign corporation, or a corporation under foreign control."

Sworn to (or affirmed to, as the case may be) at _____, this _____ day of _____, 191—.

Before me,

Justice of the Peace.

Affidavit on behalf of a Corporation.

I, _____, of _____, the _____ of _____ (hereinafter called "the corporation"), the buyer of _____, (being duly authorized by the corporation to swear this affidavit, make oath (or, as the case may be, not being a Christian, do hereby solemnly, sincerely, and truly declare, affirm, and say as follows) and state as follows:—

1. The corporation is not an enemy within the meaning of "The Enemy Firms Liquidation Ordinance, No. 20 of 1916," or a corporation resident or carrying on business in enemy territory, or a corporation under enemy control _____,* within the meaning of this Ordinance, and to the best of my knowledge there is no arrangement under which the corporation is to hold the said property, or any right, title, or interest therein for or on behalf of or in trust for or for the benefit of or so that it shall in any way come under the control of any enemy within the meaning of "The Enemy Firms Liquidation Ordinance, No. 20 of 1916," or a person resident or carrying on business in any enemy territory or a

* In cases in which an order has been made under section 44 add "or a foreign corporation, or a corporation under foreign control."

corporation under enemy control, ———, * within the meaning of this Ordinance, either alone or jointly with another or others.

Sworn to (or affirmed to, as the case may be) at ———, this ——— day of ———, 191—.

Before me,

Justice of the Peace.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, February 3, 1917.

R. E. STUBBS,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of this Ordinance is to make certain amendments of detail in "The Enemy Firms Liquidation Ordinance, No. 20 of 1916."

2. Sections 2 and 10 provide for the possible necessity of liquidating branches of enemy firms who have carried on business in Ceylon on behalf of head offices in enemy territory.

3. Sections 3 and 5 provide for possible changes in the constitution of firms acting as liquidators, for the addition or substitution of new liquidators, and for the relinquishment of his appointment by a liquidator.

4. Section 4 will enable the Custodian to direct that certain claims for rebates, or return of passage money, by residents of the Colony against certain shipping companies, of which Messrs. Freudenberg & Co. were the agents, and who were in credit with Messrs. Freudenberg & Co., shall be satisfied out of the assets of that firm.

5. Section 6 embodies certain provisions which have been enacted in the corresponding Ordinance in force in the Straits Settlements, which may, in conceivable circumstances, possibly be found useful in this Colony.

6. Section 7 deals with the possible case of property which is mortgaged by an enemy who may now be in Germany or Australia in favour of an enemy firm in course of liquidation. It may not prove practicable to put the mortgage bond in suit owing to the difficulty of serving process, and the clause enables the court to vest the property which is the subject of the mortgage in the liquidator for the purposes of the liquidation.

7. Section 8 supplies a verbal omission in section 18 of the principal Ordinance.

8. Section 9 deals with the case of a firm whose assets in the Colony are not sufficient to discharge its liabilities. It enables the Custodian to vest in the liquidator for the purposes of the liquidation property belonging to the partners of the firm which is not partnership property. It protects at the same time the right of private creditors of the members of the firm who may claim the right to enforce their debts against the property so vested in the liquidator.

9. Section 11 clears up a verbal point which was thought to be obscure in section 35 of the principal Ordinance.

10. Section 12 enacts for the purposes of this Colony a provision which is in force in England under "The Trading with the Enemy (Amendment) Act, 1916." It may be found in the course of the liquidation of an enemy firm that the firm has entered into contracts which are injurious to the public interest. Such contracts may remain in force even after the conclusion of the liquidation. An instance of such a contract might be a contract binding a man for the whole of his life to supply material used in the manufacture of munitions to an enemy firm at an advantageous rate. The new section will enable the Governor in Executive Council to cancel such a contract.

11. The new chapter added by section 13 deals with the question of the sales of immovable property. It prohibits the selling of any immovable property in the liquidations to any enemy or person resident or carrying on business in enemy territory or any corporation under enemy control (section 39). The expression "enemy" by virtue of section 35 includes an enemy company.

12. Further, by section 40 it precludes any such property from becoming effectually vested in any enemy or any person resident or carrying on business in enemy territory or in any corporation under enemy control. Sections 40 and 41 further insure that no immovable property sold in the liquidations shall, either directly or indirectly, come into the ownership or control of any person or company which now bears an enemy character either during the war or after the conclusion of peace. If any person knowingly deals with any of the properties in question contrary to the Ordinance, he becomes liable to a heavy fine (section 40).

13. Any interest acquired by any person bearing an enemy character contrary to the Ordinance becomes liable to forfeiture, as well as any interest remaining in any person who shall have infringed the Ordinance by so dealing with the property (section 41). A proviso allows the court to take into consideration the position of innocent *bona fide* purchasers for value, and persons who have acquired any right or interest in such property under a will or an intestacy.

14. Section 42 empowers the Governor to require particulars of nationality from any person claiming any interest in, or acting in the management, control, or enjoyment of, any such immovable property.

15. In certain cases it may prove desirable that any particular property for economic, military, or other public reasons should not only remain, either permanently or for a defined period, outside the ownership or control of any person who now bears an enemy character, but should also remain under exclusively British control. In such cases section 44 empowers the Governor to make an order extending the provisions above explained so as to put foreigners, foreign corporations, and corporations under foreign control within the category of prohibited persons as defined by section 38.

16. Under "The Enemy Property Ordinance, No. 23 of 1916," it is provided by a recent amendment that the jurisdiction to deal with enemy property under that Ordinance shall be confined to the Supreme Court. Following that precedent the special proceedings referred to in this chapter are also specially committed to the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court, and the Judges of the court are empowered to make rules for the purpose of these proceedings (section 43).

ANTON BERTRAM,
Attorney-General.

January 30, 1917.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the matter of the Last Will and Testament of the late Telgey Harmanis Peiris No. 5,822. of Moratumulla, in Moratuwa, deceased. Sampathawadugey Noiya Fernando of Moratumulla, in Moratuwa Petitioner.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on February 9, 1917, in the presence of Mr. G. M. Silva, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavits (1) of the said petitioner dated January 16, 1917, and (2) of the Notary and one of the attesting witnesses dated February 8, 1917, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of Telgey Harmanis Peiris, deceased, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court be and the same is hereby declared proved, and it is further declared that the petitioner is the executrix named in the said will and that she is entitled to have probate thereof issued to her accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.
February 9, 1917.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Probate Estate of the late Watutantrige Philippu Peiris of Wellawatta, in Colombo, deceased. No. 5,823. Watutantrige Philippu Peiris of Wellawatta, in Colombo Petitioner.

And

(1) Watutantrige Harmanis Peiris, (2) Watutantrige Juliana Peiris, (3) Watutantrige James Peiris, (4) Watutantrige Julis Peiris, (5) Watutantrige Welmina Peiris, all of Wellawatta, Colombo, (6) Watutantrige Sarah Peiris, wife of (7) A. D. Fernando Tillakaratne, both of Walana, in Panadure, (8) Watutantrige Abraham Peiris, (9) Watutantrige Theodoris Peiris, (10) Watutantrige Rosalina Peiris, wife of (11) Vidanelage Hendrick Fernando, (12) Watutantrige Juliana Peiris, wife of (13) Welwage Paul Perera, (14) Watutantrige Albert Peiris, all of Wellawatta, Colombo Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on January 23, 1917, in the presence of Mr. G. M.

Silva, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated January 23, 1917, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as an heir of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

January 23, 1917. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Thomas Colegrave Stafford, of No. C 5,840. 224, Ashley Gardens, Westminster, in the County of Middlesex, England, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on February 7, 1917, in the presence of Mr. Sydney Julius Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Harry Creasy of Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated February 1, 1917, exemplification of probate of the will of the above-named deceased, power of attorney in favour of the petitioner, and Supreme Court's order dated January 24, 1917, having been read: It is ordered that the will of the said Thomas Colegrave Stafford, deceased, dated November 26, 1915, of which an exemplification of probate has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved, and it is further declared that the said petitioner is the attorney of the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration, with copy of the said will annexed, issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

February 7, 1917. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Walter Benson, of Cliff Grange, No. C 5,841. Milner road, West Overcliff Drive, Bournemouth, in the County of Hants, England, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of Colombo, on February 7, 1917, in the presence of Mr. Sydney Julius Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Harry Creasy of Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated February 1, 1917, exemplification of probate of the will of the above-named deceased, power of attorney in favour of the petitioner and Supreme Court's order dated January 24, 1917, having been read: It is ordered that the will of the said Walter Benson, deceased, dated July 16, 1914, of which an exemplification of probate has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved, and it is further declared that the said petitioner is the attorney of the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration, with copy of the said will annexed, issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

February 7, 1917. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament and Codicils of Sir George Augustus Pilkington, of Belle Vue, Southport, in the County of Lancaster, Knight, deceased. No. C 5,842.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Lewis Matthew Maartensz, Esq., Additional District Judge of

