



Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 7,104 — FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1920.

Part II.—Legal.

Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.

	PAGE		PAGE		PAGE
Draft Ordinances ..	—	Notices from District and Minor Courts ..	—	Notices in Testamentary Actions ..	415
Passed Ordinances ..	—	Notices in Insolvency Cases ..	403	List of Notaries ..	—
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of the Supreme Court ..	—	Notices of Fiscals' Sales ..	410	Supreme Court Registry Notices ..	—
				Council of Legal Education Notices	404

NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,755. In the matter of the insolvency of J. Don Nicholas of Bopitiya in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on June 15, 1920, to declare a dividend in the above matter.

By order of court, P. DE KRETZER,
Colombo, May 17, 1920. Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,972. In the matter of the insolvency of C. V. Francke of Slave Island, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on June 8, 1920, for the grant of a certificate of conformity to the insolvent.

By order of court, P. DE KRETZER,
Colombo, May 14, 1920. Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,981. In the matter of the insolvency of Muttiah Pulle Kaliappa Pulle of No. 53, Baseline road, Dematagoda, Colombo.

WHEREAS the above-named Muttiah Pulle Kaliappa Pulle has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has also been filed by S. Arunasalam Pulle, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the

said Muttiah Pulle Kaliappa Pulle insolvent accordingly, and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on June 15, 1920, and on June 29, 1920, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, P. DE KRETZER,
Colombo, May 14, 1920. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kandy.

No. 1,618. In the matter of the insolvency of Muttiah Suppaiah Pulle of Wattagama.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on June 22, 1920, to consider the granting of a certificate of conformity to the insolvent.

By order of court, P. MORTIMER,
May 18, 1920. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kandy.

No. 1,621. In the matter of the insolvency of Appuhamy Mudiyanse Mudannayaha of Trincomalee street, Kandy.

NOTICE is hereby given that a certificate of the first class has been issued to the above-named insolvent.

By order of court, P. MORTIMER,
May 18, 1920. Secretary.

COUNCIL OF LEGAL EDUCATION.

THE following Rules framed by the Incorporated Council of Legal Education under the provisions of sections 7, 8, and 9 of "The Council of Legal Education Incorporation Ordinance, 1900," in substitution of the Rules contained in Schedule III. of "The Courts Ordinance, 1889," and of the Rules published on October 25, 1909, are published for general information.

April 16, 1920.

F. C. Loos,
Secretary, Council of Legal Education.

The Rules of the Incorporated Council of Legal Education.

Constitution of Council, &c.

Constitution of Council of Legal Education.

1. There shall be a Council of Legal Education, which shall consist of the Judges of the Supreme Court, the Attorney-General, the Solicitor-General, and of such other persons of standing in the legal profession as the said Judges may appoint. Members so appointed shall go out of office on the last day of December of the third year from their appointment, and be succeeded by other members, who shall be appointed once in every three years. Any outgoing member shall be eligible for re-appointment. It shall be the duty of the Council to supervise and control the legal education of students desiring to qualify themselves as advocates or proctors, subject to the provisions herein contained.

Appointment of Secretary; his duties.

2. The Council shall appoint a competent officer as Secretary to the Council, who shall be in charge of the records, fees, and other property belonging to the Council, and carry out the instructions of the Council. He shall keep a record of the proceedings of the meetings of the Council, and a full minute of all its orders and resolutions. He shall give not less than two days' notice of a meeting of the Council to each member thereof, and shall specify the subjects to be considered at such meeting. The minutes of each meeting shall be read at the next meeting, and, after confirmation, attested by the Chairman.

Salary of Secretary, Clerk to assist Secretary, and his salary.

3. The Secretary of the Council shall receive such salary as the Council shall from time to time by resolution prescribe. The Council may also appoint, on such salary or salaries as it may from time to time by resolution determine, such clerk or clerks and other officers as may be necessary to assist in carrying on the work of the Council.

Seal how to be affixed.

4. The common seal of the Council shall not be affixed to any instrument except in pursuance of a resolution passed by the Council and in the presence of two members, who shall attest the document sealed.

Where and how common seal is to be kept.

5. The common seal shall be kept in a box with two different locks. The key of one of such locks shall be kept in the possession of the Secretary, and the key of the other in the possession of one of the members to be selected annually, or, as occasion arises, by the Council.

Monies where to be deposited, and payments how to be made.

6. All monies shall be deposited to the credit of the Council in one or more banks in Colombo as the Council shall appoint. No payment shall be made unless sanctioned by the Council; and all drafts on any bank account of the Council shall be signed or endorsed by one member and countersigned by the Secretary.

Auditor to audit accounts.

7. An Auditor shall be appointed by the Council annually to audit the Secretary's accounts. The Auditor shall, with the assistance of the Secretary, examine the accounts, having before him all the books, papers, and vouchers necessary for that purpose; and if these be found to be in order, he shall attest the correctness of the same. Such accounts

shall include, not only the receipts and disbursements of the preceding year, but also the existing funds and property of the Council, and debts and credits thereof.

The accounts shall be printed and issued to the members with the notice convening any meeting; and after they have been read at the meeting and approved, they shall be signed by the Chairman and filed.

Meetings of the Council.

Meetings where to be held.

8. The meetings of the Council shall be held at the Judges' Library or elsewhere, as the Council shall resolve.

Meetings of the Council.

9. A meeting of the Council may be called at any time by the Secretary with the permission, or on the direction, of the Chief Justice or any two members; and a meeting may be called at shorter notice than that prescribed for meetings generally if so directed by the Chief Justice.

Adjournment of meeting for want of quorum.

10. If on the day appointed for holding any meeting there shall not be five members present at the time appointed, or within a quarter of an hour afterwards, no business shall be transacted, and the Secretary shall declare the meeting adjourned to a day of which he shall give notice.

The Supervising Committee.

Election of Supervising Committee; its term of office, &c.

11. There shall be elected by the Council in the month of December in every third year, or so soon thereafter as shall be convenient, a Supervising Committee, consisting of not more than three members of the Council, whose duty it shall be generally to supervise the work of the Lecturers and Examiners appointed as hereinafter provided for, and to do such other work as may be assigned to it by the rules and orders of the Council. The members of the Supervising Committee shall hold office during a period of three years, to be calculated from the 1st day of January next after the month of December in which they are hereby required to be elected. In the event of any member ceasing to act before the expiration of the period aforesaid, the Council shall, as soon as convenient, elect another in his place for the remainder of the said period. The Secretary to the Council shall act as Secretary to the Supervising Committee.

Law Lecturers.

Council to appoint Lecturers triennially, and remunerate them.

12. The Council shall, in the month of June first after the coming into operation of these Rules and thereafter in every third year, or so soon thereafter as may be convenient, appoint by resolution such competent persons, not less than three or more than seven in number, as the Council may deem fit, to deliver the lectures hereinafter provided for. The persons so appointed shall be designated Lecturers, and shall be paid by the Council such remuneration as the Council shall from time to time by resolution prescribe. The Council may at pleasure remove from office

any person so appointed; and unless so removed, all persons so appointed shall hold office during a period of three years, to be calculated from the 1st day of July next after the month of June in which the appointments are hereby required to be made. If any Lecturer be removed from office, or for any other cause cease to act before the expiration of the period aforesaid, the Council shall, as soon as convenient, appoint another in his place for the remainder of the said period.

All Lecturers whose appointments have not expired on the coming into operation of these Rules should be entitled to continue to hold their appointments until the expiration of the month of June next succeeding the date at which these appointments would otherwise expire.

Lecturers to examine and test knowledge of student.

13. The Lecturers may from time to time examine the students in the subject matter of the lectures delivered, and ascertain the progress made by each student.

Remuneration of Lecturers.

14. Each Lecturer shall receive an allowance to be fixed by the Council not exceeding Rs. 3,000 a year, payable in equal monthly instalments; and shall deliver, except during the vacations, not less than three lectures a week, unless otherwise directed by the Supervising Committee.

Arrangements for delivery of lectures.

15. Arrangements shall be made by the Lecturers for the delivery of lectures on each of the subjects in the list given hereunder. The lectures shall be so arranged as to enable each student to take a complete course on each subject within three years from the date of his admission.

List referred to above.

1. Roman Law.
2. Jurisprudence.
3. International Law.
4. Constitutional Law and Legal History.
5. Conveyancing.
6. Law of Property.
7. Law of Property.
8. Equity Jurisprudence.
9. Contracts.
10. Torts.
11. Criminal Law.
12. Evidence.
13. Criminal Procedure.
14. Civil Procedure.
15. Pleadings.
16. Administration of Estates of Deceased Persons.
17. Insolvency.

Prospectus and time-table of lectures and classes.

16. A prospectus and time-table of the lectures and classes of each year shall be submitted by the Lecturers to the Supervising Committee before the commencement of the annual course. Such prospectus and time-table, if wholly approved by the Committee, or as modified or altered by it, shall be deemed to be the prospectus and time-table until the 30th June then next ensuing, and shall be strictly adhered to.

Syllabus of lectures to be submitted by Lecturers.

17. Each Lecturer shall also submit to the Supervising Committee for approval a syllabus of each course of lectures that he has to deliver. Such syllabus, when wholly approved or as amended by the Committee, shall be strictly adhered to.

Attendance books to be kept by each Lecturer.

18. The Secretary shall supply each Lecturer with an attendance book, in order that he may note the attendance of students at each day's lectures. In case of non-attendance at a lecture by a student, he shall state the reason to the Secretary, who shall enter such reason in a book to be kept by him.

Punishment of misbehaviour of students.

Continued irregularity in attendance on the part of any student shall be reported by the Lecturer to the Supervising Committee.

19. Misbehaviour on the part of any student within, or in the precincts of, the Lecture Hall, shall render him liable to punishment by the Council by being interdicted from entering the Lecture Hall during such time as the Council may appoint, or being prohibited from entering for any examination for which he would otherwise be entitled to present himself, or in such other manner as the Council may deem meet.

Students to attend 75 per cent. of the lectures of each course.

20. Each student shall attend at least 75 per cent. of the lectures in each course in order to entitle him to a certificate under Rules 29, 35, and 41, unless he is prevented from doing so by ill-health or other cause deemed sufficient by the Lecturer whose duty it is to grant such certificate.

Examiners.

Council to appoint Board of Examiners yearly.

21. The Council shall, in the month of June every year, or so soon thereafter as may be convenient, appoint a Board of Examiners for the ensuing year; and the Council may at pleasure remove any Examiner so appointed; and when an Examiner is so removed, or for any other cause ceases to act, appoint another in his place. The Board shall be composed mainly of the Lecturers appointed as aforesaid, and shall conduct and report upon all examinations held under these Rules as hereinafter provided. The Council shall from time to time by resolution prescribe the remuneration to be paid to such Examiners.

Admission of Law Students.

Qualifications necessary in applicants seeking to be admitted as students for preparation for admission as proctors.

