



Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 7,183 — FRIDAY, JUNE 17, 1921.

Part II.—Legal.

Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.

	PAGE		PAGE		PAGE
Draft Ordinances ..	461	Notices from District and Minor Courts ..	469	Notices in Testamentary Actions ..	476
Passed Ordinances ..	—	Notices in Insolvency Cases ..	469	List of Notaries ..	—
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of the Supreme Court ..	469	Notices of Fiscals' Sales ..	470	Supreme Court Registry Notices ..	—
				Council of Legal Education Notices ..	—

DRAFT ORDINANCES.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance further to amend "The Dog Registration Ordinance, 1901."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient further to amend "The Dog Registration Ordinance, 1901": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Dog Registration (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Amendment of section 5 of the principal Ordinance.

2 Section 5 of the principal Ordinance is hereby amended by inserting at the end of the proviso which was added to the said section by section 2 of Ordinance No. 3 of 1920 the following words : " And that within the limits of the Colombo Municipality the proper authority may charge an annual registration fee not exceeding seven rupees and fifty cents in the case of female dogs, and not exceeding five rupees in the case of male dogs."

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, May 27, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE amendment proposed is the result of a recommendation of the Municipal Commission that the fee be raised not only as a means of additional revenue, but also with the object of ridding Colombo of some dogs which are at present considered a nuisance. This recommendation has been approved by the Municipal Council by resolution.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, April 25, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

**An Ordinance to regulate the Business of dealing
in Old Metal.**

- Preamble.** **W**HEREAS the business of dealers in old metal affords facilities for the disposal of stolen goods, and it is expedient that the same should be regulated in order to diminish such facilities: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :
- Short title.** **1** This Ordinance may be cited as “ The Old Metal Ordinance, No. of 1921.”
- Definitions.** **2** In this Ordinance unless the context otherwise requires—
“ Dealer in old metal ” means any person dealing in, buying, or selling scrap metal, or broken metal, or partly manufactured metal goods, or defaced or old metal goods, or portions of machinery, or old metal of any other kind or description, whether such person deals in such articles exclusively or together with other things ;
“ Old metal ” means the said articles or any of them ;
“ Local authority ” means—
(a) Within any Municipal limits, the Chairman of the Municipal Council ;
(b) In any place outside such limits, the Government Agent of the Province or Assistant Government Agent of the district ;
“ Regulations ” includes forms.
- Dealers to be licensed.** **3** It shall not be lawful for any person to carry on the business of a dealer in old metal unless he is duly licensed under this Ordinance.
- Licenses.** **4** (1) Licenses under this Ordinance shall be granted by and at the discretion of the local authority within whose administrative limits the premises to be licensed are situate.
(2) No such license shall be granted without the previous report of the Superintendent or Assistant Superintendent of Police of the district, and before the expiration of twenty-one days at least after application in writing has been made therefor to the local authority.
(3) Such licenses shall be in the form in schedule I. hereto, and shall be annual licenses terminating on the thirty-first day of December of the year for which they are granted. A fee of ten rupees shall be paid to the local authority for each such license.
(4) The local authority shall keep a register of the licenses so issued, and shall enter therein the number of the license, the name and place of abode of each dealer, and every place of business, warehouse, store, and place of deposit occupied or used by such dealer for the purpose of such business.
(5) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the local authority to grant a license may, within one month after such refusal has been communicated to him, appeal to the Governor in Executive Council, whose decision in the matter shall be final.
- Signboard.** **5** Over one of the principal entrances to the premises licensed under this Ordinance there shall be placed a board, on which shall be printed in legible letters of at least two inches in length the name in full of the person holding such license and the words “ Licensed Dealer in Old Metal.”
- Regulations to be observed by licensed dealer.** **6** (1) Every dealer in old metal who is licensed as aforesaid shall conform to the following regulations, that is to say :
(a) He shall keep a book or books fairly written, and shall enter therein, according to the form No. 1 in schedule II. hereto, an account of all such old metal as he is, or may from time to time become, possessed of, stating in respect of each article the name of the person who purchased or received the same and the price paid therefor, and the time at which and the name of the person from whom

he purchased or received the same, adding, in the case of every such last-mentioned person, a description of his business and place of abode or of business; and he shall also enter in such book or books, according to form No. 2 in the said schedule, an account of all such old metal as he may from time to time sell or dispose of, stating in respect of such old metal the name of the person to whom and the time at which he sold or disposed of the same and the price received therefor, adding a description of his business and place of abode; and every such entry in any such book shall be deemed and taken, unless the contrary is shown, to have been made by or with the authority of the dealer in old metal to whom such book belongs;

- (b) He shall not, by himself or by any other person, purchase or receive any old metal of any description before the hour of seven o'clock in the morning nor after the hour of six o'clock in the evening, nor shall he, by himself or by any other person, purchase or receive old metal of any description from any person apparently under the age of sixteen years, nor shall he employ any servant or apprentice or any other person under the age of twelve years to purchase or receive old metal of any description;
- (c) He shall without delay give notice to the officer on duty at the police station nearest to the place where he carries on business, or if such police station is more than ten miles from such place of business, to the nearest peace officer, of any articles then in his possession, or which may thereafter come into his possession answering the description of any articles which have been stolen, embezzled, or fraudulently obtained, of which printed or written information containing a description of such articles is given to him by any officer of police or peace officer; and
- (d) He shall keep all old metal purchased or received by him without changing the form in which the articles comprising the same were when so purchased, and without disposing of the same in any way, for a period of fifteen days after such articles have been purchased or received.

(2) (a) The Governor in Executive Council may make further regulations for the carrying out of the provisions of this section, and may amend, add to, or revoke any regulations contained in the said section or any such further regulations.

(b) Such further regulations, and any amendments, additions, or revocations of such further regulations or of any regulations contained in this section, shall forthwith be published in the "Government Gazette," and shall thenceforth be as valid and effectual as if they were contained in this Ordinance.

Power of entry and inspection.

7 (1) It shall be lawful for any officer of police, not below the rank of sergeant or any peace officer not below the rank of vidane arachchi, korala, or udaiyar, at any time when the licensed premises are open for business, to inspect any such book as is required to be kept under this Ordinance, or the old metal lying in the premises of any licensee under this Ordinance.

(2) Such licensee, or his representative, or the person in charge of such premises, or the person in whose custody or charge such book or old metal may be, or the person who shall have made any entry in such book with respect to which any such police officer may desire to ask any question, shall permit such officer to inspect such book or old metal, and shall answer all such questions as may be asked by such officer with reference to such book or old metal or to any of the entries or contents of such book.

Possession of old metal and not accounting for it.

8 If old metal is found in the possession or keeping of a person being in His Majesty's service, or in the service of a public department, or being a dealer in old metal, or a pawnbroker within the meaning of any enactments for the time being in force relating to such pawnbrokers, and he is taken or summoned before a Police Court, and the court sees reasonable grounds for believing the old metal found to be, or

to have been, His Majesty's property, then, if such person does not satisfy the court that he came lawfully by the old metal so found, he shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

Criminal possession defined.

9 For the purposes of this Ordinance, old metal shall be deemed to be in the possession or keeping of any person if he knowingly has them in the actual possession or keeping of any other person, or in any house, building, lodging house, apartment, field, or place, open or enclosed, whether occupied by himself or not, and whether the same are so had for his own use or benefit, or for the use or benefit of another.

Penalties.

10 (1) Every person who shall do or omit to do anything which is by this Ordinance or by any regulation made thereunder forbidden or required to be done, or shall assault, resist, oppose, hinder, prevent, or obstruct any person acting under and by the authority of this Ordinance or of any regulation made thereunder, or shall in any way violate, or assist in, or be party to the violation of any of the provisions of this Ordinance or of any regulation made thereunder, or shall be guilty of any offence against this Ordinance, shall, on summary conviction before a Police Magistrate, be liable to a fine not exceeding two hundred rupees, and, in default of payment of such fine, to imprisonment of either description for any term not exceeding six months.

Confiscation.

(2) All old metal seized under the provisions of this Ordinance may be forfeited.

Procedure and appeal.

11 All proceedings before any Police Magistrate under this Ordinance shall be conducted as nearly as may be according to the form of summary procedure, and shall be subject to the appeal provided by "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898."

Police Court to have jurisdiction.

12 (1) Any offence or any breach of regulations under this Ordinance may be inquired into, tried, and determined by any Police Court within whose jurisdiction such offence or breach was committed wholly or in part, and such court shall have jurisdiction to award the maximum punishment prescribed therefor, and to declare and adjudge any old metal seized under the provisions of this Ordinance forfeited, and to condemn the same whatever may be the amount or value thereof, anything in "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898," to the contrary notwithstanding.

(2) The Police Court imposing a fine under this Ordinance may award to an informer any portion not exceeding a moiety thereof which may be actually recovered.

Cancellation of licenses on conviction.

13 When any person licensed under this Ordinance is convicted of any offence against this Ordinance, it shall be lawful for the convicting Magistrate, if he sees fit, to cancel the license of such person.

Repeal.

14 "The Old Metal Ordinance, 1905," is hereby repealed.

SCHEDULE I.

Form of License.

(Section 4.)

A. B., having this day paid the sum of ten rupees, is hereby authorized and licensed to deal in old metal at —, in accordance with the provisions of "The Old Metal Ordinance No. of 1921." This license expires on the Thirty-first day of December, 19—.

C. D.,

Government Agent, or
Assistant Government Agent, or
Chairman of the Municipal Council.

Dated at —, this — day of —, 19—.

Rs. 10.

SCHEDULE II.

Form No. 1.—Entry of Purchases and Receipts of Old Metal.

(Section 6 (a).)

1	2	3	4	5	6
Name of Person who purchased or received.	Name of Person from whom purchased or received.	Business and Place of Abode of Person from whom purchased or received.	Description of Old Metal purchased or received.	Price paid therefor.	Day of Purchase or Receipt and Hour of Day.

Form No. 2.—Entry of Sales of Old Metal.

(Section 6 a)

1	2	3	4	5
Name of Person to whom sold.	Business and Place of Abode or of Business of Person to whom sold.	Description of Old Metal sold.	Price received therefor.	Day and Hour of Sale.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, May 30, 1921.GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

"THE Old Metal Ordinance, 1905," is based on an English Act, 24 & 25 Vict., Chap. 110, which deals with the same subject. The carrying on of this business gives wide opportunities for disposing of stolen goods, and as a result the law in England was amended by the Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907.

"The Old Metal Ordinance, 1905," does not come into operation until a dealer in old metal has been convicted of an offence under that Ordinance, and directed to be registered under its provisions. In the present Bill it is proposed to adopt the requirements of the Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907, and provide for the registration of all dealers in old metal.

The provisions of the Bill are to all intents and purposes similar to those of the existing law, the great difference being that, as required by the English law, all dealers in old metal must, whether they have been convicted or not, be registered.