Colombo, on February 7, 1917, in the presence of Mr. Sydney Julius Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Harry Creasy of Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated February 1, 1917, exemplification of probate of the will and codicils of the above-named deceased, power of attorney in favour of the petitioner, and Supreme Court's order dated January 24, 1917, having been read: It is ordered that the will of the said George Augustus Pilkington, deceased, dated April 28, 1914, and two codicils thereto dated respectively October 14, 1915, and January 16, 1916 of which an exemplification of probate has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same are hereby declared proved, and it is further declared that the said petitioner is the attorney of the executors named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration, with copies of the said will and codicils annexed issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

February 7, 1917. L. M. MAARTENSZ,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved, &c.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Geekianage Siman Fernando, No. 1,650. deceased, of Ganepola.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before M. S. Sreshta, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on January 29, 1917, in the presence of Messrs. de Silva & Perera, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Geekianage Juan Fernando of Ganepola; and the affidavits (1) of the petitioner and (2) of the attesting witnesses dated January 25, 1917, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Geekianage Simon Fernando of Ganepola, deceased, dated January 1, 1917, and now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless the respondents—(1) Geekianage Johanis Fernando of Kotugoda, (2) Geekianage Lenohamy of Yatiyana, assisted by her husband (3) Pauluge Damianu Fernando of Yatiyana, (4) Geekianage Chalohamy, assisted by her husband (5) Kachchakaduge Siadoris Fernando of Raddolua—shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Geekianage Juan Fernando is one of the executors named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate of the same issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

January 29, 1917. M. S. SRESHTA,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Kaththotarallage Don Raphiel Appuhamy, deceased, of Wewagedera, No. 1,652. Dasiya pattu of the Alutkuru korale.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before M. S. Sreshta, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on January 30, 1917, in the presence of Mr. D. W. Samarathunge, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Kaththotarallage Don Marthel Appuhamy of Wewagedera; and the affidavits (1) of the petitioner, (2) of the attesting witnesses dated January 23, 1917, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Kaththotarallage Don Raphiel Appuhamy of Wewagedera, deceased, dated December 6, 1916, and now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before February 23, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Kaththotarallage Don Marthelis Appuhamy is the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate of the same issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before February 23, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

M. S. SRESHTA,
District Judge.

January 30, 1917.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Walatara-acharige Don Johannes Settina-
No. 1,057. nayaka, late of Kalutara, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on December 22, 1916, in the presence of Mr. E. R. Jayasingha, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Maggie Settina-yaka of Kalutara; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated December 22, 1916, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner Maggie Settina-yaka of Kalutara be and she is hereby declared entitled to administer the estate of the said deceased, as widow of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Annie Settina-yaka, (2) Don Louis Dharmakirti, (3) Percy Sottina-yaka, (4) Shelton Settina-yaka, and (5) Dias Sonnada-ra, all of Kalutara—shall, on or before March 2, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

December 22, 1916.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Kamburawalakankanange Dona Sooti
No. 1,059. Nona Hamine of Udawela, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on January 8, 1917, in the presence of Mr. O. G. D' Alwis, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Karawita Vidanelage Don Peter Appuhamy of Udawela; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated January 8, 1917, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner Karawita Vidanelage Don Peter Appuhamy of Udawela, be and he is hereby declared entitled to administer the estate of the said deceased, as husband of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Karawita Vidanelage Dona Carliyana, (2) ditto Don Joseph, (3) ditto Sema Chandra, (4) Walakada Appuhamillage Don Johannes Appuhamy of Pimbura—shall, on or before January 30, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

January 8, 1917.

The date for showing cause is extended to March 2, 1917.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Loku-
Jurisdiction. kankanange Arnolis Pieris of Alutgama,
No. 1,060. deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on January 9, 1917, in the presence of Messrs. Wijayarathne & Martin, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Andravas Patabendige Ana Anjelina de Vas of Alutgama; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated October 27, 1916, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner Andravas Patabendige Ana Anjelina de Vas of Alutgama be and she is hereby declared entitled to administer the estate of the said

deceased, as widow of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Sarah Maria Peiris, (2) Adaline Charlotte Peiris, (3) Emalia Alexandrina Peiris, (4) Pesina Anjelina Peiris, (5) Richard Henry Peiris—shall, on or before February 26, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Lokukankanange Ernolis Peiris of Alutgama, be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors (1) Sarah Maria Peiris, (2) Adaline Charlotte Peiris, (3) Emalia Alexandrina Peiris, (4) Pesina Anjelina Peiris, (5) Richard Henry Peiris, all of Alutgama, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before February 26, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

January 9, 1917.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Periya Karuppen's son Suppiah Kan-
No. 3,316. gangy, deceased, of Hatala estate,
Wattegama.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Felix Reginald Dias, Esq., District Judge of Kandy, on January 25, 1917, in the presence of Messrs. Weerasooria and Wijenaika, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Ramasamy's daughter Caderai of Hatala estate aforesaid; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated December 7, 1916, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner Ramasamy's daughter Caderai of Hatala estate aforesaid, be and she is hereby declared entitled to letters of administration to the estate of the said deceased, as his widow, unless Suppiah Kangany Sandanam, (2) Suppiah Kangany Kaliamma, (3) Suppiah Kangany Suppiah, (4) Suppiah Kangany Karuppiah, (5) Suppiah Kangany Ukkamani, (6) Suppiah Kangany Pakkiam, all of Hatala estate in Wattegama, by their guardian *ad litem* Kanappan's son Suppiah, shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

FELIX R. DIAS,
District Judge.

Kandy, January 25, 1917.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Getetale Haddawagedera Hospitala,
No. 3,317. deceased, of Government Civil Hospital,
Kandy.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Felix Reginald Dias, Esq., District Judge of Kandy, on January 25, 1917, in the presence of Messrs. Beven & Beven, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Batugodagedera Pasindoo of Pelawa in Yatinuwara; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated December 6, 1916, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner above named be and she is hereby declared entitled to letters of administration to the estate of the said deceased, as his widow, unless—(1) Haddawagedera Kira, (2) Haddawagedera Kiri, (3) Haddawagedera Punchi, (4) Haddawagedera Hapee, (5) Haddawagedera Sundera, (6) Haddawagedera Elhali, (7) Haddawagedera Simon, (8) Haddawagedera Alisa, all of Pelawa in Yatinuwara aforesaid, the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, and 8th, by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent—shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

January 25, 1917.

FELIX R. DIAS,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kandy.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Narangaspitiyagedera Bodia, deceased. No. 3,118. of Malagammana, in Kulugammanasiya pattu of Harispattu.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Felix Reginald Dias, Esq., District Judge of Kandy, on January 25, 1917, in the presence of Messrs. Beven & Beven, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Narangaspitiyagedera Menikee of Malagammana, in Kulugammanasiya pattu of Harispattu; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated December 5, 1916, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner above named be and she is hereby declared entitled to letters of administration to the estate of the said deceased, as his widow, unless—(1) Narangaspitiyagedera Hawadia, (2) Narangaspitiyagedera Hawwa, (3) Narangaspitiyagedera Happee, (4) Narangaspitiyagedera Kiri, (5) Narangaspitiyagedera Ukku, (6) Narangaspitiyagedera Sobanee, the 4th, 5th, and 6th respondents, by their guardian *ad litem* Mularan Hawadiagedera Bodia, all of Malagammana—shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

FELIX R. DIAS,
District Judge.

January 25, 1917.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Aluthwatte Gurunnehelagedera Ahamadoo Lebbe Adam Lebbe of Enderutenne, in Kulugammanasiya pattu of Harispattu. No. 3,326.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Felix Reginald Dias, Esq., District Judge, Kandy, on January 18, 1917, in the presence of Messrs. Halangode & Rambukwelle, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Aluthwatte Gurunnehelagedera Neina Mohammadoo Lebbe of Enderutenne; and the affidavit of Muhandiramalagedera Neina Mohammadoo Lebbe and Gammahelagedera Una Mohammadoo Lebbe, both of Enderutenne, Aluthwatte Gurunnehelagedera Mohammadoo Cassim Lebbe, Atuwagawawattegedera Cassim Lebbe of Yahaletenne, Daladagawattegedera Moona Ana Ali Uduma Lebbe, priest of Enderutenne and Ambagahamulagedera Mawanna Moona Habiboo Lebbe, Vedarala of Akurana, dated January 16, 1917, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Aluthwatte Gurunnehelagedera Ahamadoo Lebbe Adam Lebbe of Enderutenne, deceased, dated October 19, 1916, and now deposited in this court be and the same is hereby declared proved—unless (1) Moona Ana Aliuduma Lebbe, (2) Mimoonatchia, (3) Seiyadoo Mohammadoo Lebbe, (4) Hajara Umma, (5) Maripothu Umma, (6) Pathu Muthu, (7) Noor Mohammadoo, (8) Umma Habiba, (9) Amina Umma, (10) Idroos Lebbe, (11) Moona Abdul Cader—shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said Aluthwatte Gurunnehelagedera Neina Mohammadoo Lebbe of Enderutenne, the petitioner above named, is the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate of the same issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

FELIX R. DIAS,
District Judge.

