



Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 7,213 — FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1921.

Part II.—Legal.

Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.

	PAGE		PAGE		PAGE
Draft Ordinances	783	Notices from District and Minor Courts	—	Notices in Testamentary Actions	800
Passed Ordinances	—	Notices in Insolvency Cases	792	List of Notaries	—
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of the Supreme Court	—	Notices of Fiscals' Sales	793	Lists of Jurors and Assessors	—
				Council of Legal Education Notices	—

DRAFT ORDINANCE.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to consolidate and amend the Law relating to the Property of Married Women.

WHEREAS it is expedient to consolidate and amend the law relating to the property of married women: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

Preamble.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Married Women's Property Ordinance, No. of 1921," and shall come into operation on such date as the Governor shall, by Proclamation in the "Government Gazette," appoint.

Short title and commencement.

2 In this Ordinance, unless the context otherwise requires—

Definitions.

"Immovable property" includes land, incorporeal hereditaments and things attached to the earth or permanently fastened to anything which is attached to the earth, and any interest in land except that of a mortgagee ;

"Movable property" means property of every description, except immovable property, and includes a thing in action ;

"Contract" includes the acceptance of any trust or of the office of executrix or administratrix, and the provisions of this Ordinance as to liabilities of married women shall extend to all liabilities by reason of any breach of trust or devastavit committed by any married woman being a trustee or executrix or administratrix either before or after her marriage, and her husband shall not be subject to such liabilities, unless he has acted or intermeddled in the trust or administration.

On intermarriage of persons of different races, laws to which husband subject, to prevail: Ordinance not otherwise to apply to Kandiyans, Muhammadans, or Tamils under the Tesawalamai.

Repeal of sections 5 to 19 and 22 and 23 of Ordinance No. 15 of 1876.

Married woman to be capable of holding property and of contracting as if unmarried.

Will of married woman.

3 (1) Whenever a woman marries, after the commencement of this Ordinance, a man of different race or nationality from her own, she shall, subject to the provisions of section 4 of "The Jaffna Matrimonial Rights and Inheritance Ordinance, 1911," and of "The Kandyan Marriages Ordinance, No. 23 of 1917," be taken to be of the same race or nationality as her husband for all the purposes of this Ordinance, so long as the marriage subsists and until she marries again.

(2) Save as aforesaid, and save as is in section 24 expressly provided, this Ordinance shall not apply to Kandiyans, Muhammadans, or Tamils of the Northern Province who are or may become subject to the Tesawalamai.

4 Sections 5 to 19 (both inclusive) and sections 22 and 23 of "The Matrimonial Rights and Inheritance Ordinance, 1876," are hereby repealed:

Provided, however, that such repeal shall not affect any act done or right acquired while such sections were in force, or any right or liability of any husband or wife, married before the commencement of this Ordinance, to sue or be sued under the provisions of the said repealed sections, for or in respect of any debt, contract, wrong, or other matter or thing whatsoever, for or in respect of which any such right or liability shall have accrued to or against such husband or wife before the commencement of this Ordinance.

5 (1) A married woman shall, in accordance with the provisions of this Ordinance, be capable of acquiring, holding, and disposing by will or otherwise, of any movable or immovable property as her separate property, in the same manner as if she were a feme sole, without the intervention of any trustee.

(2) A married woman shall be capable of entering into, and rendering herself liable in respect of and to the extent of her separate property on, any contract, and of suing and being sued, either in contract or in tort, or otherwise, in all respects as if she were a feme sole, and her husband need not be joined with her as plaintiff or defendant, or be made a party to any action or other legal proceeding brought by or taken against her; and any damages or costs recovered by her in any such action or proceeding shall be her separate property; and any damages or costs recovered against her in any such action or proceeding shall be payable out of her separate property, and not otherwise.

(3) Every contract hereafter entered into by a married woman, otherwise than as agent—

(a) Shall be deemed to be a contract entered into by her with respect to and to bind her separate property whether she is or is not in fact possessed of or entitled to any separate property at the time when she enters into such contract;

(b) Shall bind all separate property which she may at that time or thereafter be possessed of or entitled to; and

(c) Shall also be enforceable by process of law against all property which she may thereafter while discovered be possessed of or entitled to:

Provided that nothing contained in this sub-section shall render available to satisfy any liability or obligation arising out of such contract any separate property which at that time or thereafter she is restrained from anticipating.

(4) Every married woman carrying on a trade separately from her husband shall, in respect of her separate property, be subject to the insolvency laws in the same way as if she were a feme sole.

6 Any will made by a married woman during the subsistence of her marriage shall, whether she is or is not possessed of or entitled to any separate property at the time of making it, be construed, as regards the property contained therein, to speak and take effect as if it had been executed immediately before her death; provided, however, that there shall appear nothing in the will showing a contrary intention.

7 Every woman who marries after the commencement of this Ordinance shall be entitled to have and to hold as her separate property, and to dispose of in manner aforesaid, all movable and immovable property which shall belong to her at the time of marriage, or shall be acquired by or devolve upon her after marriage, including any wages, earnings, money, and property gained or acquired by her in any employment, trade, or occupation in which she is engaged, or which she carries on separately from her husband, or by the exercise of any literary, artistic, or scientific skill.

Property of a woman married after the Ordinance to be held by her as a feme sole.

8 Any money or other property of the wife lent or entrusted by her to her husband for the purpose of any trade or business carried on by him, or otherwise, shall be treated as assets of her husband's estate in case of his insolvency, under reservation of the wife's claim to a dividend as a creditor for the amount or value of such money or other property after, but not before, all claims of the other creditors of the husband for valuable consideration in money or money's worth have been satisfied.

Loans by wife to husband.

9 The execution of a general power by will by a married woman shall have the effect of making the property appointed liable for her debts and other liabilities in the same manner as her separate estate is made liable under this Ordinance.