22. Except as hereinafter provided, no person shall be admitted to qualify himself as proctor or advocate of the Supreme Court unless he shall have (1) completed his 17th year, (2) produced a satisfactory certificate of good character, and (3) furnished proof (in the case of a proctor student) of his being a Graduate in Arts or Science of any University in the British Empire, or of his having passed the Matriculation Examination of the University of London, or the Intermediate Examination in Arts or Science of any Indian University, or the Senior Local Examination of the University of Cambridge, or the Cambridge Senior School Certificate Examination, and (in the case of an advocate student) of his being a Graduate in Arts or Science of any University in the British Empire, or of his having passed the Intermediate Examination in Arts or Science of the University of London; provided always that the candidate shall adduce proof that he has passed an examination in English and Latin, such examination being either the examination on which he relies for his qualification, or some one or other of the aforesaid examinations of any University in the British Empire.

When applicants to be admitted and fees payable.

23. Such admission shall be in the month of June every year; and every candidate who fulfills the requirements of Rule 22 shall be registered as a student and be entitled to attend the various lectures, provided that he shall, on being so registered, pay to the Secretary on behalf of the Council a fee (in the case of a proctor student) of Rs. 300, or (in the case of an advocate student) of Rs. 400, and enter into a bond with two good sureties to pay to the Secretary as aforesaid a further fee (in the case of a proctor student) of Rs. 350, or (in the case of an advocate student) of Rs. 400 at the expiration of one year after his admission as a student.

Disabilities consequent on failure to pay fees on due dates.

When fees to be refunded.

Examinations to be held once a year.

Subjects of examination.

Council to recommend books for lectures, &c.

First Examination when to be held.

Conditions of admission to First Examination.

Examination how conducted.

Number of papers to be set and marks to be gained.

Certificates to be passed candidates.

24. If a candidate fails to pay the said fees on their due dates, he shall, in addition to any other liability, forfeit his right to attend the lectures and to present himself for any examination; provided that the Council may, for sufficient cause, on such terms as it may deem proper, order otherwise.

25. If a candidate, after his admission, is desirous of having his name removed from the register and cease to be a law student, the amount of any fee already paid by him may be refunded to him; provided that he has, from the time of his admission, or from the commencement of the second year after his admission, as the case may be, not attended any lectures or otherwise exercised his privileges as a law student.

Examinations.

26. There shall be three examinations for the admission of students as proctors and advocates, and the subjects of examination shall be—

The First Examination.—Jurisprudence and Roman Law, and (in the case of advocate students) International Law, Constitutional Law, and Legal History.

The Second Examination.—Law of Persons, Law of Property, Contracts and Torts, and Equity Jurisprudence.

The Final Examination.—Civil Procedure and Pleadings, Criminal Law and Procedure; Law of Insolvency, Law of Executors and Administrators, Law of Evidence, and (in the case of proctor students) Conveyancing.

27. The Council shall from time to time recommend books for the purpose of lectures and examinations. (See schedule for books now recommended.)

First Examination in Law.

28. The First Examination in Law shall be held once a year, commencing on the first Monday in the month of July in each year, or on such other day, for any particular year, as the Council may, with such notice thereof as it may deem desirable, appoint.

29. No student shall be admitted to such examination until twelve months have elapsed after his admission as a student, and unless he has paid all fees due to the Council, and produces a certificate or certificates from the Lecturers that he has attended a course of lectures on each of the prescribed subjects, provided that the Council may, for sufficient cause, dispense with the certificate or certificates aforesaid from the Lecturers.

30. The examination shall be by written questions, the answers to which shall be in writing.

31. There shall be one paper on each subject, unless otherwise decided by the Council or the Supervising Committee. Candidates failing to obtain 40 per cent. of the maximum marks in each subject and 50 per cent. of the aggregate of the maximum marks on all the subjects shall not be entitled to a pass unless the Council sees reason to direct otherwise. Each paper shall consist of not less than ten questions, and shall bear a full value of 100 marks.

32. On report to the Council by the Examiners upon the examination, the Council shall resolve that certificates in the Form A, signed by the Secretary, shall be delivered to such candidates as the Council may deem to have passed the examination.

Fee on re-entering for First Examination.

Second Examination in Law when to be held.

Conditions of admission to Second Examination.

Examinations how conducted.

Number of papers to be set and marks to be gained.

Certificates to be granted to passed candidates.

Fee on re-entering for Second Examination.

Final Examination when to be held.

Conditions of admission to Final Examination.

Examination how to be conducted.

Number of papers to be set and marks to be gained.

33. In the event of any candidate failing to satisfy the Council, and desiring to re-enter for the First Examination in Law, he shall pay a fee of Rs. 50 to the Secretary each time he so re-enters one month at least before the examination.

The Second Examination in Law.

34. The Second Examination in Law shall be held once a year, commencing on the second Monday in the month of July, or on such other day, for any particular year, as the Council may, with such notice thereof as it may deem desirable, appoint.

35. No student shall be admitted to such examination until one year shall have elapsed after his passing his First Examination, and unless he has paid all fees due to the Council, and produces a certificate or certificates from the Lecturers that he has attended a course of lectures on each of the subjects prescribed, provided that the Council may, for sufficient cause, dispense with the certificate or certificates aforesaid from the Lecturers.

36. The examination shall be by written questions, the answers to which shall be in writing.

37. There shall be two papers on each of the following subjects:—(1) The Law of Persons and Property, and (2) the Law of Contracts and Torts, and one on each of the other subjects, unless otherwise directed by the Council or the Supervising Committee. Candidates failing to obtain at least 40 per cent. of the maximum marks on each subject and 50 per cent. of the aggregate of the maximum marks on all the subjects shall not be entitled to a pass, unless the Council sees reason to direct otherwise. Each paper shall consist of not less than ten questions, and shall bear a full value of 100 marks.

38. On report to the Council by the Examiners upon the examination, the Council shall resolve that certificates in the Form B, signed by the Secretary, shall be delivered to such candidates as the Council may deem to have passed the examination.

39. In the event of any candidate failing to satisfy the Council, and desiring to re-enter for the Second Examination, he shall pay a fee of Rs. 50 to the Secretary each time he so re-enters one month at least before the examination.

The Final Examination in Law.

40. The Final Examination shall be held once a year, commencing on the third Monday in the month of July, or on such other day as the Council may with sufficient notice thereof appoint.

41. No student shall be admitted to such examination until one year shall have elapsed after his passing the Second Examination, and unless he produces a certificate or certificates from the Lecturers that he has attended a course of lectures on each of the subjects prescribed, provided that the Council may for sufficient cause dispense with such certificate or certificates.

42. The examination shall be in part by written questions, the answers to which shall also be in writing, and in part *vis à voce*, unless otherwise ordered by the Council or the Supervising Committee.

43. There shall be two papers on each of the following subjects:—(1) Criminal Law and Procedure, (2) Civil Procedure and Pleadings, and one paper on each of the other

subjects, unless otherwise directed by the Council or the Supervising Committee. Candidates failing to obtain at least 40 per cent. of the maximum marks on each subject and 50 per cent. of the aggregate of the maximum marks on all the subjects shall not be entitled to a pass, unless the Council sees reason to direct otherwise. Each paper shall consist of not less than ten questions, and shall bear a full value of 100 marks; and the *visà voce* examination shall consist at least of four questions, and the full marks shall be 25.

Certificates to be granted to passed candidates.

44. On report to the Council by the Examiners upon the examination, the Secretary shall, on the direction of the Council, issue a certificate in the Form C to such of the candidates as the Council may deem to have passed the examination.

Fee on re-entering for Final Examination.

45. In the event of any candidate failing to satisfy the Council and desiring to re-enter for the Final Examination in Law, he shall pay a fee of Rs. 50 to the Secretary each time he so re-enters one month at least before the examination.

Students who have passed their Final Examinations to serve as clerks under proctors and attend chambers of advocates.

46. Upon passing the Final Examination a proctor student shall serve for a period of six months as clerk under a proctor of the Supreme Court of ten years' standing practising in Colombo; and an advocate student shall attend for a period of six months the chambers of an advocate of ten years' standing practising in Colombo and familiarize himself with the ordinary business of an advocate in chambers, and shall also attend the District Court of Colombo and the Supreme Court and report at least two cases every week in accordance with the instructions given in Rules marked D appended hereto and submit them for revision by the Lecturer on the Law of Evidence.

Provided that where in the case of an advocate student the Council is satisfied that the student has in a judicial capacity acquired sufficient practical acquaintance with the principles of legal procedure, it may dispense with the requirements of the Rule.

Admission of Proctors and Advocates.

Notice of application for admission as proctors and advocates.

47. Every person who intends to apply for admission as a proctor or advocate of the Supreme Court shall, six weeks at least before he shall so apply, give notice of such his intention to the Registrar of the Supreme Court, and shall cause his name and place of abode written in legible characters to be posted up at the Registry of the Supreme Court and also on one of the outside doors of the Court-house, and shall also cause notice of his intended application to be published once at least in the *Ceylon Government Gazette* and in some English newspaper published in Colombo.

Form and requirements of application.

48. Every such application shall be in the form of a petition to the Supreme Court, to which shall be annexed (1) the certificates A, B, and C referred to in Rules 32, 38, and 44 respectively, (2) an affidavit that the applicant is the identical person mentioned in the certificates, and that he has attained the age of 21 years, and (3) in the case of a proctor student, a certificate from the Proctor of the Supreme Court under whom he has served as provided in Rule 46 that he has done his work as clerk with diligence, or, in the case of an advocate student, a certificate from the Lecturer on the Law of Evidence that the reports of cases prepared by him under Rule 46 were satisfactory, and also a certificate from the Advocate whose chambers he has attended

that he has attended regularly and that he practically understands the details of the chamber practice of an advocate.

Proceeding by Supreme Court thereupon.

49. The Supreme Court shall thereupon direct the Registrar to inquire and report whether the applicant is of good repute, and whether there exists any impediment or objection to his enrolment as a proctor or advocate, as the case may be. Upon such report the Supreme Court shall either direct the applicant to be sworn, admitted, and enrolled, or make such order as it may deem proper.

One class of proctors only to be admitted.

50. After the coming into operation of these Rules there shall be admitted only one class of proctors, namely, proctors of the Supreme Court. But any person who has been admitted as a proctor of the District Court may be enrolled as a proctor of the Supreme Court under the Rules next following.

Application by proctor of District Court to be admitted a proctor of the Supreme Court.

51. Any person who has been admitted as a proctor of any District Court may be enrolled a proctor of the Supreme Court on application to the Supreme Court to be so enrolled; provided that he gives such notice of his application as is provided for in Rule 47, and also furnishes a certificate under the hand of the District Judge of the district in which he has been enrolled that his name still remains on the roll of the District Court.

Proceedings on such application.

