



# Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 7,182 — FRIDAY, JUNE 10, 1921.

## Part II.—Legal.

*Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.*

	PAGE		PAGE		PAGE
Draft Ordinances ..	433	Notices from District and Minor Courts ..	—	Notices in Testamentary Actions ..	454
Passed Ordinances ..	—	Notices in Insolvency Cases ..	449	List of Notaries ..	—
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of the Supreme Court ..	449	Notices of Fiscals' Sales ..	450	Supreme Court Registry Notices ..	—
				Council of Legal Education Notices ..	—

### DRAFT ORDINANCES.

#### MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

#### An Ordinance to make provision against Injuries by Dangerous Animals.

Preamble.	WHEREAS it is expedient to make provision against injuries by dangerous animals: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:
Short title.	1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Dangerous Animals Ordinance, No. of 1921."
Interpretation.	2 In this Ordinance, unless the context otherwise requires— "Animal" includes a wild animal, provided it is the property of any person; "Owner" includes the person having control or charge of an animal.
Conditional order for destruction of dangerous animal, &c.	3 (1) Whenever a Police Magistrate considers, on receiving a report or other information, and on taking such evidence (if any) as he thinks fit, that an animal is dangerous and not kept under proper control by its owner, such Police Magistrate may make a conditional order requiring such owner, within a time to be fixed by the order— (a) To destroy such animal; or (b) To appear before himself or some other Police Magistrate of his court at a time and place to be fixed by the order and move to have the order set aside or modified. (2) No order duly made under this section shall be called in question in any civil court.
Service or notification of order.	4 (1) The order and any other order or notice made or given under this Ordinance shall, if practicable, be served on the person against whom it is made or to whom it is to be given in manner provided for service of a summons by "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898."

- (2) If such order or notice cannot be so served, it shall be notified by a copy thereof being posted up at such place or places as may, in the opinion of the Police Magistrate, be fittest for conveying the information to such person.
- Person to whom order is addressed to obey or show cause.**
- 5** The person against whom such order is made shall, within the time specified therein—
- (a) Perform the act directed thereby; or
- (b) Appear in accordance with such order and show cause against the same.
- Consequence of failing to do so.**
- 6** If such person does not perform such act or appear and show cause as required by the last preceding section, he shall be liable to the penalty prescribed in that behalf in section 185 of the Penal Code, and the order shall be made absolute:
- Provided that if such person be a corporate body, it shall be liable to a fine of such amount not exceeding one hundred rupees as the Police Court thinks fit.
- Procedure in case of appearance.**
- 7** (1) If such person appears and shows cause against the order, the Police Court shall take evidence in the matter.
- (2) If such court is satisfied that the order is not reasonable and proper, it shall either rescind the same or modify it in accordance with the requirements of the case, and in the latter case the order as modified shall be made absolute.
- (3) If such court is not so satisfied the order shall be made absolute.
- Procedure on order being made absolute.**
- 8** When an order has been made absolute under either of the last two preceding sections, the Police Court shall give notice of the same to the person against whom the order was made, and shall further require him to perform the act directed by the order within a time specified in the notice and inform him that in case of disobedience he will be liable to the penalties provided by section 6.
- Consequence of disobedience to order.**
- 9** (1) If such act is not performed within the time specified in the notice issued under the last preceding section, the Police Court may cause it to be performed and may recover the costs of performing it by the distress and sale of any movable property of such person within or without the local limits of the jurisdiction of such court. If such property is without such limits, the order shall authorize its attachment and sale when endorsed by a Police Magistrate within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the property to be attached is found.
- (2) If such act involves the destruction of any such animal, any police or peace officer or any person authorized by the Police Magistrate and all persons acting under the directions of any such officer or person may do all things which are necessary for the carrying out of the order, and for any such purpose may enter upon any premises and break down any doors, fences, or other obstacles necessary to enable him or them to seize or approach the animal with the object of carrying out such act as aforesaid.
- (3) No suit shall lie in respect of anything done in good faith under this section.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, May 14, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,  
Colonial Secretary.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

THERE is difficulty, under the existing law, of dealing with animals which are notoriously dangerous. There is, for instance, the case of an elephant which has killed two men, but it has been found impossible to take effective action under the existing law.

This bill is framed on the lines of sections 105 to 111 of the Criminal Procedure Code and, while giving ample opportunity to the owner of an animal alleged to be dangerous, to defend himself, provides machinery for destroying it if proved to be dangerous.

Attorney-General's Chambers,  
Colombo, May 3, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,  
Attorney-General.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

**An Ordinance to consolidate and amend the Ordinances relating to the Medical Wants of Labourers in Planting Districts.**

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to consolidate and amend the Ordinances relating to the medical wants of labourers in planting districts: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

## CHAPTER I.

*Preliminary.*

Short title and commencement.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Medical Wants Ordinance, No. of 1921," and shall come into operation on a date to be fixed by the Governor in Executive Council, by Proclamation in the "Government Gazette."

Definitions.

2 In this Ordinance, unless the context otherwise implies—

"Medical officer" includes any district medical officer and any officer of the Medical Department charged with duties of supervision or inspection in connection with estates.

"District medical officer" includes district medical assistant, visiting medical officer, and visiting apothecary.

"Estate" means any estate on which labourers are employed having ten acres of land actually cultivated in tea, rubber, coffee, cacao, cardamoms, camphor, pepper, or cinchona; and includes any estate exceeding ten acres in extent on which labourers are employed in operations preparatory to or in connection with bringing any such estate into such cultivation as aforesaid.

"Government Agent" includes Assistant Government Agent.

"Government hospital" means any Government hospital.

"Government dispensary" means any Government dispensary.

"Estate hospital," "estate dispensary" mean, respectively, a hospital or dispensary established and maintained by the proprietor of an estate or group of estates for the medical treatment of the labourers employed on such estate.

"Superintendent" means any person in the immediate charge of an estate.

"Labourer" means a labourer employed upon an estate, and includes kangani and female labourer, and any child or other relative of a labourer resident upon the same estate.

"Immigrant labourer" means any labourer as defined by section 2 of Ordinance No. 13 of 1889.

"Prescribed" means prescribed by rules made under this Ordinance or, in the absence of such rules, by departmental rules or orders.

## CHAPTER II.

*Organization of Estates Medical Districts.*

Governor may declare estates medical districts.

3 It shall be lawful for the Governor, by order in Executive Council, to declare any district of the Colony an estates medical district for the purposes of this Ordinance, and to define the limits of the district by reference to the estates comprised therein.

District hospitals and dispensaries.

4 There shall be established and maintained for every medical district such Government hospitals and dispensaries as may be necessary for the medical wants of the estates of the district.

District medical officers.

5 The Governor may appoint district medical officers and apothecaries for any estates medical district at such salaries as may from time to time be provided by the Legislature, and with such duties as he may from time to time determine.

## CHAPTER III.

*Duties of Medical Officers.*

Duties of district medical officer.

6 It shall be the duty of a district medical officer for the purposes of this Ordinance—

- (a) Upon the written request of a superintendent to visit any sick labourer upon his estate ;
- (b) To direct the removal to a Government or estate hospital of any such sick labourer whose removal he may consider necessary ;
- (c) To attend upon all such labourers who, at the direction of a district medical officer or otherwise, may be admitted to any such hospital.

Duties of medical officer as to inspection of estates.

7 It shall be the duty of every medical officer (being a duly qualified medical practitioner registered under sections 12 and 13 of Ordinance No. 2 of 1905) for the purposes of this Ordinance from time to time—

- (a) To visit the estates within his district, or any other estate which he may be specially directed to visit, and to inspect the sanitary condition thereof ;
- (b) To examine the labourers on such estates for the purpose of ascertaining their condition of health, and whether they have been duly vaccinated ;
- (c) To inspect all children under the age of one year resident upon such estates, and to give directions to the superintendent for their proper care and nourishment ;
- (d) To direct the removal to a Government or estate hospital of any sick labourer whose removal he may consider necessary ;
- (e) To draw the attention of the superintendent to any defect in the sanitary condition of his estate, and in the condition of health of the labourers ;
- (f) If any estate has an estate hospital or dispensary, to inspect such hospital or dispensary ;
- (g) To report to the Principal Civil Medical Officer on all or any of the above matters.

Obstruction of medical officer an offence.

8 Any person who shall wilfully obstruct any medical officer acting in the discharge of his duties under this chapter shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

## CHAPTER IV.

*Rights, Duties, and Obligations of Superintendents, &c.*

Rights of superintendents.

9 Any superintendent shall be entitled—

- (a) To medical attendance by a district medical officer upon any sick labourer upon his estate ;
- (b) To the reception at a Government hospital (subject to the accommodation of the hospital) of any labourer who, in the opinion of a district medical officer, ought to be admitted to the hospital ;
- (c) To the free supply from the Medical Department for the purpose of any estate hospital or dispensary of all such prescribed drugs as he may require for the medical wants of his labourers to a value not exceeding fifty cents per labourer per annum ;
- (d) To the supply at cost price from the Medical Department or from a Government dispensary of all such prescribed drugs as he may reasonably require for the medical wants of his labourers other than those authorized by the last preceding paragraph.

Charges payable by superintendent.

10 The following sums shall be payable by every superintendent in respect of medical services rendered under this Ordinance—

- (a) In respect of every visit to an estate for the purpose of attendance on any sick labourer or labourers, a fee of fifty cents per labourer, provided that such fee shall not be less than two rupees and fifty cents nor more than ten rupees per visit, such fee being receivable by the district medical officer for his own use.

- (b) In respect of the maintenance of a sick immigrant labourer in a Government hospital for each day's maintenance, a sum of fifty cents or such other sum as may from time to time be prescribed.
- Liability for charges.** 11 All amounts due under the last preceding section shall be a debt to the Crown recoverable from the proprietor of the estate, and shall constitute a charge upon the estate.
- Duties of superintendents.** 12 (1) It shall be the duty of every superintendent—
- To maintain the lines of his estate and their vicinity in a fair sanitary condition ;
  - To inform himself of all cases of sickness on his estate, and to take such steps as he may deem best for the immediate relief of the sick ;
  - To send any labourer to a Government or estate hospital as and when so required by a medical officer ;
  - To send for the district medical officer in any case of serious illness or accident ;
  - To inform the district medical officer within forty-eight hours of every birth and death upon the estate ;
  - To supply at the cost of the estate every female labourer resident upon the estate and giving birth thereon to a child with sufficient food and lodging for one month after the birth of such child, and to take care that the female labourer be not required to work on the estate for one month, unless the district medical officer shall report sooner that she is fit to work ;
  - To see that all children under the age of one year resident upon the estate receive proper care and nourishment, and to comply with all directions given by a medical officer under section 7 (c).
- (2) Any superintendent who shall wilfully make default in the performance of any of his duties under this section shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.
- Duties of kanganies.** 13 (1) It shall be the duty of every kangani employed upon an estate to give information to the superintendent of every birth, death, and case of sickness or accident in his gang.
- (2) Any kangani who shall fail so to do shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

## CHAPTER V.

*Recovery of Charges.*

- Government Agent to give notice of sums due.** 14 When any sum of money shall be payable—
- In respect of drugs supplied under section 9 (d) ;
  - In respect of medical services under section 10—
- it shall be the duty of the Government Agent to give notice in writing to the superintendent of the estate in respect of which the same is payable, requiring the payment thereof within one month after such notice.
- Power to seize property in default of payment.** 15 In default of such payment it shall be lawful for the Government Agent or any person authorized by him in writing in that behalf to seize from time to time all the crops, live stock, and implements, or any part thereof, found on the estate liable in respect of such sum, or any other article or thing whatsoever belonging to the proprietor or any of the proprietors of such estate, until the full amount due by such estate shall be recovered.
- Power to seize timber and materials of buildings.** 16 If there be no sufficient crop, live stock, or implements on such estate to realize the amount due, it shall be lawful for the Government Agent or other person authorized as aforesaid to cause the timber on the said estate to be cut, or the materials of the buildings erected thereon to be removed, and to seize the same.
- Power to sell property seized.** 17 At any time after thirty days from the date of seizure, unless the sum due shall be sooner paid, with the costs and charges incurred in respect of such seizure, it shall be lawful for such Government Agent or any person as aforesaid to sell the property so seized by public auction : Provided that perishable property may be sold at any time after the date of such seizure.