There is one provision of the Bill to which it may be well to call attention. Under section 7 (1) (e) of "The Old Metal Ordinance, 1905," a dealer must keep all old metal purchased or received by him without changing the form in which the articles comprising the same were when so purchased for a period of forty-eight hours. The Inspector-General of Police has stated that this period is much too short to allow of the necessary inquiries being made, and for that purpose the period has been extended to fifteen days.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, May 7, 1921.H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Treaty of Peace (Austria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 3 of 1921."

Preamble.

WHEREAS His Majesty was pleased, under and by virtue of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, to make the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920 (hereinafter referred to as the Principal Order), which is set forth in the schedule to "The Treaty of Peace (Austria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 3 of 1921":

And whereas His Majesty has in pursuance of the said powers been pleased to amend the Principal Order by the Treaty of Peace (Austria) (Amendment) Order, 1921 (hereinafter referred to as the Amending Order), which is set forth in the schedule to this Ordinance:

And whereas it is expedient to make certain modifications in the Amending Order for the purpose of adapting its provisions to the circumstances of this Colony:

Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Treaty of Peace (Austria) Enforcement (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Application of Amending Order to the Colony.

2 In applying the Amending Order to the Colony, paragraph (xxvii. (a)), as inserted in the Principal Order by the Amending Order, shall read as if the reference to the Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks in such paragraph were to the Registrar as defined in "The Patents Ordinance, 1906," as regards patents, and to the Registrar as defined in "The Designs Ordinance, 1904," as amended by "The Trade Marks and Designs Ordinance, 1906," as regards designs.

SCHEDULE.

At the Court at Buckingham Palace, the 14th day of February, 1921.

Present:

THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY IN COUNCIL.

Whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, His Majesty in Council was pleased to make the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, and it is expedient that the said Order should be amended in manner hereinafter appearing:

Now, therefore, His Majesty, by and with the advice of His Privy Council, is pleased to order, and it is hereby ordered, as follows:—

1. After paragraph (xxvii.) of Article 1 of the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, there shall be inserted the following paragraph:—

(xxvii. (a)) The Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks shall have power, and shall be deemed to have had power, as from the coming into force of the Treaty, in cases where patents and designs are revived under the provisions of Article 259 of the Treaty, to impose such conditions as he may deem reasonably necessary for the protection of persons who have manufactured or made use of the subject-matter of such patents or designs while the rights had lapsed.

2. This Order may be cited as the Treaty of Peace (Austria) (Amendment) Order, 1921; and the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, and this Order may be cited together as the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Orders, 1920 to 1921.

ALMERIC FITZROY.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, May 31, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

UNDER the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, an Order was made to provide for the enforcement of the Treaty with Austria signed at St. Germain-en-Laye on the Tenth day of September, 1919. This Order has been amended by a subsequent Order entitled the Treaty of Peace (Austria) (Amendment) Order, 1921.

The amending Order relates to certain powers which are conferred by it on the Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks in England. In making these provisions applicable to the Colony, it is necessary to substitute the Registrars under the local law dealing with patents, designs, and trade marks for Comptroller-General, and the object of this Bill is to effect that substitution.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, May 12, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 4 of 1921."

Preamble.

WHEREAS His Majesty was pleased, under and by virtue of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, to make the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920 (hereinafter referred to as the Principal Order), which is set forth in the schedule to "The Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 4 of 1921" :

And whereas His Majesty has in pursuance of the said powers been pleased to amend the Principal Order by the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) (Amendment) Order, 1921 (hereinafter referred to as the Amending Order), which is set forth in the schedule to this Ordinance :

And whereas it is expedient to make certain modifications in the Amending Order for the purpose of adapting its provisions to the circumstances of this Colony :

Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Enforcement (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Application of Amending Order to the Colony.

2 In applying the Amending Order to the Colony, paragraph (xx. (a)), as inserted in the Principal Order by the Amending Order, shall read as if the reference to the Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks in such paragraph were to the Registrar as defined in "The Patents Ordinance, 1906," as regards patents, and to the Registrar as defined in "The Designs Ordinance, 1904," as amended by "The Trade Marks and Designs Ordinance, 1906," as regards designs.

SCHEDULE.

At the Court at Buckingham Palace, the 14th day of February, 1921.

Present :

THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY IN COUNCIL.

Whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, His Majesty in Council was pleased to make the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, and it is expedient that the said Order should be amended in manner hereinafter appearing :

Now, therefore, His Majesty, by and with the advice of His Privy Council, is pleased to order, and it is hereby ordered, as follows:—

1. After paragraph (xx.) of Article I of the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, there shall be inserted the following paragraph:—

(xx. (a)) The Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks shall have power, and shall be deemed to have had power, as from the coming into force of the Treaty, in cases where patents and designs are revived under the provisions of Article 191 of the Treaty, to impose such conditions as he may deem reasonably necessary for the protection of persons who have manufactured or made use of the subject-matter of such patents or designs while the rights had lapsed.

2. This Order may be cited as the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) (Amendment) Order, 1921; and the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, and this Order may be cited together as the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Orders, 1920 to 1921.

ALMERIC FITZROY.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, May 31, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

UNDER the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, an Order was made to provide for the enforcement of the Treaty with Bulgaria signed at Neuilly-sur-Seine on the Twenty-seventh day of November, 1919. This Order has been amended by a subsequent Order entitled the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) (Amendment) Order, 1921.

The amending Order relates to certain powers which are conferred by it on the Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks in England. In making these provisions applicable to the Colony, it is necessary to substitute the Registrars under the local law dealing with patents, designs, and trade marks for Comptroller-General, and the object of this Bill is to effect that substitution.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, May 12, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Marriage Registration Ordinance, 1907."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Marriage Registration Ordinance, 1907,": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Marriage Registration (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Amendment of section 7 of the principal Ordinance.

2 Section 7 of the principal Ordinance is amended—

- (a) By striking out the word "Governor" in line 1 and inserting in lieu thereof the words "Registrar-General";
(b) By striking out the words "Registrar-General" in line 10 thereof.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, June 6, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

UNDER section 7 of the principal Ordinance the power of appointment of Registrars of Marriages is conferred upon the Governor. It is thought well, with a view to diminishing the amount of formal work done by the Governor, to provide that the appointments of registration officers should be made by the Registrar-General.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, March 10, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

NOTIFICATIONS OF CRIMINAL SESSIONS.

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for Western Circuit will be holden at the Court-house at Hulftsdorp, Colombo on Monday, July 11, 1921, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, June 11, 1921.

W. DE LIVERA,
for Fiscal, W. P.

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the Districts of Batticaloa and Trincomalee will be holden at the Court-house at Jaffna on Monday, July 4, 1921, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office,
Batticaloa, June 9, 1921.

M. A. ARON,
for Fiscal.

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the Districts of Chilaw and Puttalam will be holden at the Court-house at Colombo on Monday, July 11, 1921, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, June 13, 1921.

S. D. SAMARASINHA,
for Fiscal.

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the Districts of Kegalla and Ratnapura will be holden at the Court-house at Colombo on Monday, July 11, 1921, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office,
Ratnapura, June 14, 1921.

G. F. R. BROWNING,
Fiscal.

DISTRICT AND MINOR COURTS NOTICES.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Special
No. 963.

In the matter of the Pettiagalla Tea Company, Limited, and reduced; and in the matter of the Joint Stock Companies Ordinances, 1861 to 1919.

NOTICE is hereby given that a petition presented to the District Court of Colombo on May 9, 1921, for confirming a special resolution reducing the capital of the Company from Rs. 525,500 divided into 12,550 preference shares of Rs. 10 each and 20,000 ordinary shares of Rs. 20 each to Rs. 325,500 divided into 12,550 preference shares of Rs. 10 each and 20,000 ordinary shares of Rs. 10 each, by cancelling capital which has been lost or is unrepresented by assets to the extent of Rs. 10 a share upon each of the

2,510 ordinary shares, which have been issued and are now outstanding, and by reducing the nominal amount of all the ordinary shares in the Company's capital from Rs. 20 to Rs. 10 a share, is directed to be heard before V. M. Fernando, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 11, 1921, at 11 A.M.

Any creditors or shareholders of the Company desiring to oppose the making of an order for the reduction of the capital of the said Company under the above Ordinances must appear at the time of hearing by himself or his counsel for that purpose.

By order of court, P. H. DE KRETZER,
Colombo, June 13, 1921. Secretary.

NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,030.

In the matter of the insolvency of A. E. Kandiah of Jaffna, presently of Hulftsdorp jail.

WHEREAS the above-named A. E. Kandiah has filed a declaration of insolvency and a petition for the sequestration of his estate under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said A. E. Kandiah insolvent accordingly, and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on July 26, 1921, and on August 9, 1921, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, P. DE KRETZER,
June 13, 1921. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kandy.

No. 1,634. In the matter of the insolvency of Wilfred Abeyaratna Ratwatte of Nagolle in Matale.

NOTICE is hereby given that the meeting to consider the granting of a certificate of conformity to the said insolvent has been adjourned for October 17, 1921.

By order of court, P. MORTIMER,
Kandy, June 13, 1921. Secretary.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 464. In the matter of the insolvency of Edmund Wijesuriya of Dodanduwa.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting in the above matter has been fixed for July 5, 1921, for the examination of the insolvent.

By order of court, C. W. GOONEWARDENE,
Galle, June 7, 1921. Acting Secretary.

NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

S. M. R. M. Balakrishna Ravuther of Sea street,
Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 650 of 1920. Vs.

(1) Kawana Ena Sulaiman and (2) Seyna Moona Abdul Cader, both of Chekku street, Colombo, (3) F. J. Hills of Fort, Colombo, assignee of the insolvent estate of the 1st defendant above named Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, July 14, 1921, at 2 P.M., will be sold by public auction at the premises of R. J. Fernando of Peliyagoda in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale the following movable property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 2,223 dated May 12, 1919, and decreed and ordered to be sold by the order of court dated July 29, 1920, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 17,156.25, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from April 27, 1920, till payment in full, and costs, viz. :—

All that schooner and sailing vessel now in the course of construction, situated and lying at Peliyagoda in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale, in the District of Colombo, together with all and singular the materials, tools, implements, timbers, masts, rails, articles, and things appertaining thereto and now lying in the said premises and that may be brought hereafter for the purpose of completing the construction of the said sailing vessel or schooner, and all the right, title, interest, property, benefit, claim, and demand whatsoever of the 1st defendant in, to, out, or upon the same or any part thereof.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, June 13, 1921.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Simon Tillekeratne of Jawatta in Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 53,954. Vs.

P. J. Casie Chetty of No. 15, Jawatta, Colombo. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, July 7, 1921, at 3.30 in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at No. 402, Jawatta, in Colombo, the following movable property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,626.56, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from December 26, 1919, till payment in full, and costs, viz. :—

One heap pieces plumbago, 1 heap plumbago (inferior), 1 heap mixed plumbago, 1 heap dust plumbago, 56 barrels plumbago, 1 heap dust plumbago, 4 heaps dust plumbago, 1 heap dust plumbago, 28 barrels (inferior) plumbago, 1 balance, 1 machine for grinding plumbago, 1 lot empty barrels.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, June 15, 1921.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the Court of Requests of Negombo.