52. Except in the case of a proctor of any District Court who since his admission as such has practised as a duly admitted notary public, or has practised his profession as a proctor during a period of at least ten years, every such application shall be referred by the Supreme Court to the Council of Legal Education, who shall cause the applicant, on payment by him to the Secretary of a fee of Rs. 50, to be examined in Conveyancing. He shall not be entitled to a pass in such examination if he fails to obtain at least 50 per cent. of the maximum marks, unless the Council direct otherwise. Upon the Council reporting the result of the examination, and in the case of a proctor of any District Court who has practised as a notary aforesaid, or has practised his profession as a proctor during a period of at least ten years as aforesaid, upon being satisfied that he has so practised, the Supreme Court shall either direct the applicant to be sworn, admitted, and enrolled a proctor of the Supreme Court, or make such other order as to it may seem meet.

Student who has passed the examination for admission as advocate may be admitted as proctor.

53. Any student who has passed the examination for the admission of advocates, if he is desirous of being admitted as a proctor of the Supreme Court instead of as an advocate may be so admitted on his application to the Supreme Court, provided that he passes a satisfactory examination in Conveyancing. For such examination he shall pay to the Secretary a fee of Rs. 50, and he shall not be entitled to a pass if he fails to obtain at least 50 per cent. of the maximum marks, unless the Council direct otherwise.

Application by Supreme Court proctor to be examined in Conveyancing.

54. Whenever any person who has been enrolled a proctor of the Supreme Court in virtue of having practised his profession for at least ten years, and without having passed the examination in Conveyancing prescribed by Rule 52, desires to qualify in Conveyancing, for the purpose of obtaining a warrant to practise as a notary, he may apply to the Secretary to be examined in that subject. Thereupon, and on payment to the Secretary of a fee of Rs. 50, the Council shall cause him to be examined in Conveyancing in the manner provided by that Rule.

Admission as proctors of the Supreme Court of Solicitors of the Superior Courts of Record in Great Britain and Ireland.

55. A Solicitor, Attorney, Writer to the Signet, or Proctor in any of the Superior Courts of Record in Great Britain or Ireland, or a Procurator in any Court of Record in Scotland, may be admitted a proctor of the Supreme Court without the examinations herein prescribed; provided that he apply to the Supreme Court for admission as such proctor fulfilling the requirements as to notice prescribed by Rule 47, and produce documentary proof of his previous admission as a Solicitor, Attorney, Writer to the Signet, Proctor, or Procurator, together with an affidavit that he is the person named in such document, that he has not done or committed any act or thing which would cause his name to be struck off the roll of the Court in which he has been admitted, and that to the best of his knowledge and belief his name still remains on the said roll. Upon such application if the Registrar report that the applicant is of good repute and that there exists no impediment or objection to his admission, the Supreme Court shall direct that the applicant be sworn and admitted a proctor of the said Court.

Admission as advocates or proctors of the Supreme Court.

56. A proctor of the Supreme Court who has practised the profession for not less than five years may be enrolled an advocate of the Supreme Court upon payment of a fee of Rs. 100 to the Secretary and upon passing the examinations for the admission of advocates.

Application of an advocate to be admitted as a proctor of the Supreme Court.

57. Any person who, after his admission as an advocate of the Supreme Court, has had his name removed from the roll of advocates with the view of becoming a proctor of the Supreme Court, may be admitted as such proctor on application to the Supreme Court to be so admitted, provided he gives such notice of his application as is required by Rule 47, and furnishes satisfactory evidence of good character and passes an examination in Conveyancing as hereinafter provided.

Proceeding on such application.

58. On the receipt of such application the Supreme Court may refer the same to the Council of Legal Education, who shall cause the applicant, on payment by him to the Secretary of a fee of Rs. 50, to be examined in Conveyancing. He shall not be entitled to pass in such examination if he fail to obtain at least 50 per cent. of the maximum marks, unless the Council otherwise direct. Upon the Council reporting the result of the examination the Supreme Court may direct the applicant to be sworn, admitted, and enrolled a proctor of such Court.

Admission as advocates of Solicitors, Attorneys, Writers to the Signet, or Procurators.

59. A Solicitor, Attorney, Writer to the Signet, or Procurator in any of the Superior Courts of Record in Great Britain or Ireland, at any time after the expiration of a period of five years since his admission as such, may be enrolled an advocate of the Supreme Court upon payment of a fee of Rs. 100 to the Secretary and upon passing the examinations for the admission of advocates.

Admission of persons called to Bar in England, Scotland, or Ireland as advocates.

60. Any person who has been duly called to the Bar in England, Scotland, or Ireland may be admitted and enrolled an advocate of the Supreme Court, without the examinations hereinbefore prescribed; provided that he apply to the Supreme Court for admission as advocate and fulfil the requirements prescribed by Rule 47, and produce documentary proof of his previous call to the Bar, together with an affidavit that he is the person named in the said documents, and that he has not done or committed any act or thing rendering him liable to be disbarred. Upon such application if the Registrar reports that the applicant is of good repute and that there exists no

impediments or objection to his admission and enrolment, the Supreme Court shall direct the applicant to be sworn, admitted, and enrolled an advocate of the said Court, or make such other order as it may deem proper.

Scholarships and Prizes.

Scholarships.

61. There shall be awarded two scholarships on the result of the Second Examination, viz.: one of the value of Rs. 360 payable in monthly instalments and tenable for one year, to be awarded to the advocate student who obtains the highest number of marks, such marks being not less than 66 per cent. on each subject and 75 per cent. in the aggregate; and one of the value of Rs. 240 payable in monthly instalments and tenable for one year, to be awarded to the proctor student who obtains the highest number of marks, such marks being not less than 60 per cent. on each subject and 65 per cent. in the aggregate.

Prizes.

62. There shall be awarded two prizes on the result of the Final Examination, viz.: one of the value of Rs. 250 to the advocate student who shall have gained the highest number of marks, such marks being not less than 66 per cent. on each subject and 75 per cent. in the aggregate; and one of the value of Rs. 150 to the proctor student who obtains the highest number of marks, such marks being not less than 60 per cent. on each subject and 65 per cent. in the aggregate.

Conditions under which prizes and scholarships are awarded.

63. No student however shall be entitled to the scholarship or prize who has failed at the first time of his entering for the examination.

The Conduct of Examinations.

Supervising Committee may call for papers of questions.

64. The Supervising Committee, or any member thereof, may call for any paper of questions prepared to be set for any examination and revise the same, or make such alterations in and additions to it as to such Committee or member may seem desirable, and the paper shall be set as so revised, altered, or added to by the Committee, or with the approval of the Committee by the member who had called for the same.

Supervising Committee may issue instructions as to how questions may be communicated to candidates.

65. Subject to any directions that may be given by the Council, the Supervising Committee may from time to time issue to the Secretary such instructions as they may consider necessary for regulating the manner in which the questions to be answered at any examination shall be communicated to the candidates.

Secretary and Supervisor or Supervisors to be present at examination.

63. In the course of examinations held under these Rules the Secretary and a Supervisor or Supervisors shall, as far as practicable, be present in the Examination Room throughout each examination, and watch the candidates, and otherwise supervise the proceedings thereat.

Persons excluded from examination room and its precincts.

67. No person, except a member of the Council, or a Lecturer, or a member of the Board of Examiners, or Supervisor or Supervisors, shall be allowed to enter the Examination Room during the progress of an examination, or be allowed to loiter in the precincts thereof.

Time when candidates may enter and leave the examination room.

68. No student who is more than 15 minutes late after an examination shall have commenced shall be allowed to enter the Examination Room, except with the consent of, and subject to such terms and conditions as may be imposed by, the Secretary or Supervisor or Supervisors, and no student who has once entered the room shall leave the same until the expiration of at least three-fourths of the time allowed for the examination, except with the express permission of the Supervisor or Supervisors.

Candidates to surrender papers and books to Secretary or Supervisor. Candidates found copying, &c., how to be dealt with.

69. Candidates on entering the Examination Room shall give up to the Secretary or Supervisor or Supervisors all books and papers in their immediate possession at the time. After the commencement of the examination any candidate found talking to another, or copying from or using notes or books, or having the same in his possession, shall be instantly expelled from the room by the Secretary or the Supervisor or Supervisors, and his case reported to the Council.

General.

Cases of misconduct not specially provided for.

70. All cases of misconduct or irregularity on the part of students not especially provided for herein shall be dealt with and disposed of by the Council in such manner as to the Council may seem meet.

Persons who are not law students may attend the lectures on payment of a fee.

71. All persons of good repute not admitted as students as hereinbefore provided may attend the lectures provided for by these Rules on payment to the Secretary of Rs. 75 for each course.

Council to arrange for lectures on special subjects.

72. The Council may arrange for lectures to be delivered occasionally, under the auspices of the Council and in the presence of as many members thereof as may be able conveniently to attend, on special subjects outside the ordinary curriculum, by persons unconnected with the regular staff of Lecturers, and, if necessary, remunerate such persons in such manner as the Council may deem meet.

Students to be engaged in no occupation other than the study of law.

73. Any student who during the period in which he is qualifying for admission as advocate or proctor shall be engaged in any other occupation than the study of the law or the business proper to an advocate or proctor shall not be entitled to be admitted as advocate or proctor, provided that in any particular case the Council may, for sufficient cause, direct otherwise.

Council may furnish a Library.

74. The Council may from time to time purchase legal and other books and papers and book-cases and necessary articles of furniture for the use of students in their Law Library.

Time of opening and closing of buildings of Council.

75. The Secretary may from time to time, with the approval of the Supervising Committee, prescribe the time of opening and closing the Library and other parts of the buildings of the Council.

Roll of Students.

76. A book, called the Roll of Students, shall be kept by the Secretary, in which shall be entered the name and address in full of each student, and the date of his admission as a student, and the name and address of his parent or guardian. Any change of address by a student or his parent or guardian shall be promptly notified by the student to the Secretary.

Vacations.

77. There shall be two vacations in each year, to be called the Easter and the Christmas vacations respectively. The Easter vacation shall begin a week before Good Friday, and last one month; and the Christmas vacation shall begin on the first day of the third week in December, and end on the last day of the second week in January following.

Representations by students.

78. Any student desirous of communicating with the Council should address the Secretary.

Students may be temporarily precluded from entering for examination.

79. A student who presents himself for any examination under these Rules, and whose papers show that he had no reasonable expectation of passing, may, on the fact being reported by the Examiners to the Council, be precluded from entering for the examination again for such time as the Council may determine.

Repeal or alteration of by-laws and rules.

80. No motion for the repeal or alteration of any rule or by-law, or for the introduction of any new rule or by-law, shall be made by any member at any meeting of the Council, unless notice of such motion shall have been given at some previous meeting, or unless notice in writing of at least fourteen days specifying the substance of the motion shall have been given to the Secretary, and the Secretary (whose duty it shall be to do so) shall, as soon as conveniently may be after the receipt of such notice, have circulated the same among the members.

Application of rules.

81. These Rules shall apply to students admitted after the Rules come into operation, and as far as practicable to students admitted before the passing thereof; provided that if, in the case of students admitted before the passing of the said Rules, a strict adherence to any Rule be in the opinion of the Supervising Committee likely to work hardship, the Committee may make such order as it may deem meet, and provided also that these Rules shall not apply to students who have already passed the Final Examinations for the admission of proctors and advocates under the Rules heretofore in force, but who have not yet been so admitted, and that such students do continue to be governed by the Rules heretofore in force.