- No seizure for arrears.      18 No seizure shall take place under this chapter for any sum of money which shall have been in arrear for a period of one year or longer.
- Removal of property seized.      19 Any property seized under this chapter may be removed for safe custody, pending the sale thereof; to such place as the person directing the seizure may think fit.
- Possession of property not removable.      20 In the case of the seizure of any property which cannot conveniently be removed, it shall be lawful for the person making the seizure to place and keep a person in possession thereof pending such sale.
- Costs of seizure and sale.      21 The costs and charges of seizure and sale shall also be payable from the proceeds of the property seized, and they shall be as follows :
- (1) For costs of proceeding to the house or land of the party in default in order to seize property, a charge not exceeding eight per centum on the amount due ;
  - (2) For removal of the goods seized, in case such removal takes place, a charge not exceeding eight per centum on the amount due ;
  - (3) For keeping the same in safe custody in case of such removal, a charge not exceeding one rupee per day ;
  - (4) For keeping a person in possession, if the goods seized are not removed, a charge not exceeding one rupee per day ;
  - (5) For the expenses of sale, where any takes place, a charge not exceeding two and a half per centum on the nett proceeds of the sale.
- Buildings may be broken open.      22 It shall be lawful for the Government Agent or person authorized as aforesaid to break open or cause to be broken open in the daytime any house or building for the purpose of seizing property in pursuance of this chapter, if he shall have affixed to a conspicuous part of such house or building three clear days previously a notice of his intention so to do.
- Return of overplus.      23 In the event of a sale of property seized, the Government Agent at whose instance such seizure was made shall, after deducting the amount due by the defaulter, and also the costs and charges payable under section 21, restore the overplus arising from such sale, if any there be, to the owner of the property sold.
- Obstruction of persons acting under this chapter.      24 Whoever shall wilfully obstruct any person in the performance of any duty imposed upon him, or in the exercise of any authority vested in or conferred upon him under or by virtue of this chapter, shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

## CHAPTER VI.

*Medical Wants Committee.*

- Constitution of Medical Wants Committee.      25 There shall be established a Committee, to be called the Medical Wants Committee, consisting of such members, official and unofficial, as the Governor may from time to time appoint : Provided that three of such members shall be persons whose names are submitted to the Governor by the Planters' Association of Ceylon.
- Duties of Medical Wants Committee.      26 The Medical Wants Committee shall advise the Governor—
- (a) On the requirements of labourers as regards the construction of Government hospitals and dispensaries ;
  - (b) On the annual statement prepared under section 31, and the estimate to be framed thereon ;
  - (c) On the grants and loans to proprietors authorized by sections 27 and 28 ;
  - (d) On all rules made under this Ordinance ;
  - (e) Generally on all such matters relating to the administration of this Ordinance as the Committee may desire to bring to the notice of the Governor, or as the Governor may refer to it for advice.

Power to  
make annual  
grant to  
certain  
proprietors.

**27** Where the proprietor of an estate or group of estates has at his own cost established an estate hospital and made provision to the satisfaction of the Principal Civil Medical Officer for the medical treatment of the labourers employed on such estate or group of estates, the Medical Wants Committee may, at their discretion and subject to rules made under section 33, make an annual grant based on the average annual expenditure (including salary of staff, but excluding sums payable to Government for treatment in Government hospitals) incurred by such proprietor in maintaining such estate hospital and in the medical treatment of the labourers on such estate or group of estates: Provided, however, that such annual grant may be increased or diminished by the Medical Wants Committee from time to time at their discretion.

Proviso.

Power to  
make loans to  
proprietors for  
building  
estate  
hospitals.

**28** (1) The Medical Wants Committee, with the previous sanction of the Governor in Council, may, at its discretion and subject to rules made under section 33 of this Ordinance, make loans to the proprietor of an estate or group of estates who proposes at his own cost to establish an estate hospital and to make provision for the medical treatment of the labourers on such estate or group of estates to the satisfaction of the Principal Civil Medical Officer, to meet the capital expenditure to be incurred on such hospital.

(2) Such loan may be made on such security for the repayment of such loan and on such interest as may be fixed by rules made under section 33.

(3) Such security shall be in favour of the Crown, and the Crown may sue to enforce such security on behalf of the Medical Wants Committee.

## CHAPTER VII.

### *Financial Provisions.*

Expenses of  
Ordinance to  
be met by  
export duty  
on certain  
products.

**29** The Legislative Council may from time to time by resolution impose duties on the exportation of tea, rubber, coffee, cacao, cardamoms, camphor, pepper, and cinchona, at such rates as the Council may deem sufficient for the purpose of meeting the expenses of the administration of this Ordinance in so far as the same are not herein otherwise provided for.

Annual  
financial  
statement.

**30** For the purpose of estimating the amount for which it may be necessary to make provision under the last preceding section, it shall be the duty of the Principal Civil Medical Officer to prepare annually for submission to the Legislative Council a financial statement of the expenses of the administration of this Ordinance.

Debit side of  
statement.

**31** The said statement shall contain on the debit side of the account the following expenses:

(a) Any deficiency brought forward on the working of the account for the period of twelve months anterior to that covered by the statement;

(b) A *pro rata* share of the actual expenditure (including salaries of staff) during the twelve months immediately preceding the date up to which the statement is made up of all Government hospitals in which immigrant labourers have been treated, based upon the proportion which the number of days passed by the said immigrant labourers in the said hospitals bears to the number of days passed by other patients in the same hospitals;

(c) A *pro rata* share of the actual expenditure (including salaries of staff) during the same period of twelve months of all Government dispensaries at which immigrant labourers have been treated, based upon the proportion which the number of visits paid by the said immigrant labourers to the said dispensaries bears to the number of visits paid by other patients to the same dispensaries;

(d) In the case of all expenditure properly chargeable to a capital account in respect of all Government hospital or dispensary buildings declared by the Governor, with the advice of the Medical Wants Committee, to have been primarily constructed or to be primarily maintained for the accommodation of immigrant labourers (including, in the case of buildings completed after the commencement of this Ordinance, the cost of construction), such an

annual amount as would be sufficient to liquidate such expenditure, together with interest at four per centum per annum on any unliquidated part thereof, in such equal annual instalments as the Governor, with the like advice, may from time to time determine, until such expenditure is so liquidated, or alternatively, if the Governor, with the like advice, shall, with reference to any period of twelve months in question, so determine, the amount of any such expenditure, or of any part thereof outstanding, in a lump sum ;

(e) In the case of any special Government hospital or dispensary building completed after the commencement of this Ordinance, such contribution to the cost of construction, whether by way of annual instalments on the terms aforesaid or otherwise, as the Governor, with the advice of the Medical Wants Committee, may determine.

(f) The cost price of all drugs supplied to superintendents under section 9 (d) during the aforesaid period of twelve months ;

(g) Any expenditure incurred under any general scheme undertaken by the authority of Government for combating any disease prevalent among estate labourers which the Governor, on the recommendation of the Medical Wants Committee, may direct to be so debited.

(h) Any grant or loan made by the Medical Wants Committee under sections 27 and 28 of this Ordinance ;

(i) All miscellaneous expenses incidental to the administration of this Ordinance during the same period.

Credit side of statement.

**32** The said statement shall contain on the credit side of the account—

(a) Any surplus brought forward on the working of the account for the period of twelve months anterior to that covered by the statement ;

(b) The amount of all sums recovered as maintenance fees under section 10 during the twelve months preceding the date up to which the statement is made up ;

(c) The amount of all fines recovered in respect of all offences against the Ordinance during the same period ;

(d) The amount of all sums received as the cost price of drugs supplied to superintendents under section 9 (d) during the same period ;

(e) The amount of the export duty collected under section 29 during the same period ;

(f) Any sums received in repayment of loans granted under section 28 of the Ordinance or in payment of any interest due in respect of any such loans ;

(g) An annual contribution out of moneys provided by the Legislative Council of an amount equal to fifteen per centum of the total expenses of the administration of this Ordinance during the same period, as shown by the debit side of the account.

#### CHAPTER VIII.

##### *Miscellaneous.*

Governor in Council may make rules.

**33** (1) The Governor in Executive Council may make rules regulating—

(a) The fees payable to district medical officers by superintendents and persons other than labourers engaged upon estates for medical attendance and for medicines dispensed at Government dispensaries ;

(b) The management of estate hospitals and dispensaries ;

(c) The supply of drugs to superintendents from Government dispensaries and from the Medical Department ;

(d) The powers and duties of hospital and dispensary visitors ;

(e) The conditions subject to which grants and loans will be allowed under sections 27 and 28 and the evidence which will be required in support of applications for grants and loans ;

(f) The form in which and the time within which applications for grants and loans shall be made and the manner in which grants and loans shall be made ;

(g) The rate of interest to be charged and the security to be obtained when loans are made under section 28 ;



(h) Generally on all matters connected with the allowance of grants and loans made under sections 27 and 28 ;

(i) Any other matters necessary for the administration of this Ordinance that cannot be provided for by departmental rules and orders.

(2) All such rules shall be laid as soon as conveniently may be before the Legislative Council, and if a resolution is passed within forty days of their being laid before the Legislative Council praying that any rule shall be annulled, such rule shall thenceforth be void, but without prejudice to anything done thereunder.

Power of Governor in Council to amend definition of estate and list of agricultural products.

34 The Legislative Council may from time to time by resolution amend the definition of "estate" in section 2 by the addition of any agricultural product to the list of agricultural products therein enumerated, or by the elimination of any agricultural product from the said list, and any such agricultural product shall thereupon become subject to or exempt from the imposition of duty on exportation, as the case may be, under section 29.

Penalty for offences.

35 (1) Any person convicted of any offence under this Ordinance shall be liable to a fine not exceeding five hundred rupees.

(2) Every such offence shall be triable by a Police Magistrate, and such Magistrate shall have power to impose the full penalty provided for by this section, notwithstanding any limitation of the ordinary jurisdiction of such Magistrate.

Transitory provisions.

36 (1) Pending the imposition of the duties authorized by section 29, the duties authorized by section 28 of Ordinance No. 9 of 1912 shall continue to be levied and applied as though the said Ordinance were unrepealed.

(2) Any district appointed an estates medical district under any Ordinance hereby repealed shall continue as an estates medical district under this Ordinance, until provision is otherwise made under this Ordinance.

(3) All medical officers and apothecaries acting as district medical officers or apothecaries for the purpose of any Ordinance hereby repealed (whether in pursuance of that Ordinance or otherwise) shall continue to act as district medical officers and apothecaries under this Ordinance, until provision is otherwise made under this Ordinance.