January 18, 1917.

In the District Court of Nuwara Eliya.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Harry Griffith Layard, deceased, of No. 65. St. Bede's, Nuwara Eliya.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Bertram George de Glanville, Esq., District Judge of Nuwara Eliya, on February 9, 1917, in the presence of Mr. Everard Bartholomeusz, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mary Layard of Nuwara Eliya; and the affidavit of the petitioner

dated February 9, 1917, having been read, and all parties heard:

It is ordered that the petitioner Mary Layard be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, as the wife of the deceased, unless any person or persons shall, on or before February 19, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

B. G. DE GLANVILLE,
District Judge.
February 13, 1917.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Odiris Vendabona, late of Bentota. No. 4,700.

Hettiarachchige Elpinona de Alwis of Bentota.. Petitioner.
Vs.

(1) Eston Vendabona, (2) Leeson Vendabona, both of Bentota Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before L. W. C. Schrader, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on November 30, 1916, in the presence of Mr. N. de Alwis, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated November 4, 1916, having been read:

It is ordered that the 1st respondent be appointed as guardian *ad litem* over the 2nd respondent, and that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to the petitioner, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before January 18, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

L. W. C. SCHRADER,
District Judge.
Galle, November 30, 1916.

Since extended to March 1, 1917.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Habibu Marikar Nafitha Umma, deceased. No. 4,701. of Ossanangoda.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before L. W. C. Schrader, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on January 20, 1917, in the presence of Mr. D. G. Goonewardene, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Uduma Lebbe Markar Abdul Cader of Gintota; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated December 2, 1916, having been read: It is ordered and declared that the said Uduma Lebbe Markar Abdul Cader is the husband of the said deceased and that he is as such entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Abdul Cader Sahido Umma, (2) Habibo Markar Rahim, wife of (3) Cassim Lebbe Markar Ahamad Lebbe Markar, (4) Habibo Markar Raviath Umma, wife of (5) Cassim Lebbe Markar Sultan Markar, all of Ossanangoda—shall, on or before February 20, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

L. W. C. SCHRADER,
District Judge.
January 20, 1917.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Sinnakkuddy Ponnampalamathapillai, deceased. No. 3,347. Chunnakam.

Vaitiyanather Arumugam of Chunnakam Petitioner.
Vs.

(1) Kuddippillai, widow of Ponnampalamathapillai, (2) Ponnampalamathapillai Ponnuthurai, (3) Ponnampalamathapillai Maniccam, the 2nd and 3rd respondents minors, by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Vaitiyanather Arumugam of Chunnakam, praying for letters of administration to the

estate of the above-named deceased Sinnakkuddy Ponnampalamathapillai, coming on for disposal before P. E. Pieris, Esq., District Judge, on January 25, 1917, in the presence of Mr. T. Kumaraswamy, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated December 14, 1916, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as father-in-law of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person shall, on or before February 20, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

January 30, 1917.

P. E. PIERIS,
District Judge

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Sivagamamma, widow of Mailvaganam
No. 3,282. of Nallore, deceased.
Class II.

Paruvathaledchumi, widow of Suppiramaniam
of Nallore Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Muttutamby Sevvanthunatar and wife (2) Saththapama of Colombo, (3) Murugesar Kanagaretnam of ditto and wife (4) Nagaretnam of Nallore, (5) Suppiramaniam Mailvaganam of ditto, (6) Mahaledchumi, daughter of Suppiramaniam of ditto, (7) Sakunthala-amma, daughter of Suppiramaniam of ditto. The 5th, 6th and 7th respondents are minors by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Paruvathaledchumi, widow of Suppiramaniam of Nallore, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, Sivagamamma, widow of Mailvaganam, coming on for disposal before P. E. Pieris, Esq., District Judge, on January 22, 1917, in the presence of Mr. E. Murugesam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated July 22, 1916, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the daughter-in-law of the intestate and mother of the heirs of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person shall, on or before February 22, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

February 3, 1917.

P. E. PIERIS,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna
Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Rasamany, wife of Arunasalam Chettiar
No. 3,346. Muthu Kanapathi Chettiar of Vannar-
ponnai, deceased.

Muttu Kanapathi Chettiar Arunugam Chettiar
of Vannarponnai Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Arunasalam Chettiar Muttu Kanapathy
Chettiar ditto. (2) Nallasevan Chettiar Subra-
mania Chettiar. and (3) wife, Sovahamy Thai of
ditto Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Rasamany, wife of Muttu Kanapathy Chettiar, coming on for disposal before P. E. Pieris, Esq., District Judge, on January 8, 1917, in the presence of Mr. K. Arulambalam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated November 23, 1916, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the only heir of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person shall, on or before February 20, 1917, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

January 23, 1917.

P. E. PIERIS,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

Order Nisi.

No. 670. In the Matter of the Estate of
Warigama Abayasiriwardena Alahakoon
Mudiyanseelaye Punchinikie of Uda-
kada, deceased.

Eugin Norman Elapata of Udakada Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Beatrice Charlotte Elapata, (2) George Pirin
Elapata, (3) Austin Walter Elapata, (4) Roland
Dunston Elapata, (5) Peter Elapata, (6) Mary
Elapata, (7) Grace Elapata, all of Ratna-
pura Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before E. T. Hughes, Esq., District Judge, Ratnapura, on November 9, 1916, the affidavit having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as husband of the deceased above-named, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless sufficient cause be shown to the contrary on December 20, 1916, by the above-named respondents or any other person interested.

November 9, 1916.

E. T. HUGHES,
District Judge.

Extended and re-issued for February 19, 1917.

E. T. HUGHES,
District Judge.

NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,094. In the matter of the insolvency of Antony
Siluvai de Croos of No. 1, Brassfounder
street, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors
of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting

of this court on March 15, 1917, for the declaration of a
dividend.

By order of court,

Colombo, February 12, 1917.

D. M. JANSZ,
Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,778. In the matter of the insolvency of L. S. A.
 floor of Prince's Gate, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on February 22, 1917, for the appointment of an assignee.

By order of court,

D. M. JANSZ,
 Secretary.

Colombo, February 8, 1917.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,782. In the matter of the insolvency of Aduma
 Misk. Sawa of No. 18, Pichaud lane,
 Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on March 1, 1917, for proof of the claim of V. P. L. V. Annamalay Chetty of Sea street, Colombo.

By order of court,

D. M. JANSZ,
 Secretary.

Colombo, February 12, 1917.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

No. 152. In the matter of the insolvency of Geekiyanage
 Charles de Silva Gunawardene of Pohaddaramulla.

NOTICE is hereby given that the sitting of this court in the above matter is adjourned to February 20, 1917, for assignee's report.

By order of court,

R. MALALGODA,
 Secretary.

Kalutara, February 6, 1917.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 429. In the matter of the insolvency of Edinadura
 Podisingho de Silva of Dadalla.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on February 26, 1917, for the final examination of the insolvent.

By order of court,

V. R. MOLDRICH,
 Secretary.

February 7, 1917.

NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

Western Province.

In the District Court of Negombo.

The Attorney-General of Ceylon Plaintiff.
 No. 11,394. Vs.

Dekirikewage Don Paul of Bopitiya, Pamunugama, in the District of Negombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, March 12, 1917, at 3 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by mortgage bond dated October 19, 1914, and attested by the Government Agent, Western Province, Notary Public, and decreed and ordered to be sold by the order of court dated January 12, 1917, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 59,824.30 with interest on Rs. 59,905 at 9 and 6 per cent. per annum from May 1, 1916, till payment in full and costs of suit, Rs. 107.33, viz. :-

All that and those the estate plantations and premises called and known as Weliamuna comprising all those four contiguous gardens called Mandaluwa, Gorakagahawatta, Pihimbugahawatta, and Kahatagahawatta alias Kahatagahaowita, situated at Welimuna within the village Hendala, in the Ragam pattu of the Alutkuru korale, in the District of Colombo; bounded on the north by the new high road, east by another road, gardens of Amarasin Aratchige Johanis Perera and part of the plantations of Vitanage Don Philippu Appoo, but now belonging to Halahapperumage Pedru Fonseka and others, south by the garden of the late Kuruwe Mudaliyar, garden of Amarasin Aratchige Juanis Perera and of the late second Maha Mudaliyar, and on the west by the garden of Solomon Fonseka and Carolis Perera, but now belonging to Abilinu Fonseka Gunasekara Mellawa Aratchige Bastian Appoo and others; containing in extent 26 acres 2 roods and 30 18/100 perches, together with all the buildings thereon and appurtenances thereto.

Fiscal's Office,
 Colombo, February 13, 1917.