Rules when to come into operation.

82. These Rules shall come into operation at a date to be fixed by the Council, which shall not be less than one year from the time when the Rules receive Legislative sanction.

FORMS OF CERTIFICATES.

A.—First Examination for the Admission of Proctors. (Rule 32.)

By authority of the Ceylon Incorporated Council of Legal Education, I do hereby certify that — of — has passed the First Examination in Law prescribed for students qualifying themselves for admission as proctors of the Supreme Court (or, as advocates of the Supreme Court).

Dated this — day of —, 1920.

Secretary.

B.—Second Examination in Law for the Admission of Proctors.

(Rule 38.)

By authority of the Ceylon Incorporated Council of Legal Education, I do hereby certify that — of — has passed the Second Examination in Law prescribed for students qualifying themselves for admission as proctors of the Supreme Court (or, as advocates of the Supreme Court).

Dated this — day of —, 1920.

Secretary.

C.—Final Examination in Law for the Admission of Proctors.

(Rule 44.)

By authority of the Ceylon Incorporated Council of Legal Education, I do hereby certify that — of — has passed the Final Examination in Law prescribed for students qualifying themselves for admission as proctors of the Supreme Court (or, as advocates of the Supreme Court).

Dated this — day of —, 1920.

Secretary.

D.—Rules referred to in Rule 46.

(a) Each report should contain the title of the Court, the names of the Judge and the parties, the time and place of trial, and the matter of the charge, cause of action, &c. It should also contain, *inter alia*, a full and methodical statement of the substance of the evidence given as elicited in examination-in-chief, cross-examination, or re-examination, the objections made to the evidence, oral or documentary, the points, if any, argued; the arguments of counsel, and the decisions of the Judge thereon, and ultimate result of the case.

(b) The candidate should underline in the body of his report such portions of the evidence as appear to him to be most material, giving his reasons in the margin in a short note.

(c) Each candidate should append to his reports a declaration that they have been obtained by his personal attendance in Court and as *bona fide* his own composition, without revision or help by another person or from another report.

(d) Foolscap paper should be used, and written on one side only with allowance for a good margin.

(e) The reports should include civil and criminal cases (original and in appeal) in the proportion of 4 to 1.

SCHEDULE REFERRED TO IN RULE 27.

List of Books recommended.

Jurisprudence	{	1. Maine's Ancient Law
		2. Holland's Jurisprudence
Roman Law	..	Sohm's Institutes of Roman Law

Law of Persons,
Property, and Con-
tracts and Torts

1. Vander Linden's Institutes
2. Kotze's VanLeeuwen
3. Grotius' Introduction
4. Voet's Titles on—
 - (a) Purchase and Sale
 - (b) Letting and Hiring
 - (c) Mortgag
 - (d) Donations
 - (e) Fidei Commissa
 - (f) Servitudes
 - (g) Rei Vindicatio
 - (h) Injuries
5. Pollock on Contract
6. Pollock on Torts
7. Chalmer's Bills of Exchange
8. Chalmer's Sale of Goods Act
9. Smith's Mercantile Law
10. Pereira's Institutes of the Laws of Ceylon
11. Local Ordinances

Equity Jurisprudence. . . Snell's Equity
And in the case of advocate students, the following books in addition to the above are recommended:—

Jurisprudence	..	Austin, Vol. I., Lectures 1-6
Roman Law	{	1. Hunter's Roman Law
		2. Moyle's Institutes of Justinian
		1. Maarsdorp's Institutes of Cape Law
		2. Censura Forensis of Van Leeuwen, Pt. I., Bk. II., Ch. I.-V. and Ch. XIV.; Bk. III., Ch. VII. and VIII; Bk. IV., Ch. XII., XVII., XXII., XXXVI., and XXXVII.
Law of Persons, &c. . .	{	3. Modder's Kandyan Law
		4. The Tesawalamai.
International Law	..	Hall's International Law
Constitutional Law	{	1. Anson's Constitutional Law
and Legal History		2. Carter's English Legal History
Equity Jurisprudence		Brett's Leading Cases in Equity

NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

Western Province.

In the Court of Requests of Panadure.

James Kuruppu of Udahamulla in Panadure . . . Plaintiff.
No. 14,998. Vs.

Telge Jeronis Peiris of Katukurunda in Moratuwa . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, June 19, 1920, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 242.75, with legal interest on Rs. 216 from October 10, 1919, till payment in full, viz. :—

At 10 A.M.

1. The soil and trees and the buildings standing thereon of the northern portion of the garden called Madangahawatta *alias* Kottambagahawatta *alias* Koramadangahawatta, situated at Katukurunda in Moratuwa, in the Palle pattu of Salpiti korale; and bounded on the north by a portion of this land belonging to Suaris Peiris, on the east by Panadure river, on the south by a defined portion of this land, and on the west by the old road leading to Panadure; containing in extent 35 20/100 square perches, excluding therefrom 2 coconut trees of the first plantation.

At 10.30 A.M.

2. The soil and trees and every thing standing thereon of the portion of Madangahawatta *alias* Kottambagahawatta *alias* Koramadangahawatta, situated at Katukurunda as aforesaid; and bounded on the north by a portion of this

land belonging to Beminihennedige Prolis Fernando, on the east by Panadure river, on the south by the portion of land belonging to Wannakuwattewaduge Selvestry Fernando, and on the west by the high road; containing in extent 1 rood and 8/100 perches.

At 11 A.M.

3. The soil and trees and buildings standing thereon of the southern $\frac{1}{2}$ of the land called Kottambagahawatta, situated at Katukurunda as aforesaid; and bounded on the north by the remaining $\frac{1}{2}$ share of this land, on the east by the land of Telge Juanis Peiris, on the south by the road leading to the seashore, and on the west by the land belonging to Maduwanage Juwan Fernando; containing in extent 1 rood and 10 44/100 perches.

At 11.30 A.M.

4. The soil and trees and everything standing thereon of the defined 1/10 of the land called Kottambagahawatta, situated at Katukurunda aforesaid; and bounded on the north by the land belonging to Barnadu Pieris, on the east by the high road, on the south by the portion of the same land No. 2 belonging to Telge Juanis Peiris, and on the west by a portion of the same land No. 5 belonging to Wannakuwattewaduge Bastian Fernando; containing in extent 3 roods and 23 perches, and the said properties are subject to the mortgage of Mr. James Kuruppu of Panadure, the plaintiff, for the sum of Rs. 1,500, and interest thereon from the date of mortgage bond No. 7,796 dated March 23, 1917.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, May 19, 1920.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

No 41
In the District Court of Colombo.
K. P. P. S. Perianan Chetty of Sea street in
Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 46,478. Vs.

(1) W. de Silva and his wife (2) Dona Elizabeth,
both of Pahala Pansala road, Kotahena, in
Colombo Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, June 12, 1920,
at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises
the right, title, and interest of the said 2nd defendant in
the following property for the recovery of the sum of
Rs. 612, with interest on Rs. 415 at 30 per cent. per
annum from November 6, 1916, up to date of decree
(December 6, 1916), and thereafter at the legal rate on the
aggregate amount of the decree till payment in full, and
costs of suit, viz. :—

All those two houses and lands bearing Nos. 72 and 73,
situated at Kotahena, Colombo; and bounded on the north
by the property belonging to the estate of Baboon Fernando,
on the east and west by the property belonging to David
Fernando, on the south by the Pahala Pansala road;
containing in extent about 8 perches more or less.

Fiscal's Office, W. DE LIVERA,
Colombo, May 17, 1920. Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

No 41
In the District Court of Colombo.
Abraham Peter Casie Chetty of Jampettah street in
Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 50,045. Vs.

Mrs. A. S. F. Jayesekere *alias* Hewadewage Ranso
Fernando of Kalutara Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, June 16,
1920, at 3 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public
auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the
said defendant in the following property for the recovery
of the sum of Rs. 6,066.01, with legal interest thereon from
April 25, 1918, till payment in full, and costs of suit taxed at
Rs. 507.65, viz. :—

The property bearing assessment No. 17, with the
buildings, trees, and plantations standing thereon, situated
at Barnes place in Cinnamon Gardens, Colombo; and
bounded on the north by Barnes road, on the east by the
portion of land belonging to E. L. Ohlmus, on the south
by lot C known as Gracelyn and lot D known as
Ennsville, on the west by the property known as La
Retreat belonging to J. Jayasinghe; and containing in
extent within these boundaries 1 rood and 26 97/100 square
perches, and registered in volume A, folio 106/5, in the Land
Registry, Colombo.

Fiscal's Office, W. DE LIVERA,
Colombo, May 17, 1920. Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

No 41
In the District Court of Colombo.
Cyril Posnander of Havelock town, Colombo. . . . Plaintiff.
No. 52,530. Vs.

(1) Wediyaga Sophia Fernando and (2) Katuwapitiyage
William Fernando, wife and husband, both of
Muhandiram's lane, Colombo Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, June 15,
1920, at 3.30 in the afternoon, will be sold by
public auction at the premises the following property
mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 166 dated July
17, 1916, and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in
the above action for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,090.62,
with interest on Rs. 1,500 at the rate of 15 per cent. per
annum from March 6, 1919, to the date of decree
(January 19, 1920), and thereafter on the aggregate
amount of the decree at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum
till payment in full, and costs of suit, viz. :—

All that defined portion marked lot A in the plan
No. 1,420 dated June 2, 1913, made by H. G. Dias, Licensed
Surveyor, and filed in partition case No. 33,783 of the
District Court of Colombo, with the buildings thereon, of
the premises called Seyambalagahawatta, bearing assess-
ment Nos. 7 and 7A, situated at Kehelwatta, now called
Muhandiram's lane, within the Municipality and District

of Colombo, Western Province; which said lot is bounded
on the north by Government drain, on the east by lot B
allotted to Wediyaga Solomon Fernando, on the south
by Dhobies' lane, and on the west by a drain separating
the property from premises bearing assessment No. 6 of
K. S. Abeyasekera; containing in extent 9 16/100 square
perches.

Fiscal's Office, W. DE LIVERA,
Colombo, May 18, 1920. Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

No 41
In the District Court of Colombo.
M. P. S. Kathirasan Chetty of Sea street, Colombo. . . Plaintiff.
No. 54,121. Vs.

R. de Silva of Bloemendahl street, Colombo. . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, June 12, 1920,
at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction
at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said
defendant in the following property for the recovery of the
sum of Rs. 2,054.55, with interest thereon at 9 per cent.
per annum from October 22, 1919, till payment in full, and
costs, and less Rs. 6.79, viz. :—

All that land with the buildings standing thereon bearing
assessment No. 24/1694, situated at Bloemendahl street,
within the Municipality of Colombo; bounded on the north
by premises No. 26A and footpath, on the east by Bloemen-
dahl street, on the south by premises bearing assessment
No. 23A said to belong to N. Kethaizer, and on the west by
property belonging to N. Joseph Dias; containing in extent
15 perches more or less.