Repeal.

37 Ordinances Nos. 9 of 1912, 16 of 1915, 25 of 1916, and 29 of 1916 are hereby repealed.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, May 9, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,  
Colonial Secretary.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

EXPERIENCE has shown that "The Medical Wants Ordinance, No. 9 of 1912," requires amendment in several particulars, some of them important, and it has been thought well to introduce an amending and consolidating Bill. A table is attached to this statement which shows the sources from which this Bill is drawn, and also calls attention to the more important amendments in the existing law proposed :—

*Table referred to.*

Section of Bill.	Corresponding section in Ordinance No. 9 of 1912.	Remarks.
1	1	
2	2	This is the definition section. An important amendment has been made to the definition of "estate." At present only estates which have 10 acres under actual cultivation are within the Ordinances. This prevents grants for the building of hospitals, &c., being made in respect of estates which are only in the development stage, and when, perhaps, such hospitals are most required. In the Bill "estate" is defined as including estates on which labourers are employed in development operations.

Section of Bill.	Corresponding section in Ordinance No. 9 of 1912.	Remarks.
3 to 9 .. 10 ..	3 to 9 .. 10 ..	Section 10 of the Bill proposes that fees in respect of visits by Medical Officers to estates shall be received by them for their own use. The fees for such visits and for the maintenance of patients in Government hospitals are also proposed to be raised.
11 to 26.. 27 ..	11 to 26 .. 27 ..	Section 27 of Ordinance No. 9 of 1912 allows the Medical Wants Committee, where a proprietor has made satisfactory medical provision for his labourers, to grant him a rebate of a portion or the whole of the expenses paid by him. Section 27 of the Bill proposes that in lieu of such rebates, annual grants based on the annual expenditure incurred by a proprietor should be made in such cases.
28 ..	None ..	This is a very important section. It allows of loans being made by the Medical Wants Committee to proprietors of estates who want to establish hospitals on their estates. In view of the extension of the definition of the term "estate," to which attention has already been called, estates in the development stage will be able to avail themselves of section 28 of the Bill.
29 to 36..	28 to 36 ..	There are slight amendments of a formal character in sections 31 (h), 32 (f), and 33 (1) (d) to (i) of the Bill.

Attorney-General's Chambers,  
Colombo, May 10, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,  
Attorney-General.

#### MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance further to amend "The Dog Registration Ordinance, 1901."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient further to amend "The Dog Registration Ordinance, 1901": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Dog Registration (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Amendment of section 5 of the principal Ordinance.

2 Section 5 of the principal Ordinance is hereby amended by inserting at the end of the proviso which was added to the said section by section 2 of Ordinance No. 3 of 1920 the following words : "And that within the limits of the Colombo Municipality the proper authority may charge an annual registration fee not exceeding seven rupees and fifty cents in the case of female dogs, and not exceeding five rupees in the case of male dogs."

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, May 27, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,  
Colonial Secretary.

#### Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE amendment proposed is the result of a recommendation of the Municipal Commission that the fee be raised not only as a means of additional revenue, but also with the object of ridding Colombo of some dogs which are at present considered a nuisance. This recommendation has been approved by the Municipal Council by resolution.

Attorney-General's Chambers,  
Colombo, April 25, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,  
Attorney-General.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

**An Ordinance to regulate the Business of dealing in Old Metal.**

Preamble.

**W**HEREAS the business of dealers in old metal affords facilities for the disposal of stolen goods, and it is expedient that the same should be regulated in order to diminish such facilities: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Old Metal Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Definitions.

2 In this Ordinance unless the context otherwise requires—

"Dealer in old metal" means any person dealing in, buying, or selling scrap metal, or broken metal, or partly manufactured metal goods, or defaced or old metal goods, or portions of machinery, or old metal of any other kind or description, whether such person deals in such articles exclusively or together with other things;

"Old metal" means the said articles or any of them;

"Local authority" means—

(a) Within any Municipal limits, the Chairman of the Municipal Council;

(b) In any place outside such limits, the Government Agent of the Province or Assistant Government Agent of the district;

"Regulations" includes forms.

Dealers to be licensed.

3 It shall not be lawful for any person to carry on the business of a dealer in old metal unless he is duly licensed under this Ordinance.

Licenses.

4 (1) Licenses under this Ordinance shall be granted by and at the discretion of the local authority within whose administrative limits the premises to be licensed are situate.

(2) No such license shall be granted without the previous report of the Superintendent or Assistant Superintendent of Police of the district, and before the expiration of twenty-one days at least after application in writing has been made therefor to the local authority.

(3) Such licenses shall be in the form in schedule I. hereto, and shall be annual licenses terminating on the thirty-first day of December of the year for which they are granted. A fee of ten rupees shall be paid to the local authority for each such license.

(4) The local authority shall keep a register of the licenses so issued, and shall enter therein the number of the license, the name and place of abode of each dealer, and every place of business, warehouse, store, and place of deposit occupied or used by such dealer for the purpose of such business.

(5) Any person aggrieved by the refusal of the local authority to grant a license may, within one month after such refusal has been communicated to him, appeal to the Governor in Executive Council, whose decision in the matter shall be final.

Signboard.

5 Over one of the principal entrances to the premises licensed under this Ordinance there shall be placed a board, on which shall be printed in legible letters of at least two inches in length the name in full of the person holding such license and the words "Licensed Dealer in Old Metal."

Regulations to be observed by licensed dealer.

6 (1) Every dealer in old metal who is licensed as aforesaid shall conform to the following regulations, that is to say:

(a) He shall keep a book or books fairly written, and shall enter therein, according to the form No. 1 in schedule II. hereto, an account of all such old metal as he is, or may from time to time become, possessed of, stating in respect of each article the name of the person who purchased or received the same and the price paid therefor, and the time at which and the name of the person from whom

he purchased or received the same, adding, in the case of every such last-mentioned person, a description of his business and place of abode or of business; and he shall also enter in such book or books, according to form No. 2 in the said schedule, an account of all such old metal as he may from time to time sell or dispose of, stating in respect of such old metal the name of the person to whom and the time at which he sold or disposed of the same and the price received therefor, adding a description of his business and place of abode; and every such entry in any such book shall be deemed and taken, unless the contrary is shown, to have been made by or with the authority of the dealer in old metal to whom such book belongs;

- (b) He shall not, by himself or by any other person, purchase or receive any old metal of any description before the hour of seven o'clock in the morning nor after the hour of six o'clock in the evening, nor shall he, by himself or by any other person, purchase or receive old metal of any description from any person apparently under the age of sixteen years, nor shall he employ any servant or apprentice or any other person under the age of twelve years to purchase or receive old metal of any description;
- (c) He shall without delay give notice to the officer on duty at the police station nearest to the place where he carries on business, or if such police station is more than ten miles from such place of business, to the nearest peace officer, of any articles then in his possession, or which may thereafter come into his possession answering the description of any articles which have been stolen, embezzled, or fraudulently obtained, of which printed or written information containing a description of such articles is given to him by any officer of police or peace officer; and
- (d) He shall keep all old metal purchased or received by him without changing the form in which the articles comprising the same were when so purchased, and without disposing of the same in any way, for a period of fifteen days after such articles have been purchased or received.

(2) (a) The Governor in Executive Council may make further regulations for the carrying out of the provisions of this section, and may amend, add to, or revoke any regulations contained in the said section or any such further regulations.

(b) Such further regulations, and any amendments, additions, or revocations of such further regulations or of any regulations contained in this section, shall forthwith be published in the "Government Gazette," and shall thenceforth be as valid and effectual as if they were contained in this Ordinance.

Power of  
entry and  
inspection.

7 (1) It shall be lawful for any officer of police, not below the rank of sergeant or any peace officer not below the rank of vidane arachchi, korala, or udaiyar, at any time when the licensed premises are open for business, to inspect any such book as is required to be kept under this Ordinance, or the old metal lying in the premises of any licensee under this Ordinance.

(2) Such licensee, or his representative, or the person in charge of such premises, or the person in whose custody or charge such book or old metal may be, or the person who shall have made any entry in such book with respect to which any such police officer may desire to ask any question, shall permit such officer to inspect such book or old metal, and shall answer all such questions as may be asked by such officer with reference to such book or old metal or to any of the entries or contents of such book.

Possession of  
old metal and  
not accounting  
for it.

8 If old metal is found in the possession or keeping of a person being in His Majesty's service, or in the service of a public department, or being a dealer in old metal, or a pawnbroker within the meaning of any enactments for the time being in force relating to such pawnbrokers, and he is taken or summoned before a Police Court, and the court sees reasonable grounds for believing the old metal found to be, or

to have been, His Majesty's property, then, if such person does not satisfy the court that he came lawfully by the old metal so found, he shall be guilty of an offence against this Ordinance.

Criminal possession defined.

9 For the purposes of this Ordinance, old metal shall be deemed to be in the possession or keeping of any person if he knowingly has them in the actual possession or keeping of any other person, or in any house, building, lodging house, apartment, field, or place, open or enclosed, whether occupied by himself or not, and whether the same are so had for his own use or benefit, or for the use or benefit of another.

Penalties.

10 (1) Every person who shall do or omit to do anything which is by this Ordinance or by any regulation made thereunder forbidden or required to be done, or shall assault, resist, oppose, hinder, prevent, or obstruct any person acting under and by the authority of this Ordinance or of any regulation made thereunder, or shall in any way violate, or assist in, or be party to the violation of any of the provisions of this Ordinance or of any regulation made thereunder, or shall be guilty of any offence against this Ordinance, shall, on summary conviction before a Police Magistrate, be liable to a fine not exceeding two hundred rupees, and, in default of payment of such fine, to imprisonment of either description for any term not exceeding six months.

Confiscation.

(2) All old metal seized under the provisions of this Ordinance may be forfeited.

Procedure and appeal.

11 All proceedings before any Police Magistrate under this Ordinance shall be conducted as nearly as may be according to the form of summary procedure, and shall be subject to the appeal provided by "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898."

Police Court to have jurisdiction.

12 (1) Any offence or any breach of regulations under this Ordinance may be inquired into, tried, and determined by any Police Court within whose jurisdiction such offence or breach was committed wholly or in part, and such court shall have jurisdiction to award the maximum punishment prescribed therefor, and to declare and adjudge any old metal seized under the provisions of this Ordinance forfeited, and to condemn the same whatever may be the amount or value thereof, anything in "The Criminal Procedure Code, 1898," to the contrary notwithstanding.

(2) The Police Court imposing a fine under this Ordinance may award to an informer any portion not exceeding a moiety thereof which may be actually recovered.

Cancellation of licenses on conviction.

13 When any person licensed under this Ordinance is convicted of any offence against this Ordinance, it shall be lawful for the convicting Magistrate, if he sees fit, to cancel the license of such person.

Repeal.

14 "The Old Metal Ordinance, 1905," is hereby repealed

#### SCHEDULE I.

#### Form of License.

(Section 4.)

A. B., having this day paid the sum of ten rupees, is hereby authorized and licensed to deal in old metal at —, in accordance with the provisions of "The Old Metal Ordinance No. of 1921." This license expires on the Thirty-first day of December, 19—.

C. D.,

Government Agent, or  
Assistant Government Agent, or  
Chairman of the Municipal Council.

Dated at —, this — day of —, 19—.

Rs. 10.

## SCHEDULE II.

## Form No. 1.—Entry of Purchases and Receipts of Old Metal.

(Section 6 (a).)