Execution of general power.

10 Every woman married before the commencement of this Ordinance shall be entitled to have and to hold and to dispose of in manner aforesaid as her separate property all movable and immovable property, her title to which, whether vested or contingent, and whether in possession, reversion, or remainder, shall accrue after the commencement of this Ordinance, including any wages, earnings, money, and property so gained or acquired by her as aforesaid.

Property acquired after the Ordinance by a woman married before the Ordinance to be held by her as if unmarried.

11 All deposits in any post office or other savings bank, or in any other bank, all annuities granted by any person, and all sums forming part of the public stocks or funds, which at the commencement of this Ordinance are standing in the sole name of a married woman, and all shares, stock, debentures, debenture stock, or other interests of or in any corporation, company, or public body, municipal, commercial, or otherwise, or of or in any industrial, provident, friendly, benefit, building, or loan society, which at the commencement of this Ordinance are standing in her name, shall be deemed, unless and until the contrary be shown, to be the separate property of such married woman; and the fact that any such deposit, annuity, sum forming part of the public stocks or funds, share, stock, debenture, debenture stock, or other interest as aforesaid, is standing in the sole name of a married woman, shall be sufficient *prima facie* evidence that she is beneficially entitled thereto for her separate use, so as to authorize and empower her to receive or transfer the same, and to receive the dividends, interest, and profits thereof, without the concurrence of her husband, and to indemnify the Postmaster-General, and all directors, managers, and trustees of every such bank, corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid, in respect thereof.

As to stock, &c., to which a married woman is entitled.

12 All sums forming part of the public stocks or funds, and all such deposits and annuities respectively as are mentioned in the last preceding section, and all shares, stock, debentures, debenture stock, and other interests of or in any such corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid, which after the commencement of this Ordinance shall be allotted to, or placed, registered, or transferred in or into, or made to stand in, the sole name of any married woman, shall be deemed, unless and until the contrary be shown, to be her separate property, in respect of which, so far as any liability may be incident thereto, her separate estate shall alone be liable, whether the same shall be so expressed in the document whereby her title to the same is created or certified, or in the books or register wherein her title is entered or recorded, or not:

As to stock, &c., to be transferred, &c., to a married woman.

Proviso.

Provided always that nothing in this Ordinance shall require or authorize any corporation or joint stock company to admit any married woman to be a holder of any shares or stock therein to which any liability may be incident, contrary to the provisions of any Act of the Imperial Parliament, Ordinance, charter, by-law, articles of association, or deed of settlement regulating such corporation or company.

Investments in joint names of married women and others.

13 All the provisions hereinbefore contained as to deposits in any post office or other savings bank, or in any other bank, annuities, sums forming part of the public stocks or funds, shares, stock, debentures, debenture stock, or other interests of or in any such corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid respectively, which at the commencement of this Ordinance shall be standing in the sole name of a married woman, or which, after that time, shall be allotted to, or placed, registered, or transferred to or into, or made to stand in, the sole name of a married woman, shall respectively extend and apply, so far as relates to the right, title, or interest of the married woman, to any of the particulars aforesaid which, at the commencement of this Ordinance, or at any time afterwards, shall be standing in, or shall be allotted to, placed, registered, or transferred to or into, or made to stand in, the name of any married woman jointly with any person or persons other than her husband.

As to stock, &c., standing in the joint names of a married woman and others.

14 It shall not be necessary for the husband of any married woman, in respect of her interest, to join in the transfer of any such annuity or deposit as aforesaid, or any sum forming part of the public stocks or funds, or any share, stock, debenture, debenture stock, or other benefit, right, claim, or other interest of or in any such corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid, which is now or shall at any time hereafter be standing in the sole name of any married woman, or in the joint names of such married woman and any other person or persons not being her husband.

Fraudulent investments with money of husband.

15 If any investment in any such deposit or annuity as aforesaid, or in any of the public stocks or funds, or in any share, stock, debenture, or debenture stock of any corporation, company, or public body, municipal, commercial, or otherwise, or in any share, debenture, benefit, right, or claim whatsoever in, to, or upon the funds of any industrial, provident, friendly, benefit, building, or loan society, shall have been made by a married woman by means of moneys of her husband, without his consent, the court may, upon an application under section 22 of this Ordinance, order such investment and the dividends thereof, or any part thereof, to be transferred and paid respectively to the husband; and nothing in this Ordinance contained shall give validity as against creditors of the husband to any gift, by a husband to his wife, of any property, which, after such gift shall continue to be in the order and disposition or reputed ownership of the husband, or to any deposit or other investment of moneys of the husband made by or in the name of his wife in fraud of his creditors; but any money so deposited or invested may be followed as if this Ordinance had not been passed.

Moneys payable under policy of assurance.

16 (1) A married woman may by virtue of the power of making contracts hereinbefore contained effect a policy upon her own life or the life of her husband for her separate use; and the same and all benefit thereof shall enure accordingly.

(2) A policy of assurance effected by any man on his own life, and expressed to be for the benefit of his wife, or of his children, or of his wife and children, or any of them, or by any woman on her own life, and expressed to be for the benefit of her husband, or of her children, or of her husband and children, or any of them, shall create a trust in favour of the objects therein named, and the moneys payable under any such policy shall not, so long as any object of the trust remains unperformed, form part of the estate of the insured, or be subject to his or her debts: Provided that if it shall be proved that the policy was effected and the premiums paid with intent to defraud the creditors of the insured, they shall be entitled to receive, out of the moneys payable under the policy, a sum equal to the premiums so paid.

Proviso.

(3) The insured may by the policy, or by any memorandum under his or her hand, appoint a trustee or trustees of the moneys payable under the policy, and from time to time appoint a new trustee or new trustees thereof, and may make provision for the appointment of a new trustee or new trustees thereof, and for the investment of the moneys payable under any such policy.