S. P. L. V. R. Suppramanian Chetty of Kochchikade Plaintiff.
No. 29,373. Vs.

Thammitage Bernardo Perera of Kochchikade. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on July 11, 1921, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

1. The land called Gorakagahawatta and the tiled house standing thereon, situate at Kochchikade in Dunagaha pattu; and bounded on the north and north-west by high road, north-east and east by land belonging to Madigapolalekamage Mareano Fonseka and others, south-east and south by land belonging to Thammitage Pemiano Perera and

others, and on the south-west and west by land belonging to Thammitage Jokino Perera; containing in extent about 1 acre and 1 rood.

2. The contiguous lands called Siyambalagahawatta and the buildings standing thereon, situate at Kochchikade aforesaid; and bounded on the north by land belonging to Gallage Gabriel Peris and others, east by lands belonging to the heirs of Nugegodage Silvestri Silva and others, south by lands belonging to Mr. Francis Paul and others, and on the west by lands belonging to the heirs of Madigapolalekamage Mariano Fonseka and others and road leading to Chilaw; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 25½ perches.

Amount to be levied Rs. 93.51½, with interest on Rs. 73.66½ at 9 per cent. per annum from April 20, 1921, till payment.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,
Negombo, June 14, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Colombo.

J. R. Peter of No. 26, Kayman's Gate, Colombo. Plaintiff.
No. 75,944. Vs.

E. S. W. Senatheraja, Barrister-at-law, Slave Island,
Colombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on July 9, 1921, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

All that undivided ½ share from and out of allotment of land called and known as Domba known as Dombawinnemukulana, situate at Kotah between Udugaha pattu of Hapitigam korale and L. pattu of Alutkuru korale; and bounded on the north south, and west by lands belonging to private individuals containing in extent about 758 acres and 1 rood.

Amount to be levied Rs. 374.70, with interest Rs. 283.15 at 9 per cent. per annum from September 1920, to March 5, 1921, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum on the aggregate amount till payment, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,
Negombo, June 14, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

Central Province.

In the District Court of Kandy.

B. D. Peiris of Kadugannawa Plaintiff.
No. 27,818. Vs.

P. B. Dissanayake of Peradeniya Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 23, 1921, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold at the risk of the original purchaser, Medduma Banda, who bought for and on behalf of Kalugala Dissanayake Jayasundera Wijesundara Mudiyansele Loku Menika and Kuda Menika, by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the defendant in the following property for non-payment of the three-fourth balance purchase amount Rs. 1,312.50, with interest on Rs. 1,074.85 at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum from January 25, 1920, till payment in full, and poundage, viz. :—

(1) All that land called Temburupitiyegederawatta of 3 pelas in paddy sowing extent, situate at Pitunugama, in Gangapalata korale of Udunuwara, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and bounded on the east by Hapugaskumbura, south by Aragodawatta, west by Gansabhawa path, and on the north by Nacciri's garden; and with the house and plantations thereon.

(2) The land called Alugollewatta of 2 pelas paddy sowing in extent, situate at Pitunugama aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Kankanamgoda, south by Kurumdeniya, west by Gansabhawa path, and on the north by Aragodawatta.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, June 14, 1921.

D. J. PERUSINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Kamake Hewage Simon Silva of Pussellawa Plaintiff.
No. 28,431. Vs.

(1) Uyanege Naidehamy of Bowwagama in Nawalapitiya, (2) Edwin Wickramasuriya of Kataluwa in Galle Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, July 22, 1921, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 873·75, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from September 29, 1920, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 113·40, together making the sum of Rs. 987·15, and poundage, viz. :—

All that allotment of land bearing assessment Nos. 163 and 164, containing in extent 3⁴ 19/32 perches as per plan made by Mr. V. J. C. Jonklaas, Licensed Surveyor, situated at Kotmale road, Nawalapitiya, in Pasbage korale of Uda palata in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and bounded on the north by the property owned by Uduma Lebbe Wappu Tamby, on the east by Nawalapitiya-Kotmale road, on the west by Ali Tamby's field, and on the south by George's estate and property owned by Agiris Appu.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, June 13, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Kuna Pana Ana Runa Kuppen Chetty of Kandy . . . Plaintiff.
No. 28,895. Vs.

Muhamado Tamby Idroos Lebbe of No. 28, Colombo street, Kandy Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 16, 1921, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 10,105 dated September 4, 1917, and attested by Mr. E. M. B. Seneviratne of Kandy, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold under the decree entered in the above case for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,755, with legal interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from April 12, 1921, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 169·97½, together making the sum of Rs. 2,924·97½, and poundage, viz. :—

(1) All that land called Mandarehena of about 3 amunams of paddy sowing extent, situated at Alanduwake in Kandu palata of Udunuwera, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and bounded on the east by the village limit of Kirivaula and by Appuwa Constable's land, on the south by the ditch of Garumunigedara Idama and by Tikiri Kolla's land, on the west by Kuruketiyehena, and on the north by the boundary of the land belonging to Appuwa Constable; together with all the plantations and everything thereon.

(2) An undivided ¼ part or share of all those contiguous lands called Yakangewatta of 1 pela of paddy sowing extent and Yakangepitiyehena of 3 pelias of paddy sowing extent, situated at Kirivaula in Meda palata of Udunuwera aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Yakambehena belonging to Narayangedara Dingiri Naide and by Yakangekumbura, on the south by the ditch of Kandampolagedara Ukku Naide's garden, on the west by the ditch, Batadombagaha, Illagaha, and Bulugaha, and on the north by rata ima; together with everything thereon.

(3) All that eastern ½ part or share of 12½ lahas of paddy sowing extent from and out of an allotment of land called Galkadullehena of 2 pelias and 5 lahas of paddy sowing in extent in the whole, situated at Alanduwaka aforesaid; which said eastern ½ part or share is bounded on the east by village limit, on the south by limit of Palaniya's chena, on the west by endaru fence of the western ½ part or share of the said land claimed by Naina Tamby, and on the north by the limit of Rankira's chena; together with all the plantations and everything thereon.

(4) Two-third parts or shares towards the east, containing 1 acre in extent, from and out of all that land called Hiti-galagawahena of 3 pelias of paddy sowing extent in the whole, situated at Alanduwaka aforesaid; and bounded on the east by gan ima, on the south by Mala-ela of Kira's

hena, and on the west and north by the limit of Gammuni-gedarahena; and which said ¾ parts or shares towards the east are bounded on the east by gan ima, on the south by Mala-ela of Kira's hena, on the west by the remaining portion of this land, and on the north by the limit of Gammunigedarahena.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, June 13, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

M. P. V. E. Muttu Palaniappa Chetty of Kandy . . Plaintiff.
No. 28,943. Vs.

(1) R. M. D. Banda and (2) Y. M. D. Banda, both of Melbourne estate, Gampola Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, July 18, 1921, and the following days if found necessary, commencing each day at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,483·90, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from April 12, 1921, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 149·82½, together making the sum of Rs. 1,633·72½, and poundage, viz. :—

All that estate, plantation, and premises called and known as Melbourne estate, comprising the following allotments of land, which adjoin each other, form one property, and from their situation as respects each other can be included in one survey, to wit :—

(a) All that allotment of land called Melbournewatta of 36 acres and 30 perches in extent, situated at Amunupura in Angammana, in Kandukara Ihala korale of Uda palata, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and bounded on the north-east and south-east by Crown land, on the south-west by land described in T. P. 55,899 and by the land reserved for public work, on the west by Crown land, and on the north-west by land described in T. P. plan No. 57,292; together with all the buildings and plantations standing thereon.

(b) All that allotment of land called Telhawadigedera-watta of about 2 pelias of paddy sowing extent, situated at Amunupura aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the limit of Kiriya Vidane's land, on the south by the road leading to pillas and ratanaran tree, on the west by the live fence of Appuwa's land, and on the north by the fence of Palkadamula; together with everything standing thereon.

(c) All that western portion of 3 pelias in extent from and out of an allotment of land called Pinnagollewatta, situated at Amunupura aforesaid; which said western portion of about 3 pelias of paddy sowing extent is bounded on the east by the remaining portion of this land, on the south by deniya, on the west by oya, and on the north by amukotuwa and by agala; together with everything standing thereon.

(d) All that portion of land described as an undivided 1/10 part or share of all that allotment of land called Nittamaluwehena of 8 amunams of paddy sowing extent, situated at Pallegama in Atabage, in Kandukara Ihala korale aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the Mala-ela in Paragamadurayalagehena, on the south by a large milla tree, on the west and north by oya.

(e) All that land described as an undivided portion from and out of the northern portion of 6 pelias of paddy sowing extent from and out of the land called Nittamaluwehena, situated at Pallegama aforesaid; which said northern portion is bounded on the east by the Mala-ela of Paragamaduraya's hena, on the south by the remaining portion of this land, and on the west and north by oya.

(f) All that southern ¼ part or share of 1 amunam paddy sowing extent from and out of all that land called Nittamaluwehena, situated at Watehena in Kandukara Ihala korale aforesaid; which said southern ¼ part or share is bounded on the east by high road, on the south by the land belonging to Thidois Mendis Appahamy, on the west by the land belonging to Gurugalabebile Appuwa, and on the north by the remaining portion of this land belonging to Punchi Banda; together with everything standing thereon.

(g) All that allotment of land called Arachchiyewatta of about 15 lahas of paddy sowing extent, situated at Watehena aforesaid; and bounded on the east by agala, on the

south by Mala-ela, on the west by Amunupure-ela, and on the north by the stone ridge of Sirimalie's land and by a high anthill; together with everything standing thereon.

(h) All that allotment of land called Asweddumehena *alias* Arachchiyewatta of about 1 pelas of paddy sowing extent, situated at Pallegama aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the agala of the land belonging to Sedara, on the south by the stone ridge of the land belonging to Balaya Panividakaraya, on the west by ela, and on the north by Mala-ela; together with everything thereon.

(i) All that western $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of 6 lahas in paddy sowing extent from and out of the land called Arachchiyewatta of 12 lahas paddy sowing extent in the whole, situated at Wattehena aforesaid; which said western $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share is bounded on the east by the remaining portion of this land, on the south by the bank of the land sold to Korala, on the west by ela, and on the north by the Mala-ela of the land belonging to Sedara; together with everything standing thereon.

(j) All that land described as an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share towards the west and north of about 1 pela in paddy sowing extent from and out of all that land called Gederawatta, situated at Pallegama aforesaid; which said $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share is bounded on the east and south by the remaining portion of this land, on the west by agala, and on the north by Mala-ela; together with everything standing thereon.