1	2	3	4	5	6
Name of Person who purchased or received.	Name of Person from whom purchased or received.	Business and Place of Abode of Person from whom purchased or received.	Description of Old Metal purchased or received.	Price paid therefor.	Day of Purchase or Receipt and Hour of Day.

## Form No. 2.—Entry of Sales of Old Metal.

(Section 6 a)

1	2	3	4	5
Name of Person to whom sold.	Business and Place of Abode or of Business of Person to whom sold.	Description of Old Metal sold.	Price received therefor.	Day and Hour of Sale.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, May 30, 1921.GRAEME THOMSON,  
Colonial Secretary*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

"THE Old Metal Ordinance, 1905," is based on an English Act, 24 & 25 Vict. Chap. 110, which deals with the same subject. The carrying on of this business gives wide opportunities for disposing of stolen goods, and as a result the law in England was amended by the Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907.

"The Old Metal Ordinance, 1905, does not come into operation until a dealer in old metal has been convicted of an offence under that Ordinance, and directed to be registered under its provisions. In the present Bill it is proposed to adopt the requirements of the Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907, and provide for the registration of all dealers in old metal.

The provisions of the Bill are to all intents and purposes similar to those of the existing law, the great difference being that, as required by the English law, all dealers in old metal must, whether they have been convicted or not, be registered.

There is one provision of the Bill to which it may be well to call attention. Under section 7 (1) (e) of "The Old Metal Ordinance, 1905," a dealer must keep all old metal purchased or received by him without changing the form in which the articles comprising the same were when so purchased for a period of forty-eight hours. The Inspector-General of Police has stated that this period is much too short to allow of the necessary inquiries being made, and for that purpose the period has been extended to fifteen days.

Attorney-General's Chambers,  
Colombo, May 7, 1921.H. C. GOLLAN,  
Attorney-General.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

**An Ordinance to amend "The Treaty of Peace (Austria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 3 of 1921."**

Preamble.

**W**HEREAS His Majesty was pleased, under and by virtue of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, to make the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920 (hereinafter referred to as the Principal Order), which is set forth in the schedule to "The Treaty of Peace (Austria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 3 of 1921":

And whereas His Majesty has in pursuance of the said powers been pleased to amend the Principal Order by the Treaty of Peace (Austria) (Amendment) Order, 1921 (hereinafter referred to as the Amending Order), which is set forth in the schedule to this Ordinance:

And whereas it is expedient to make certain modifications in the Amending Order for the purpose of adapting its provisions to the circumstances of this Colony:

Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Treaty of Peace (Austria) Enforcement (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Application of Amending Order to the Colony.

2 In applying the Amending Order to the Colony, paragraph (xxvii. (a)), as inserted in the Principal Order by the Amending Order, shall read as if the reference to the Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks in such paragraph were to the Registrar as defined in "The Patents Ordinance, 1906," as regards patents, and to the Registrar as defined in "The Designs Ordinance, 1904," as amended by "The Trade Marks and Designs Ordinance, 1906," as regards designs.

## SCHEDULE.

At the Court at Buckingham Palace, the 14th day of February, 1921.

Present:

THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY IN COUNCIL.

Whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, His Majesty in Council was pleased to make the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, and it is expedient that the said Order should be amended in manner hereinafter appearing:

Now, therefore, His Majesty, by and with the advice of His Privy Council, is pleased to order, and it is hereby ordered, as follows:—

1. After paragraph (xxvii.) of Article 1 of the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, there shall be inserted the following paragraph:—

(xxvii. (a)) The Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks shall have power, and shall be deemed to have had power, as from the coming into force of the Treaty, in cases where patents and designs are revived under the provisions of Article 259 of the Treaty, to impose such conditions as he may deem reasonably necessary for the protection of persons who have manufactured or made use of the subject-matter of such patents or designs while the rights had lapsed.

2. This Order may be cited as the Treaty of Peace (Austria) (Amendment) Order, 1921; and the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, and this Order may be cited together as the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Orders, 1920 to 1921.

ALMERIC FITZROY.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, May 31, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,  
Colonial Secretary.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

UNDER the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, an Order was made to provide for the enforcement of the Treaty with Austria signed at St. Germain-en-Laye on the Tenth day of September, 1919. This Order has been amended by a subsequent Order entitled the Treaty of Peace (Austria) (Amendment) Order, 1921.

The amending Order relates to certain powers which are conferred by it on the Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks in England. In making these provisions applicable to the Colony, it is necessary to substitute the Registrars under the local law dealing with patents, designs, and trade marks for Comptroller-General, and the object of this Bill is to effect that substitution.

Attorney-General's Chambers,  
Colombo, May 12, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,  
Attorney-General.

## MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

**An Ordinance to amend "The Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 4 of 1921."**

Preamble.

WHEREAS His Majesty was pleased, under and by virtue of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, to make the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920 (hereinafter referred to as the Principal Order), which is set forth in the schedule to "The Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 4 of 1921" :

And whereas His Majesty has in pursuance of the said powers been pleased to amend the Principal Order by the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) (Amendment) Order, 1921 (hereinafter referred to as the Amending Order), which is set forth in the schedule to this Ordinance :

And whereas it is expedient to make certain modifications in the Amending Order for the purpose of adapting its provisions to the circumstances of this Colony :

Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Enforcement (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1921."

Application of Amending Order to the Colony.

2 In applying the Amending Order to the Colony, paragraph (xx. (a)), as inserted in the Principal Order by the Amending Order, shall read as if the reference to the Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks in such paragraph were to the Registrar as defined in "The Patents Ordinance, 1906," as regards patents, and to the Registrar as defined in "The Designs Ordinance, 1904," as amended by "The Trade Marks and Designs Ordinance, 1906," as regards designs.

## SCHEDULE.

At the Court at Buckingham Palace, the 14th day of February, 1921.

*Present :*

THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY IN COUNCIL.

Whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, His Majesty in Council was pleased to make the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, and it is expedient that the said Order should be amended in manner hereinafter appearing :



Now, therefore, His Majesty, by and with the advice of His Privy Council, is pleased to order, and it is hereby ordered, as follows:—

1. After paragraph (xx.) of Article 1 of the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, there shall be inserted the following paragraph:—

(xx. (a)) The Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks shall have power, and shall be deemed to have had power, as from the coming into force of the Treaty, in cases where patents and designs are revived under the provisions of Article 191 of the Treaty, to impose such conditions as he may deem reasonably necessary for the protection of persons who have manufactured or made use of the subject-matter of such patents or designs while the rights had lapsed.

2. This Order may be cited as the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) (Amendment) Order, 1921; and the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, and this Order may be cited together as the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Orders, 1920 to 1921.

ALMERIC FITZROY.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
Colombo, May 31, 1921.

GRAEME THOMSON,  
Colonial Secretary.

*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

UNDER the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, an Order was made to provide for the enforcement of the Treaty with Bulgaria signed at Neuilly-sur-Seine on the Twenty-seventh day of November, 1919. This Order has been amended by a subsequent Order entitled the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) (Amendment) Order, 1921.

The amending Order relates to certain powers which are conferred by it on the Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks in England. In making these provisions applicable to the Colony, it is necessary to substitute the Registrars under the local law dealing with patents, designs, and trade marks for Comptroller-General, and the object of this Bill is to effect that substitution.

Attorney-General's Chambers,  
Colombo, May 12, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,  
Attorney-General.

## NOTIFICATIONS OF CRIMINAL SESSIONS.

BY virtue of a mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said Court for the Northern Province will be holden at the District Court-house at Jaffna, on Monday, July 4, 1921, at 10 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office,  
Jaffna, June 6, 1921.

A. VISVANADHAN,  
for Fiscal.

## NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,988. In the matter of the insolvency of Kona Sayana Ibrahim Saibo of No. 2, St. John's road, presently of No 131, Dam street, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on June 28, 1921, for the choice of a fresh assignee.

June 2, 1921.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSER,  
Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,993. In the matter of the insolvency of Nathaniel Chellan Robert, carrying on business as Robert & Co., of No. 51, New Chetty street, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on July 12, 1921, to consider the grant of a certificate of conformity to the insolvent.

May 30, 1921.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSER,  
Secretary.

B 3

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,996. In the matter of the insolvency of Ismail Lebbe Marikar Mohideen of No. 62, Keyzer street, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on July 12, 1921, to consider the grant of a certificate of conformity to the insolvent.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEB,  
Secretary.  
May 30, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,029. In the matter of the insolvency of M. R. N. R. Rawther Neina Mohammadu and M. R. N. R. Canny, carrying on business in partnership under the vilasam of M. R. N. R. and M. R. at Grandpass.

WHEREAS the above-named Rawther Neina Mohammadu and Canny have filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of their estate has also been filed by A. P. Cader Mohideen, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said Rawther Neina Mohammadu and Canny insolvents accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on June 28, 1921, and on July 12, 1921, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEB,  
Secretary.  
May 30, 1921.

In the District Court of Kandy.

No. 1,645. In the matter of the insolvency of Punchi Banda Ratnayaka of Pilapitiya in Ganga-palata, in Yatinuwara.

WHEREAS the above-named Punchi Banda Ratnayaka has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of the estate of the said Punchi Banda Ratnayaka has been filed by Tennakoon Ekanayaka Mudiyanselegedera Mudiyanse, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said Punchi Banda Ratnayaka insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on July 15, 1921, and on August 19, 1921, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, P. MORTIMER,  
Secretary.  
Kandy, June 2, 1921.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 466. In the matter of the insolvency of Paskualhandi Sedris Appu of Mawadawila.

NOTICE is hereby given that the certificate meeting in the above matter has been fixed for July 12, 1921.

By order of court, RICHARD L. PEREIRA,  
Secretary.  
Galle, June 1, 1921.

## NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

### Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

S. S. V. Suppiah Pillai of Sea street, Colombo... Plaintiff.  
No. 29 of 1921. Vs.

S. M. Jaleel of New Moor street in Colombo... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, July 5, 1921, at 3 P.M., will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 942.50, with interest thereon at the rate of 18 per cent. per annum from January 11, 1921, till May 9, 1921, and thereafter at the legal rate on the aggregate amount of the decree till payment in full, and costs of suit, viz. :—

Undivided half part of and in all that house and ground bearing assessment No. 237/11, situate at Keyzer street in Pettah, within the Municipality of Colombo, Western Province; bounded on the north by the property of Mr. Soysa, east by house No. 238/11, south by Keyzer street, and west by the property of Mr. Deneys; containing in extent about 8 perches.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, June 6, 1921.

W. DE LIVERA,  
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

M. R. P. L. M. R. Murugappa Chetty of Sea street, Colombo... Plaintiff.  
No. 255 of 1921. Vs.

Abubakker Mohamed Thawfeek of Old Moor street, Colombo... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, July 5, 1921, at 10 A.M., will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 2,396 dated December 20, 1919, and attested by C. T. Kandaiya, Notary Public, and decreed and ordered

to be sold by the order of court dated April 21, 1921, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 4,625.37, with further interest on Rs. 4,500 at 15 per cent. per annum from February 3, 1921, till February 9, 1921, and thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs, viz. :—

All that undivided  $\frac{1}{2}$  part or share of all that  $\frac{1}{2}$  part of the house and ground, now all that house and ground formerly bearing assessment No. 28 now No. 31, situated at Vander Meyden's Polder, now called Messenger street; and bounded on the north-east by the other part, on the south-east by the high road, on the south-west by the garden of Sidam baram Chetty, and on the north-west by the garden of Balantine Mariaan; containing in extent twelve and forty-one one-hundredth square perches, and all the right, title, interest, and claim whatsoever of the said defendant in, to, upon, or out of the said premises.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, June 6, 1921.