(4) In default of any such appointment of a trustee, such policy, immediately on its being effected, shall vest in the insured and his or her executor or administrator, in trust for the purposes aforesaid.

(5) If, at the time of the death of the insured, or at any time afterwards, there shall be no trustee, or it shall be expedient to appoint a new trustee or new trustees, a trustee or trustees or a new trustee or new trustees may be appointed by any court having jurisdiction under the provisions of "The Trusts Ordinance, No. 9 of 1917," or any Ordinance amending and extending the same.

(6) The receipt of a trustee or trustees duly appointed, or, in default of any such appointment, or in default of notice to the insurance office, the receipt of the executor or administrator of the insured shall be a discharge, to the office for the sum secured by the policy, or for the value thereof, in whole or in part.

17 (1) Every woman, whether married before or after this Ordinance, shall have in her own name against all persons whomsoever, including her husband, the same civil remedies, and also (subject, as regards her husband, to the proviso hereinafter contained) the same remedies and redress by way of criminal proceedings, for the protection and security of her own separate property, as if such property belonged to her as a feme sole, but, except as aforesaid, no husband or wife shall be entitled to sue the other for a tort.

Remedies of married woman for protection and security of separate property.

(2) In any indictment or other proceeding under this section it shall be sufficient to allege such property to be her property.

(3) In any proceeding under this section a husband or wife shall be competent to give evidence against each other, any statute or rule of law to the contrary notwithstanding.

(4) Provided always that no criminal proceedings shall be taken by any wife against her husband by virtue of this Ordinance while they are living together, as to or concerning any property claimed by her, nor while they are living apart, as to or concerning any act done by the husband while they were living together, concerning property claimed by the wife, unless such property shall have been wrongfully taken by the husband when leaving or deserting, or about to leave or desert, his wife.

Proviso.

18 A woman after her marriage shall continue to be liable in respect and to the extent of her separate property for all debts contracted, and all contracts entered into or wrongs committed by her before her marriage, including any sums for which she may be liable as a contributory, either before or after she has been placed on the list of contributories, under and by virtue of the Ordinances relating to joint stock companies; and she may be sued for any such debt and for any liability in damages or otherwise under any such contract, or in respect of any such wrong; and all sums recovered against her in respect thereof, or for any costs relating thereto: shall be payable out of her separate property; and, as between her and her husband, unless there be any contract between them to the contrary, her separate property shall be deemed to be primarily liable for all such debts, contracts, or wrongs, and for all damages or costs recovered in respect thereof: Provided always that nothing in this Ordinance shall operate to increase or diminish the liability of any woman married before the commencement of this Ordinance for any such debt, contract, or wrong as aforesaid, except as to any separate property to which she may become entitled by virtue of this Ordinance, and to which she would not have been entitled for her separate use under the sections hereby repealed or otherwise, if this Ordinance had not been passed.

Wife's ante-nuptial debts and liabilities.

Proviso.

Husband to be liable for his wife's debts contracted before marriage to a certain extent.

19 A husband shall be liable for the debts of his wife contracted, and for all contracts entered into and wrongs committed by her, before marriage, including any liabilities to which she may be so subject under the Ordinances relating to joint stock companies as aforesaid, to the extent of all property whatsoever belonging to his wife which he shall have acquired or become entitled to, from or through his wife, after deducting therefrom any payments made by him, and any sums for which judgment may have been *bona fide* recovered against him in any proceeding at law, in respect of any such debts, contracts, or wrongs for or in respect of which his wife was liable before her marriage as aforesaid; but he shall not be liable for the same any further or otherwise; and any court in which a husband shall be sued for any such debt shall have power to direct any inquiry or proceedings which it may think proper for the purpose of ascertaining the nature, amount, or value of such property: Provided always that nothing in this Ordinance contained shall operate to increase or diminish the liability of any husband married before the commencement of this Ordinance for or in respect of any such debt or other liability of his wife as aforesaid.

Suits for ante-nuptial liabilities.

20 (1) A husband and wife may be jointly sued in respect of any such debt or other liability (whether by contract or for any wrong) contracted or incurred by the wife before marriage as aforesaid, if the plaintiff in the action shall seek to establish his claim, either wholly or in part, against both of them.

(2) If in any such action, or in any action brought in respect of any such debt or liability against the husband alone, it is not found that the husband is liable in respect of any property of the wife so acquired by him or to which he shall have become so entitled as aforesaid, he shall have judgment for his costs of defence, whatever may be the result of the action against the wife if jointly sued with him.

(3) In any such action against husband and wife jointly, if it appears that the husband is liable for the debt or damages recovered, or any part thereof, the judgment to the extent of the amount for which the husband is liable shall be a joint judgment against the husband personally and against the wife as to her separate property; and as to the residue, if any, of such debt and damages, the judgment shall be a separate judgment against the wife as to her separate property only.

Act of wife liable to criminal proceedings.

21 A wife doing any act with respect to any property of her husband, which, if done by the husband with respect to property of the wife, would make the husband liable to criminal proceedings by the wife under this Ordinance, shall in like manner be liable to criminal proceedings by her husband.

Questions between husband and wife as to property to be decided in a summary way.

22 (1) In any question between husband and wife as to the title or possession of property, either party, or any such bank, corporation, company, public body, or society, as aforesaid, in whose books any stocks, funds, or shares of either party may be standing, may apply by motion in a summary way to the District Court of the district in which either party resides.

(2) The District Judge may make such order, direct such inquiry, and award such costs as he shall think fit.

(3) The District Judge may, if either party so require, hear the application in his private room.

(4) Any order so made shall be subject to appeal to the Supreme Court, and for the purposes of such appeal shall be regarded as an interlocutory order of the District Court.

(5) Any such bank, corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid shall, in the matter of such application for the purposes of costs or otherwise, be treated as a stakeholder only.

Married woman as trustee, executrix, or administratrix.