(k) All that portion of land described as an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of the southern $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of 4 amunams in paddy sowing extent out of all that land called Nittamaluwehena of about 8 amunams of paddy sowing extent, situated at Pallegama aforesaid; which said southern $\frac{1}{2}$ is bounded on the east by the Mala-ela of Poragama Duraya's hena, on the south by the large milla tree, on the west by oya, and on the north by the remaining portion of this land.

(l) All that allotment of land described as an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that eastern $\frac{1}{2}$ part of about 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ pelas in paddy sowing extent from and out of the land called Pinnagollehena of 5 pelas of paddy sowing extent in the whole, situated at Pallegama aforesaid; which said eastern $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share is bounded on the east by the land belonging to Ukkuwa Neketta, on the south by deniya, kumbura, agala, on the west by the remaining portion of this land, and on the north by agala.

(m) All that allotment of land described as an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that northern $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of about 4 amunams in paddy sowing extent from and out of that land called Nittamaluwehena of about 8 amunams in paddy sowing extent in the whole, situated at Pallegama aforesaid; which said northern $\frac{1}{2}$ is bounded on the east by Mala-ela of the chena belonging to Paraghamuwaduraya, on the south by the remaining portion of this land, on the west and north by oya.

(n) All that allotment of land called Nittamaluwehena of 2 amunams of paddy sowing extent, situated at Pallegama aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the kandura of Korallegedera Siyatu's land, by deniya, and by the land called Panwatta, on the south by demata tree and by Mudiyanse's land, on the west by a stream, and on the north by ela.

(o) All that high land of about 1 pela in paddy sowing extent appertaining to the field called Asweddumekumbura, situated at Pallegama aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Asweddumekumbura, on the south by breadfruit tree, on the west and north by a stream; together with everything thereon.

(2) All that field called Peladamulla of 3 pelas of paddy sowing extent, situate at Udagama in Atabaga aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the ela of Daldagekumbura (Asweddumekumbura), on the south by Telhawadiyagederawatta and Kirimetiye-watta, on the west by Milgolakumbura, and on the north by oya.

(3) All that field called Ambakelekumbura of 2 pelas and 5 lahas of paddy sowing extent, situated at Amunupura aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the immaniyara of Appuhamy's field, on the south by stone fence near the mora tree, on the west by the immaniyara of Gallanamulla belonging to Appuhamy, and on the north by the row of arecanut palms and iura of Vidane's kotuwa.

(4) The lower portion of 5 lahas of paddy sowing extent from and out of the field called Gederakumbura, situated at Amunupura aforesaid; which said lower portion is bounded on the east by agala and galweta, on the south by oya, on the west by the limit of Andiwatta, and on the north by kandura.

(5) An undivided 24th part or share of and in all that field called Toladeniyedematagahagodakumbura of 12 lahas of paddy sowing extent, situated at Amunupura aforesaid; and bounded of the east by ella and oya, of the south and west by oya, and on the north by ella.

(6) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that field called Toladeniyekumbura of 12 lahas of paddy sowing extent, situate at Angamma aforesaid; and bounded on the east, south, and west by ela, and on the north by the land belonging to Mr. Comer.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, June 14, 1921.

D. J. PERUSINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

Southern Province.

In the District Court of Galle.

J. B. Samel Silva of Koggala Plaintiff.

No. 17,708. Vs.

P. G. Peeris Silva of Koggala Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, July 11, 1921 at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in all following property, viz. :—

1. An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ of 21/25 parts of lot C of Bakmeegkoratuwa, situate at Koggala; bounded on the north, lot B of the same land, east by Obadakoratuwa *alias* wa south by Obadaowita, and west by Koggala-oya; extent 35.5 perches.

2. An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ of 7/9 parts of the undivided portion on the western side of Moonamalgahawa situate at Koggala; bounded on the north by Koggala-oya, east by Pansalewatta *alias* Kerelagewatta, south by Magaltotawatta, west by Magaltotawatta *alias* owita; extent 1 acre 2 roods and 35 perches.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ of 43/280 parts of Moonamalgahawatta, situate at Koggala; bounded on the north by Koggala-oya, east, south, and west by Moonamalgahawatta; extent 1 rood and 13 perches.

4. An undivided 21/32 parts of Magaltotawatta, situate at Koggala; bounded on the north by Moonamalgahawatta, east by Pansalawatta, south by Koratuwewatta, west by Obadakoratuwewatta and Koggala-oya; extent 8 acres.

5. An undivided 452/6912 of Moonamalgahawatta situate at Koggala; bounded on the north by Koggala-oya, east by Pansalawatta, south and west by Magaltotawatta; extent 1 acre 2 roods and 37 perches.

6. An undivided 43/1344 parts of Moonamalgahawatta, situate at Koggala; bounded on the north by Koggala-oya, east, south, and west by Moonamalgahawatta; extent 1 rood and 13 perches.

7. An undivided 11/32 parts of the land called Magaltotawatta, situate at Koggala; bounded on the north by Moonamalgahawatta, east by Pansalawatta, south by Magaltotawatta, west by Oyabodakoratuwewatta and Koggala-oya; extent 8 acres.

An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the unexpired term of lease for 11 years from date of deed No. 221 dated August 2, 1919, attested by D. Amarasuriya, Notary, of the following property, viz. :—

8. (1) Lot No. 1 of Arumaiyawatta *alias* Arumahannedigewatta, situate at Koggala; bounded on the north and east by Maragahawatta and Punchigudumullewatta, south by lot No. 2 of the same land, and west by land bought from the Crown; extent 23.33 perches.

9. (2) Undivided $\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{24}$ parts of Udumullewatta *alias* Maragahawatta, situate at Koggala; bounded on the north by Kalderanpittaniyawatta, east by Mahagudumullawatta, south by Arumahannedigewatta, and west by Koggala-oya; extent 8 acres.

10. Lot No. 2 of Arumaiyawatta *alias* Arumahandiawatta, situate at Koggala; bounded on the north by lot No. 1, east by Punchigudumullewatta, south by lot No. 3, and west by land acquired from Crown; extent 23·33 perches.

Amount of writ Rs. 356, with legal interest from February 17, 1920, till payment, and costs.

Fiscal's Office,
Galle, June 8, 1921.

M. EDIRIWIRA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

Kariyawasan Ganhewage Charlis Wickramasooriya of
Wangama Plaintiff.

No. 7,450.

Vs.

(1) Ellen Millicent Samaraweera Wijetunga, (2) William Perera Wijetunga, both of Meddewatta.... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on the following dates and at the hours specified below will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following mortgaged property for the recovery of a sum of Rs. 4,137·36, with interest at 9 per cent. on Rs. 2,994 from March 1, 1921, till payment in full:—

Wednesday, July 13, 1921, at 11 A.M.

(1) All that field known as Kendaketiya, situated at Penetiya. in extent 3 acres 1 rood and 29 perches; and bounded on the north by Pinihaddewatta and Kendaketiye-watta, south and west by Crown jungle, east by Welipittaniyawatta and Dolapatiya. Valuation Rs. 2,000.

(2) All that field called Galbodadeniya, situated at Nimalawa, in extent 1 acre 1 rood and 15 perches; and bounded on all the sides by Crown jungle. Valuation Rs. 200.

(3) All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the field called Okandekumbura, situated at Penetiya; and bounded on the north by Meddewatta, east by Kapugodayapadinchiwatta, south by Batadombagahawatta and diwelwatta, and on the west by Polayalhena; containing in extent 1 acre 1 rood and 13 perches. Valuation Rs. 200.

Saturday, July 16, 1921, at 10 A.M.

(4) All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the field called Iriyagahamulana *alias* Amarakoonmulana, situated at Henegama, in extent 6 bags of paddy; and bounded on the north by Wewahena belonging to Crown, east by Welipittaniya and Mulanamulledeniya, south by Mulanawatta *alias* Kurunduwatta belonging to Crown, and west by Aramandeniya and Polarambekumbura. Valuation Rs. 750.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, June 8, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

John Enright of Matara..... Plaintiff.

No. 7,547.

Vs.

Don Adrian Abeywardene Wickremasinha of Kon-gala Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 9, 1921, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following mortgaged property for the recovery of Rs. 343·23, and legal interest from April 1, 1919, on Rs. 445·07, and Fiscal's charges, at the risk of the last purchaser, viz.:—

The entire soil and plantation of the land Elagorakagahawatta and Galgodehena, adjoining each other and forming one property; in extent about 12 kurunies kurakkan sowing, situate at Kirinda; and bounded on the north by the village limit of Udupeellegoda, east by Kajugahakoratuwa, south by Delgahahena, and west by Deniwalekadadeniya, and Deniwalekada.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, June 8, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

John William de Silva Abeygunawardana of Pamb-rana in Matara Plaintiff.

No. 8,463.

Vs.

Don Endoris Wanigasckera Appuhamy of Walgama in Matara Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, July 21, 1921, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public

auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following specially mortgaged property for the recovery of Rs. 2,541·85, with legal interest from December 5, 1920, till payment in full, and Fiscal's charges, viz.:—

1. All that soil and fruit trees of the land called Baduwatta *alias* Kudaluwatta, together with the 15-cubit tiled house standing thereon, situate at Walgama in the Four Gravets of Matara; and bounded on the north by Gamagewatta and Gamagepelaruppa, east by Kurunduwatta, south by rail road, and on the west by Kudaluwelewela and Boleamunemullewatta; containing in extent about 5 acres, Valuation Rs. 5,000.

2. All that soil and fruit trees of the land called Kundaluwelewatta, together with all the buildings standing thereon, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by rail road, east by Kongahawatta, south by Palatuwegewatta, and on the west by Delkadawatta; containing in extent about 4 acres. Valuation Rs. 3,000. Total Rs. 8,000.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Matara, June 14, 1921.

E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

Agnes Abeywardene Jayaweeratne Kodippily of
Meddewatta, Matara Plaintiff.

No. 8,471.

Vs.

Don Adirian Abeywardena Wickramasingha of Kon-gala Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, July 14, 1921, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the following mortgaged property, viz.:—

All the fruit trees and soil of the land called Bulugahamaraketiya and the boiler and the buildings standing thereon, situated at Udupeellegoda, in Kandaboda pattu, Matara District; and bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 162,648, east by land described in plan No. 162,691, Pahalapuhugahahena claimed by H. V. A. Babun and others, Pitadoladeniyekoratuwa and Pitadoladeniya claimed by J. Matheshami, water-course, Ihalawatta claimed by J. Matheshami and others, Yondegigahakoratuwa *alias* Ihalawatta and Pitawatta claimed by J. Don Lewis, and Kapuhena claimed by H. V. A. Babun and others, south by Malgahakoratuwa and Parapamullegewatta claimed by H. K. Dissan Appu and others, and west by lands described in plans Nos. 162,645, 162,644, and 162,693, water-course, and Pelawatta claimed by P. Sugatihami and others; and in extent 27 acres 3 roods and 12 perches. Valuation Rs. 5,000.