W. DE LIVERA,  
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

P. M. A. Muttiah Chetty of Sea street, Colombo... Plaintiff.  
No. 309 of 1921. Vs.

Mrs. P. C. de Silva, executrix of the last will of Clovis de Silva, Park street, Colombo... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, July 4, 1921, at 2.30 in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 8,103.66, with legal interest thereon from March 14, 1921, and costs of decree and writ, less Rs. 1,858.63, viz. :—

All that those the estate plantation and premises called and known as Reggisdale estate, situated at Kahapola in Udugaha pattu of Salpiti korale, in the District of Colombo, Western Province, comprising the following allotments of lands, to wit:—

1. All that northern  $\frac{1}{5}$  of the land called Galapitiyelanda, situated at Kahapola aforesaid; and bounded on

the north by a road and property of Don Manuel Gunasekara, east by the high road and low land planted by H. Don Baron Appu and the 1 acre lot reserved to Abraham Pieris, south by the said 1 acre block and land belonging in T. P. No. 116,182, and west by land of Bastian Fernando; containing in extent 9 acres and 19 perches, which said northern portion is now divided and defined and is the allotment of land marked No. 1 in the plan No. 1,419 dated January 29, 1907, made by Charles P. de Silva, Licensed Surveyor; and is according to the said plan bounded on the north by road from Polhenegala to Damburaka (Jambureliya), west by Pitadotuwagekumbura of H. Magris Appu and others, south by Galapitiyalanda of H. Baronchi Appu, and east by land of Liyanage Juanis Appu; containing in extent 2 acres and 10 perches.

(2) All those lots marked 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 in the said plan No. 1,419; bounded on the north by Maragahawatta belonging to A. G. Simon Perera, land belonging to B. L. Haramanis Perera, Bastiawalakumbura belonging to C. Jermanis Perera, land belonging to Davith Perera, road, land belonging to Liyanage Juwanis Appu and Galapitiyalanda belonging to H. Baronchi Appu, east by Galapitiyalanda belonging to H. Baronchi Appu, Dalgahawatta belonging to S. Bastian Silva, Gonagahawatta belonging to B. Hendrick Fernando, Kiripellagahawatta belonging to B. H. Fernando and others, Hikgahawatta belonging to B. Hendrick Fernando, Nikagahawatta belonging to H. Francis and others, Kongahawatta belonging to Romanis Silva, and Kadullakumbura belonging to H. Sootappu and others, south by Balgoda lake, Akibogahawatta (Alubogahawatta) belonging to A. Don Pedro, Kongahawatta belonging to Clovis Fernando and others, Watawattekumbura belonging to M. Attygalla and others, and west by Alubogahawatta belonging to A. Don Pedro, Kongahawatta belonging to O. Cornelis Fernando and others, Kiripellagahawatta belonging to M. Attygalla, Dolawatta belonging to William de Mel, and land belonging to B. L. Haramanis Perera; containing in extent 71 acres 1 rood and 39 perches.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, June 7, 1921.

W. DE LIVERA,  
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

S. Mutturaman Chetty of Veyangoda..... Plaintiff.  
No. 798 of 1921. Vs.

(1) K. P. Williza, (2) K. P. Jona, and (3) K. P. Gunaya,  
all of Thawwara in Pasyala..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, July 6, 1921, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said 2nd and 3rd defendants in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,123.50, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from March 18, 1921, till payment in full, and costs, viz. :—

At 2 P.M.

1. An undivided portion of the extent of 4½ acres of the garden called Nagollewatta, situated at Meemitiya in the Udugaha pattu of Siyane korale; and bounded on the north by land and field belonging to Ama Lebbe Mudalali, on the east and south by field belonging to Williya, Police Duraya, and others, on the west by land belonging to Kuruppuatchige Romanis Kapurala and others; containing in extent 18 acres more or less.

At 2.30 P.M.

2. An undivided 2/6 parts of Weuliaddekumbura *alias* Imbulemulekumbura, situated at Meemitiya as aforesaid; and bounded on the north by the field belonging to Batadole Walauwa, on the east by garden belonging to Batadole Walauwa, on the south by cart road, and on the west by garden belonging to Balaya; and containing in extent within the boundaries 6 beras of paddy sowing.

At 3 P.M.

3. An undivided 2/6 parts of Weliliaddakumbura, situated at Meemitiya aforesaid; and bounded on the north by field belonging to Kohombadeniya Nemethuwa and others, on the east by Ketakelapitiyewatta belonging to Batadole

Walauwa, on the south by Thembiliyayekumbura, and on the west by garden belonging to Sitta and others; containing in extent within these boundaries 4 beras of paddy sowing.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, June 6, 1921.

W. DE LIVERA,  
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

B. L. Sarnelis Silva of Temple road in Colombo... Plaintiff.  
No. 1,158 of 1920. Vs.

(1) N. E. Cooray, proprietor and manager of the newspaper "Hittawadia," (2) P. D. Liyanage, printer and publisher of the newspaper "Hittawadia," both of Dam street, Colombo..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, July 4, 1921, at 3 P.M., will be sold by public auction at No. 100A, Dam street, Colombo, the right, title, and interest of the 1st defendant in the following movable property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 818.75, being taxed costs of suit, and a sum of Rs. 100 being damages, viz. :—

Two printing machines, one paper-cutting machine, one cylinder machine.

Fiscal's Office,  
Colombo, June 6, 1921.

W. DE LIVERA,  
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

D. A. Perera of Prince's Gate, New Bazaar, Colombo... Plaintiff.  
No. 204/1921. Vs.

G. A. Fonseka of Panadure, presently of Pettah,  
Colombo ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 9, 1921, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 2,000, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from January 28, 1921, till payment in full, and costs, viz. :—

The land called Nikagahaowitawatta *alias* Bakmigahaowitawatta *alias* Kadurugahaowitawatta, together with all the trees, plantations, and buildings thereon, situated at Panadurepattia of Panadurebadde of Panadure totamune, in the District of Kalutara, Western Province; and bounded on the north by a water-course and the land to the north of the water-course, on the east by Kadurugahaowitawatta, on the south by Panadure hospital premises and Nikagahaowitawatta, and on the west by Nikagahaowitawatta, the old road *alias* Galpottawatta; containing in extent 3 roods and 26 perches more or less.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Kalutara, June 7, 1921.

H. SAMERESINGHA,  
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Pina Runa Vina Theivarayan Chetty of Negombo.. Plaintiff.  
Kana Nana Kuna Vana Kana Nana Kannappa Chetty of Negombo, administrator of the estate of Theivarayan Chetty, deceased. .... Substituted Plaintiff.

No. 13,486.

Vs.

Thomas Silva Abeyasinha Goonawardane of Andiambalama ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on July 4, 1921, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

Half share of the land called Ganewatta, situated at Minuwangoda in Dasiya pattu; and bounded on the north-east by land of Thuduwala Tentakon Mudalege Don Peloris Appuhami, south-east by the field of Bamunuachchi Hingurawalage Meukhumi, south-west by a portion of this land of M. Hendrick Perera Senanayaka Mohandiram, and north-west by land of Jayasekara Balasurige Appurala Appuhami; containing in extent 6 acres 1 rood and 17 85/100 perches.

Amount to be levied Rs. 4,344.06, with interest on Rs. 4,202 at 9 per cent. per annum from June 23, 1919, till payment, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, Negombo, June 7, 1921. **FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,** Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Ven. Rawanna Mona Ana Runa Adappa Chetty of Negombo ..... Plaintiff.

No. 14,153. Vs.

(1) Panambarage James Emaliyano Fernando of Mithanwala in Kurunegala District, presently of Kochchikade, (2) Panambarage Juan Fernando of Kochchikade ..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on July 2, 1921, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, subject to mortgage in favour of plaintiff, viz:—

An undivided  $\frac{2}{3}$  share of the southern  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the northern  $\frac{1}{2}$  share of the land called Kongahawatta, and an undivided  $\frac{7}{12}$  share of the tiled house standing thereon, situate at Pallansena in Kochchikade in Dunagaha pattu; the southern  $\frac{1}{2}$  being bounded on the north by the remaining  $\frac{1}{2}$  share of the portion of this land formerly belonging to Panambarage Jokiuno Fernando, now belonging to Panambarage Hendrick Fernando, east by land belonging to Leauge Paulu alias Anthony Perera, and land of Manuel Paldano Pingho, now high road, south by  $\frac{1}{4}$  share of this entire land, formerly belonging to the late Caithan Fernando and Manuel Fernando, now of Panambarage Wilfred Emmanuel Fernando, and on the west by land formerly belonging to Sinhlagurunanselage Marku Perera, now belonging to his heirs; containing in extent 1 rood and 20 perches.

Amount to be levied Rs. 2,323, with interest on Rs. 2,191.87 at 9 per cent. per annum from February 7, 1921, till payment, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, Negombo, June 7, 1921. **FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,** Deputy Fiscal.

Central Province.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Kuttalingam's daughter Muttumala of Henagehuwela ..... Plaintiff.

Sena Kuttalingam of Henagehuwela. . . Substituted Plaintiff.

No. 28,033. Vs.

Alaga Kawandan's daughter Nalla Thangal of Pallekelle, administrator of the estate of Muttu Kawandan's son Pana Selembaram ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 9, 1921, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 800, with legal interest thereon from April 22, 1919, till payment in full, and costs of Rs. 198.42 $\frac{1}{2}$ , together making the sum of Rs. 998.42 $\frac{1}{2}$ , and poundage, viz:—

All that land called Gallenagawawatta of 3 $\frac{1}{2}$  acres in extent, situated at Henagehuwela in Palispattu west of Pata Dumbara, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and bounded on the east by the fence of the wanata belonging to Punchirala, south by the fence of Baasgewatta belonging to Medawalawwe Loku Banda, west by the ima of Embitillaketiyehehena, and on the north by the fence of the watta belonging to Liyanarallegedera Appuhamy; together with everything thereon.

Fiscal's Office, Kandy, June 7, 1921. **A. RANESINGHE,** Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Courts of Nuwara Eliya and Kandy.

K. D. Cornelis Appuhamy of Gampola and A. W. M. Kiri Banda of Henecumbure ..... Plaintiff.

No. 552/28,355. Vs.

Udawattege Don John Perera of Munwatta . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 2, 1921, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the Gansabhawa, Padiapellella, the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz:—

1. All that field called Bodiwelawegawekumbura of about one amunam paddy sowing extent, situated at Pallewela in Khoho korale of Uda Hewaheta; and bounded on the north by Medamuttettuweima-niyare, east by Medawalagawe-ela, south by the limit of Gallindeasweddum and west by limit of Katuimbulagawekumbura.

2. All that field called Dambaghamulla of about two pelas paddy sowing extent, situate as aforesaid; bounded on the north by Maliyadde-elaweilla, east by the limit of Katuimbulagawekumbura, south by limit of Katuimbulagawewedduma, and west by ditch (agala).

3. All that field called Kolongastennetenna of about two pelas paddy sowing, situate at Andawela in Palle-gampaha korale of Uda Hewaheta; bounded on the north by stone fence, east by limit of Kolongastenne Appu's field, south by Muttettuweima, and west by stone fence.