W. DE LIVERA,
 Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

A. P. R. K. N. Waduganathen Chetty of Kandy .. Plaintiff.
 No. 25,078. Vs.

(1) V. P. Nathan of Colombo, (2) J. S. Fernando,
 Kandy Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 10, 1917, at 1.30 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction near the Bristol buildings, Fort, Colombo, in the following immoveable property, for the recovery of the sum

of Rs. 795 with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from November 22, 1916, till payment and poundage and less Rs. 11.01 recovered by sale, viz. :-

Motor car G 61.

Fiscal's Office,
 Colombo, February 13, 1917.

W. DE LIVERA,
 Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Samsu Lebbe Abdul Hamid of St. Joseph's street,
 Grandpass, in Colombo Plaintiff
 No. 26,972. Vs.

Seku Madar Saibu Abdul Hamido of No. 28,
 Forbes road, Maradana, Colombo Defendant

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 10 1917, at 2.30 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at No. 37, Prince street, Colombo, in the following property, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,250 with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from June 27 1908, till payment in full and costs of suit, viz. :-

1 round chair, 2 bentwood chairs, 10 large mirrors, 4 small mirrors, 1 lot crystal glasses, 5 wall lamps, 1 hanging lamp, 1 lot glassware, 1 lot flower pots, 1 harmonium, 13 bats, 11 frames, 1 lot shoes, 1 lot boots, 1 lot hats, 1 lot silk handkerchiefs, 8 pieces clothes, 6 glass admirahs, 1 lot chimneys, 14 matches, 1 lot tennis rackets, 1 lot boxes collars, 3 boxes straw hats, 3 boxes hats, 1 lot panca pulleys, 7 boxes lady's bags, 2 boxes lady's hats, 11 tea pots, 1 lot toys, 20 watch chains, 1 lot English leather shoes, 1 lot belts, 1 lot boxes buttons, 4 cards with watch chains, 3 cards with hand belts, 3 time pieces, 2 cards pencils, 1 lot lace, 8 tooth brushes, 1 lot sample goods, 7 banians, 5 shirts, 1 long table, 7 silk shawls, 1 lot stockings, 10 hats, 4 show cases, 20 food dishes, 1 sauce pan, 24 boxes soap, 3 trays, 2 pieces Cannanore, 9 hats, 1 lot boxes shoes, 1 lot tumblers, 1 lot whips, 1 lot remaining shop goods.

Fiscal's Office,
 Colombo, February 13, 1917.

W. DE LIVERA,
 Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

N. L. S. A. Muttiah Chetty of Sea street, Colombo. Plaintiff.
 No. 37,003. Vs.

S. L. M. Mahamood Hadjar of Bambalapitiya,
 Colombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, March 15, 1917, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of the sum of

Rs. 10,177.75, with interest on Rs. 10,000 at 9 per cent. per annum from August 22, 1913, till payment in full, viz. :—

At 2 P.M.

(1) All that part of the garden called Juaniawatta, with the buildings standing thereon formerly called Hengalo, now called Royton, situated at Bambalapitiya or Wellawatta, within the Municipality of Colombo, in the District of Colombo, Western Province; bounded on the north by the part marked No. 24, on the east by a small road, on the south by the other allotment bequeathed to Semboge Don Madalena Fonseka, and on the west by the seashore and railway line; containing in extent 3 roods and 34 85/100 square perches, according to the figure of survey No. 245 dated November 25, 1873, and made by Jonathan A. Gauder, Land Surveyor, but excluding the two portions taken by the Crown for railway purposes, to wit, 14 perches and 2 perches, respectively.

(2) All that portion or allotment of land marked No. 18 of the garden called Jocinuawatta, with the buildings standing thereon, situated and lying at Wellawatta aforesaid; bounded on the north by the other part or allotment No. 21, on the east by the road leading from Galle to Colombo, on the south by the other part or allotment No. 17, bequeathed by the will of Semboge Don Joseph Fonseka and his wife Adambarage Johana Alwis unto Dona Isabella Fonseka, and on the west by a small road; containing in extent 1 acre and 3 perches and 3/5 of a perch according to the survey plan No. 55, bearing date February 12, 1825, duly authenticated by Geralterus Schneider, Esq., Land Surveyor-General, but according to the survey plan No. 244 dated November 25, 1873, made by Jonathan A. Gauder, Surveyor; containing in extent 1 acre 23 perches and 16 1/100 of a perch.

(3) All that eastern portion of the land called Lilian, situated at Wellawatta, within the Municipality and District of Colombo; bounded on the north by the property of John de Fransz and called the Retreat, on the east by the high road, on the south by the property of Semboge Joseph Fonseka, now belonging to Miss Schokman and widow Mrs. Toussaint, and on the west by the other part of this garden sold to Malwattege Louis Peiris; containing in extent 1 rood and 4 80/100 square perches, as shown in the plan dated November 26, 1892, made by C. H. Schwallie, but according to the Fiscal's conveyance No. 9,297, dated February 8, 1909; containing in extent 1 rood and 2 60/100 square perches.

(4) All those two portions of the garden called Juaniawatta, with the buildings thereon, situated at Bambalapitiya, within the Municipality and District of Colombo aforesaid, and adjoin each other and now forming one property, to wit :—

(a) All that portion of the garden called Juaniawatta; bounded on the north by the property of M. S. J. de Heer, on the east by the property of Mrs. Toussaint, on the south by the garden of Semboge Joseph Fonseka, and on the west by the property belonging to Agnes Louisa Gratiaen; and containing in extent 1 rood and 5 perches according to the figure of survey thereof dated March 13, 1896, and made by Charles Schwallie, Licensed and Registered Surveyor.

(b) All that portion of the garden called Juaniawatta and shaded pink in the plan thereof; bounded on the north by the property of John de Fransz, now called the Retreat, on the east by the other portion belonging to S. de Heer, on the south by the property of Semboge Fonseka, and now of Miss Schokman, and on the west by the other part of this garden now belonging to Miss Schokman; containing in extent 1 rood and 2 square perches and 40/100 of a perch, according to the plan and survey thereof dated October 11, 1897, and made by the said Charles Schwallie, Registered Licensed Surveyor.

At 4 P.M.

(5) All that house and ground, situated to the west of the 2nd Cross street, within the Municipality of Colombo aforesaid; bounded on the north by the house of Constantina, a silversmith (presently belonging to Unnus Lebbe), on the east by the outer verandah and the 2nd Cross street, 35 feet wide, and on the south and west by the property of Mrs. Huybertz, deceased (now No. 62, belonging to Aroo Lebbe); containing in extent 6 84/100 square perches, according to the figure of survey thereof No. 47,461, dated February 14, 1844, made by C. H. Schwallie, Surveyor.

At 4.30 P.M.

(6) All that house and ground situated at Hospital street, in the Fort of Colombo; bounded on the north by the house of Dr. Whitefiel and Mr. Ebell, on the east by the house of Paulus Perera, on the south by Hospital street, and on the west by the house of Jacobus Janse; containing in extent 6 perches and 77/100 of a perch according to the figure of survey thereof No. 30,555, dated April 30, 1827, authenticated by G. Schneider, Surveyor-General, together with all the right, title, interest, claim and demand of the said defendant.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, February 13, 1917.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Colombo.

W. William Pedris of Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 47,379. Vs.
R. Oscar Seneviratna of Darley road, Colombo. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, March 13, 1917, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 110.84 with legal interest thereon from October 26, 1915, till payment in full and costs of suit, Rs. 24.75, viz. :—

All that land called Vellun Mubandiram's land, alias Diulgahawatta, situated at Veyangoda, in the Medapattu of Siyane korale about 500 yards from the Railway Station; and bounded on the north by the high road leading to Negombo, on the east by the land belonging to the late Nuwarapassapedige Sinna, on the south by the lands belonging to the late Nuwarapassapedige Sinna and others, and on the west by lands belonging to Weerasinghapedige Sundua and others; and containing in extent 1 1/2 acres more or less together with the buildings standing thereon.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, February 13, 1917.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Negombo.

Kodikara Aratchige Francisco Perera of Tudela Plaintiff.
No. 24,092. Vs.
Senapathige Gabriel Rodrigo of Kanuwana. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on March 10, 1917, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

(1) An undivided 1/3 share of the land called Jaelawatta, Ambagahawatta, and Kongahawatta forming one block, situate at Kanuwana, in Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale; the entire land being bounded on the north by land of Katuwalage Santiago Peiris and others, east by land of Philippu Rodrigo and others, south by land of the deceased, N. Francisco Perera Annavi, and on the west by land of Gabriel Silva and others; containing in extent about 1 acre and the tiled house standing thereon.

(2) An undivided 1/3 share of the lands called Ja-ela, Ambagahawatta, and Kongahawatta forming one block, situate at ditto; the entire land being bounded on the north by land of Santiago Peris and others, east by land of Philippu Rodrigo and others, south by land of N. Francisco Perera Annavi, deceased, and west by land of L. Gabriel de Silva and others; containing in extent about 1 acre.