Fiscal's Office, W. DE LIVERA,
Colombo, May 17, 1920. Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

No 51
In the District Court of Colombo.
S. Karunanayaka of Church street, Slave Island,
Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 54,251. Vs.

Butgamuwage Pabilis Perera of Makola, in the Adikari
pattu of Siyane korale. . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, June 17,
1920, will be sold by public auction at the respective
premises the following property mortgaged with the
plaintiff by bond No. 447 dated January 12, 1915, and
decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action
for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,950, with interest
on Rs. 1,500 at the rate of 20 per cent. per annum
from November 13, 1919, till January 17, 1920, and
thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at
9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs of
suit to be taxed, viz. :—

At 1 P.M.

1. All that portion of land called Gorakagahawatta
alias Weralugahawatta, together with the tiled house and
the trees and plantations thereon, situated at Makola,
in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale, in the District of
Colombo, Western Province; bounded on the north by
the lands of Degurunnehelage Christinahamy and others,
on the east by another portion of the same land belonging
to Pananwala Aratchige Don Aron Appuhamy, on the
south by the field, and on the west by another portion
of the same land belonging to Pananwala Aratchige
Don Aron Appuhamy; and containing in extent about
15 acres 1 rood and 22½ perches.

At 1.30 P.M.

2. All that divided western portion of the land called
Delgahawatta, situated at Makola aforesaid; bounded on
the north by the ditch of the land of Mallika Aratchige
Simmanchi Perera and the rock, on the east by the
portion of this land belonging to Tossinge Jalis Perera,
on the south by the ditch of the land belonging to Don
Abilinu Wijeratna Arachchi, and on the west by the land
belonging to Digurunnehelage Don Simon Abeyaratna;
and containing in extent about 5 acres.

Fiscal's Office, W. DE LIVERA,
Colombo, May 19, 1920. Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Totamuna Kankanamage Sinnappuhamy of Yati-pahuwa in Ratnapura District Plaintiff.

No. 54,461. Vs.

Kahawegurunnanselage Emalia Peiris Hamine and 3 others Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, June 18, 1920, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 1,533 dated June 12, 1916, and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above case for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 28,000, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from December 12, 1919, till payment in full, and costs, viz. :—

All that eastern portion marked B coloured purple in the plan of survey dated December 10, 1890, made by C. H. Leembruggen, Licensed Surveyor, situated at 5th Cross street in the Pettah, within the Municipal limits of the District of Colombo, Western Province, together with the rooms bearing assessment Nos. 61, 62, 63, 64, 64A, and 65; which said eastern portion is bounded on the north by the house No. 14 of the late Dr. J. E. Prins, now belonging to the estate of the late Madona Marikar, on the east by 5th Cross street, on the south by the house No. 16 of Juan Gomes, now the property of Don Cornelis Appuhamy, and on the west by the house No. 15 or lot A coloured pink in the said plan and allotted to Mary Jurgen Ondatjee and others; containing in extent 25 perches according to deed No. 3,565 dated January 28, 1896, attested by W. P. Ranasingha, Notary Public.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, May 19, 1920.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the Court of Requests of Colombo.

M. V. Rani of Regent street, Maradana, Colombo. Plaintiff.

No. 73,065. Vs.

(1) James Fernando and his wife (2) Punchedi Nona, both of No. 15, De Waas lane, Grandpass, Colombo Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, June 15, 1920, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 254, with legal interest thereon from February 26, 1920, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 55.85, viz. :—

All that property bearing assessment No. 17, together with the buildings standing thereon, situated at Parley road, Maradana, within the Municipality and in the District of Colombo, Western Province; and bounded on the north and east by the properties of Kanawathi Pillaiy, on the south by the property of Abdul Rahiman, and on the west by Darley road and the property of Abdul Hamid; containing in extent 10 perches more or less.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, May 17, 1920.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

E. J. Fernando of Beruwala Plaintiff.

No. 7,155. Vs.

(1) Justina Fernando; (2) Michela Silva, both of Maggona Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, June 21, 1920, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 846.48, with legal interest at 9 per cent. per annum from October 19, 1916, till payment in full on Rs. 691.63, viz. :—

1. The entire soil, all the trees, and buildings of the two contiguous lands called Indiriliwala and Toppuwewattepaula belonging to defendants, situate at Maggona, in the District of Kalutara; and bounded on the north by Indirili-ganga, east by high road, south by Toppuwewatta belonging to Busabaduge Justina Fernando, and west by Indirilimodera; and containing in extent about 1 acre and 4 perches.

2. Undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{3}{10}$ shares of the soil and of all the trees (excluding two cart roads and railroad running through the land), together with the distillery and tiled godown-standing thereon of the land called Toppuwewatta, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by $\frac{1}{2}$ portion of this land belonging to Justina Fernando, east by Nawasi-gahawatta and Malimiyawatta, south by Periyatoppuwewatta and Welikoratuwewatta, and west by seashore; and containing in extent about 3 roods.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kalutara, May 18, 1920.

H. SAMERESINGHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Dadaya Karage Albert Fernando of Pattiya in Panadura Plaintiff.

No. 8,293. Vs.

(1) Ranasinghe Aratchige Podihamy and husband
(2) Vithana Aratchige Adoris Appu of Kudawaduwa Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, June 22, 1920, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 1,331.90, with interest on Rs. 1,166.50 at 18 per cent. per annum from August 29, 1918, till November 28, 1918, and thereafter at 9 per cent. on the aggregate till payment in full, viz. :—

The two contiguous portions of Lindamulawatta and Maradanewatta, now forming one land, together with all the trees and buildings thereon, situated at Molligoda; and bounded on the north by Gorakagakurunduwatta and Lindamulawatta, east by Lindamulawatta, south by the cart road and Lindamulawatta, and west by Lindamulawattenawatabodaowita and Gorakagahakurunduwatta; and containing in extent 3 acres and 2 perches according to the figure of survey No. 2,879 dated March 19, 1914, and made by Mr. H. O. Scharenguivel, Licensed Surveyor.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kalutara, May 18, 1920.

H. SAMERESINGHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

H. O. Scharenguivel of Welapura Kalutara Plaintiff.

No. 8,691. Vs.

Bodiyabaduge James Perera Gunaratne of Desestra Kalutara Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, June 15, 1920, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of of Rs. 2,810, with interest on Rs. 2,000 at 12 per cent. per annum from April 12, 1919, till August 29, 1919, and thereafter at 9 per cent. till payment in full, and costs Rs. 143, viz. :—

1. The soil and trees of the defined portion of the land called Amarakoonwatta, being lot B in the figure of survey No. 6,850 dated February 15, 1913, made by Mr. B. M. F. Caldera, Licensed Surveyor, situated at Desestra Kalutara; and bounded on the north by lot A of the same figure of survey, on the east by land appearing in T. P. 65,081 and Ambagahawatta, on the south by reservation of a road, Kosgahawatta, Ambagahawatta, and Mahawatta, and on the west by old road; containing in extent 3 roods and 8 perches.

2. The soil and trees of the portion of land called Halgamaparangiyawatta, situated at Desestra Kalutara, bearing assessment No. 1,325 $\frac{1}{2}$; and bounded on the north and south by two portions of the same land, on the east by old road, and on the west by high road; containing in extent 1 rood $\frac{1}{2}$ perches and the 3 boutique rooms standing thereon.

3. The soil and trees of the defined 39/60 share of the land called Pall.yawatta, being lot A in the figure of survey No. 194 dated March 30, 1914, made by Mr. L. L. de Zouzar, Surveyor, situated at Desestra Kalutara; bounded on the north by lot B of the same figure of survey, on the east by lots B and C of the same figure of survey, on the south by Kalu ganga, and on the west by Ganga-bodawatta; containing in extent 27 3/10 perches, together with the buildings thereon.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kalutara, May 18, 1920.

H. SAMERESINGHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Timbiripolage Aron Peiris of Pallimulla Plaintiff.
No. 8,715. Vs.

Kondagamage Paulis Fernando Kulatilleke, for himself and as administrator of the estate of the deceased Adambarage Emalia de Alwis of Sarikkamulla in Panadure Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, June 19, 1920, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 1,790.47, with interest on Rs. 1,632, with legal interest thereon at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum from May 1, 1919, till payment in full, viz. :—

1. The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ parts of a portion of Moonamalghawatta and of all the trees and buildings thereon, situate at Sarikkamulla in Panadure; and bounded on the north by the water-flowing ditch passing between this land and the portion of land belonging to Panadura Acharige Andris Perera, east by high road from Colombo to Galle, south by Ketakelagahawatta *alias* Kahatagahawatta belonging to the heirs of Vidane Mestrige Thepanis Fernando, and west by Bastian Calderagewatta *alias* Ambagahawatta; and containing in extent 25 perches more or less.

2. The entire soil and all the trees of Hanguruwilawatta and the adjoining field called Hanguruwiladeniya, situated at Pallimulla in Panadure; and bounded on the north by the water-flowing ditch, east by Galpottewatta and field, south by land belonging to Davith de Alwis and the field belonging to Thiyadoris de Silva, west by Panadura-ganga; and containing in extent 4 acres and 17.75 perches as per figure of survey No. 209 dated December 9, 1865.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kalutara, May 18, 1920.

H. SAMERESINGHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

Central Province.

In the District Court of Galle.

D. J. Wimalasurendra of Pussellawa Plaintiff.
No. 15,824. Vs.

(1) Welihinda Badalge Uberis de Silva, (2) ditto Cornelis de Silva, (3) ditto Taegiris de Silva, all of Elliott road, Galle Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, June 15, 1920, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,447.10, less Rs. 1,000 paid already, with legal interest from January 15, 1918, on Rs. 1,442.67, viz. :—

Defendants' life-interest in and to the house and ground marked Nos. 4 and 5, now bearing Municipal assessment Nos. 26 and 27, situate at the new road, Campbell street, now known as Ward street, within the Municipality of Kandy; bounded on the north by the property of M. Jayatillake, east by the property of Don Cornelis Jayatillake, Mudaliyar, south by the new road, Campbell street, and on the west by Castle street; containing in extent 1 rood and 8 perches.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, May 15, 1920.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

Eastern Province.

In the Court of Requests of Batticaloa.

Allayapper Nallatamby of Kallady Plaintiff.
No. 661. Vs.

Seeaitamby Thambimuttupody of Pankudavely. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, June 12, 1920, at about 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

A paddy land called Motuvelikani, belonging to the defendant, situated at Vandarumoolai in Eraur pattu, in the District of Batticaloa, Eastern Province; and bounded on the east by the paddy land belonging to Charly Bartholet and others, west and south by the paddy land belonging to K. O. Veluppillai, and north by the Karachaiveli-aru; containing in extent 23 $\frac{1}{2}$ acres, with inlets, outlets, and all its appurtenances, subject to mortgage and lease of Naraney Sinnatamby of Vandarumoolai. Valued Rs. 2,350.

Judgment Rs. 326.25, with interest on Rs. 300 at 9 per cent. per annum from December 9, 1918, till payment.