Writ amount Rs. 2,580·59, with legal interest on Rs. 2,343·50 from February 4, 1919, till payment in full,

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Matara, June 8, 1921.

E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara

Hewa Visenti Don Bastian de Silva of Denepitiya.. Plaintiff.

No. 8,829.

Vs.

Aisi Lebbe Marikar Muhammadu Lebbe Marikar of the
Old street at Weligama and another..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, July 22, 1921, at 10.15 in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following mortgaged property, viz.:—

(1) The tiled boutique No. 2 of five carpenter's cubits which stands on the west of the corner boutique on the eastern direction adjoining it and facing the south of the land called Bandinaidegewatta *alias* Palliyewattekebella, about $\frac{1}{2}$ acre in extent, situated at the Old street in Weligama; and bounded on the north by Palliyewatta, east by the minor road, south by high road, and west by Karayatottam.

(2) The undivided $\frac{9}{14}$ share of the divided lot A of Udumanpulletottam *alias* Udumanpullegedarawatta and $\frac{9}{14}$ share of buildings thereon, situated at Paranaweediya in Weligama, in extent 1 rood and 32·6 perches; and bounded on the north by Hodihattigewatta, Paranawatta, and Kanattadittottam, east by Kappittawatta, south by Kappittawatta and high road, and on the west by Mandadigewattekoratuwa.

(3) The soil and plantations, exclusive of the planter's $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the 2nd and 3rd plantations, of the land Hodi-hattigewatta, about 3 roods in extent, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Karawaduawatta, east by Paranawatta, south by Mandadigekoratuwa, and on the west by Jaritottam wherein Mammadali resides.

(4) All that tiled boutique of five carpenter's cubits on the west of the adjoining boutique of five carpenter's cubits given to the mosque out of all the boutiques erected on the land Karayatottam, about $\frac{1}{2}$ acre in extent, situated at Paranaweediya in Weligama; and bounded on the north, east, and west by Palliyewatta, and south by high road.

Writ amount Rs. 1,388.88, and thereafter with legal interest on the aggregate amount of Rs. 1,377.48 from July 8, 1920, till payment in full.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, June 13, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

Galappatti Guruge Don Andris de Silva of Ahan-gama Plaintiff.
No. 9,082. Vs.

Dona Cornelia Abeygooneratne Wimala Dharma
Hamine of Uduwa Bajjama and another .. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, July 11, 1921, commencing at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following mortgaged property for the recovery of Rs. 2,515.67, with interest at 9 per cent. on Rs. 2,337.17 from January 12, 1921, till payment, and Fiscal's charges:—

1. The land called Nalawekumbura Bandahariya, in extent 1 rood and 31 perches, situate at Anduwewela in Uduwa, Gangaboda pattu of Matara District, Southern Province; and bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 571,355, south-east by Gunettagekumbura, south-west and north-west by Nalawekumbura.

2. An undivided 27 kurunies of Nalawekumbura, in extent 5 bags of paddy sowing, at Uduwe Bajjama in the said pattu; and bounded on the north by Godapaspela, east by Gunettiyaketiya, south by Kiribathketiya, and on the west by Addarakumbura.

3. The tiled house of 9 cubits on, and the undivided $\frac{4}{5}$ parts of, all the fruit trees and of soil of the land called Naranduawatta, situate at ditto, in extent about 6 acres; and bounded on the north by Oliyakumbura, east by Addarakumbura, south by ela, and on the west by Gal-tiyekumbura, Kekulgemulana, Palaira, and Pimmulana.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, June 8, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

Pelendahewage Babappu Bandara of Polhena in Matara Plaintiff.
No. 9,168. Vs.

Arnis Gunasekera of Polhena in Matara Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, July 19, 1921, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following specially mortgaged property for the recovery of Rs. 996.47 and the Fiscal's charges, viz.:—

1. All the fruit trees and of the soil of lot D of the land called Karunkawatta *alias* PUNCHIKORATUWA, bearing assessment No. 262, situate at Polhena in Matara; and bounded on the north by Talanwilapitakoratuwa, east by lot C of the land Karunkawatta *alias* PUNCHIKORATUWA, south by a lane, and on the west by lot E of the land Karunkawatta *alias* PUNCHIKORATUWA; in extent 1 rood and 5.34 perches. Valuation Rs. 450.

2. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of all the fruit trees and of soil of the land called Kasakaragegederawatta bearing assessment No. 122 (which is subject to the mortgage under bond No. 5,959 of October 16, 1918, attested by J. P. Seneviratne, Notary), situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Talpawelagewatta and Angurugewatta, east by lot C of the land Anakkagewatta *alias* Pitakoratuwa, south by Paulawatta, and on the west by road, Kaluannakkagewatta and Hataunnegepittaniya; in extent 2 roods and 19 perches. Valuation Rs. 300.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of all the fruit trees and of the soil of the land called Alikewatta, bearing assessment No. 134, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by

minor road, east by Odebodawatta, south by Punchedun-nansegewatta, and on the west by Gurunnansegewatta: in extent about 1 acre. Valuation Rs. 400. Total Rs. 1,150.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, June 14, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Galle.

K. C. Juwanis Appu of Galle Plaintiff.
No. 15,484. Vs. Rs. 4/-

Mohottige John Carolis Sedara Appuhamy of Matara Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, July 8, 1921, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 628.71, with legal interest on Rs. 571.09 from November 2, 1917, less Rs. 95.33 recovered.

1. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of Mohottigewellawatta bearing assessment No. 448, situate at Kotuwegoda, in the Four Gravets of Matara District, Southern Province; and bounded on the north by Mohottigepelawatta, east by Koralearamba, south by Giruwagewalauwewatta, and west by Watteyaregewatta; containing in extent about 2 roods, together with the buildings thereon.

2. An undivided $\frac{2}{16}$ part of Segarasayakkaragewatta *alias* Ihalawella-addarawatta, situate at Kotuwegoda aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Wellewatta *alias* Palatupanagewatta and Katuwatta, east by a portion of Segarasayakkarageihalawella-addarawattakebella, south by Welcaddarapara, and west by Pansalawatta *alias* Pinchabaduge Don Christiangeewatta; containing in extent about 2 acres, and the incomplete house standing on the said land.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, June 7, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Tangalla.

Tilakasinghe Don Pedris Plaintiff.
No. 1,834. Vs. Rs. 4/-

Talallegamage Don Allis Appuhamy and others. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 2, 1921, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at this office the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following mortgaged property for the recovery of Rs. 486.48, with legal interest on Rs. 320.50 from May 5, 1921, till payment in full, and Fiscal's charges:—

At Galagama.

(1) An undivided $\frac{25}{108}$ share of the soil and of the plantations, excluding the planter's $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the plantations of Diyagahawatta and the 13-cubit tiled house built by the first defendant thereon, containing in extent about 4 kurunies of kurakkan; and bounded on the north by Bambarawelehena, on the east by Bogahahena, on the south by Diwelwatta, and on the west by Uda-ambalakumbura.

(2) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the soil and of the plantations of the land called Tanigahahepewatta, in extent about 6 acres; and bounded on the north by bogaha, on the east by Kalaotuwawa and mulana, on the south by Emitillahena and Punchediyaheliyahena, and on the west by Galpottihena.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, J. E. SENANAYAKE,
Tangalla, June 6, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

Northern Province.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Perampalam Ponnampalam of Moolai Plaintiff.
No. 13,406. Vs.

(1) Mathavar Kuruswamy of Tolpuram, (2) Mathavar Kanagasundaram of ditto Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, July 20, 1921, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 1,457.04, with interest on Rs. 985 at 9 per cent. per annum from January 7, 1919, until payment in full, and costs of suit being Rs. 183.43; poundage, and charges, viz.:—

An undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ lachams varagu culture of a piece of land situated at Tolpuram in Chankanai parish, Valigamam

West division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Uththalanai, containing or reputed to contain in extent 10 lachams varagu culture, with well and share of well lying on the northern boundary land and cultivated and spontaneous plants; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Muthuoumaru, front of lane, and by the property of Gnanapirakasam, north by the property of Sinnatamby and others, west by the property of Elakuppillai, and south by the property of Mathavar Kandiah and brothers and others.

2. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of a piece of land situated at Tolpuram in Chankanai parish, Valigamam West division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Paralyakaladdy and Seena Navalady, containing or reputed to contain in extent 10 lachams varagu culture, with wells and share of well lying on the northern boundary land, spontaneous and cultivated plants; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by lane and by the property of Naranapillai, north by the property of Naranapillai and Thamu, west by the property of Sivagaman, and south by lane.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share, exclusive of the road passing through, of a piece of land situated at Moolai in Chankanai parish, Valigamam West division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Piddy, containing or reputed to contain in extent 18 lachams paddy culture, with its appurtenances; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Nakalingam and Annamuttu, north by the property of Vaitilingam and Thankamuttu, west by the property of Kandiah, and south by the property of Nakalingam and Annappillai.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, June 10, 1921.

A. VISVANADHAN,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Sinnatamby Aiyathurai of Vannarponnai East . . Plaintiff.
No. 14,829. Vs.

Arunasalam Chelliah of Vannarponnai East . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 16, 1921, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 330, with interest thereon at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum from June 18, 1920, until payment in full, and charges, viz. :—

An undivided 3 lachams varagu culture, with its appurtenances, of a piece of land, situated at Vannarponnai East, in Vannarponnai parish, Jaffna division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Kadduththarai, containing or reputed to contain in extent $4\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture, with house, well, and cultivated plants; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Sinnatamby Ramalingam and shareholders, north by the property of Sinnatamby Ramalingam and shareholders and the property belonging to Mankalavaramadam, west by road, and south by the property of Veerakatty Sinniah.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, June 10, 1921.

A. VISVANADHAN,
Deputy Fiscal.

North-Western Province.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

C. E. Victor S. Corea of Chilaw Plaintiff.
Reference Case No. 14. Vs.

The Assistant Government Agent of Puttalam . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, July 11, 1921, at 4.15 in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said plaintiff in the following property, viz. :—

The land called Baldi idama, with the buildings standing thereon, situate at Puttalam road in Chilaw town; and bounded on the north by land of the heirs of Lena Meena and others, east by road, south by land of the heirs of Muhammadu Casim Mudalai, and west by dewata road; containing in extent about $\frac{1}{2}$ acre.

Amount to be levied Rs. 692.23, and poundage.
Valuation Rs. 1,500.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Chilaw, June 10, 1921.

CHARLES DE SILVA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

S. M. R. M. Muttiah Chetty of Puttalam Plaintiff.
No. 3,376. Vs.

Ibrahim Naina Marikar Ali Tamby Marikar and son
Ana Segaldo Marikar, both of Kalpitiya . . . Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 9, 1921, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

Land called Panaidi Vellaikankany planted with coconut and tobacco, in extent 5 acres, situate at Kuruvankudil in Akkarai pattu in Puttalam District of the North-Western Province; and bounded on the north by the lands belonging to Saianna Mohammado Ali Marikar and K. Abdul Rahiman Marikar and others, east by salt marshy land, south by land belonging to Segu Meedin Meera Saibo Marikar and P. N. Segomu Ramjan and others, and west by Akkarai pattu road. The entirety within these boundaries.