23 A married woman who is a trustee solely or jointly with any other person or persons of property subject to any trust, or who is an executrix or administratrix solely or jointly as aforesaid of the estate of any deceased person, may sue or

be sued, and may, without her husband, dispose of or join in disposing of any movable or immovable property held by her as such trustee, executrix, or administratrix, as if she were a feme sole.

24 (1) Notwithstanding anything in this Ordinance contained, a restriction against anticipation may be attached to the enjoyment of any property or income under any settlement, agreement for a settlement, will, or other instrument by a woman during marriage, and thereupon, during the subsistence of any marriage to which such woman is a party, she shall, subject to the provisions of this section, be incapable of anticipating the enjoyment of any such property or income; and the principles and doctrine of the Law of England with respect to restraint on anticipation for the time being in force shall apply in each and every such case.

Married woman cannot bind property or income subject to a restraint in anticipation.

(2) No restriction against anticipation contained in any settlement or agreement for a settlement of a woman's own property to be made or entered into by herself shall have any validity against debts contracted by her before marriage, and no settlement or agreement for a settlement shall have any greater force or validity against creditors of such woman than a like settlement or agreement for a settlement made or entered into by a man would have against his creditors.

(3) In any action or proceeding now or hereafter instituted by a woman or by a next friend on her behalf, the court before which such action or proceeding is pending shall have jurisdiction by decree or order from time to time to order payment of the costs of the opposite party out of such property or income, and may enforce such payment by the appointment of a receiver and the sale of the property or otherwise as may be just.

(4) The Supreme Court may, if it thinks fit, when it appears to be for her benefit, by decree or order, with her consent, bind her interest in such property.

(5) The provisions of this Ordinance relating to restraint on anticipation shall during the subsistence of the marriage also apply to a woman married to a Kandyan or Muhammadan, or to a Tamil of the Northern Province who is or may become subject to the Tesawalamai.

25 Nothing in this Ordinance contained shall interfere with or affect any settlement or agreement for a settlement made or to be made, whether before or after marriage, respecting the property of any married woman:

Saving of existing settlements, and the power to make future settlements.

Provided that a settlement or agreement for a settlement made after the commencement of this Ordinance by the husband or intended husband, whether before or after marriage, respecting the property of any woman he may marry or have married, shall not be valid unless it is executed by her if she is of full age, or confirmed by her after she attains full age; but if she dies an infant, any covenant or disposition by her husband shall nevertheless bind or pass any interest in any property of hers to which he may become entitled on her death and which he could have bound or disposed of if this Ordinance had not been passed.

26 When a married woman having sufficient separate property neglects or refuses to maintain her husband, who through illness or otherwise is unable to maintain himself, the Police Magistrate within whose jurisdiction such woman resides, may, upon application of the husband, make and enforce such order against her for the maintenance of her husband out of such separate property as by the third section of "The Maintenance Ordinance, 1889," he may now make and enforce against a husband for the maintenance of his wife.

Married woman to be liable for the maintenance of her husband.

27 A married woman having separate property adequate for the purposes shall be subject to all such liability for the maintenance of her children as a widow is now by law subject to for the maintenance of her children. Provided that nothing in this Ordinance shall relieve her husband from any liability at present imposed upon him by law to maintain her children.

Wife with separate property liable for the maintenance of her children.

Liability of executor or administrator of married woman.

28 For the purposes of this Ordinance the executor or administrator of any married woman shall in respect of her separate estate have the same rights and liabilities and be subject to the same jurisdiction as she would be if she were living.

S. C. Velleianum and authentica si qua mulier abrogated.

29 From and after the commencement of this Ordinance the *exceptio beneficii Senatus-Consulti Velleiani*, the *exceptio beneficii authentica si qua mulier*, and the sixth section of the Placaat or Edict of the Emperor Charles V. dated the Fourth day of October, 1540, relating to marriage settlements, shall not apply or have any force whatsoever in the Colony.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

As the result of a motion made by the Hon. Mr. K. Bala-singham in Legislative Council on June 29, 1920, proposing that "The Matrimonial Rights Ordinance, 1876," be amended so as to bring the rights of married women in their property more into conformity with the law of England, a Committee was appointed to go into the whole subject.

The Committee has prepared this Bill, but, before attention is called to its provisions, it may be useful to deal first of all with the existing law on the subject.

In section 2 of Ordinance No. 15 of 1876 it is provided that where a woman marries a man of different race or nationality, she is to be taken to be of the same race or nationality as her husband so long as the marriage subsists or until she marries again; but otherwise that Ordinance is not to apply to Kandyan or Muhammadans or Tamils subject to the Tesawalamai.

The specific provisions dealing with the position of married women in relation to their property are contained in sections 5 to 33 of the Ordinance; and are based on the provisions of the Married Women's Property Act, 1870, of the Imperial Parliament.

But in 1882 there was passed in England the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, which made very great changes in the position of married women as regards their property and the Committee are of opinion that the law of Ceylon on this subject should be closely assimilated to that of England; and in this Bill effect has been given to that opinion.

By section 3 (1) of the Bill, but subject to the provisions of section 4 of "The Jaffna Matrimonial Rights and Inheritance Ordinance, 1911," and of Ordinance No. 23 of 1917, the same limitations as are above referred to apply to Kandyan, Muhammadans, and Tamils subject to the Tesawalamai; with the great exception that a restraint on anticipation may be placed upon property belonging to a woman marrying a Kandyan, Muhammadan, or Tamil subject to the Tesawalamai. An explanation will be given under section 24 as to the effect of a restraint on anticipation.

The ruling principle of the Bill is contained in section 5—that a woman may acquire, hold, and dispose by will or otherwise of any property as if she were unmarried; and she may also enter into contracts, and sue and be sued in respect of contracts and wrongs as if she were unmarried. But her contracts are only to bind such part of her separate estate as she is not restrained from anticipating.