Fiscal's Office,
Batticaloa, May 12, 1920.

A. ARIACUTTY,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Trincomalee.

T. Nallatamby of Division No. 1, Trincomalee (dead) Plaintiff.

(1) T. Tankapponnu and (2) T. Tangamuttu of Division No. 1, Trincomalee Substituted Plaintiffs.
No. 646. Vs.

S. Tampapillai of No. 2 Division, Trincomalee, now at Tanjong Malim in Perak, by his attorney Murugasu Vallipuram of Division No. 1, Trincomalee. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, June 12, 1920, at 4 o'clock in the evening, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

Mortgaged Property.

A piece of field containing in extent 33 acres 1 rood and 13 square perches, situated at Poomalaiveddai, attached to Mutur in Koddidiarpattu, Trincomalee District, Eastern Province, being lot No. 88,297 in preliminary plan 3,095; bounded on the east by the lands belonging to the heirs of S. Sanmugampillai and Police Vidhan Anthony, and on all other sides by Crown lands.

The said property is also under secondary mortgage to T. Subramanian of No. 5 Division, Trincomalee.

Writ amount Rs. 1,622.24.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
May 1, 1920.

B. EMMANUEL,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Trincomalee.

S. S. B. Kumarakulasinghe of Trincomalee, now at Mayfield road, Colombo. Plaintiff.

No. 695. Vs.

P. Konamalai of Division No. 3, Trincomalee. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, June 12, 1920, at 10 o'clock in the morning, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

A piece of field called Vathanapodialavarai (lot F 60/1), situated at Mallikaitivu in Koddidiarpattu, Trincomalee District, Eastern Province; bounded on the east by land called Kanankadduvayal, west by field called Pulavankeethu, south by water-course and by the field called Periyakeethuvayal, and on the north by Crown land; containing in extent 20 acres 2 roods and 33 perches.

Writ amount Rs. 1,981.92.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
May 14, 1920.

B. EMMANUEL,
Deputy Fiscal.

No 41/- North-Western Province.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Kana Nana Kana Chena Wairavan Chetty of Kurunegala Plaintiff.

No. 7,520. Vs.

R. H. Horombuwa, Registrar of Marriages, &c.,
Dewamedde Korale Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, June 28, 1920, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

Lindapitiyewattā *alias* Hitinawatta of about 10 kurunies of kurakkan sowing in extent, with the plantations and buildings standing thereon, situate at Piungalla in Dewamedde korale; and bounded on the east by the village limit of Bogammana, south by field, west by the fence of the garden of Ukku Banda and others, and on the north by the fence of the garden of Ukkurala.

Amount to be levied Rs. 440, with further interest on Rs. 200 at 24 per cent. per annum from August 13, 1919, till October 7, 1919, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and poundage.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, May 10, 1920.S. D. SAMARASINHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

A. P. R. K. N. Letchimanen Chetty, Kandy Plaintiff.

No. 25,838. Vs.

(1) Ekanayaka Mudiyanse Ihalā Walauwe Ran Banda Boyagoda, Registrar of Boyagoda in Weuda,
(2) Ran Banda Tennakoon, Kandy Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, July 5, 1920, commencing at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following properties mortgaged by bond No. 4,903 dated November 9, 1916, and attested by J. W. Wickramasinghe of Kandy, Notary Public. The first land will be sold at the risk of the original purchaser :—

1. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that field called Bendisiyambalewekumbura of about 2 pelas and 8 lahas of paddy sowing extent and its adjoining Berawapitiyepillewa of $1\frac{1}{2}$ lahas of kurakkan sowing extent in the whole, situate at Boyagoda, in Weuda korale of the Weuda-wili hatpattu, in the District of Kurunegala, and which said field and pillewa adjoining each other and now form one property; and bounded on the north by the imaniyara of Boyagoda Dissamhatmaya's field, east by the elawella of the field called Bogaha-anga, south by the land called Kuda-aramba belonging to Mudiyanse, and on the west by the elawella of Gurunnehe's field (save and except, however, therefrom the land called Berawapitiyepillewa of $1\frac{1}{2}$ lahas kurakkan sowing).

2. All that field called Dimbula *alias* Dodankumbura of 1 pela of paddy sowing extent, situate at Boyagoda aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Korale Mahatmaya's field, south by the ela of Gahahitiyawakumbura, west also by the limit of Korale Mahatmaya's field, and on the north by the imaniyara of Dimbulekumbura.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share towards the south out of the field called Galahitiyawa of about 16 lahas of paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Boyagoda aforesaid; and which said entire field is bounded on the east by ela, south by the imaniyara of Siyatu's field, west by Berawapitiye-ela, and on the north by the imaniyara of Kiri Banda ex Korala's field and Galahitiyawa-ela.

4. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of share of and in all that field called Potukumbura of 2 pelas of paddy sowing extent in the whole and its adjoining pillewa of about 3 seers of kurakkan sowing extent, situate at Kottamulla in aforesaid korale, and which said field and pillewa are adjoining each other and now form one property; and bounded on the north

by Weladeniyeeawella, east by Kadurugahagodellaweta, south by ela, and on the west by the limit of Gurunnehe's field.

Amount to be levied Rs. 1,946.05, with interest on Rs. 1,770 at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum from October 30, 1917, till payment in full, and poundage.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, May 10, 1920.S. D. SAMARASINHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Lewis Ernest Bande de Bunnottat of Wellawatta . . . Plaintiff.

No. 53,332. Vs.

(1) W. S. Fonseka of Wellawatta, and (2) M. P. Dharmatilleka of Kurunegala Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 3, 1920, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

All these several contiguous allotments of land called Ulpothehenyaya, Kammalabendihenyaya, and Bogahamulahenyaya, situated at Uturuwella in Ihala Otota korale of Hiriyala hatpattu, in the District of Kurunegala; and bounded on the north by the limit of Welangolla, east by Crown land called Ganayahinne, south by the village limit of Nakmattagama and Pahala Uturuwella, and on the west by Talwehera, Warayaya, and Telambiyagama; containing in extent of about 200 acres.

Amount to be levied Rs. 337.80, with interest on Rs. 300 at 12 per cent. per annum from July 2, 1919, to July 30, 1919, and thereafter interest at 9 per cent. per annum on the aggregate amount till payment in full, and costs of suit.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, May 10, 1920.D. S. SAMARASINHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

No 41/- Province of Sabaragamuwa.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Y. A. Ramanathan Chetty of Sea street, Colombo . . . Plaintiff.

No. 50,382. Vs.

C. F. Dharmaratna of Ratnapura Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on June 15, 1920, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 4,108.01, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from June 7, 1918, till payment, and poundage, viz. :—

1. All that estate called Welihinda estate, situate in the villages Bopitiya and Handurukanda; bounded on the north by Karawitagepanguwa, Suduwelideniya, Heenatideniyegodahena, Heenatideniya, Godaliyadda, Godahena, Medawatta, Punchihewalayeucahawattegulara, Thampalagahawatta, Meegahawatta, rubber estate of Rev. Weeraratne, Ambagahadeniya, Udahadigana, Pahaladigana, Pananbendiliyadda, Mawatakumburaswedduma, and stream, east by Welihindawatta, Welihindahena, Udumullehena, and Gansabhawa road, south by Higgahayalakoratuwa, Jambughadeniya, Medadeniyekumbura, Udawatta, Hal-dolatenna, Galdeniyeumbura, Udagaldeniyeuhena, Udagaldeniya, Udagaldeniyeuwattekandana, and Kurunduketiya, west by Kiribatgala village boundary and Wewelketiyemukalana; containing in extent 173 acres and 20 perches according to the survey No. 218 made in December, 1912, by Mr. T. R. Peiris, Licensed Surveyor and Leveller.

The above land has also been seized under D. C., Colombo, writ No. 50,384.

Fiscal's Office,
Ratnapura, May 14, 1920.R. E. D. ABEYRATNA,
Deputy Fiscal.

No 6

In the District Court of Kegalla.

Suna Pana Awenna Arumogan Chetty, by his attorney
Suna Pana Awenna Rakappan of Hingula . . . Plaintiff.
No 4,836. Vs.

Mana William Kangany of Lowke estate, Mawa-
nella . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on July 10, 1920, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

1. The entire land called Atukoralagewatta, in extent 3 acres, situated at Pattagama, in Egodapota Tannipperu pattu of Galboda korale, in the District of Kegalla, of the Province of Sabaragamuwa; and bounded on the east by the Gansabhawa road, on the south by the Lowke rubber estate, on the west by the Crown jungle, and on the north by the limit of Doolgederahena.

2. The entire land called Delgahahinnehena, in extent 6 acres, situated at Epalawa in Egodapota Tannipperu pattu aforesaid; and bounded on the east by rubber estate, on the south by the limit of rubber estate, on the west by the limit of Ehelagahamulahena, and on the north by the field.

3. The entire land called Danduwedawalakadahena, in extent 12 acres, situated at the village aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the rubber estate, on the south by the field, and on the west and north by the land belonging to Karanduponewatta Mahatmaya (Mr. Craib).

4. The entire land called Wataadeniyehena, in extent 3 acres, situated at Galatara in Egodapota Tannipperu pattu aforesaid; and bounded on the east, south, west, and north by the land belonging to Karanduponewatta Mahatmaya (Mr. Craib).

5. The entire land called Galedandamulahena, in extent 4 acres, situated at Asmapala in Egodapota Tannipperu pattu; and bounded on the east by the field, on the south by the rubber estate, on the west by the limit of Kahagollahena, and on the north by field and ela.

6. An undivided $\frac{1}{3}$ share of Kandehena, in extent 3 pelas of paddy sowing, situated at Polwatta in Egodapota pattu of Galboda korale aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Arambehena, on the south by the fence of Tikiri Kankanigewatta, on the west by the road, and on the north by Arambehena.

7. The entire land called Mahakanatehena, in extent 3 pelas of paddy sowing, situated at Padidero in the pattu aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the ditch, on the south by the field, on the west by Mohathagehena, and on the north by the field.

To levy Rs. 4,649.07, with legal interest on Rs. 4,502.82 at 9 per cent., per annum from July 11, 1918, till payment in full.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kegalla, May 12, 1920.

G. WIJETUNGA,
Deputy Fiscal.

No 2/50

In the District Court of Kegalla.

S. M. Tikiri Banda, Korala of Kumbalgama. Plaintiff.
No. 4,871. Vs.

L. B. Bambaradeniya of Willawatura in Gam-
pola . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on June 12, 1920, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

All that field called Palledopela of 2 pelas of paddy sowing extent, situated at Kumbalgama in Tumpalata East in Paranakuru korale, in the Kegalla District, in the Province of Sabaragamuwa; and bounded on the east and south by ela, on the west by Weerakoon Mudiyanseelage kumbura, and on the north by ella.

To levy Rs. 136.04.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kegalla, May 11, 1920.

R. G. WIJETUNGA,
Deputy Fiscal.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

No 4/1748

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Suppiah Selvanayagam, late of the Federated Malay States and of Manipay in Jaffna, deceased.
No. 89.