The land called Panaidi Vellaikankany, situate at the aforesaid village, in extent 4 acres; and bounded on the north and south by the lands of Seanna Mohammado Ali Marikar and K. Abdul Rahiman Marikar and others, east by salt marshy land, and west by Akkarai pattu road. The entirety within these boundaries.

Amount of writ Rs. 1,083.15, with legal interest from November 16, 1920.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Puttalam, June 7, 1921.

T. D. PERERA,
Deputy Fiscal.

Province of Uva.

In the District Court of Badulla.

P. G. Hendrick Appu of Haputale Plaintiff.
No. 3,505. Vs.

Puwakpitiya Vidanalage Don Davith Silva of St.
Sebastian estate, Ellatota Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 16, 1921, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 499, with legal interest thereon from September 28, 1920, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 100.90 :—

The tea estate called St. Sebastian estate *alias* Poluhinnawatta of about 30 acres in extent, situated at Ellatota, in Dambawinni palata of Udukinda division of Badulla District; bounded on the east by the land belonging to Rengen of Pinnakettiya and by kandura, north by fields belonging to Arumugam and by Hewaliya, west by lands belonging to William Silva and to Suppiah of Pinnakettiya, south by the field called Poluhinna and by oya.

Fiscal's Office,
Badulla, June 13, 1921.

H. C. WIJESINHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

Province of Sabaragamuwa.

In the District Court of Colombo.

S. K. R. M. R. M. Ramanathan Chetty of Sea street,
Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 54,321. Vs.

(1) Somawathi Rambukpota and her husband (2)
Robert Alexander Dassanayaka, both of Mutwal,
Colombo Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on July 11, 1921, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property specially mortgaged and ordered to be sold by the order to sell issued in the above case for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 9,562.50, with interest on Rs. 6,250 at 24 per cent. per annum from November 18, 1919, to June 17, 1920, and thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs, viz. :—

(1) All that allotment of land called Apelleagoda, situated in the village Getahetta in the Palle pattu of Kuruwiti korale; and bounded on the north by Andapennagala, Niyakolaladdehena, and Tennapitahena, east by Welangala, south-east by Wellanbala and Acharigehena, south-west by Ambamulla and Nagoda-ella *alias* Panan-iri-ella and agala, north-west by Getahetta-ella and Nagoda-ella; containing

in extent 158 acres 2 roods and 4 perches according to the figure of survey dated October 10, 1887, made by Lionel E. Markus, Surveyor.

Fiscal's Office,
Ratnapura June 10, 1921.

R. E. D. ABEYARATNA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

P. R. K. N. Nallaperumal Chetty of Sea street,
Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 1,474. Vs.

Pana Lana Nawanna Nagappa Pillai of Rambuk-
kana Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on July 11, 1921, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the boutique of the defendant the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :-

One jakwood glass almirah, 309 yards chintz cloth (good quality), 65½ yards No. 2 chintz cloth, 210 yards No. 3 chintz cloth, 90 yards brilliant chintz, 102 yards chintz cloth, 108 yards Bombay chintz cloth, 13 yards silk cloth (Cochin), 22 yards matte, 29 yards tussore cloth, 20 yards alan, 9½ yards popplin, 25½ yards muslin, 63½ yards Cananore (double width), 3 yards Cananore tweed (double width), 27½ yards sack linen, 19 yards Singer, 1 yard Cananore, 9 sarongs, 34 Badulla sarongs, 27 Madapalam camboy cloths, 17 Madapalam camboy cloths, 13½ pairs Madapalam camboys, 9½ pairs Madapalam camboys, 5½ pairs Madapalam

camboys, 16 pairs Madapalam camboys, 9 pairs Madapalam camboys, 8½ pairs Madapalam camboys, 7½ pairs Madapalam camboys, 8 pairs Madapalam camboys, 10 pairs Madapalam camboys, 6 pairs Madapalam camboys, 6½ pairs Madapalam camboys, 13 pieces Madapalam cloths, 35 camboy sarong cloths, 11 camboys (five cubits), 19 pairs Pullasum camboys, 13 sarongs (black), 98 handkerchiefs, 24 red handkerchiefs, 10 handkerchiefs, 1 Madras sarong, 1 jakwood glass almirah, 7½ scores (kodi) Badoor cloths, 2 camboys (Palekattu), 23 camboys, 26 camboys (inferior quality), 3 scores (kodi) camboys, 25 Malay sarongs, 6 dozens handkerchiefs, 40 (4 cubits each) Madras handkerchiefs, 13 Madras handkerchiefs, 6 Madras handkerchiefs, 4½ dozens handkerchiefs (striped), 2 black handkerchiefs, 5 small handkerchiefs, 35 yards white cloths, 1 jakwood till (small), 55 cents amount in the till, 1 teak and jakwood glass almirah, 21 dozens handkerchiefs (ladies), 10 wool shawls, 1 dozen handkerchiefs (ladies), 2 silk shawls, 4 dozens white banians (best quality), 4½ dozens banians (inferior quality), 1½ dozens banians (with round collars), ¾ dozens gauze banians, ½ dozen green banians, 1½ dozens banians, 1½ dozen banians, 5 Tamil sela cloths (red), 2 (pieces of 10 yards) muslin cloths, 3 waity cloths, 9½ (4 cubits each) cloths, 1 shirt (with collar).

To levy Rs. 3,534.32, with interest thereon at the rate of 15 per cent. per annum from June 2, 1921.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kegall, June 13, 1921.

R. G. WIJETUNGA,
Deputy Fiscal.

I, JOHN GEORGE FRASER, Fiscal for the Western Province, do hereby appoint Mr. C. Perera to be Marshal for the District of Panadure under provisions of Fiscal's Ordinance No. 4 of 1867, and authorize him to perform the duties and exercise the authority of Marshal for June 20, 1921, for which this shall be his warrant.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, June 13, 1921.

J. G. FRASER,
Fiscal.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Ranasinghe Aratchige Arnolis Perera of Parakandeniya, in the Meda pattu of Siyane korale, deceased.
No. 403.
Class II.
Rs. 3,416.95.

Galpottege Misia Pereira Hamine of Parakandeniya in Meda pattu of Siyane korale Petitioner.

(1) Ranasinghe Aratchige Arnel Perera, (2) Ranasinghe Aratchige Manuel Perera, (3) Ranasinghe Aratchige Emily Perera, minors, appearing by their guardian *ad litem* the 4th respondent (4) Ranasinghe Aratchige Abraham Perera, all of Parakandeniya aforesaid Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. S. de Saram, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 2, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. C. Abeyewardena, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 21, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 2, 1921. W. S. DE SARAM, Acting District Judge.

The date for showing cause against this Order Nisi is extended to June 23, 1921.

W. S. DE SARAM,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order absolute in the first instance declaring Will proved.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Uduma Lebbe Marikar Hadjiar Aysa Umma, deceased, of Colombo.
No. 439.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. M. Fernando, Esq., Additional District Judge, Colombo, on

June 2, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. F. J. & G. de Saram Proctors for Idroos Lebbe Marikar Hadjiar Abdul Hamid of No. 130, Colpetty, Colombo, petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 18, 1921, and of Sinn Lebbe Marikar Mohamado Hadjiar of Colombo, the surviving witness of the will of the said deceased dated May 27, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Uduma Lebbe Marikar Hadjiar Aysa Umma, deceased, dated September 11, 1911 and now deposited in this court be and the same is hereby declared proved:

It is further ordered that the said Idroos Lebbe Marikar Hadjiar Abdul Hamid is the executor named in the said will, and that probate of the said will be issued to him accordingly.

June 6, 1921.

V. M. FERNANDO,
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Effects of Edirisinghe Baba Sinno of Colombo, deceased.
No. 443.
Class I.
Rs. 1,939.80.

Edirisinghe Samel of Godagama, in the Udugaha pattu of Siyane korale Petitioner.

And

(1) Edirisinghe Appusingho, (2) Edirisinghe Welun Singho, (3) Edirisinghe Hendrick Singho, (4) Leudenipati rennehalage Arnolis, (5) Leudenipati rennehalage Hendrick, all of Godagama aforesaid, (6) Leudenipati rennehalage Andris Appu, (7) Leudenipati rennehalage Amaris Appu, (8) Leudenipati rennehalage Migel Appu, (9) ditto Rapiel Sinno, (10) ditto James Sinno, (11) ditto Pinhamy, (12) ditto Jacolis Sinno, all of Makkanigoda, in the Udugaha pattu of Hapitigam korale Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. M. Fernando, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on June 7,

1921, in the presence of Mr. D. A. J. Goonewardhane, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated June 2, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a brother of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before July 7, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

V. M. FERNANDO,
District Judge.

June 7, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate and Effects of Padukke Vidanelage Don Abraham Appuhamy of Arukwatta, in the Meda pattu of Hewagam korale, deceased.

No. 444.
Class. III.
Rs. 8,048.

Padukke Vidanelage Don Brampi Appuhamy of Arukwatta aforesaid Petitioner.

And

- (1) Padukke Vidanelage Podi Nona Hamine, wife of
(2) Pathberiyage Don Philip Appuhamy. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. M. Fernando, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on June 7, 1921, in the presence of Mr. D. A. J. Goonewardhane, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated June 2, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 30, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 7, 1921.

V. M. FERNANDO,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Joint Last Will and Testament of John Henry Gerhard and Eliza Jurgan Gerhard, both of No. 23, Jampettah street, Colombo, deceased.

No. 1,101.
Class IV.
Rs. 37,500.

Elhan Ondaatje of Kegalla Petitioner.

And

- (1) Philip Francis Ondaatje of Kegalla, presently in England, (2) Charlotte Magdalene Dickman and her husband (3) Henry Theodore Dickman, both of Nuwara Eliya, (4) Ada Frances Ondaatje of Havelock town, (5) Eva Ethel Conderlag and her husband (6) Wilfred Prins Conderlag, both of Havelock town, (7) Florence Morgan Ondaatje of Havelock town, (8) Lucy Tocke, widow of M. W. Tocke of Bandarawela, (9) Eva van Dort and her husband (10) Daniel van Dort of Wellawatta, (11) Fanny Woodington, widow of J. Woodington of King's Circle, Matunga, Bombay Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. M. Fernando, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on June 2, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. de Vos & Gratiaen, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 23, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the joint last will of the late John Henry Gerhard and Eliza Jurgan Gerhard of Colombo, deceased, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioner is one of the heirs named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration *de bonis non* (with will annexed) issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 30, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

V. M. FERNANDO,
District Judge.

June 2, 1921.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved, &c.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Beminahennedige Barbara Peiris, deceased of Pattiya North, in Panadure.