By section 6 of the Bill provision is made as to the effect of a will by a married woman; and by section 7 a woman married after the Bill becomes law is to have, hold, and dispose of all property belonging to her as her separate property. If she was married before the Bill becomes law, she is to have similar rights over property which she acquires after that date.

Other provisions to which short reference may be made are—

- (1) Section 8.—Postponement of loans made by a wife to a husband in case of the latter's insolvency ;
- (2) Section 9.—Effect of execution of a general power by will ;
- (3) Section 11.—Provision that all deposits, annuities, and stocks standing in the sole name of a married woman are, until the contrary is shown, to be regarded as her separate property ;
- (4) Section 13.—Provision as to investments standing in names of a married woman and of others jointly ; and
- (5) Section 15.—Fraudulent investments by a wife with the money of her husband.

Section 16 of the Bill is a most important one. In the first place, a wife may effect a policy on her own life or on that of her husband for her separate use ; and in the next, provision is made for a man to insure his life for the benefit of his wife and children. In such case a trust is to be created in favour of the objects to be benefited, and moneys payable under the policy are not, so long as any object of the trust remains unperformed, to form part of the estate or be subject to the debts of the insured.

By section 17 a married woman, whether married before or after the Bill becomes law, is to have the same remedies, in respect of her property, as if she were unmarried ; but, in the case of her husband, she cannot take criminal proceedings against him in respect of property, unless such property has been wrongfully taken by him when leaving or deserting the wife. Similarly, a wife is liable to criminal proceedings by a husband (section 21).

After her marriage a woman remains liable for ante-nuptial debts and liabilities to the extent of her separate property ; and her husband is also liable to the extent of any property acquired by him from or through his wife (sections 18, 19, and 20).

By section 22 a summary method of application to the District Court in cases of disputes as to property between husbands and wives is provided.

Section 24 is the important section dealing with restraint on anticipation, to which reference has already been made ; and it provides for the application of this principle in the case of all marriages, including those of Kandyans, Muhammadans, and Tamils subject to the Tesawalamai.

By the law of England property may be left to a woman subject to a restraint on the anticipation of enjoyment of the income or corpus of such property. While she is unmarried or a widow this restraint does not operate, and may be dealt with by her, and is subject to her debts and liabilities just as if she were unmarried. But directly she marries, the restraint becomes operative, and she cannot change or dispose of such income or corpus by any voluntary act on her part. The Supreme Court may, however, with her consent bind her interest in such property if a Judge thinks it is to her interest to do so.

The Committee was strongly impressed with the advisability of making this rule as to restraint on anticipation applicable to all married women, whatever their nationality ; otherwise there is always the danger that a woman, particularly if she is young, may be influenced to her own serious and permanent detriment.

Attention also is called to section 26, which makes a married woman who has sufficient property liable to maintain her husband, and to section 27, which in certain cases makes her liable to maintain her children.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, May 30, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,010. In the matter of the insolvency of Gintotage Romanis Fernando of Wasala road, Kotahena.

NOTICE is hereby given that the above-named insolvent has been awarded a certificate of conformity as of the third class.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEER,
Secretary.
September 14, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,016. In the matter of the insolvency of Vana Layana Muna, Sahul Hameed of No. 66, 5th Cross street, Pettah, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on October 18, 1921, for the grant of a certificate of conformity.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEER,
Secretary.
September 14, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,026. In the matter of the insolvency of Welwita Liyanage Don Gerard Victor Perera of No. 41, Mayfield road, Kotahena, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on October 18, 1921, for the grant of a certificate of conformity.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEER,
Secretary.
September 14, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,028. In the matter of the insolvency of Atukorala Arachchige Mathias Perera of No. 31, Skinner's road south, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on October 18, 1921, for the grant of a certificate of conformity.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEER,
Secretary.
September 14, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,058. In the matter of the insolvency of A. M. Ghouse of Wellawatta, Colombo.

WHEREAS the above-named A. M. Ghouse has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has also been filed by S. L. M. H. M. Saeed of Colombo, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby that the said court has adjudged the said A. M. Ghouse insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on October 11, 1921, and on October 25, 1921, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEER,
Secretary.
September 14, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,059. In the matter of the insolvency of Tantri Mudalige Don Alexander of Wattala.

WHEREAS the above-named Tantri Mudalige Don Alexander has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has also been filed by Rannage Don Joseph, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said Tantri Mudalige Don Alexander insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to

wit, on October 18, 1921, and on November 1, 1921, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEER,
Secretary.
September 16, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,060. In the matter of the insolvency of John Balengero van Langenburg of Wall street, Kotahena, Colombo.

WHEREAS the above-named John Balengero van Langenburg has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has also been filed by W. D. Gnanatilleke, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said John Balengero van Langenburg insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on October 18, 1921, and on November 1, 1921, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, P. DE KRETSEER,
Secretary.
September 17, 1921.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

No. 172/I. In the matter of the insolvency of Ana Mohamado Sheriff of Henemulla in Panadura.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on October 11, 1921, to appoint a provisional assignee.

By order of court, R. MALALGADA,
Secretary.
Kalutara, September 15, 1921.

In the District Court of Nuwara Eliya holden at Hatton.

No. 6. In the matter of the insolvency of Percival Frederick Augustus Theodore La Brooy of Nuwara Eliya.

NOTICE is hereby given that the 1st sittings of this court in the matter has been adjourned for October 7, 1921.

By order of court, H. J. CHANDRWARDNAM,
Secretary.
Hatton, September 15, 1921.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 400. In the matter of the insolvency of L. B. Dharmapala of Minuwangoda in Galle.

NOTICE is hereby given that the sitting of this court in the above matter has been adjourned for October 31, 1921, for examination of the insolvent.