4. All that field called Madawalawelamedakumbura of about six pelas paddy sowing extent, situate as aforesaid; bounded on the north by Arawa and Bogaha-araweweilla, east by Tenedepela-elaweilla, and south and west by ela and kandura.

To levy a sum of Rs. 1,553.54 interest, poundage, and expenses.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, Nuwara Eliya, June 6, 1921. **E. T. DYSON,** Deputy Fiscal.

Southern Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

(1) Walker Edward Keell, (2) Harold Meredith Waldox and two others, carrying on business under the name, style, and firm of Keell and Waldox. . . Plaintiff.

No. 54,256. Vs.

Porawagamage Arnolis Silva of Polwatta, Ambalangoda ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, July 4, 1921, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the spot, the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz:—

An allotment of land called Avithaweladakunundumullekele in Oyata village, Bentota-Walallawiti korale, Galle District, Southern Province; bounded on the north by reservation for a footpath, land claimed by natives, lot 4,104 in P. P. 9,969 and T. Ps. 310,742, 310,744, 160,081, 310,746, 310,747, and 144,062, east by T. Ps. 144,062, 309,371, 310,739, 310,750, 242,392, and 310,736, reservation along the road, and land claimed by natives, south by T. Ps. 310,743, 168,228, 310,738, 144,059, 143,866, 309,378, 144,060, and 144,061, and lot 4,116 in P. P. 9,969, west by T. P. 144,061, land claimed by natives, and reservation for a footpath; containing in extent 35 acres 2 roods and 11 perches according to the survey and description thereof authenticated by R. S. Templeton, Esq., Surveyor-General, bearing date June 26, 1915, No. 313,803.

Writ amount Rs. 3,138.27, with interest at 9 per cent. per annum on Rs. 2,965 from October 31, 1919, till January 30, 1920, and thereafter on the aggregate amount of the decree at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs of suit and poundage (less Rs. 150 paid).

Fiscal's Office, Galle, June 7, 1921. **M. EDIRIWIRA,** Deputy Fiscal.

## In the District Court of Matara.

Vickrama Patiranaage Don Pedris de Silva, Vel-Vidane  
of Moonamalpe. . . . . Plaintiff.

No. 9,250.

Vs.

Danda Marikkar Samsy Lebbe Marikar of Wata-  
gederamulla . . . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, June 30, 1921, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following mortgaged property for the recovery of a sum of Rs. 499.25, with legal interest on Rs. 400 at 9 per cent. per annum from June 28, 1920, till payment in full, less Rs. 119.50.

Undivided  $\frac{1}{2}$  part of all the trees and soil of the land Kongahawatta, of about 2 acres in extent, and the tiled house of seven cubits standing thereon, as well as the tiled house of five cubits and the masonry tiled boutique of seven cubits of the bungalow shape with the masonry thatched and tiled boutique adjoining the same, situated at Watagederamulla; and bounded on the north by Bakiniwalawatta, east by high road, south by Cheenagahawatta alias Deyawalan, and west by Mankoratutottam alias Mekliyagahawatta.

The above-named property is to be sold at the risk of the purchaser at the previous sale.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Matara, June 1, 1921.

E. T. GOONEWARDENE,  
Deputy Fiscal.

## Eastern Province.

## In the District Court of Batticaloa.

Kanteperumal Vellayar of Areppattai . . . . . Plaintiff.

No. 4,927.

Vs.

Kannappody Katiramapody of Panichayadimunnari,  
executor of the estate of the late Kannanthapody  
T. P. H. Kandepodiar . . . . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 2, 1921, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property decreed to be sold for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,032.50, together with interest on Rs. 1,000 at Rs. 231 per annum from March 8, 1920, till payment (interest not to exceed Rs. 191.59), viz. :—  
The land called Athuchenaipoomey, situated at Ambalanurai in Mammunai pattu, in the District of Batticaloa, Eastern Province; and bounded on the north by Manal-pitty-aru, east by the land described in plan No. 92,256, south by the land of K. V. T. Kathiramapody Vanniah and others, and west by the land of A. Muhaideenbawa and others; containing in extent 17 acres, with inlets, and all its rights. Valued Rs. 1,275.

Fiscal's Office,  
Batticaloa, May 31, 1921.

A. ARIACUTTY,  
Deputy Fiscal.

## North-Western Province.

## In the District Court of Chilaw.

Adikari Mudiyanseleage Puncki Sinno Appuhamy of  
Kahatawila . . . . . Plaintiff.

No. 6,406.

Vs.

(1) Adikari Mudiyanseleage Davith Sinno of Kirimeti-  
yana and another . . . . . Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, June 30, 1921, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

(1) The land called Gurugodella, situate at Kirimeti-yana in Otarapalata of Pitigal korale south, in the District of Chilaw; and bounded on the north by jungle belonging to the Crown, east by portion of this land belonging to

Punchappuhamy, south by road, and west by portion of this land belonging to Kiri Bandappuhamy; containing in extent about 2 acres.

(2) The  $\frac{1}{2}$  share of Davatagahawatta, situate at Kirimeti-yana aforesaid; and bounded on the north by road, east by land belonging to Clementu Appu, south by field belonging to Tikirala Vidanarala and others and west by land belonging to Punchappuhamy; containing in extent about 3 acres.

(3) The field called Midigahakumbura, situate at Kirimeti-yana aforesaid; and bounded on the north by garden belonging to Appuhamy and Davith Sinno, east by field belonging to Punchappuhamy, south by garden of Davith Sinno and others, and west by field belonging to Mudalihamy; containing in extent about 6 paras of paddy sowing soil.

(4) The field called Migahakumbura, situate at Kirimeti-yana aforesaid; and bounded on the north by field belonging to the heirs of Benedict Rendarala, east by road, south by land belonging to Punchappuhamy and others, and west by field belonging to the heirs of Puncki Sinno Vedarala; containing in extent 3 paras of paddy sowing soil.

Amount to be levied Rs. 459.25, with interest on Rs. 200 at 18 per cent. per annum from December 6, 1919, to March 15, 1920, and further interest on the aggregate sum at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, costs of suit, and poundage.

Valuation Rs. 1,525.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Chilaw, May 31, 1921.

CHARLES DE SILVA,  
Deputy Fiscal.

## Province of Sabaragamuwa.

## In the District Court of Kegalla.

Gulan Kadar Khan Bai of Pathberiya . . . . . Plaintiff.

No. 5,192.

Vs.

Attalage Don Samuel Appuhamy of Pathberiya. . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, July 9, 1921, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

(1) An undivided  $\frac{1}{3}$  share of Haldolahena, in extent 8 acres of paddy sowing, situated at Wetunmalakanda in Pathberiya of Panawal korale of Three Korales, in the District of Kegalla; and bounded on the north by ela, on the east by Haldola-ela, on the south by Manannayehena, on the west by Wijelayeheneindiwiya.

(2) An undivided  $\frac{1}{3}$  share of Thembilindeniye-hena, in extent 2 pelas of paddy sowing, situated at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the north by Simawalayehena, on the east by Indiwitiya, on the south by Kande Durayage Thembilindeniye-hena, on the west by Galgodeliyadda.

(3) An undivided  $\frac{1}{3}$  share of Pothdeniyegodella, in extent 2 pelas of paddy sowing, situated at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the north by Ilendarahawadigehena, on the east by Thembilindeniya, on the south by Bandarahena, on the west by Indiwitiya.

(4) An undivided  $\frac{1}{3}$  share of Galgodehena, in extent 8 beras of kurakkan sowing, situated at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the north by Galapallehena, on the east by Walliyelayimanannayehena, on the south by land belonging to Simanchiya, on the west by Galapallehena.

(5) An undivided  $\frac{1}{3}$  share of Minuwandeniye-hena, in extent 13 acres, situated at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the east by Haldola-ela, on the west by Mala-dola, on the north by the boundary of Galapallehena, on the south by Haldolahena.

(6) An undivided  $\frac{1}{3}$  share of Galapallehena, in extent 11 acres, situated at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the east by Kiriuturu-hene-ela and Minuwandeniya, on the south by Galapallehena rubber estate belonging to Kadar Khan Bai, on the west by Weliketiye-hena, on the north by Manannagehena.

To levy Rs. 308.05.

Fiscal's Office,  
Avissawella, June 6, 1921.

L. GOONAWARDANA,  
Fiscal's Marshal.

In the District Court of Kegalla.

S. P. Arumogan Chetty, by his attorney S. P. A. Rakappen of Hingula ..... Plaintiff.  
No 4,836. Vs.

Mana William Kangany of Lewke estate, Mawan-ella ..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on July 9, 1921, commencing at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

1. All that land called Atukorallagewatta of 3 acres in extent, situated at Pattagama in Egodapota pattu of Galboda korale, in the District of Kegalla, of the Province of Sabaragamuwa; and bounded on the east by Gansabhawara road, on the south by Lewke rubber estate, on the west by the Crown land, and on the north by the limit of Udulgedarahena.

2. All that land called Watadeniyehena of about 3 acres in extent, situated at Galatara in Egodapota pattu aforesaid; and bounded on the east, south, west, and north also by the lands belonging to Karandupona estate.

3. All that land called Mahakanathehena of 3 pelas of paddy sowing in extent, situated at Padidora in Egodapota pattu aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the ditch on the south by the field, on the west by Mohotagehena, and on the north by the field.

4. All that land called Danduwedawalakadahena of 3 pelas of paddy sowing in extent, situated at Epalawa in Egodapota pattu aforesaid; and bounded on the east, south, and north by the limit of the portion belonging to Pinchi Appu, on the south by the field, and on the west by the village limit of Galatara.

5. All that land called Totillahena of 5 pelas of paddy sowing in extent, situated at Epalawa aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the rubber estate, on the south by the limit of Pallegedarahena, on the west by bo-tree at the limit of Kiri Naidegehena, and on the north by the set up stones of Paluwettehena.

To levy Rs. 3,909.27, together with legal interest on Rs. 4,502.82 from July 11, 1918.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,  
Kegalla, June 2, 1921.

R. G. WIJETUNGA,  
Deputy Fiscal.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. Boniface Richard Mortier of Frances road, No. 396. Wellawatta, deceased. Class II. Rs. 4,203.48.

Lillian Catherine Mortier of Frances road, Wellawatta, now of Campbell place, Maradana, Colombo. Petitioner.  
And

(1) Lionel Alton Boniface Mortier, (2) Ivor Richard Mortier, (3) Neville Edward Mortier, (4) Nesta Edna Lilian Mortier, (5) Iris Rene Lilian Mortier, (6) Inez Zena Mortier, (7) Lorna Majorie Mortier, all of Campbell place, Colombo, (8) Edward Perera of San Sebastian, Colombo..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on April 20, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. van Cuylenburg & de Witt, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 24, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 19, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 20, 1921.

W. S. DE SARAM,  
District Judge.

The date for showing cause against this Order Nisi is extended to June 16, 1921.

May 19, 1921.

W. S. DE SARAM,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

No. 436. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Ponnambalam Samuel Charawanamuttu of Tadmor, Wasala road, in Colombo, deceased. Class III. Rs. 6,440.