THIS action coming on for disposal before J. C. W. Rock, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on March 31, 1921, in the presence of Mr. M. H. Jayatileke, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Henedige Chedin Lionel Fernando of Pattiya North in Panadure; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 22, 1921, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the grandson of above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to the estate of the said deceased issued to him, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. C. W. ROCK,
District Judge.

March 31, 1921.

The date for showing cause against the above *Order Nisi* is extended to June 30, 1921.

W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved, &c.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Ponnahennedige Domingo Dias, deceased of Panadure.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. H. B. Carbery, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on May 19, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Fernando & Fernando, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Mututantirige Duvisina Leanora Dias *nee* Cooray of Panadure; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 18, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to the estate of the said deceased issued to her, unless the respondents—(1) Dombagahapatirige Joselin Trixy Peiris and her husband (2) Vidanelage Gabriel de Mel, (3) Dombagahapatirige Daniel Peiris, (4) ditto Martin Peiris, all of Horetuduwa, (5) Ponnahennedige Domingo Thomas Dias of Panadure, (6) ditto Arthur Edwin Dias of ditto, (7) ditto Dora Charlotte Dias of ditto, (8) Mahamarakkalage Moppe Charlotte Dias of Wekada in Panadure, (9) ditto Nanny Leanora Dias and her husband (10) Lewishennedige Jacoris Fernando, both of Wekada, (11) Mahamarakkalage Leon Dias of Morawinna, (12) ditto Kirthi Siri Abeyagami Dias, (13) ditto Kusuma Nandavathi Dias, (14) Appuhennedige Grace Dias, all of Morawinna, (15) Sarikkulige Seemon Fernando of Walana in Panadure, (16) ditto David Solomon Fernando of Panadure, (17) ditto Arthur Abraham Fernando of Walana, (18) Merennage Adeline Salgado and her husband (19) Appuhennedige Don Aron de Silva, both of Matale, (20) Merennage Grace Eugina Salgado, and her husband (21) Ponnahennedige Arthur Vincent Dias, both of Panadure, (22) Merennage Walter Salgado of Panadure, (23) ditto Richard Salgado of ditto, (24) ditto Raney Emely Salgado of Panadure and her husband (25) Ponnahennedige Alfred Lewis Dias of ditto, (26) Merennage Jane Missina Salgado of ditto, (27) Ponnahennedige Jane Isabella Salgado and her husband (28) Merennage Manuel Haramanis Salgado, both of Panadure— or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 23, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said 14th respondent be and she is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 12th and 13th respondents and the 22nd respondent over the 26th respondent for all the purposes of this action, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 23, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

May 19, 1921.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Cader Marikkar Notari Mohamadu Ossen Marikkar, deceased, of Maligahena in Beruwala.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. H. B. Carbery, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on May 24, 1921

in the presence of Mr. C. E. Hepponstall, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mohamado Ossen Marikkar Ahamed Jamaldeen of Maligahena in Beruwala; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 9, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby duly declared entitled, as the eldest son of the second bed of the said deceased, to have letters of administration to the estate issued to him, unless the respondents—(1) Mohamado Ossen Marikkar Sainadeen Marikkar, (2) Ahamado Lebbe Marikkar Maria Muttu Natchia, (3) Mohamado Ossen Marikkar Omsudeen Marikkar, (4) Mohamado Ossen Marikkar Shahabdeen Marikkar, (5) Mohamado Ossen Marikkar Gaija Umma, wife of (6) Mohamado Lebbe Abdul Cader Marikkar, (7) Mohamado Ossen Marikkar Rahuma Umma, wife of (8) Cassim Lebbe Marikkar Abdul Karim Marikkar, (9) Mahomado Ossen Marikkar Saffera Umma, (10) Mohamado Ossen Marikkar Sahadattu Umma, (11) Mohamado Sali Marikkar Amina Umma, (12) Mohamado Sali Marikkar Abdul Mohid; the 11th and 12th respondents appearing by their guardian (13) Sultan Marikkar Mohamado Sali, all of Maligahena in Beruwala—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 27, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary:

It is further declared that the said 13th respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 11th and 12th respondents, minors, for all the purposes of this action, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 27, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 24, 1921.

W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Kuna Pana Rawanna Mana Ana
No. 1909. Vena Vengadasalam Chetty, deceased, of
Paganeri in India.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. T. Stace, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on April 26, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Amarasinghe & Ranasinghe Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Kuna Pana Rawanna Mana Ana Vena Ramanaden Chetty of Paganeri in India, presently of Negombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated January 28, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a son of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the deceased above named, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Theiwansaiachchi, widow of the late Kuna Pana Rawanna Mana Ana Vena Vengadasalam Chetty of Paganeri, (2) Sethai Achchi, wife of (3) Kana Nana Kana Rana Kana Kannappa Chetty, both of Madagupatty, (4) Ana Vena Savanna Saminaden Chetty of Paganeri, (5) Sithalachchi, wife of Seena Wana Layna Narayanan Chetty, both of Alawacottai, (7) Saththammai Achchi, (8) Arunasalam Chetty, both of Paganeri, all in Ramanadapuram District in India; 7th and 8th respondents by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 25, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 26, 1921.

W. T. STACE,
District Judge.

The date for showing cause against this Order Nisi is extended till June 21, 1921.

May 25, 1921.

W. T. STACE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Malnaidelage Hendrick Fernando of 3rd
No. 1,933. Division, Kurana, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. T. Stace, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on May 28, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. de Zoysa & Perera, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Pasqualge Laura Emalia Fernando of 3rd Division, Kurana; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 11, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the said deceased above named,

and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly unless the respondents—Malnaidelage Emalianu Fernando, guardian *ad litem*, over (1) Malnaidelage Mary Agnes Fernando and (2) Ditto Isteven Fernando, both of 3rd Division, Kurana—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 23, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 28, 1921.

W. T. STACE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Pattage Sothar Fernando of Kalu-
No. 1,935. irippuwa, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. T. Stace, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on May 31, 1921, in the presence of Mr. J. P. A. Caldera, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Warnakulasuriya Rosalin Fernando of Kalu-irippuwa; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 31, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the deceased above named, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Pattage Paulis Fernando and (2) ditto Stegu Fernando, both of Katana, or any other person or persons interested—shall, on or before June 24, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 31, 1921.

W. T. STACE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late D
Jurisdiction. Lucia Weeraratna Jayasekara, decea-
No. 5,320. of Galupiadde.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on January 31, 1921, in the presence of Mr. G. E. Abeywardena, jr., on the part of the petitioner Sarukkali Patabendige Charles de Silva; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated January 26, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the 5th respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over 1st to 4th minor respondents, unless the respondents—(1) Sarukkali Patabendige Titus, (2) ditto Adelina, (3) ditto Hector, (4) ditto Arthur (5) Balapitiyege Siman, all of Galupiadde—or any others interested shall, on or before June 2, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said S. P. Charles de Silva is, as husband of the said deceased, entitled to administer her estate to have letters of administration of the same be issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any others interested shall, on or before June 2, 1921, show cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

January 31, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

The date for showing cause is extended for the 23rd instant.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Kalupahanage Sinno Appu de Silva,
No. 5,351. deceased, of Dodanduwa.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on April 25, 1921, in the presence of Mr. W. E. Weerasooriya, Proctor, on the part of the petitioners Kalupahanage Balahamy and Goluwa-marakkalage Aberanhamy; and the affidavit of the 2nd petitioner dated April 22, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the 2nd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over 5th and 6th minor respondents, unless the respondents—(1) Kalupahanage Balahamy, (2) Hewage Charles Appu, (3) Kalupahanage Elice, (4) Balage Cornelis Silva, (5) Kalupahanage John Sinno, (6) ditto Podi Sinno—or any others interested shall, on or before May 26, 1921,

show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary; and Kalupahanage Balahamy and Goluwamarakalage Aberanhamy are, as sister and brother-in-law of the deceased, entitled to administer his estate and to have letters of administration of the same issued to them accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any others interested shall, on or before May 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 25, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

Date in the *Order Nisi* extended to June 23, 1921.

May 26, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Dinetti Jurisdiction. Sarolis de Silva, deceased, of Bussa. No. 5,358.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on May 9, 1921, in the presence of Mr. W. P. Amarasinghe, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mahadura Laisohamy; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 29, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the 1st respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over 2nd respondent, and 3rd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over 4th to 7th minor respondents, unless the respondents—(1) Bandurakada Charles Appu, (2) ditto Sawneris Appu, (3) Wijemuni Don Asappu de Silva, (4) ditto Thawesharry, (5) ditto Puchi Mahatmaya, (6) ditto Hinni Mahatmaya, (7) ditto Balamahatraya, (8) Dinetti Maysohamy, (9) Dinetti Araliyashamy, (10) Pasqualhandi Ayris Mendis, (11) Dinetti Carlinahamy, (12) Mahadura Daniel Mendis, (13) Dinetti Viyanerishamy, (14) ditto Emanis Appu, all of Bussa—or any others interested shall, on or before June 9, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Mahadura Laisohamy is, as widow of the deceased, entitled to administer his estate and to have letters of administration of the same issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any others interested shall, on or before June 9, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 9, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

Extended to June 30, 1921.

June 9, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Pallimulle Kapugamage Odiris, deceased, No. 2,731. of Wewaihalagoda in Makawita.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. H. B. Carbery, Esq., District Judge, Matara, on May 6, 1921, in the presence of Mr. R. B. Gooneratne, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Pallimulle Kapugamage Babahamy of Makawita; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 20, 1921, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as widow of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Pallimulle Kapugamage James Appu of Makawita, (2) ditto Dingihamy of ditto, (3) ditto Adiriyansinno of ditto, (4) ditto Puchihamy of ditto, (5) ditto Bempisinno of ditto, (6) ditto Darsin Jinadasa of ditto, (7) ditto Belin Sirisena of ditto, (8) Sugatha Nanathissa Terunnase of Randomba, Ambalangoda, and (9) Abe-sinha Asaneris Appu of Makawita—shall, on or before June 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 6, 1921.

W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Hewa Palatuwege Don Davith *alias* No. 2,698. Heenappu, deceased, of Urugamuwa.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before O. H. de Kretser, Esq., Acting District Judge, Matara, on December 5, 1921, in the presence of Mr. J. T. Wirasinha, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Hewa Yaddheige Dingihami of Urugamuwa; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated November 16, 1920, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as widow of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents, viz., (1) Hewa Palatuwege Babyhami of Tihagoda, (2) ditto Podihamy of Kadduwa, (3) ditto Hinnihamy of Urugamuwa, (4) ditto Don Deonis of ditto, (5) ditto Dona Gimara Katherinahami of ditto, (6) ditto Andreas of ditto, (7) ditto Barton of ditto, (8) ditto Deonis of ditto, shall, on or before March 3, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said 4th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors, the 6th, 7th, and 8th respondents, unless the said respondents shall, on or before March 3, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

December 5, 1920.