By order of court, C. W. GOONEWARDENE,
Acting Secretary.
Galle, September 20, 1921.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 454. In the matter of the insolvency of Weerasuriya Maha Vidanage William of Katukurunda in Galle.

NOTICE is hereby given that the sitting of this court in the above matter has been adjourned for November 14, 1921, for examination of insolvent.

By order of court, C. W. GOONEWARDENE,
Acting Secretary.
Galle, September 20, 1921.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 455. In the matter of the insolvency of Weerasuriya Maha Vidanage Andiris of Katukurunda in Galle.

NOTICE is hereby given that the sitting of this court in the above matter has been adjourned for November 14, 1921, for examination of insolvent.

By order of court, C. W. GOONEWARDENE,
Galle, September 20, 1921. Acting Secretary.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 464. In the matter of the insolvency of Edmund Wijesuriya of Dodanduwa.

NOTICE is hereby given that the certificate meeting of this court in the above matter has been fixed for October 18, 1921.

By order of court, C. W. GOONEWARDENE,
Galle, September 20, 1921. Acting Secretary.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 469. In the matter of the insolvency of A. W. P. Don Davith of Galle.

NOTICE is hereby given that the second sitting of this court in the above matter has been adjourned from November 14, 1921, for examination of insolvent.

By order of court, C. W. GOONEWARDENE,
Galle, September 20, 1921. Acting Secretary.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 471. In the matter of the insolvency of Aliya Marcar Mohamadu Hanifa of G'ntota, Welipitimodera.

NOTICE is hereby given that the adjudication of insolvency in the above matter has been annulled.

By order of court, RICHARD L. PERERA,
Galle, September 15, 1921. Secretary.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 472. In the matter of the insolvency of Pandittage Kovis Appuhamy of Tiranagama.

NOTICE is hereby given that the above-named insolvent has been awarded a certificate of insolvency as of the first class.

By order of court, C. W. GOONEWARDENE,
Galle, September 20, 1921. Acting Secretary.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 475. In the matter of the insolvency of Cyrus de Silva Abeyaratna of Mampitiya in Galle.

NOTICE is hereby given that a special meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on October 11, 1921, to appoint a fresh assignee.

By order of court, RICHARD L. PERERA,
Galle, September 15, 1921. Secretary.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 476. In the matter of the insolvency of Mohamed Hadjiar Abdul Rahaman of Kumbalwella in Galle.

NOTICE is hereby given that the second sitting of this court in the above matter has been adjourned for October 31, 1921, for examination of insolvent.

By order of court, C. W. GOONEWARDENE,
Galle, September 20, 1921. Acting Secretary.

NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Kurukula Aratchige Don Nicholas Nanayakkara Appuhamy of Karagahamuna in Mahara in Adikari pattu of Siyane korale Plaintiff.

No. 873 of 1921. Vs.

(1) Kahandawa Aratchige Don Davith Appuhamy, (2) ditto Don Joronis, Police Headman, (3) ditto Don Deonis Appuhamy, (4) ditto Don Peter Appuhamy, (5) ditto Dona Jane Nona Hamine, (6) ditto Dona Sophia Nona Hamine, all of Weboda, (7) Kahandawa Aratchige Dona Loku Nona Hamine, and her husband (8) Samaraweera Aratchige John Perera, both of Makola in Adikari pattu of Siyane korale Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, October 19, 1921, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,436.17, with legal interest on Rs. 1,159.84 from March 24, 1921, till date of decree (May 13, 1921), and thereafter on the amount adjudged till payment in full, and costs of suit, viz. :—

At 2 P.M.

1. A portion towards the south along the road of Gonnagahawatta situated at Weboda in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale; and the said portion is bounded on the north by cart road, on the east by the limit of Millagahawatta belonging to Kahandawa Aratchige Jornis Appu and others and land belonging to Kekulawala Jayawardana

Aratchige Karolis Appuhamy, on the south by land belonging to Kekulawala Jayawardana Aratchige Punchappuhamy, and on the west by land belonging to Kahandawa Aratchige Don Simon Appuhamy; containing in extent within these boundaries 10 acres more or less, together with the thatched house standing thereon.

At 2.30 P.M.

2. Etambagahakumbura and the owita land appurtenant thereto, situated at Weboda as aforesaid; and bounded on the north by the stream on the boundary of the field belonging to Kahandawa Aratchige Don Simon Appuhamy and others, on the east by Panikkaowita, on the south by land belonging to Kekulawala Jayawardana Aratchige Don Punchappuhamy and others, on the west by high land; and containing in extent within these boundaries 4 bushels of paddy sowing more or less.

Fiscal's Office, W. DE LIVERA,
Colombo, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Pana Sayna Sayna Moona Arumugam Chetty of Sea street, Colombo Plaintiff.

No. 950 of 1921. Vs.

Galagedarage Don Simon of Cotta road, Borella, Colombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, October 18, 1921, at 3 P.M., will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 5,356 dated April 14, 1919, and attested by G. A. F.

Seneviratna, Notary Public, and decreed and ordered to be sold by the order of court dated August 26, 1921, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 405, with interest on Rs. 300 at 20 per cent. per annum from April 19, 1921, up to June 10, 1921, and thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs of suit, viz. :—

All that allotment of land and of the buildings and plantations standing thereon being land described in T. P. No. 84,984 and a part of land described in T.P. No. 84,985, situated at Welikada in the Palle pattu of Salpiti korale, in the District of Colombo, Western Province, now called Cotta road, within the Municipality of Colombo, in the District of Colombo, Western Province, bearing assessment No. 12; bounded on the north by the property of Don Davith Appuhamy formerly of Carolis Perera, on the east by the remaining portion of the land described in T. P. No. 84,985, on the south by Cotta road, and on the west by land described in T. P. No. 84,983; containing in extent 1 acre and 11 50/100 perches.