Charaman Chellamuttu Charawanamuttu of Tadmor, Wasala road, in Colombo ..... Petitioner.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. M. Fernando, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on June 2, 1921,

in the presence of Messrs. Wilson & Kadirgamar, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavits (1) of the said petitioner dated December 23, 1920, and (2) of the attesting Notary dated June 25, 1920, and the order of the Supreme Court dated April 26, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of the late Ponnambalam Samuel Charawanamuttu of Colombo, deceased, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioner is the executrix named in the said will, and that she is entitled to have probate thereof issued to her accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

V. M. FERNANDO,  
District Judge.

June 2, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Weliwattage Don Odiris, of Pelenwatta, deceased. No. 422. watta, deceased. Class I. Rs. 2,032.50.

Weliwattage Don Carlis of Pelenwatta ..... Petitioner.

And

(1) Weliwattage Don Magiris of Pelenwatta, (2) Weliwattage Dona Dulihamy and husband (3) Wijesuriya Aratchige Don Charles, both of Malammulla, in the Rayigama pattu of Sapiti korale, (4) Perappu Atchige Bala Hamy of Pelenwatta aforesaid..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. S. de Saram, Esq., District Judge, of Colombo, on May 12, 1921, in the presence of Mr. W. R. Jayawardena, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 15, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the eldest son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

W. S. DE SARAM,  
District Judge.

May 12, 1921.



In the District Court of Colombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate and Effects of Alutdura Rosa Maria Fernando Cunasekera, of Mutwal, deceased.  
No. 433.  
Class I.  
Rs. 2,375.

George Vincent Perera of Mutwal ..... Petitioner.

And

(1) Harriet Perera, (2) Justinian Paul Mendis, both of Mutwal ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. S. de Saram, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 19, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Silva & Jayasekera, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 13, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son and an heir of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to her estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 19, 1921.

V. M. FERNANDO,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Kaluhath Eddie Silva of No. 435 of 1921. Kurunduwatta in Moratuwa, deceased.

Randombage Francina Silva of Kurunduwatta in Moratuwa ..... Petitioner.

And

(1) Kalubath Arlis Silva, (2) Kaluhath Eugene Silva, (3) Kaluzala Martin de Alwis, all of Kurunduwatta in Moratuwa ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. M. Fernando, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on May 20, 1921, in the presence of Mr. C. S. A. Perera, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 18, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as mother of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate-issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 20, 1921.

W. S. DE SARAM,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of James Wedderburn Cooper Barry of 5, Vesey place, Kingstown, in the County of Dublin, Ireland, deceased.  
No. 441.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. M. Fernando, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on June 6, 1921, in the presence of Mr. Oscar Percy Mount of Colombo, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mr. Harry Creasy of Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated June 2, 1921, certified copy of the Will and grant of the above-named deceased, power of attorney in favour of the petitioner and Supreme Court's order dated May 23, 1921, and schedule of property in duplicate having been read : It is ordered that the will of the said deceased dated June 20, 1905, of which certified copy has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the said petitioner is the attorney of the executor named in the

said will, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration, with copy of the said will annexed, issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 6, 1921.

V. M. FERNANDO,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of Suppiah Chetty Meenatchi, late of St. Joseph's street, Colombo, deceased.  
No. 442.  
Class IV.  
Rs. 14,769.

Thirumuthu Chetty Piramanayagam, by her attorney Eana Kana Somasunderam Chetty of St. Joseph's street, Colombo ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Velu Saminathan of Silversmith street, Colombo, (2) A. P. S. Arunachalam Chetty, (3) Suppiah Chetty Pathira Kalli, both of St. Joseph's street, Colombo, (4) Arumugam Chetty Suppiah Chetty, (5) Arumugam Chetty Letchimi, both of Grandpass, Colombo. .... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. M. Fernando, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on June 7, 1921, in the presence of Mr. E. B. Sattrukalsinghe, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the attorney of the said petitioner dated June 7, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the mother of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 23, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 7, 1921.

V. M. FERNANDO,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

*Order Nisi declaring Will proved.*

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Weerakkody Udaris de Silva, deceased.  
No. 1,328..  
Kudawaskaduwa.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before J. C. W. Rock, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on December 1, 1920, in the presence of L. O. K. Goonetilleke, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Tikiriadura Giresnona of Kudawaskaduwa; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated December 1, 1920, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to the estate of the said, deceased issued to her, unless the respondents (1) Weerakkody Cecial Hamy and her husband (2) Edirimuni Sarapu de Soysa Dissanayake, (3) Weerakkody Seloris de Silva, (4) Weerakkody Seoris de Silva, (5) Weerakkody Seneris de Silva, (6) Weerakkody Ovilis de Silva, and (7) Weerakkody Vedilishamy, all of Kudawaskaduwa; the 3rd to 7th respondents, minors, by their guardian *ad litem*, the 2nd respondent, or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before January 12, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the 2nd respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 7th respondents, minors, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before January 12, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

December 1, 1920.

J. C. W. ROCK,  
District Judge.

The date for showing cause against above "*Order Nisi*" was extended to June 15, 1921.

May 25, 1921.

W. H. B. CARBERY,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Salpadoruge Julius Fernando of Manaveria, deceased. No. 1,930.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. T. Stace, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on May 19, 1921, in the presence of Mr. Martin de Silva, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Kodicara Aratchige Thomas Perera of Tudella; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated May 17, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a brother-in-law of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the deceased above named and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Malnaidelage Rosa Maria Fernando assisted by her husband (2) Joronis Peries, both of Manaveria, (3) Salpadoruge Juliana Fernando and her husband (4) Gorakanage Eugin Peries, both of Hendala, (5) Sister Mary Annunciation of St. Anthony's Convent, Mutwal, in Colombo, (6) Ana Fernando of Tudella—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 13, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

W. T. STACE, District Judge.

May 19, 1921.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved, etc.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the Last Will and Testament of George Grey Westland; deceased, formerly of Riverside estate, Nawalapitiya, and late of Talwatte, Kandy. No. 3,774.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before M. S. Sreshta, Esq., Acting District Judge, Kandy, on May 28, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Liesching & Lee on the part of the petitioner Charlotte Westland, presently of Kandy; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 26, 1921, and of the notary attesting the said will dated May 8, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of the deceased above named dated February 8, 1918, and now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 27, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Charlotte Westland is the executrix named in the said will, and that she is entitled to have probate of the same issued to her accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 27, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 28, 1921.

M. S. SRESHTA, Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Uduwawela Delgahakumburegedara Herat Mudiyanselage Dingiri Banda, deceased, of Uduuwara. No. 3,278.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before M. S. Sreshta, Esq., Acting District Judge of Kandy, on May 30, 1921, in the presence of Mr. F. Goonewardene, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Delgahakumburegedara Kiri Banda of Warakagoda; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 26, 1921, and his petition having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased above named issued to him in the room of the administratrix, Ganga Brahmanaralalage Pahalagedera Kalu Menika, late of Dombawela, deceased, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 20, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

M. S. SRESHTA, Acting District Judge.

May 30, 1921.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the late Kristna Ammal, deceased, of Mailapitiya of Uda Hewaheta. No. 3,771.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before M. S. Sreshta, Esq., Acting District Judge of Kandy, on May 10, 1921, in the presence of Mr. Walter Beven, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Reyna Petha Rattie of Mailapitiya; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated April 26, 1921, and his petition having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as son of the deceased, be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the deceased's estate issued to him, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 20, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

M. S. SRESHTA, Acting District Judge.

May 10, 1921.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of William Dunuwila, deceased, 12 Trincomalee street, Kandy. No. 3,776.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before M. S. Sreshta, Esq., District Judge of Kandy, on May 27, 1921, in the presence of Mr. W. Beven, Proctor, on the part of the petitioners Lionel Dunuwila and Alfred Dunuwila of Watarantenna and Dunuwila respectively; and the affidavit of the said petitioners dated May 25, 1921, of the Notary attesting the said last will and testament dated May 26, 1921, and of the two of the subscribing witnesses to the codicil to the said last will and testament having been read:

It is ordered that the will of the said deceased dated July 18, 1917, and the codicil thereto dated September 8, 1919, and now deposited in this court, be and the same are hereby declared proved, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 27, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Lionel Dunuwila and Alfred Dunuwila are the executors named in the said will, and that they are entitled to have probate to the same issued to them accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 27, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 27, 1921.

M. S. SRESHTA, Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Nammuni Mendias alias Mendina de Thabrew Hamine of Randomba, deceased. No. 5,333.

Adrian Mendis Wickramaratne Senanayaka of Randomba. Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Justin Henry Mendis Wickramaratne Senanayaka, (2) Beatrice Mendis Wickramaratne Senanayaka, (3) Solomon Victor Mendis Wickramaratne Senanayaka, (4) Grace Mendis Wickramaratne Senanayaka, (5) Nancy Mendis Wickramaratne Senanayaka, (6) Selina Mendis Wickramaratne Senanayaka, all of Randomba. Respondents.

THIS 3rd day of March, 1921, Messrs. Mendis & de Zoysa files proxy, affidavit, and a petition of the petitioner, praying



fo: letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, and moves that an *Order Nisi* be entered declaring the status of the petitioner and his right to take out letters of administration to the estate of the intestate: The motion is allowed, and it is hereby ordered that an *Order Nisi* be entered declaring that the petitioner is entitled to letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate, and that the 1st respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th respondents, unless the respondents or any others interested shall, on or before April 14, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of the court to the contrary.

March 3, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,  
District Judge.

Extended to June 16, 1921.

In the District Court of Galle.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Samarasinghe Kodituwakku Aratchige No. 5,349. Nongahmy, deceased, of Kumbalwella.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on April 26, 1921, in the presence of Mr. C. H. Wickramanayake, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Kahandagamage Pinoris de Silva Appuhamy; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 25, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the 5th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors, 8th, 9th, and 10th respondents, unless the respondents—(1) Kahandagamage Suwaris, (2) ditto Manoris, (3) ditto Nandoris, (4) Nanayakarakeppitiduwege Megilin, (5) Kariyawasan Majuwane Gamage Migal, (6) Nanayakarakeppitiduwege Daniel, (7) ditto Luvisa, (8) ditto Lilawatti, (9) ditto Gnanatileke, (10) ditto Somawatti—or any others interested shall, on or before May 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said K. G. P. de Silva Appuhamy is son of the deceased, and that he is as such entitled to administer his estate and to have letters of administration the same issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any others interested shall, on or before May 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 26, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,  
District Judge.

Extended to June 16, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Meenachy, daughter of Velu of Kokkuvil No. 4,389.

Arulampalam Vallipuram of Kokkuvil ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Annaledchime, daughter of Arulampalam, (2) Arulampalam Sapapathipillai, (3) Sivapakkiarn, daughter of Arulampalam, (4) Arulampalam Sinnappu, and (5) Vallipuram Arulampalam, all of Kokkuvil..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Arulampalam Vallipuram of Kokkuvil, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Meenachy, daughter of Velu of Kokkuvil, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on April 26, 1921, in the presence of Mr. K. Sivapirakasam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated December 4, 1920, having been read: It is declared that

the petitioner is an heir of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 27, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Sinnammah, widow of Seenivasagam of No. 4,455. Changanai, deceased.

Thewanai, widow of Karthigesar Arumugam of Changanai ..... Petitioner.

- (1) Seenivasagam Kandiah of Maviddapuram, (2) Kumaru Ponnar of ditto; the 1st respondent is a minor appearing by her guardian *ad litem* the 2nd respondent ..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Thewanai, widow of Karthigesar Arumugam, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Sinnammah, widow of Seenivasagam, coming on for disposal before J. Homer Vanniasinkam, Esq., Acting District Judge, on May 24, 1921, in the presence of Mr. L. Sittampalam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated March 16, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the mother of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to her, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 26, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM,  
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

*Order Nisi.*

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Sinna Pillai, wife of Seeni Pillai Supperamianam of Alvai North, deceased.