(3) An undivided 1/3 share of the above-mentioned land called Jaelawatta, Ambagahawatta, and Kongahawatta, situate at ditto; the entire land being bounded on the north by land of K. Santiago Peries and others, east by land of Senapathige Lucia Rodrigo, south by land of Francisco Annavi and others, and on the west by land of L. Gabriel de Silva; containing in extent about 2 acres.

Amount to be levied Rs. 256.54 with further interest on Rs. 50 at 12 per cent. per annum and on Rs. 150 at 18 per cent. per annum from July 10, 1916, to July 21, 1916, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum till payment.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Negombo, February 13, 1917.

FRED. G. HEPPOSTALL,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Comitige Mary Perera, executrix of the last will and testament of Waduge Joseph Perera of Kalamulla Plaintiff.
No. 6,463. Vs.

(1) Wedige Themanis Fernando of Nalluruwa.. Defendant.
(2) Louis Hennedige Helena Fernando of Nalluruwa Added defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 10, 1917, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the defendant's residence at Nalluruwa the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 591.12, with further damages at the rate of Rs. 90 per annum from September 6, 1915, till restoration of possession, viz. :—

12 bentwood chairs, 6 satinwood chairs, 1 jakwood writing table with pigeon hole desk, 1 jakwood table with drawers, 1 jakwood small almirah, 4 nadunwood chairs, 1 jakwood couch, 1 jakwood small table with drawers, 1 jakwood round table, 2 teakwood teapoyes, 1 satinwood lounge, 1 jakwood lounge, 1 jakwood bench with back.

At the premises.

The soil and trees and all the buildings standing thereon of a portion of the land called Mahawatta, situate at Nalluruwa, in Thalpitibadda of Panadura totamune; and bounded on the north by the portion belonging to Wedige Romanis Fernando, on the east by the Colombo-Galle road, on the south by Galawetimodarawatta, and on the west by Pokunewatta and Pansalawatta; and containing in extent about 2 roods.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, February 13, 1917. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

W. A. P. Fernando of Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 41,200. Vs.

Mahabaduge Anthony Fernando of Kalamulla, in Kalutara District Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, March 13, 1917, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 552.73, with legal interest on Rs. 436.48 at 9 per cent. per annum from March 18, 1915, till payment in full, viz. :—

(1) The soil trees and buildings standing thereon of a portion of Mawatabodawatta, situate at Kalamulla, in Kalutara badda; and bounded on the north by the road leading to church, on the east by the high road, on the south by a portion of Mawatabodawatta and Kuliawatta *alias* Barannaidegawatta, and on the west by Pitawatta *alias* Iskolawatta; and containing in extent about 2 roods.

(2) Undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ share of the soil and of the trees standing thereon of a portion of the land called Donigewatta, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Kadurugahawatta, where in Marsal Cooray resides, on the east by the land owned and possessed by Don Siman and others, on the south by a portion of this land, and on the west by the portion of this land owned and possessed by Girigoris Silva and others; and containing in extent about $\frac{1}{2}$ an acre.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, February 13, 1917. Deputy Fiscal.

Central Province.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Muwanna Nagalingam Pulle of No. 28, Trincomalee street, Kandy Plaintiff.
No. 24,291. Vs.

Rankira of Hewahettegedera Thumbala village, care of Superintendent, Erin estate, Galaha, (2) G. Silva, late of Thumpalawaka and presently a convicted prisoner in Jaffna jail Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, March 20, 1917, will be sold by public auction at the respective

premises the right, title, and interest of the said first defendant in the following property, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 190.75, with interest thereon at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum from March 8, 1916, till payment in full and poundage, viz. :—

At 10 A.M.

1. The western 2 pelas paddy sowing out of the land called Dewalehena, situate at Thumpelawaka in Kandukara Pahala korale of Uda palata, Kandy District, Central Province; bounded on the east by limit of the remaining portion of this land, south by limit of Dewalekele, west by limit of Dingiriya's chena and the road to Dewale, and on the north by the Malakandura of the chena belonging to Vidane Dewaya.

At 11 A.M.

2. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that land called Etambagahamulahena of 3 amunams paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Tumpelawaka aforesaid; and which said entire land is bounded on the east by the milila tree of Rankira's chena, south by Mala-ela of Pulinguwa Yakadura's chena, west and north by the limit of Mr. Cayley's estate.

At 12 NOON.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that field called Hewahettegederakumbura of 12 lahas paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Tumpelawaka aforesaid; and which said entire land is bounded on the east by limit of Rankira's field, south by ela, west by limit of Vidane Dewaya's field, and on the north by limit of Kira's field.

At 1 P.M.

4. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share out of an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ of and in all that land called Aladeniyewattehena of one yelamunam paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Tumpelawaka aforesaid; and which said entire land is bounded on the east by the Malakandura of Ran Kira's chena, south by the ditch of Pansalekumbura and above the grlena, west by above the rukattanagaha of Hewahettegederahena, and on the north by Muduneeheeriya.

And the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in and to the unexpired portion of the term of lease granted by the indenture of lease No. 10,635 dated January 8, 1912, in the following lands :—

At 2 P.M.

5. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that portion in extent one amunam paddy sowing out of the land called Halgollehena, situate at Tumpelawaka aforesaid; which said portion is bounded on the east by heeriya tree on Alwis Bass' garden, south by below the road, west by the ditch of Ukkuwa Dureya's chena, and north by oya.

At 3 P.M.

6. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that southern portion in extent 2 pelas paddy sowing out of the land called Halgollehena of one amunam and 5 lahas paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Tumpelawaka aforesaid; which said southern portion is bounded on the east by the Kumbureagala, south by 6 feet road, west by Malakandura and the ditch of Ukkuwa's chena, and north by the remaining portion of this land.

At 4 P.M.

7. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that southern portion in extent 2 peals paddy sowing out of the land called Halgollehena of one amunam and five lahas paddy sowing in extent in the whole, situate at Tumpelawaka aforesaid; which said southern portion is bounded on the east by the Kumbureagala, south by 6 feet road, west by Malakandura and the ditch of Ukkuwa's chena, and north by the remaining portion of this land.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, February 13, 1917.

A. V. WOUTERSZ,
Deputy Fiscal.

Southern Province.

In the District Court of Galle.

(1) Garumuni Andris de Soysa, (2) ditto Francis de Soysa, and (3) Agampodi Daino de Soysa, all of Kosgoda..... Plaintiffs.

No. 11,110.

Vs.

Tirimadura Edrick Mendis of Kosgoda..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, March 26, 1917, at 12 o'clock in the noon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said 1st defendant in the following property, viz. :—

Lots 1 and 2 adjoining each other of the land called Katuwilakurunduwatta, situate at Kosgoda; and bounded on the north by lots Nos. 3 and 4 of the said land, east by land said to belong to Hendrick de Silva Kulatilaka, late Vidane Arachehi, land said to belong to Davith de Silva Weerasuriya and Kokunnamanana, on the south by land said to belong to Barlis, Fiscal's Arachehi, and on the west by land said to belong to Tirimadura Suwaneris Soysa and another and land said to belong to Wijemuni Carolis de Soysa; containing in extent 9 acres 3 roods and 17 perches. Writ amount Rs. 480.10.

Fiscal's Office,
Galle, February 12, 1917.

J. A. LOURENSZ,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Galle.

W. P. Baron de Silva of Gonapinuwa..... Plaintiff.

No. 12,355.

Vs.

S. A. Wijewickreme..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 10, 1917, at 3 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said plaintiff in the following property, viz. :—

The entire soil and soil share trees of Kaluwagahawatta, containing in extent 6 acres, situate at Gonapinuwa; and bounded on north by cart road leading to Majuwana and K. W. P. Bastian de Silva Padinchi-idama, east by wall of Guruge Kovis Padinchi-idama, south by K. P. Simon Silvata-aiti-idama, and on the west by Ambagahawatta *alias* Guruge Endolapadinchi-idama. Writ amount. Rs. 131.80.

Fiscal's Office,
Galle, February 9, 1917.

J. A. LOURENSZ,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

Simon de Silva Weerasooriya of Polatumodara.... Plaintiff.

No. 7,123.

Vs.

Seekkubaduge Pedris Appu of Mirissa..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 24, 1917, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of Rs. 2,402.77 with legal interest from April 5, 1916, till payment in full and Fiscal's charges, viz. :—

All that land called Thewarahnedigewatta at Mirissa, in the Weligam korale of Matara; and bounded on the north by Kajjugahawatta and Karagahakoratuwa, south by road, west by Bakmegahakoratuwa and Kettagahakoratuwa, and on the east by Etambagahakoratuwa, in extent 37.24 perches and marked letter A in plan in D. C. 5,214, with all the buildings thereon. Valued at Rs. 1,750.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, C. W. K. JAYWARDANA,
Matara, February 12, 1917. Deputy Fiscal.

Eastern Province.

In the District Court of Batticaloa.

Lionel Frederick Tissaveerasinghe, administrator of the estate of the late J. N. Tissaveerasinghe of Batticaloa..... Plaintiff.

No. 4,141.

Vs.