Kanagasabai Kuddithamby of Nalloor, Jaffna, presently of Yakkala in Henaratgoda . . . Petitioner.

And

(1) Thangamma of Manipay, Jaffna, presently of Somerset estate, Talawakele, (2) Selvanayagam Kardaswamy, (3) Selvanayagam Subramaniam, both of Vaddukoddai East, Vaddukoddai, in Jaffna, (4) Kanapathiyar Ramalingam of Vaddukoddai East . . . Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on March 12, 1920, in the presence of Messrs. Perumalpillai & Chelliah, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 11, 1920, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a brother-in-law of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 12, 1920.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

No 4/2969

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Mahavuduge Henry Charles Perera Senaviratne Jayasuriya of Panadure, deceased.
No. 90.

Wannakuwattewaduge Engeltina Fernando of Dehiwala . . . Petitioner.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on March 16, 1920, in the presence of Mr. H. A. Abeyewardene, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 11, 1920, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 16, 1920.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

No 4/2969

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of the late Richard Samuel Wijeyesekara of Moratuwa, deceased.
No. 100.

Adriel Henry Wijeyesekara of Moratuwa . . . Petitioner.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on March 29, 1920, in the presence of Mr. G. M. Silva, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavits (1)

of the said petitioner dated March 23, 1920, and (2) of the Notary and attesting witnesses dated March 28, 1920, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of the late Richard Samuel Wijeyesekera of Moratuwa, deceased, of which the original has been produced, and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioner is the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate thereof issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 29, 1920. W. WADSWORTH, District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. the late Hettiaratchige Don Anelisi Appuhamy of Siyambalape, in the Adikari-pattu of Siyane korale, deceased.

Hettiaratchige Don Samel Appuhamy of Siyambalape Petitioner.

And

- (1) Heiyantuduwage Matcho Hamy, (2) Hettiaratchige Ana Hamy, and her husband (3) Henaratmohottige Carthelis Appuhamy, (4) Hettiaratchige Don Emis Appuhamy, (5) Hettiaratchige Podina Hamy, (6) Hettiaratchige Don Charles Appuhamy, all of Siyambalape Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on March 29, 1920, in the presence of Mr. D. W. Moonesinghe, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 16, 1920, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 29, 1920. W. WADSWORTH, District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testa- Jurisdiction. ment and Codicil of Henry Alexander Pieris, late of Rose Bank, Barnes place, Cinnamon Gardens, Colombo, deceased.

- (1) Charles of The Durdans, Colpetty, Colombo, (2) Gerard Lionel Cooray of Turret road, Colombo Petitioners.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on April 29, 1920, in the presence of Mr. Arthur Alvis, Proctor, on the part of the petitioners above named; and the affidavits (1) of the said petitioners dated March 29, 1920, and (2) of the attesting Notary dated April 27, 1920, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of the late Henry Alexander Pieris and codicil thereto, of which the originals have been produced and are now deposited in this court, be and the same are hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioners are the executors named in the said will, and that they are entitled to have probate thereof issued to them accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 29, 1920. W. WADSWORTH, District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Koonna Lana Kawanna Cader Minnar No. 111. of Old Moor street, Colombo, deceased.

Mohamed Marikar of Old Moor street in Colombo. Petitioner;

And

- (1) Naina Mohamed Natchia, (2) Sekadi Ammal, wife of (3) Seyed Ahamed, (4) Sarahumma, (5) Mohamed Meera Saibo, (6) Pathumma Beebee, all of Arasona-goripatnam, Srantangitak, Tanjore District, (7) Rawther Naira Mohamado of Old Moor street, Colombo Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on April 29, 1920, in the presence of Messrs. M. R. & M. S. J. Akbar, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated April 29, 1920, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 29, 1920. W. WADSWORTH, District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. the late Cader Tamby Neina Moham- madeo of Old Moor street in Colombo, No. 142. deceased.

Kader Tamby Katchi Mohideen of Old Moor street in Colombo Petitioner.

And

- (1) Seyado Meera Ummia, (2) Cader Tamby Shera Mudaliyar, (3) Cader Tamby Shera Mudalipillai, (4) wife of Neina Mohamado alias Moolipitchi, all of Old Moor street in Colombo, (5) Cader Tamby Mohideen Tamby of Old Moor street in Colombo, (6) Cader Tamby Seyado Fetuna, wife of (7) Meeranpillai Mohideenpillai, both of 2nd Maligakanda lane in Colombo Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 4, 1920, in the presence of Mr. Salahudeen, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 4, 1920, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a brother of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 4, 1920. W. WADSWORTH, District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. the late Peter Albert Fernando of No. 113. Dehiwala, deceased.

Lucia Robertina Fernando of Dehiwala Petitioner.

- (1) Mary Catherine Fernando, (2) Peter Theodore Fernando, (3) Roslin Margaret Fernando, all of Dehiwala Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 5, 1920, in the presence of Mr. S. R. Amerasekera, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the

affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 1, 1920, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 5, 1920.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.
Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Louis Raoul Studolm Bonaparte Wyse of No. 3, Place d'Iena, Paris, France, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 11, 1920, in the presence of Messrs. Julius & Creasy, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Mr. William Burgess Bartlet of Lindula, Ceylon; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 5, 1920, copies of holograph wills of the above-named deceased and Supreme Court's order dated April 28, 1920, having been read : It is ordered that the will of the said deceased, dated October 28, 1916, and January 12, 1920, respectively, of which copies have been produced and are now deposited in this court, be and the same are hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the said petitioner is the attorney in Ceylon of the executor named in the said wills, and that he is entitled to have probate of the said wills issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 11, 1920.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.
Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late William Brooksbank of Colombo, deceased.
Eleanor Brooksbank of Colombo, Petitioner.

And
(1) Edith Brooksbank, (2) Annie Elizabeth Brooksbank, both of Mount Mary, Colombo, Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 10, 1920, in the presence of Mr. A. Alvis, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 7, 1920, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 10, 1920.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.
Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Elsie Mary Craig of Ashton of Mersey, in the County of Chester, England, Spinster, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 11, 1920, in the presence of Mr. Oscar Percy Mount of Colombo, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mr. Ernest Reed Williams of Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 7, 1920, exemplification of probate of the will and codicils of the above-named deceased, power of attorney in favour of the petitioner, and Supreme Court's

order dated April 28, 1920, having been read : It is ordered that the will of the said deceased dated April 16, 1912, of which an exemplification of probate has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the said petitioner is the attorney of the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration, with a copy of the said will annexed, issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 11, 1920.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.
Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Frederick William Orton Modder of Colpetty, Colombo, deceased.

Vivian Carl Modder of Nuwara Eliya, Petitioner.

And

(1) Agnes Cornelia Modder, (2) Leonard Orton Modder, (3) Kathleen Nora Modder, (4) Cornelia May Modder, wife of (5) P. D. A. Mack (Junior), (6) Agnes Linda Modder, all of Colombo, (7) Claude Johnson Modder of East Africa, (8) Vida Muriel Modder, wife of (9) V. C. Potger of Badulla, Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 10, 1920, in the presence of Messrs. Wilson & Kadrigamar, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 12, 1920, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 10, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 10, 1920.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.
Order Nisi.
Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Helamba Aratchigey Don Charles Wijesinha, deceased, of Talpitiya.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on January 6, 1920, in the presence of Messrs. Van Rooyen & Maddey, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Roslin Alexander Wijesinha of Talpitiya; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated November 12, 1919, having been read : It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration issued to her, unless Maddey Nonno Hamy of Kalutara or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before February 26, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

January 6, 1920.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

The date for showing cause against this *Order Nisi* is extended to June 17, 1920.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.
Order Nisi declaring Will proved.
Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Warnakula Arachirallage Don Charles, deceased, of Paiyagala.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on March 4, 1920, in the presence of Mr. Don C. Bertus, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Sattambirallage Pesterallina Perera of Paiyagala :

and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated February 4, 1920, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents—(1) Warnakula Aratchirallage Don Henry Edward, (2) ditto Don Peduru Nolesco, both of Paiyagala, and (3) Sattambirallage Marshal Perera of Maggona—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before April 21, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said 3rd respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 1st and 2nd respondents (minors), unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before April 21, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 4, 1920.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

Time for showing cause is extended to June 2, 1920.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

April 21, 1920.

In the District Court of Nuwara Eliya holden at Hatton.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. the late Robert Thampiyah Solomons, No. 72. deceased, of Hatton.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. W. Bickmore, Esq., District Judge, Nuwara Eliya-Hatton, on May 6, 1920, in the presence of Messrs. Liesching & Lee, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Lily Naysammah Solomons; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 5, 1920, and her petition having been read:

It is ordered that letters of administration to the estate of the said Robert Thampiyah Solomons, deceased, be granted to the petitioner aforesaid, as the widow of the said deceased, unless (1) Mercy Naysaratnam, (2) Herbert, Naysadurai, (3) Elsie Ariaratnam, (4) Lily Thangaratnam, (5) Roberts Selvadurai, (6) Walter Ariadurai, (7) Dulcie Arulratnam, or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that Albert Nallappa Niles of the Ceylon Mercantile Co., Colombo, be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th and 8th minors above named, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 13, 1920.

C. W. BICKMORE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Maddumage Don Hendrick Appu, No. 2,591. deceased, of Malimbada.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before G. P. Keuneman, Esq., District Judge, Matara, on October 29, 1919, in the presence of his own person on the part of the petitioner Madduma Vidanagamachige Don Carolis Appuhamy of Malimbada; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated October 22, 1919, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as brother of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents, viz., (1) Kamburugamawe Vidanagamachige Sedohamy of Malimbada, (2) Maddumage Sinno Appu of ditto, (3) ditto Babunappu of ditto, (4) ditto Aberanappu of ditto, (5) ditto Lokuhamy of Kappagama, (6) Wannachchil:an:anage Don Samel of Malimbada, (7) ditto Don Juwanis of ditto, (8) ditto Podisinnno of ditto, (9) ditto Dona Gimara of Poretota, (10) ditto Kawanihamy of Akurugoda, shall, on or before June 8, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

G. P. KEUNEMAN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Matara.

No. 2,601. In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of Egodagamage Kiriappu, late of Beruwewela, deceased.

Egodagamage Don Bastian Premaratne, Vel-Vidane of Beruwewela, Petitioner.