G. P. KEUNEMAN,
District Judge.

Order Nisi extended and re-issued for May 18, 1921.

W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

Order Nisi extended and re-issued for July 6, 1921.

C. W. BICKMORE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Paramu Kanther of Karaitivu East, No. 4,381. deceased.

Visuvar Saravanai of Karaitivu EastPetitioner.
Vs.

(1) Kanther Murugesu of Karaitivu East, presently of Leper Asylum, Pulan Terijah, *vid* Penang, (2) Kanther Kanapathippillai, (3) Sivakami, daughter of Kanther, and (4) Sanmugam Ampalavaner, all of Karaitivu East; the 2nd and 3rd respondents are minors appearing by their guardians *ad litem* 1st and 4th respondents, respectively.....Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Visuvar Saravanai of Karaitivu East, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-name deceased Paramu Kanther of Karaitivu East, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on May 17, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. V. Kulasingam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated November 15, 1920, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the cousin of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before June 17, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 1, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Vairavanather Sangarapillai of Thirunelvely, deceased. No. 4,493.

Sangarapillai Ponnampalam of ThirunelvelyPetitioner.
Vs.

(1) Sangarapillai Sinnathambay of Thirunelvely, (2) C. S. Selvanayagam and wife (3) Nagamuttupillai of ditto.....Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Sangarapillai Ponnampalam of Thirunelvely, praying for letters of administration

to the estate of the above-named deceased Vairavanather Sangarapillai of Thirunelvely, coming on for disposal before J. H. Vanniasinkam, Esq., District Judge, on May 25, 1921, in the presence of Mr. K. Sivapirakasam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 27, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the sole heir of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before June 21, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 6, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. the late Vangadasalam Sinnatamby of
No. 3,349. Kumarakattua.

Kapuruhamige Bandi Etana of Kumarakat-
tuwa Petitioner.

And

- (1) Vangadasalam Weeramma and husband (2)
Kawanna Muthusamy, (3) Weerasamy Muthuwelu,
all of Kumarakattua Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomaraswamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on April 30, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Corea & Corea, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated April 27, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner, as the widow of the deceased, be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the deceased's estate issued to her, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 30, 1921.

C. COOMARASWAMY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Warnakulasuria Isabel Fernando of
No. 1,355. Dummaladeniya West, deceased.

Warnakulasuria Manuel Tissera, Vederala of Dummala-
deniya West Petitioner.

And

- (1) Warnakulasuria Maria Tissera, (2) Warnakulasuria
Joseph Tissera, (3) Warnakulasuria Palakuttige
Nikulas Fernando, all of Dummaladeniya; the 1st and
2nd respondents appearing by their guardian *ad litem*
the 3rd respondent Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomaraswamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on April 27, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Cooke & Pandittesekere, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit and petition of the said petitioner having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner, as the widower of the said deceased, is entitled to letters of administration over the estate of the said deceased, and that such letters be issued to him accordingly. It is further ordered that the 3rd respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 1st and 2nd named minor respondents for the purposes of this proceedings, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 13, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 27, 1921.

C. COOMARASWAMY,
District Judge.

Order Nisi extended to June 20, 1921.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Warnakulasuriya Muhandiramalage Maria
No. 1,362. Fernando of Adapparagama.

Jayasuriya Kuranage Rogus Perera of Adappara-
gama Petitioner.

And

Jayasuriya Kuranage Migel Perera by his guardian
ad litem Alutmuhandiramalage Micco Fernando,
both of Adapparagama Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomaraswamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on May 23, 1921, in the presence of Mr. E. C. S. Storer, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated January 31, 1921, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the husband of the deceased above named, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondent above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 21, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 23, 1921.

N. J. MARTIN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Order Absolute.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testa-
Jurisdiction. ment of Warnakulasuriya Jeremia
No. 1,363. Kurera of Katuneriya, deceased.

Warnakulasuriya Selestinu Kurera of Katu-
neriya Petitioner.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomaraswamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on May 25, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Corea & Corea, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavits (1) of the petitioner dated May 20, 1921, and (2) of the attesting witnesses dated May 20, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of Warnakulasuriya Jeremias Kurera of Katuneriya aforesaid, deceased, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved, and it is further declared that the petitioner, as the executor named in the said will, is entitled to have probate thereof issued to him accordingly.

May 25, 1921.

C. COOMARASWAMY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Anuradhapura.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate and
Jurisdiction. Effects of the late Charlotte Louisa
No. 283. Krisnaratne of Dorrington in Anuradha-
pura, deceased.

Simon Dias Krisnaratne of Dorrington in Anuradha-
pura Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Frances Ranees Krisnaratne and (2) Doris Seelia
Krisnaratne, both of Wickton in Wellawatta, in the
City of Colombo, by their guardian *ad litem* Felix
Abraham Wickramasinghe of Wickton afore-
said Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before M. Prasad, Esq., District Judge of Anuradhapura, on May 28, 1921, in the presence of Mr. S. D. Krisnaratne, the petitioner above named; and the affidavit and petition of the said petitioner dated respectively May 27, 1921, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is declared entitled, as the husband of the said intestate, to administer the estate of the deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 27, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 28, 1921.

M. PRASAD,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Badulla.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction Papannaiah of Ohiya, deceased.
No. B 635

Between.

Muna Arunasalar of Shawlands estate in Lunu-
gala Petitioner.

And

- (1) Peramaie and her husband (2) Rena Ayasamy, both
of Cobo estate, Badulla, (3) Poochi and her husband
(4) Sena Nana Palaniappen, both of Ohiya estate,
Ohiya Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Paikiasothy
Saravanamuttu, Esq., Additional District Judge of Badulla,
on May 20, 1921, in the presence of Mr. S. Suppramaniam,
Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit
of the petitioner dated May 19, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby
declared entitled, as widower of the deceased, to have letters
of administration to her estate issued to him, unless the
respondents or any other person or persons shall, on or
before June 22, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction
of this court to the contrary.

May 20, 1921.

P. SARAVANAMUTTU,
Additional District Judge

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

Order Nisi.

No. 752. In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Sujata Dunuwila Kurr arihamy of Dunu-
wila Walawwa in Katugastota in Kandy,
deceased.

Between.

Ednund Wilfred Gonigoda Wilamune of Rakwana
..... Petitioner.

Conrod Wilamune, minor, by his guardian *ad litem*
Madugalle Dunuwila Kumarihamy of Katugas-
tota Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before H. J. V. I.
Ekanayaka, Esq., District Judge, Ratnapura, on June 8,
1921, in the presence of Mr. E. L. de Silva, Proctor, on the
part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit
of the said petitioner above named dated April 22, 1921,
having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and
he is hereby declared entitled, as husband of the deceased
above named to administer the estate of the deceased, and
the letter of administration do issue to him accordingly,
unless the respondent above named or any other person
or persons interested shall, on or before June 22, 1921,
show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the
contrary.

June 8, 1921.

H. J. V. I. EKANAYAKA,
District Judge.

DRAFT ORDINANCE.

(Continued from page 468.)

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for
general information:—

An Ordinance to make provision against Injuries by
Dangerous Animals.

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to make provision against
injuries by dangerous animals: Be it therefore enacted
by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent
of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Dangerous
Animals Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Interpretation.

2 In this Ordinance, unless the context otherwise requires—
"Animal" includes a wild animal, provided it is the property
of any person;
"Owner" includes the person having control or charge of
an animal.

Conditional
order for
destruction of
dangerous
animal, &c.

3 (1) Whenever a Police Magistrate considers, on receiving
a report or other information, and on taking such evidence
(if any) as he thinks fit, that an animal is dangerous and not
kept under proper control by its owner, such Police Magistrate
may make a conditional order requiring such owner, within
a time to be fixed by the order—

- (a) To destroy such animal; or
(b) To appear before himself or some other Police Magistrate
of his court at a time and place to be fixed by the order
and move to have the order set aside or modified.

(2) No order duly made under this section shall be called
in question in any civil court.

Service or
notification of
order.

4 (1) The order and any other order or notice made or
given under this Ordinance shall, if practicable, be served
on the person against whom it is made or to whom it is to be
given in manner provided for service of a summons by "The
Criminal Procedure Code, 1898."

(2) If such order or notice cannot be so served, it shall be notified by a copy thereof being posted up at such place or places as may, in the opinion of the Police Magistrate, be fittest for conveying the information to such person.

Person to whom order is addressed to obey or show cause.

5 The person against whom such order is made shall, within the time specified therein—

- (a) Perform the act directed thereby; or
- (b) Appear in accordance with such order and show cause against the same.

Consequence of failing to do so.

6 If such person does not perform such act or appear and show cause as required by the last preceding section, he shall be liable to the penalty prescribed in that behalf in section 185 of the Penal Code, and the order shall be made absolute:

Provided that if such person be a corporate body, it shall be liable to a fine of such amount not exceeding one hundred rupees as the Police Court thinks fit.

Procedure in case of appearance.

7 (1) If such person appears and shows cause against the order, the Police Court shall take evidence in the matter.

(2) If such court is satisfied that the order is not reasonable and proper, it shall either rescind the same or modify it in accordance with the requirements of the case, and in the latter case the order as modified shall be made absolute.

(3) If such court is not so satisfied the order shall be made absolute.

Procedure on order being made absolute.

8 When an order has been made absolute under either of the last two preceding sections, the Police Court shall give notice of the same to the person against whom the order was made, and shall further require him to perform the act directed by the order within a time specified in the notice and inform him that in case of disobedience he will be liable to the penalties provided by section 6.

Consequence of disobedience to order.

9 (1) If such act is not performed within the time specified in the notice issued under the last preceding section, the Police Court may cause it to be performed and may recover the costs of performing it by the distress and sale of any movable property of such person within or without the local limits of the jurisdiction of such court. If such property is without such limits, the order shall authorize its attachment and sale when endorsed by a Police Magistrate within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the property to be attached is found.

(2) If such act involves the destruction of any such animal, any police or peace officer or any person authorized by the Police Magistrate and all persons acting under the directions of any such officer or person may do all things which are necessary for the carrying out of the order, and for any such purpose may enter upon any premises and break down any doors, fences, or other obstacles necessary to enable him or them to seize or approach the animal with the object of carrying out such act as aforesaid.

(3) No suit shall lie in respect of anything done in good faith under this section.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, May 14, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THERE is difficulty, under the existing law, of dealing with animals which are notoriously dangerous. There is, for instance, the case of an elephant which has killed two men, but it has been found impossible to take effective action under the existing law.

This bill is framed on the lines of sections 105 to 111 of the Criminal Procedure Code and, while giving ample opportunity to the owner of an animal alleged to be dangerous, to defend himself, provides machinery for destroying it if proved to be dangerous.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, May 3, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.