(1) Meera Ussenlevvaipodiari, Division Officer, (dead) (2) Mustapalovvaipody of Saintamadur, P. H. D. O., (3) Mustapalevvaipody Muhamadu Majeed of Saintamadur, executor of the last will and testament of the deceased..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 17, 1917, commencing at 9 o'clock in the morning will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following properties, viz. :—

1. The land called Pooranpurikkadu, lot No. 5302, situated at Sammanturai, in Sammanturai pattu, with everything belonging thereto; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 90,372, east and south by land reserved along Kurunetkangiaru, and west by land described in plan No. 180,728, in extent 10 acres 1 rood and 27 perches.

2. The land called Manchakonikadu bearing lot No. 4219 and its rights; bounded on the north by lot No. 1,558 appearing in preliminary plan No. 1,558, east by piece of land lot No. 4220 appearing in preliminary plan No. 1,558, south by reservation along the Kurunetkangiaruneerodai and a piece of land lot No. 4225 appearing in preliminary plan No. 1,558, and west by piece of land lot No. 4218 appearing in preliminary plan No. 1,558 and land described in plan No. 235,843; containing in extent 10 acres 1 rood and 14 perches.

Commencing at 2 P.M.

3. The land called Poorankurikadu lot No. 1823, with everything belonging thereto, situated at Mavadihalli in Karavaku pattu, Batticaloa District, Eastern Province; bounded on the north by land appearing in plan No. 175,743 and land claimed by the villagers, east by land claimed by the villagers and Karakollavaikkal, south by land described in plan No. 180,719, and west by land reserved on the border of Kurunetkangiaru, in extent 29 acres 1 rood and 38 perches, of which an undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ share.

4. An undivided $\frac{2}{14}$ share in the land called Pulivaddavanveli, with inlet, outlet, and all the rights belonging thereto, situated at Kudakarai Kandam, in Karavaku pattu; bounded on the north by Kurukalveli belonging to Athamlevvai Alim and others and lane, east by Madathuvalavu and main road, south by low land and limits of Kanyvayal, and west by Kunchankaniodai and Kurukalvelivaddavan, in extent 28 acres 2 roods and 20 perches.

Judgment Rs. 1,211.54, with interest on Rs. 1,046.67 at 9 per cent. per annum from August 17, 1916, till payment.

Fiscal's Office,
Batticaloa, February 8, 1917.

S. O. CANAGARATNAM,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Batticaloa.

Kasinader Seenitamby of Arapattai..... Plaintiff.

(1) Aliar Kalimathumma and (2) Kamnalevvai Seenimulamadulevvai, both of Kattancudy..... Substituted plaintiffs.

No. 4,234.

Vs.

(1) Imansaibo Muhamadalevvai, (2) Imansaibo Muhamadu Ibrahim of Kattancudy..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, March 15, 1917, at 9 o'clock in the morning, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

1. The western $\frac{1}{2}$ share out of two gardens forming one block, situated at Kattancudy, in Mamunai pattu, Batticaloa, Eastern Province; and bounded on the north by the dowry garden of Abuvakker and lane, south by the dowry garden of Karuthelevvai and garden of Ibrahim, east by the other share of his garden, and west by road; containing in extent from north to south 8 fathoms and 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ cubit, and from east to west 8 fathoms and 1 cubit, with well, produce, and rights.

Saturday, March 17, 1917, at 10 A.M.

2. A piece of paddy land on the north-western side out of a paddy field of the extent of 38 acres and 19 perches, situated at Marattanputty, in Sammanturai pattu, Batticaloa, Eastern Province; and bounded on the north by the property of V. V. Avakkerpody, Arachchi, and others, south by the other share of this land belonging to E. Abdul Rahimalevvai, east by the other share of the land belonging to Muhamadulevvai, and on the west by the land described in plan Nos. 51,830 and 51,841; containing in extent 6 acres 3 roods and 28 perches; the whole of this land, with the out let, inlet, and rights.

Judgment Rs. 1,094.56, with interest on Rs. 870.06 at 9 per cent. per annum from April 26, 1916, till payment.

Fiscal's Office, S. O. CANAGARATNAM,
Batticaloa February 6, 1917. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Batticaloa.

Lionel Frederick Tissaveerasinghe, administrator
of the estate of the late J. N. Tissaveerasinghe. Plaintiff.

No. 4,270. Vs.

Kanapathipillai Eliatamby, Notary, of Eraur... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 24, 1917, commencing at 9 o'clock in the morning, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following properties, viz. :—

1. The land lot No. 1937 called Kudiyiruppuvempu, situate at Arumugattankudyiruppu, with all its rights and appurtenances; and the said land is bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 175,192, the land reserved for road, and Crown land called Palamanikayadipoomi, east by Crown land called Kudiyiruppuvempu, south by the land belonging to the defendant and others, and west by the land reserved for road; and containing in extent 6 acres.

2. A garden which is formed by two pieces of land, one towards the east and the other in the middle of the eastern half share of the land lot No. 6589, situated at Arumugattankudy, with all its rights and appurtenances; and the said garden is bounded on the east by lane, west by the other portion of this belongs to Kathiripillai, on the north by the garden of the defendant, south by small lane; and containing in extent from east to west 44 fathoms and from north to south 39 fathoms.

3. The western portion of the land appearing in assessment register under No. 1,503, and which is on the southern side of the road passing through the garden formed by the eastern portion of the western share, and by the eastern share of the garden called Thandiadivalavu, situate at Goldsmith's street in Eraur, with all its rights and appurtenances; and the said western portion is bounded on the north by road, east by the garden of Noohooltamby and others, south by the garden of Ramattai, and west by the land belonging to Marimuttupopalapillai and others; and containing in extent on the eastern side 8½ fathoms, on the western side 12½ fathoms, on the northern side 14½ fathoms, and southern side 11 fathoms.

Judgment Rs. 936.91 with interest on Rs. 778.91 at 9 per cent. per annum from March 6, 1916, till payment.

Fiscal's Office, S. O. CANAGARATNAM,
Batticaloa, February 7, 1917. Deputy Fiscal.

North-Western Province.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Kuna Mana Muna Arunasalem Chetty, by attorney
Suna Pana Arumugam Pulle of Narammala... Plaintiff.

No. 6,192. Vs.

(1) Galolu Mudiyanse Lage Hatanhamy, Registrar of Karangomuwa, (2) Herat Mudiyanse Lage Kiri Banda, Gan-Arachchi, (3) ditto Kirihamy, Gan-Arachchi, both of Potuwewa in Meddeketiya korale... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 17, 1917, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the

said defendants in the following property, mortgaged by bond No. 1,672, dated August 26, 1915, and attested by M. V. P. Dharmaratna, Notary Public, viz. :—

1. An undivided ¾ share of Webodakumbura of about one amunam paddy sowing; and bounded on the east by the bund of the tank, on the south by the limitary ridge of the field of Ukku Banda and others, on the west by the limit of the stream (channel) Elaniyara, and on the north also by the limit of the channel.

2. An undivided ¾ share of Dambagahakumbura of about one amunam paddy sowing extent; and its adjoining Godakumbura of about 2 pelas paddy sowing extent; and bounded on the north by Welroda, on the east by the field of Banda, on the south by the village limit of Dalupota, and on the west by the field of Kiri Menika and by field of Mudalihamy.

3. An undivided ½ share of Meegahamulawatta of about one timba kurakkan sowing; and bounded on the north by the chena of Mudiyanse and garden of Ranahenyaya, on the east by dewata, on the south by the fence of the field, and on the west by the chena of Silinduhamy, all situate at Karangomuwa, in Meddeketiya korale.

4. An undivided ½ share of Anukkanewatta of about 2 lahas kurakkan sowing extent; and bounded on the north by the field of Herathamy, on the east by the garden of Banda, on the south by the field of Punchappuhamy and others, and on the west by the field of the detor, situate at Kurakkanhenegedara.

Amount to be levied Rs. 1,066.66, with interest on Rs. 1,000 at 20 cents per Rs. 10 per mensem from August 14, 1916, to November 13, 1916, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full.

Fiscal's Office, S. D. SAMARASINGHE,
Kurunegala, February 12, 1917. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Kuna Mana Muna Arunasalem Chetty, by his
attorney Suna Pana Arumugam Pulle of Naram-
mala... Plaintiff.

No. 6,257. Vs.

Victor Emmanuel Perera Pulle of Metiyangana,
in Dambadeni Udukaha korale west... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 17, 1917, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

Metiyaganewatta *alias* Minikukulewatta of 18 acres in extent, situate at Minikukula; and bounded on the north by the high road from Giriulla to Kurunegala and the allotments of lands belonging to Rosa Maria Muttu Pulle and others, on the east by the allotment of land belonging to Rosa Maria Muttu Pulle and others, on the south by the allotment of land bearing the mark B belonging to Rosa Maria Muttu Pulle and others, and on the west by the Crown mookalana.

Amount to be levied Rs. 616.64, with interest on Rs. 300 at 30 per cent. per annum from June 16, 1916, to December 22, 1916, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full.