Seeni Pillai Supperamianam of Alvai North....Petitioner

Vs.

- (1) Sabapathi Pillai Velu Pillai of Puloly West, (2) Nelli Pillai Sidampara Pillai of Alvai North, (3) Nelli Pillai Saravanamuttu of ditto, presently boutique-keeper, Anuradhapura, (4) Alda Pillai Therunavukkarasu of Alvai North, (5) Velu Pillai Murugu Pillai, and (6) Velu Pillai Arumugam, both of Alvai North, presently of tobacco boutique, Matale, (7) Pakkeam, daughter of Velu Pillai of Alvai North; the 4th, 5th, 6th, and 7th respondents are minors by their guardian *ad litem* the 2nd respondent ..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Seeni Pillai Supperamianam of Alvai North, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Sinna Pillai, wife of Seeni Pillai Supperamianam of Alvai North, coming on for disposal before J. Homer Vanniasinkam, Esq., District Judge, on May 27, 1921, in the presence of Mr. V. T. Swaminather, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated March 21, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as husband and sole heir of the said deceased to administer the estate of the said deceased and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person shall, on or before June 17, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 30, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Kadirgamar Sinnatamby of Sarasalai, deceased. No. 4,456.

Muttupillai, widow of Kadirgamar Sinnatamby of Sarasalai ..... Petitioner. Veerakathy of Sarasalai ..... Respondent.

THIS matter of the petition of Muttupillai, widow of Sinnatamby of Sarasalai, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Kadirgamar Sinnatamby of Sarasalai, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on March 23, 1921, in the presence of Mr. V. A. Harichandra, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated March 22, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the widow of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to her, unless the respondent or any other person shall, on or before June 17, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 19, 1921.

G. W. WOODHOUSE, District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of Eliza Bates Hunt of Chavakachcheri, late of Madras, deceased. No. 4,463.

Emily A. Hunt, widow of Eliza Bates Hunt of Chavakachcheri ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Caroline Hunt of Chavakachcheri, (2) Abbie Hunt of ditto, (3) Barton Hunt of ditto, (4) Thomas Hunt of ditto now at Bishop's College, Calcutta, (5) David Hunt of Chavakachcheri; the 3rd, 4th, and 5th respondents are minors appearing by their guardian ad litem the 1st respondent ..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Emily A. Hunt, widow of Eliza Bates Hunt of Chavakachcheri, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Eliza Bates Hunt of Chavakachcheri, coming on for disposal before J. Homer Vanniasinkam, Esq., District Judge, on May 26, 1921, in the presence of Mr. V. Canagaratnam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 2, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the widow of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to her, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 31, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM, District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Subramanier Valuppillai of Vaddukoddai West, deceased. No. 4,478.

Sinnattangam, widow of Subramanier Valuppillai of Vaddukoddai West ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Valuppillai Rasathurai, clerk, District Office, Kuala Kubu, and (2) Valuppillai Chinniah, draftsman, P.W.D., Kuantan Pahan ..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Sinnattangam, widow of Subramanier Valuppillai, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, Subramanier Valuppillai, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on May 4, 1921, in the

presence of Mr. M. Canapathipillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 29, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the widow of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to her, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before June 28, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 18, 1921.

G. W. WOODHOUSE, District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Losiniammal, daughter of Naganatar Ramalingam of Kaithady, deceased. No. 4,484.

Naganatar Ramalingam of Kaithady ..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Kanmaniammal, wife of Naganatar Ramalingam of Kaithady, (2) Ponnampalam Kumarasamy and wife, (3) Ponnammah of ditto ..... Respondents

THIS matter of the petition of Naganatar Ramalingam of Kaithady, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Losiniammal, daughter of Naganatar Ramalingam, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on May 16, 1921, in the presence of Mr. V. Canagaratnam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated May 16, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the husband of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 31, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM, District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Kanthappilla Vaitialingam of Tellipalai West, deceased. No. 4,494.

Kanthappillai Thampar of Tellipalai West ..... Petitioner.

Vaitialingam Sevagnanam of Jaffna Town, presently of Medical College, Colombo ..... Respondent.

THIS matter of the petition of Kanthappillai Thampar of Tellipalai West, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Kanthappillai Vaitialingam of Tellipalai West, coming on for disposal before J. Homer Vanniasinkam, Esq., District Judge, on May 24, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. Retnasabapathy, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated May 18, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is a brother of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondent or any other person shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 2, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM, District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Poonanam, wife of Chelvaturai of Chundikuly, deceased. No. 4,496.

M. Ponniah of Chundikuly ..... Petitioner.

(1) V. Chelvathurai of Chundikuly, (2) Nagamma, wife of (3) K. Namasivayam of ditto ..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Poonanam, coming on for

disposal before J. H. Vanniasinkam Esq., District Judge, on May 24, 1921, in the presence of Mr. C. T. Kumaraswamy, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated May 13, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is, as an heir of the said intestate, entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before June 16, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 31, 1921.

J. H. VANNIASINKAM,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. the late Abdul Azis Segalado Marikar Moha-  
No. 492. mado Sahido of Tely, deceased.

Between

Tamby Marikar Abdul Azis Marikar of Pallivasalturai  
in Puttalam District ..... Petitioner.

And

(1) Cader Saibo Marikar Sego Alavudeen Marikar, (2)  
Cader Saibo Marikar Abdul Careem Marikar, both  
of Tely aforesaid ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. D. Perera, Esq., Additional District Judge, Puttalam, on May 4, 1921, in the presence of Mr. Wilfred A. Muttukumaru, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated April 27, 1921, having been duly read:

It is ordered that the petitioner above named be and he is hereby appointed administrator of the estate of Abdul Azis Segalado Marikar Mohamado Sahido, deceased, above named, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before June 17, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 4, 1921.

T. D. PERERA,  
Additional District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of  
Jurisdiction. the late Munasingha Mudiyansele  
No. 1,357. Punchi Menikhamy of Haldanduwana.

Munasingha Aratchige Peter Appuhamy of Haldandu-  
wana ..... Petitioner.

And

(1) J. A. Poinona and husband (2) Jalis Appuhamy,  
both of Jankurawela, (3) J. A. Lianchi Nona and  
husband (4) Herat Singho, both of Makandura, (5)  
J. A. Isanona, (6) J. A. Pabilis Singho, (7) J. A. Ran-  
menika, (8) J. A. Alice Nona, all of Haldandu-  
wana ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomaraswamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on May 9, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Corea & Corea, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated April 29, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the husband of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person interested shall, on or before June 20, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the above-named 2nd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* of the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th respondents, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before June 20, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 9, 1921.

C. COOMARASWAMY,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the  
Jurisdiction. late Alahakoon Pathirannahelage Sin-  
No. 1,358. nappuhamy of Willarawadiya in Thum-  
modera.

Alahakoon Pathirannahelage Podisingho Appuhamy  
of Willarawadiya in Thummodera ..... Petitioner.

And

(1) A. P. Appuhamy of Willarawadiya, (2) Punchi  
Hamine and husband (3) M. A. Romel Appuhamy  
of Thulawela, (4) Thomis Sinho Appuhamy, (5)  
Babasingho Appuhamy, (6) Sediris Singho Appu-  
hamy, (7) R. M. Leisohamy, all of Willarawadiya in  
Thummodera ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomaraswamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on May 9, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Corea & Corea, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated April 29, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the above-named petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the eldest son of the deceased, to have letters of administration issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person interested shall, on or before June 20, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the above-named 7th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* of the 4th 5th, and 6th respondents, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before June 20, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 9, 1921.

C. COOMARASWAMY,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of  
Jurisdiction. the late Kalubowilage Migel Appuhamy  
No. 1,366. of Rajakadaluwa.

Hettiaratchige Dona Rosalin Samaranayake Hamin  
of Nainamadama ..... Petitioner.

(1) Liadore Evuthapius, (2) George Richard, (3) Margaret,  
minors, (4) Don Charles Samaranayake  
of Nainamadama ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomaraswamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on May 31, 1921, in the presence of Mr. D. J. Jayalath, Proctor, for the above petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 31, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, and that the 4th respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors, 1st, 2nd, and 3rd respondents, for the purpose of this action, unless the respondents above named or any other person interested shall, on or before July 8, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

Chilaw, May 31, 1921.

C. COOMARASWAMY,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of  
Jurisdiction. Kandecumbure Gamarallaye Anadahamy  
No. 750. of Kandecumbura, in Boltumbe, deceased.

Between.

Waleboda Wijehinge Ranmenike of Boltumbe. Petitioner.

And

(1) Kandecumbure Gamarallaye Kiriappuhamy, (2)  
ditto Ratuhamy, (3) ditto Kirisanduhamy, (4) ditto  
Podimenike, (5) ditto Dingirimenike, all of Boltumbe;  
4th and 5th are minors by their guardian *ad litem* the  
2nd respondent ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before H. J. V. I. Ekanayaka, Esq., District Judge, Ratnapura, on May 18,

1921, in the presence of Mr. Wijetilaka, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner above named dated March 22, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the deceased above named, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 14, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of the court to the contrary.

May 18, 1921.

H. J. V. I. EKANAYAKA,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late  
Jurisdiction. Jalat Mudiyanseye Puchiappuhamy.  
No. 753. of Idangoda, deceased.  
Class I.

Between

Jalat Mudiyanseye Appuhamy of Kiriella .... Petitioner.

And

- (1) Jalat Mudiyanseye Puchimenike, (2) ditto Maddumamahatmaya, (3) ditto Puchimahatmaya, (4) ditto Podimenike, (5) ditto Ranmenike, (6) ditto Podimahatmaya, all of Kiriella, 2nd to 6th respondents are minors by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before H. J. V. I. Ekanayaka, Esq., District Judge, Ratnapura, on May 31, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. Wijetilaka, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 24, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the deceased above named, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of

administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 21, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 31, 1921.

H. J. V. I. EKANAYAKA,  
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kegalla.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of  
Jurisdiction. Warakapitiye Mudiyanseye Bandara  
No. 739. Menika of Angoda, deceased.  
Warakapitiye Mudiyanseye Ukku Banda  
Angoda ..... Respondents.

- (1) Dasanayaka Mudiyanseye Mutunika, (2) ditto Medduma Banda, (3) ditto Dingiri Banda, (4) ditto Mudiyanse, (5) ditto Leelavatee, (6) ditto Gnanawatee, all of Angoda, (7) ditto Kiri Banda Dasanayaka of Dunkeld estate, Dikoya, 1st to 6th respondents being minors by their guardian *ad litem* the 7th respondent ..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. P. Redlich, Esq., District Judge, Kegalla, on May 23, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. A. Wickramasinghe, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and his petition and affidavit dated May 20, 1921, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the deceased and the appointment of guardian *ad litem* over the minor respondents having been read: It is ordered and declared that the petitioner, as father of the deceased, is entitled to letters of administration to the estate of the deceased and that such letters will be issued to him accordingly, and that the 7th respondent, as father of the 1st to 6th respondents, will be appointed the guardian *ad litem*, unless the respondents or any person or persons interested shall, on or before June 21, 1921, show sufficient cause to the contrary to the satisfaction of the court.

May 23, 1921.

V. P. REDLICH,  
District Judge.