Fiscal's Office, W. DE LIVERA,
Colombo, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

K. R. Karuppen Chetty of Sea street, Colombo, administrator of the estate of the late P. R. K. R. Caruppen Chetty, deceased Plaintiff
No. 2,201 of 1921. Vs.

(1) Emily Cooray and 4 others, all of Dematagoda, Colombo Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, October 17, 1921, at 3 p.m., will be sold by public auction at Cornwal House, Dematagoda, the following movable property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 3,923.03, with legal interest thereon from August 4, 1921, till payment in full, and costs, viz. :—

One piano, 10 ebony carved chairs, 1 ebony stand with mirror, 1 ebony round table, 1 ebony couch, 2 ebony lounges, a nadun lounge, 5 nadun armchairs, 3 rattan chairs, 1 teapoy, 1 satinwood bureau almirah carved with ebony, 1 nadun almirah fixed with mirror, 2 ebony teapoys, 1 hat stand, 1 rattan settee, 2 bentwood chairs, 1 foreign wood small table, 1 wash stand with marble top, 1 writing table, 1 chair, 1 toilet table, 3 rattan chairs, 1 bench with high back, 2 rattan mattings, 1 carpet, 3 brass flower pots, 1 mirror, 1 satinwood couch, 1 dining table, 1 long table, 6 nadun armchairs, 2 whatnots, 2 toilet tables, 12 ornamental wall plates, 2 corner whatnots, 1 almirah, 8 pictures, 2 iron benches, 25 pots with flower plants.

Fiscal's Office, W. DE LIVERA,
Colombo, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Wijeyeratne Mudianselage Don Thomis Andraadi of Alutgama Plaintiff
No. 9,540. Vs.

(1) Abdul Caffoor of Katukurunda, (2) C. A. K. Marikkar, Notary Public of Katukurunda... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, October 15, 1921, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 1,791.59, viz. :—

The entire soil, trees, and buildings of lot No. 2 of the land called Millagahahenekanatta and Hithhadakandalanda as per figure of survey No. 600 dated March 27, 1902, and made by Mr. James O. Orr of Kalutara, Licensed Surveyor, which lot No. 2 is situated at Heenatiyangala; and bounded on the north by the land claimed by K. Don Juan and Crown land, east by lots 3 and 4 of the same land as per said figure of survey, south by land appearing in plan No. 63,701, and on the west by lot No. 1 of the same land as per said figure of survey and by land appearing in plan No. 63,701; containing in extent 1 acre and 25 perches.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Wijeyeratne Mudianselage Don Thomis Andraadi of Alutgama Plaintiff
No. 9,540. Vs.

(1) Abdul Caffoor of Katukurunda, (2) C. A. K. Marikkar, Notary Public of Katukurunda... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, October 19, 1921, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 1,791.59, viz. :—

An undivided one-half share of the soil and trees of that land called Sudugahaudumullelanda, situate at Duwegoda in Maggona; and bounded on the north by lands appearing in plans Nos. 188,085 and 188,086, east by lots 5834, 5836, and 5840 in plan No. 5,404 and lot Nos. 9444 and 9443 in plan No. 9,732, south by lots 9443 and 9443 in plan No. 9,732 and Crown land, and west by Crown land and land appearing in plan No. 188,811; containing in extent about 16 acres 2 roods and 8 perches.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Udawatte Kankanange Don Clovis de Silva, Station Master of Paiyagala Plaintiff
No. 9,682. Vs.

Jamuni Allis Silva of Pahalagoda Defendant

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, October 18, 1921, commencing at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 3,200, with interest thereon at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum from September 10, 1920, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 166.45, viz. :—

(1) The planter's $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the trees and an undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ shares of the soil and of the remaining trees of the land called Andiwatta, together with the entire tiled new house standing thereon, situated in Paiyagala; and bounded on the north and south by Andiwatta, on the east by Galketiya-watta, and on the west by the river; containing in extent 2 roods and 37 perches.

(3) An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ share of the soil and of the trees of the land called Wagurewatta, situated in Paiyagala aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Dunwatta, on the east by Endabodawatta, on the south by Mullewatta, and on the west by Dummalamoderawatta; containing in extent 1 acre and 14 perches.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Negombo.

Galketidewage John Fernando of Makkalagamuwa Plaintiff
No. 27,844. Vs.

Edmund de Mendis Amarasekara of Liyanagemulla (now dead defendant), Leanoire Mendis, legal representative of the estate of her deceased husband, Edmund de Mendis Amarasekara of Liyanagemulla Substituted Defendant

NOTICE is hereby given that on October 15, 1921, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property ordered to be sold by the decree entered in the above case, viz. :—

As a Primary Mortgage.

The land called Madangahawatta, situate at Liyanagemulla in Dasiya pattu; and bounded on the north by la

and the land of R. Pauli Fernando and others, east by the high road, south by land of M. Nicholas Silva, deceased, and on the west by field of A. D. S. Gunasekara and the land of E. M. Amarasekara and others; containing in extent about 2 acres, with the buildings standing thereon.

Amount to be levied Rs. 316.45, with interest on Rs. 299 at 9 per cent. per annum from June 17, 1919, till payment, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,
Negombo, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Negombo.

Mihidukalaturia Romel Fernando of 3rd Division, Bolawalana, Negombo Plaintiff.
No. 29,096. Vs.

Mihidukulururia Maria Fernando of 4th Division, Bolawalana, Negombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on October 17, 1921, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

(1) The land called Kosgahawatta, situate at 3rd Division, Bolawalana, Negombo; and bounded on the north by garden of Mihidukulururia Nicholan Tissera, east by the road leading to Periyamulla, south by road leading to Minuwangoda, and west by garden of Mihidukulururia Valenti Fernando; containing in extent about 2 acres; together with buildings standing thereon, subject to a mortgage in favour of Charles Appuhami.