- (1) Egodagamage Don Jehanis of Beruwewela, (2) ditto Hinnihamy of ditto, (3) ditto Seadoris of Beruwewela, (4) ditto Sannohamy of Kekunewela, (5) Parana Vidane Don Carolis of ditto (6) Egodagamage Don Carolis of Beruwewela, (10) Karagoda Lokugamagay Balahamy of Beruwewela, (11) Wiratunga Aratchige Don Luis of ditto, (12) Kammalgoda Nallaperumagey Dona Adriana of ditto, (13) Wiratunga Aratcheige Sopihamy of ditto, (14) ditto Rosy of ditto, (15) ditto Elohamy of ditto, (16) Wiratunga Aratchige Balahamy of ditto, (17) Beruwewela Piyadassi of Kahagal Vihare, West Giruwa pattu, (18) Wiratunga Aratchige Kaluappu of Beruwewela, (19) Agalakada Liyanagey Nikulas of Kohiliyadde, (20) ditto Heenappu of ditto, (21) ditto Podiappu of ditto, (22) ditto Heenhamy of ditto, (23) ditto Malhamy of ditto, (24) Vidanagamagey Balahamy of Beruwewela. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge, Matara, on December 6, 1919, in the presence of Mr. W. E. Grebe, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Egodagamage Don Bastian Premaratne, Vel-Vidane of Beruwewela; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated November 25, 1919, having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as grandson of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents shall, on or before March 22, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

December 6, 1919. F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

That the date fixed be extended for publication for May 24, 1920.

F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Wanigasekera Aratchige Dingiappu, No. 2,618. hamy of Malana, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on February 21, 1920, in the presence of Mr. Samson Dias, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Don Theodoris Wanigasekera, Vidane Aratchi, Angurugan Ihalawalakada; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated February 18, 1920, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as son of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents, viz., (1) Wanigasekera Aratchige Nanhami and husband (2) Samarasinguna ekeru Liyanage Don Cornelis, both of Malana, (3) Wanigasekera Aratchige Danohami and her husband (4) Epitakaduwegamage Don Andris, both of Karagoda Uyangoda, (5) Don Andrayas Padiyaghe and his wife (6) Leelawathie Wanigasekera, both of Kamburupitiya, (7) Don Davith Wanigasekera, (8) Anulawathie Wanigasekera, (9) Somawathie Wanigasekera, all of Kamburupitiya, shall, on or before March 30, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said 5th respondent above named be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 7th, 8th, and 9th respondents, unless the said respondents shall, on or before March 30, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

February 21, 1920. F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

Extended to June 9, 1920.

F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Vitarane Palliyegurugoy Dingi Appu, No. 2,624. deceased of Parawahera.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on March 24, 1920, in the presence of Mr. S. Kuneman, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Palliyegurugoy Dona Carlina Hamine of Parawahera; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 16, 1920, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as widow of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Vitarane Palliyegurugoy Don Samel, (2) ditto Dona G. Mara, (3) ditto Seadoris, (4) ditto Dona Ciciliana, (5) ditto Emaliamy, (6) ditto Don P. iris, (7) ditto Pochiamy, and (8) Palliyegurugoy Don Andris, Police Officer, of Kakanadma—shall, on or before May 7, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said 8th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* of the 1st to 7th respondents, unless the said respondents shall, on or before May 7, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 24, 1920.

F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

Extended for June 1, 1920.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Don Basan Dodampe Ratnayaka, No. 2,626. deceased of Kongala.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on March 31, 1920, in the presence of Mr. Sampson Dias, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Don Danyal Dodampe Ratnayaka, Police Officer of Kongala, and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 29, 1920, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as son of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents, viz., Dona Prancina Dodampe Ratnayaka and husband (2) Don Juwanis Wijesinha, both of Getamanna, (3) Dona Clara Dodampe Ratnayaka, (4) Cornelis Dissanayaka Sedara, both of Gathare, (5) Dona Carlina, (6) Don Andrayas Abeysiri Samaranyaka, both of Kongala, (7) Don Hendrick Dodampe Ratnayaka of Kongala, (8) Dona Ciciliana Abeywardena Wickremasinha, (9) Nissie Dodampe Ratnayake, (10) Harry Dodampe Ratnayaka, (11) Jimmie Dodampe Ratnayaka, all of Kirinda, (12) Don Paulis Weerawardena Dissanayaka, (13) Willie Weerawardena Dissanayaka, (14) Annie Weerawardena Dissanayaka, (15) Alexander Abeywickromasinha Gunawardena, (16) Andrew Weerawardena Dissanayaka, (17) Harry ditto, (18) Ellie ditto, (19) Regina ditto, (20) Sammie Weerawardena Dissanayaka, (21) Piyadapa ditto, all of Panditaporuwa, shall, on or before May 11, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said 8th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over 9th, 10th, and 11th respondents also the 12th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over 13th, 16th, 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, and 21st respondents above named, unless the said respondents shall, on or before the May 11, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 31, 1920.

F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

Extended to June 4, 1920.

F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Soosai Mariyal, wife of Marisaltamby Theagu Fernandoe of Kayts East, deceased. No. 3,893.

Marisaltamby Theagu Fernandoe of Kayts Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Theagu Fernandoe Antonimuttu of Kayts East, minor, by his guardian *ad litem* the 2nd respondent,
- (2) Mariyampillai Thoraspillai of Kayts East, presently of Spinning and Weaving Mills, Wellawatta, Colombo. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Marisaltamby Theagu Fernandoe of Kayts, praying for letters of administration

to the estate of the above-named deceased Soosai Mariyal, wife of Marisaltamby Theagu Fernandoe, coming on for disposal before Hon. Sir A. Kanagasabai, Kt., District Judge, on March 16, 1920, in the presence of Mr. A. Arumugam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 1, 1919, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the husband of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before April 16, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 22, 1920.

A. KANAGASABAI,
District Judge.

Order Nisi extended for May 27, 1920.

May 6, 1920.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of Jurisdiction. Sumakkuddy Tillaiyampalam of Karaitivu No. 4,118. deceased.

Valliyammai, widow of Thillaiyampalam of Karaitivu West Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Thillaiyampalam Sangarappillai of Karaitivu West, (2) Thillaiyampalam Karalasingam of ditto, (3) Ponnamma, daughter of Thillaiyampalam of ditto, the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd respondents are minors by their guardian *ad litem* Murugar Ramanathan and his wife Parupattipillai of Karaitivu West, (4) Kamachy, daughter of Thillaiyampalam of ditto, (5) Thangamuttu, daughter of Thillaiyampalam of ditto, (6) Rasamma, daughter of Thillaiyampalam of ditto, (7) Chunamma, daughter of Thillaiyampalam of ditto, the 4th, 5th, 6th, and 7th respondents are minors by their guardian *ad litem* Chamugam Arunasalam of Karaitivu West Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner praying that letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on May 4, 1920, in the presence of Mr. K. Kanakasabai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated February 5, 1920, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the widow of the intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the intestate issued to her, unless the respondents or any other person or persons shall, on or before May 25, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 7, 1920.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Valliammai, widow of Sinnatamby of No. 4,142. Suthumalai, deceased.

Sinnatamby Saravanamuttu of Suthumalai Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Nagamuttu Chellappa of Suthumalai, (2) Sivapakkiyam, daughter of Tampiah of ditto, (3) Parasatte widow of Thampiah of ditto. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Sinnatamby Saravanamuttu of Suthumalai, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Valliammai, widow of Sinnatamby of Suthumalai, coming on for disposal before Hon. Sir A. Kanagasabai, District Judge, on April 17, 1920, in the presence of Mr. M. Vythilingam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated January 31, 1920, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the brother of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or

before May 13, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

April 17, 1920.

A. KANAGASABAI,
District Judge.

Time to show cause is extended for May 24, 1920.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Rathnamah wife of Naganather Kandiah No. 4,165. of Vaddukkodai West, deceased.

Naganather Kandiah of Vaddukkodai West... Petitioner.
Vs.

(1) Kandiah Naderajah of Vaddukkodai west, (2) Naganather Sivaknaniam of ditto; the 1st respondent is a minor appearing by his guardian *ad litem* the 2nd respondent..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Naganather Kandiah of Vaddukkodai West, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Rathnamah, wife of Naganather Kandiah, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on April 28, 1920, in the presence of Mr. M. Canapathippillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated March 1, 1920, having been read. It is declared that the petitioner is the widower of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before May 20, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 1, 1920.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

Extended for May 28, 1920.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Sathasivakurukkal Kathirgamakurukkal No. 4,199. of Varany Idaikkurichy in Jaffna, deceased.

Manickavasagakurukkal Subramaniakurukkal of Karampaikurichy in Jaffna..... Petitioner.
Vs.

(1) Thangamuthammah, wife of Manickavasagakurukkal, Subramaniakurukkal of Karampaikurichy, (2) Vethanayagiammah, widow of Sathasivakurukkal Kathirgamakurukkal of Varany Idaikkurichy, (3) Kathirgamakurukkal Sathasivakurukkal of Karaveddi West..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Manickavasagakurukkal Subramaniakurukkal, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Sathasivakurukkal Kathirgamakurukkal, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on April 21, 1920, in the presence of Mr. S. Kandayya, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 19, 1920, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the brother-in-law of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before May 25, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 7, 1920.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Kanagasabai Vairamuttu of Changanai, No. 4,209. deceased.

Ramanather Subramaniam of Changanai Petitioner.
Vs.

(1) Sithamparanachchy, widow of Kanagasabai, (2) Kanagasabai Ponnambalam, and (3) Kanagasabai Kandaiah, all of Changanai Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Ramanather Subramaniam of Changanai, praying for letters of administration

to the estate of the above-named deceased, Kanagasabai Vairamuttu, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on May 6, 1920, in the presence of Mr. M. Canapathippillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated May 4, 1920, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is a creditor of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 11, 1920.

A. KANAGASABAI,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. Sinne Thamby Anthony Pulle of Chilaw, No. 1,286. deceased.

Rosa Maria Perera of Chilaw Petitioner.

And

(1) Elizabeth and her husband (2) Jeramias Silva, (3) Mary and her husband (4) Kanageratna, (5) Jeramias Pulle, (6) Victoria Pulle, (7) Veronica Pulle, all of Chilaw; the 5th, 6th and 7th are minors appearing by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomaraswamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on March 9, 1920, in the presence of Messrs. Cooke & Pandaresekere, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; the petition and affidavit of the said petitioner having been read:

It is ordered that the 1st respondent be and she is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* of the 5th, 6th, and 7th minor respondents for the purposes of this application; and it is further ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled to administer the estate of her late husband Sinne Thamby Anthony Pulle, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 27, 1920, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 6, 1920.

C. COOMARASWAMY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

Order Nisi.

Class A In the Matter of the Estate of the late Tam-
No. 752. methankarage Kiribaba of Meddegama.

Between.

Tammattankarage Kiribaba of Meddegama... Petitioner.

And

(1) Helandage Sundira, (2) Tammattankarage Podisina, (3) ditto Kiribindu, (4) ditto Kirisanta, (5) ditto Hendricha, (6) ditto Podinona, (7) ditto Emanisa, (8) ditto Ukkupina, (9) ditto Kumandira; the 2nd to 7th are minors by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before H. J. V. Ekanayake, Esq., District Judge, Ratnapura, on May 5, 1920, in the presence of Mr. T. Walloppillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated October 21, 1919, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he, as the son of the deceased, is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless sufficient cause be shown to the contrary on May 27, 1920, by the respondents above named or by any other person or persons interested.

May 10, 1920.

J. VANDENBERG,
District Judge.