Fiscal's Office, S. D. SAMARASINGHE,
Kurunegala, February 13, 1917. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

K. R. P. L. Muttiah Chetty of Sea street, Colombo. Plaintiff.

No. 40,052. Vs.

S. M. K. N. Hadjiar Sheik Salath Lebbe of Bank-
shall street, Colombo, presently of Kurune-
gala... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 24, 1917, commencing at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold

by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property viz. :—

All that and those the coconut estate, plantations, and premises called and known as Walakumburamulla, comprising the following allotments of land, to wit :—

1. All that allotment of land called and known as Walakumburamullawatta, situate and lying in the villages Walakumburamulla, Iriyagolla, Weralugama, Paragoda-mulla, Thalahitimulla, Kamburugoda, and Minuwangomuwa, in Katugampola and Meda pattu korales, in the District of Kurunegala, North-Western Province, of the Island of Ceylon; the said land being bounded on the north by the lands of Bandihamy, Luanis Fernando, Punchy Rala, Arachchi, Crown land, a road by the lands of Hettihamy, Kiria, Guruwa, Uduma Lebbe, and a cart road, on the east by lands of Singappu, Arachchi, C. H. de Soysa, Andihamy, Gumarathenny, Appuhamy, Muna Kawanna Neyna Segu Mohamado, Appuhamy, Kusalhamy, Hethuhamy, and Santhuhamy, N. Mirando, Manelhamy, Vedarala, and Pinhamy, Kapurala, on the south by lands of Appu Singho, Appuhamy, Generuthamy, and Muna Kawanna Neyna Segu Mohamado, on the south-west by lands of Simon Fernando, Gamarala, Ranghamy, Mudalihamy, Arachchi, Menikrala, and Domingo Annavy; containing in extent 583 acres 1 rood and 18 perches according to the survey dated October 5, 1896, and November, 1898, made by A. S. Kirthisingha, Licensed Surveyor.

2. All that allotment of land called and known as Kongahamullahena and Hurigahawatta, situate and lying in the village Weralugama, in Katugampola hatpattu in the Katugampola korale aforesaid; the said land being bounded on the north by land belonging to Don James Appuhamy, Herathamí, and others, on the east by the high road from Pannala, on the south by the road leading to Walakumburamulla, and on the west by the estate belonging to Segu Mohamado and others; containing in extent 16 acres 2 roods and 2 perches according to the survey dated November 19, 1898, made by the said A. S. Kirthisinghe, and which said two allotments of land are also described as follows in the transfer thereof, No. 6,490 dated September 22, 1906, under the hand of Herbert Rayner Freeman, Fiscal of the North-Western Province, of the Island of Ceylon.

All that land called Walakumburamulla, situate at Walakumburamulla, Iriyagolla, Thalahitimulla, Kamburugoda, in Minuwangomuwa, in the Katugampola and Meda pattu korales of the Katugampola hatpattu, and the buildings standing thereon, containing in extent 583 acres 1 rood and 18 perches; the entire land being bounded on the north by the lands of Bandihamy, Luanis Fernando, Punchirala, and Arachchi, by Crown land, by a road, by lands of Hitihamy, Kiriya, Guruwa, and Uduma Lebbe, and by a cart road, on the east by lands of Sinnappu Arachchi, C. H. de Soysa, Andihamy, Gunarathami, Appuhamy, Muna Kawanna Neyna Segu Mohamado, Appuhamy, Kusalhamy, Hetuhamy, Santhuhamy, N. Mirando, Manelhamy, Vedarala, and Pinhamy, Kapurala, on the south by the lands of Appu Singho, Appuhamy, Gunarathami, and Muna Kawanna Neyna Segu Mohamadu, on the south-east by lands of Simon Fernando, Gamarala, Ranhamy, Mudalihamy, Arachchi, Menikrala, and Domingo, Annavi; now the entire land forming one property is bounded on the north by lands of Jang Appu, Herathamí, Telanis Appu, Migel Appu, Ranba, Etna, Siyatuhami, Vedarala, Kiri Menika (jaya), (village road), Punchi Singho, Guruwa, Kiriya, Asanar Lebbe Tambi, Mudalihamy, Ranhamy, Vedarala, Podia, Wannihamy, Crown forest, and estate road, east by Kuliypitiya-Pannala road and lands of Soysa, Sinno Appu, John Perera, Pinhami, Kapurala, Manelhami, Vedarala, Sinno Appu, Arachchi, Santhuhami, Samel Appu, and Appuhamy, south by lands of Sinno Appu, Siyatuhamy, Andihamy, Appuhamy, Bandirala, Appu Sinno, and James Fernando, and on the west by Crown forest and lands of James Fernando, Mudalihami, Sinno, Mudalihami, Arachchi, and Dabarera; containing in extent 614 acres 3 roods and 5 perches, and described in the diagram or map annexed thereto, and marked No. 87 dated April 15, 1906, and surveyed by Mr. R. H. Canagasabay, Fiscal's Surveyor; and in which said estate as

above described are included the following allotments of land :—

1. All that allotment of land called Nugawelagawahena, in Minuwangomuwa village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 4 in preliminary plan 300, east by lots 2 and 3 in the preliminary plan 301, south by lot 1B in preliminary plan 301, west by T. Ps. 164,415 and 164,640 and reservation for a road; containing in extent exclusive of a road passing through the land, 10 acres and 13 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 270,113 dated June 17, 1910, authenticated by P. D. Warren, Surveyor-General.

2. All that allotment of land called Gallinda-agare, in Minuwangomuwa village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 8 in preliminary plan 301, east by lot 17 in preliminary plan 301, south and west by lot 4a in preliminary plan 301; containing in extent 17·50 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 271,471 dated August 12, 1910, authenticated by R. S. Templeton, Acting Surveyor-General.

3. All that allotment of land called Kongodamula-agare, in Minuwangomuwa village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 5 in preliminary plan 300, east by lots 4a and 4c in preliminary plan 301, south by lot 3 in preliminary plan 301, west by lot 1 in preliminary plan 301; containing in extent 1 acre and 17 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 271,506 dated August 13, 1910, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

4. All that allotment of land called Welipenarahena, in Iriyagolla village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lots 2 and 2a in preliminary plan 300, east by lots 2a and 4 in preliminary plan 300 and T. P. 164,640, south and west by T. P. 164,640; containing in extent 3 acres 3 roods and 33·50 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 275,033 dated December 14, 1910, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

5. All that allotment of land called Ambagabamullahenyaya, in Iriyagolla village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lots 2a and 5 in preliminary plan 300, east by lot 5 in preliminary plan 300, south by T. Ps. 270,113 and 164,640, west by T. P. 164,640 and lots 3a and 2a in preliminary plan 300; containing in extent 17 acres 1 rood and 22·50 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 275,034 dated December 14, 1910, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

6. All that allotment of land called Kongodamula-agare, in Iriyagolla village; bounded on the north by lots 8a and 8 in T. P. 300, east by lots 8 and 8b in preliminary plan 300, south by T. P. 271,506, west by lots 4 and 2a in preliminary plan 300; containing in extent 9 acres 2 roods and 38·50 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 275,035 dated December 14, 1910, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

7. All that allotment of land called Kosgahamullahena, Walakumburamulla estate, &c., in Iriyagolla village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 22 in preliminary plan 299, east by lots 22 and 1 in preliminary plan 299, and lots 8 and 15 in preliminary plan 300, south by lots 4 and 3 in preliminary plan 300, west by lot 3 in preliminary plan 300, T. P. 164,640, lots 17u, 17t, 17w, and 17x in preliminary plan 298, and a road; containing in extent 58 acres 3 roods and 10·50 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 275,183 dated December 15, 1910, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

8. All that allotment of land called Palugahamullahenyaya, in Iriyagolla village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 16 in preliminary plan 983 and a road, east by T. P. 157,134, south by lots 48, 47, and 47a in preliminary plan 300, west by lot 22 in preliminary plan 299; containing in extent, exclusive of the road passing through the land, 17 acres 2 roods and 27 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 274,704 dated December 5, 1910, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

9. All that allotment of land called Talawatiyewatta, Ranawaragahamullahena, and Walakumbura estate, in Walakumburamulla village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 21 in preliminary plan 987 and lot 6 in preliminary plan 986, east by lots 49, 47a, and 47 in preliminary plan 300, south by lots 44, 44a, 43, 42, and 2 in preliminary plan 300, lots 10, 19n, 19k, 19b, 17, 18d, 22a, and 1 in preliminary plan 299, and T. Ps. 274,944, 274,866, 272,783, 274,865, 274,923, 274,922, 274,803, and 274,753, west by a

road; containing in extent, exclusive of the road passing through the land, 151 acres 3 roods and 25½ perches, according to the survey and description thereof, No. 276,012 dated February 16, 1911, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

10. All that allotment of land called Walakumburamullahena, in Kamburugoda village aforesaid; bounded on the north by reservation along the road, east by T. P. 275,183, south by lot 17T in preliminary plan 298, west by lot 17N in preliminary plan 298; containing in extent 6 acres 3 roods and 14 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 276,707 dated April 5, 1911, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

11. All that allotment of land called Walakumburawatta, in Kamburugoda village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 4 in preliminary plan 987, east by lot 45 in preliminary plan 298, south by lots 26 and 28 in preliminary plan 298, west by lots 32, 44, and 38K in preliminary plan 298; containing in extent 28 acres 2 roods and 20 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 276,708 dated April 5, 1911, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

12. All that allotment of land called Walakumburawatta and Paranawewa, in Kamburugoda village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 4 in preliminary plan 987, east and south by reservation along the road, west by lot 24H, 24U, J 26, and 45F in preliminary plan 298; containing in extent 139 acres 3 roods and 11 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 277,108 dated May 18, 1911, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

13. All that allotment of land called Welipennehena, in Kamburugoda village aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot 17W in preliminary plan 298, east by T. P. 275,183, south by lot 17n and 11 in preliminary plan 298, west by lots 17n and 16 in preliminary plan 298; containing in extent 5 acres 2 roods and 20 perches according to the survey and description thereof, No. 277,109 dated May 18, 1911, authenticated by the said R. S. Templeton.

Amount to be levied Rs. 429·50, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from November 25, 1914, till payment in full, the above lands are under seizure in D. C., Colombo, writs Nos. C 41,306, 740,240, 40,125, 40,322, 40,163, 40,321, 39,648, 39,688, 39,689, 40,126, 40,196, 39,816, 41,024, 40,038, 40,086, 40,125, and 39,258.

Fiscal's Office, S. D. SAMARASINGHE,
Kurunegala, February 13, 1917. Deputy Fiscal.

In the Village Tribunals of Ambampola.

P. B. Wettawe Basnayaka Nilame Plaintiff.
Nos. 54,278, 54,343, 54,283,
54,288, 54,305, 54,307,
54,309, 54,269, 54,274,
54,344, 54,323, 54,339,
54,331, 54,301, 54,270 Vs.

(1) Baiyadurayalage Menika of Udunowa, (2) Maragahamulawatte Kira of Galagedera, (3) Kongahamulagedera Lapaya of ditto, (4) Kadurugahamulagedera Lapaya of ditto, (5) Talagahamulagedera Lapaya of ditto, (6) Dangahamulagedera Lapaya of ditto, (7) Dangahamulagedera Lapaya of ditto, (8) Bogahamulagedera Kiriya of ditto, (9) Talagahamulagedera Menika of ditto, (10) Talagahamulagedera Lapaya of ditto, (11) Talagahamulagedera Menika of ditto, (12) Asweddumewatte Wattuwa of ditto, (13) Kongahamulawatte Balaya of ditto, (14) Migahamulagedera Ukkuwa of ditto, (15) Migahamulagedera Hatana of ditto, (16) Migahamulagedera Hatana of ditto Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 10, 1917, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

The chena land in extent 3 amunams and 6 lahas of kurakkan sowing belonging to the sixth and seventh dura pangus of Galagedera, from and out of the lands in extent 1,388 acres set apart to the Pattini Dewale, Kandy, and described in plan No. 92,445 dated September 25, 1873, and situated at Galagedera, in Magul Otota korale; the said chena lands being bounded on the east by the village

limits of Maityagale Dalupota, on the south by fence of Bogahamulahena, Keppitibokuwewe cemetery, west by village limit of Ihakolagama, and north by limit of Niyandagalagomuwehena and village limit of Panditadalukgolla.

Amount of writs Rs. 185·19.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, February 12, 1917.

S. D. SAMARASINGHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Negombo.

K. K. K. N. Ramanaden Chetty of Negombo Plaintiff
No. 11,703. Vs.

Palihawadana Aratchige Elias Perera Muppurala
of Lunuwila Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 17, 1917, at 2.30 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, subject to mortgage bond No. 5,903 dated March 3, 1915, attested by W. O. M. Karunaratna, Notary Public, for Rs. 7,200, viz. :—

The southern ½ share of lot No. 2103, with the buildings standing thereon, situate at Lunuwila in Otara palata of Pitigal korale south, in the District of Chilaw; and the said half share is bounded on the north by land now of Mr. John Henry Perera, east by Crown land or the reservation for a road, south by village limit of Bandirippuwa, and west by land bearing letter T 52; containing in extent 14 acres 3 roods and 15 perches.

Amount to be levied Rs. 4,119·45, with interest on Rs. 3,985·70 at 9 per cent. per annum from December 13, 1916, till payment in full and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Chilaw, February 10, 1917.

A. V. HERAT,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Negombo.

M. T. T. K. A. V. Sinnaiyah Pulle of Negombo .. Plaintiff
No. 11,116. Vs.

Minneripitige Waidiyaratne Don Migel Karunaratne, Notary Public, deceased Defendant.

Kalubovilage Dona Engeltina Hamy of Lunuwila Sub-defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, March 17, 1917, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

(1) The residing land, with the buildings standing thereon, situate at Gonawila, in Otara palata of Pitigal korale south, in the District of Chilaw; and bounded on the north by garden of the late Juan Vedarala, east by lands of the late Don Sivestry Appuhamy and others and the stream called Pavu-ela, south by high road, and west by Gansabhawa road; containing in extent 9 acres more or less.

(2) The land called Gonnagahawatta, situate at Gonawila aforesaid; and bounded on the north by land of Don Juse Appuhamy, east by dewata road, south by high road, and west by the land of K. D. Engeltina; containing in extent 3 acres more or less.

(3) The field situate at Gonawila aforesaid; and bounded on the north by stream, east by the liminary damp of the field of Gonawila Headman, south by fence of the land of Juan Annavirala, and west by the liminary damp of the field of Pelis Vedarala; containing in extent 1 bushel of paddy sowing soil.

(4) The field called Bakmigahakumbura, situate at Gonawila aforesaid; and bounded on the north by stream, east by liminary damp of the field of Pelis Vedarala, south by fence of the land of Anthony Perera and others, and west by liminary damp of the fields of Juan Annavirala and others; containing in extent 3 bushels of kurekkan sowing soil.

Amount to be levied Rs. 1,089·75, with interest on Rs. 943·75 at 9 per cent. per annum from October 2, 1916, till payment in full and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Chilaw, February 12, 1917.

A. V. HERAT,
Deputy Fiscal.

B 5

I, BERTRAM HILL, Fiscal for the North-Western Province, do hereby appoint Mr. Senerath Wickramasinghe to be Marshal for the divisions of Pitigal korale central and Pitigal korale south, in the District of Chilaw, for three days from February 6, 1917, under the provisions of the Ordinance No. 4 of 1867, and authorize him to perform the duties and exercise the authority of Marshal, for which this shall be his warrant.

The Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, February 13, 1917.

BERTRAM HILL,
Fiscal.

DISTRICT AND MINOR COURTS NOTICES.

Return of Testamentary Cases under Official Administration in the District Court of Kurunegala during the Half-Year ended December 31, 1916.

No. of Case.	Date of Case.	Whose Estate.	Value of Estate.	Date of Letters.	Money received by the Official Administrator.	Letters of Administration issued to whom.	Remarks.
	1915.		Rs. c.	1916.			
1,278	.. Mar. 17	.. H. M. Punchappuhamy of Horawaduna	.. 1,220 0	.. July 6	.. —	.. Secretary, N. T. Ponnambalam	.. Estate closed on November 3, 1916
1,356	.. Mar. 7	.. Palanie of Nahithikulama	200 0	.. July 16	.. 46 33	.. do.	.. Estate closed on January 27, 1917
1,409	.. June 19	.. D. S. P. Bastian Appuhamy	.. 225 0	.. Sept. 14	.. 79 0	.. do.	.. Case pending
1,453	.. Sept. 12	.. S. P. Muttiah, Overseer	.. 2,080 0	.. Nov. 15	.. 38 0	.. do.	.. do.

District Court,
Kurunegala, February 10, 1917.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

Return of Uncertificated Insolvents in the District Court of Kurunegala for the Half-Year ended December 31, 1916.

Nil.

District Court,
Kurunegala, December 10, 1916.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the District of Kurunegala will be holden at the Court-house at Kandy, on Monday, March 12, 1917, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office, S. D. SAMARASINGHE,
Kurunegala, February 9, 1917. for Fiscal.

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the District of Anuradhapura will be holden at the Court-house at Kandy, on Monday, March 12, 1917, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office, M. H. KANTAWALA,
Anuradhapura, February 14, 1917. for Fiscal.

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the District of Badulla will be holden at the Court-house at Kandy, on Monday, March 12, 1917, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office, M. EDIRIWIRA,
Badulla, February 12, 1917. for Fiscal.

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the Districts of Kegalla and Avissawella will be holden at the Court-house at Kandy, on Monday, March 12, 1917, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office, B. CONSTANTINE,
Ratnapura, February 12, 1917. Fiscal.