(2) An undivided $\frac{1}{24}$ share of the field called Dangahakumbura, situate at 4th Division, Bolawalana aforesaid; and bounded on the north by field of Mihidukulururia Juan Waas Muppurala, east by land of Peter Lazarus Ambrose Morais, south by field of Wattalapedige Saviel Fernando, and west by garden of M. Mariyano and others; containing in extent about 5 parras paddy sowing soil.

Amount recoverable Rs. 266.10, with interest on Rs. 250 at 10 per cent. per annum from October 16, 1920, till January 21, 1921, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum till payment, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,
Negombo, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Negombo.

Jayamanna Mohottige Hilari Appuhami of Negombo Plaintiff.
No. 29,494. Vs.

Jayamanna Mohottige Anthony Appuhamy of Kongodamulla Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on October 19, 1921, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property subject to existing lease, viz. :—

The land called Ketakellagahawatta, with the buildings standing thereon, situate at Kongodamulla in Dunagahapattu; and bounded on the north by land of Elaris Appu, east by land of Don Saviel Appu, south by land of Elaris Appu, and west by land of Madurawalage Jokino Appu and dewata road; containing in extent about 3 acres.

Amount to be levied Rs. 46.95, with interest on Rs. 35 at 9 per cent. per annum from May 16, 1921, till payment, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,
Negombo, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

Central Province.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Yena Chena Yena Muttiah Chetty of Kandy Plaintiff.
No. 27,763. Vs.

Thedi Ahamado's son Meera Saibo of Gomagoda Wepatana in Palispattu of Lower Dumbara. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, October 22, 1921, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction

at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,425.02, with interest thereon at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum from March 19, 1920, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 157.72, and poundage, viz. :—

(1) Malabodagawagederawatta of about 4 acres in extent, situate at Palagolle in Gonawala in Palispattu west of Lower Dumbara, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and bounded on the east by ela, on the north by Kiria Panikkiya's watta, on the south and west by agala and Gansabhawa road; with the buildings standing thereon.

(2) Maragahamulahena of about 8 acres in extent, situate at Palagolle aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Kuru ambegedera Ukku Banda's garden, on the south by Rukkattanagahamulahena and Panchirala's garden, on the west by Kapukotuwa Pancha's garden and Kaludurayagewatta, and on the north by Brakmanagedera-punchiralagewatta.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, September 20, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Pana Lana Kana Ana Palaniappa Chetty of Nawalapitiya Plaintiff.
No. 28,445. Vs.

Wiladdaragamage Carolis Appuhamy of Madakumbure in Udapone korale of Kotmalie Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, October 21, 1921, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property mortgaged with [the plaintiff by bond No. 9,025 dated December 5, 1916, and attested by E. M. B. Seneviratne of Kandy, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold under the decree entered in the above case for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum from October 6, 1920, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 149.17 $\frac{1}{2}$, together making the sum of Rs. 1,149.17 $\frac{1}{2}$, and poundage, viz. :—

An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called and known as Kirindeketiyawatta, situate at Madakumbure in Udapone korale of Kotmalie, in the District of Nuwara Eliya, of the Central Province; and bounded on the east by Mahagalpeliya, on the south by Maha-ela, on the west by the field and stone fence belonging to the people of Diyabulegedera, and on the north by Malala and stone fence, belonging to the people of Konage; containing in extent 20 acres in the whole, together with a like proportionate part or share of the buildings, plantations, and of everything thereon.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, September 20, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

The National Bank of India, Limited, Kandy Plaintiff.
o. 28,646. Vs.

(1) Hilda May Deane Jansze and (2) Nevill Budd Jansze, both of Kandy Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, October 22, 1921, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 1,001 dated June 5, 1920, and attested by Nigel I. Lee of Kandy, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold under the decree entered in the above case for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 5,971.92, with legal interest thereon on Rs. 5,777.25 at 9 per cent. per annum from May 30, 1921, till payment in full, with poundage, viz. :—

All that allotment of land marked lot No. 9 in the title plan thereof No. 48,175, situate at Lady MacCarthy's road in Udawattekelle within the Town, Municipality, and District of Kandy, in the Central Province, bearing present assessment No. 19 $\frac{1}{2}$; and bounded on the north by Lady MacCarthy's road, on the east by lot No. 8 described in title plan No. 48,174 and Ceylon Survey Agency Plan

No. 583, on the south by land reserved for Military purposes, and on the west by land in possession of Mrs. de Soysa for the last forty years and described in Ceylon Survey Agency Plan No. 2,563 and by Crown land; containing in the extent 1 acre 3 roods and 37 $\frac{27}{100}$ perches according to the figure of survey thereof No. 2,567 bearing date July 27, 1911, made by Charles Peter de Silva, Licensed Surveyor.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, September 20, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Suñā Pana Rawanna Mana Rawanna Mana Ramasamy
Chetty of Brownrigg street in Kandy Plaintiff.

No. 28,725. Vs.

(1) Herbert R. Wiggin of Warakagoda estate in Yatiyantota, Kegalla, (2) Harry Wijenaikē of Halloluwa road in Kandy Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, October 21, 1921, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said 2nd defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 3,046.05, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from January 24, 1921, till payment in full, and costs Rs. 141, together making the sum of Rs. 3,187.05 and poundage, viz. :—

(1) All that allotment of land situate alongside the Halloluwa road within the limits of the Town, Municipality of Kandy, of the Central Province, containing in extent 1 rood and 26 perches according to Mr. Geo. E. De La Motte's plan of survey dated July 25, 1908; and bounded (according to the said plan) on the east by temple land, on the south by Halloluwa road, on the west by the house and premises presently bearing assessment No. 7, and on the north by the remaining portion of the land of which this allotment forms part; which allotment forms part and parcel of all that block of land described in the old title deeds as containing 17 acres 3 roods and 26 perches in extent in the whole; and as bounded on the east by Wattur's property, on the south by the road leading to Halloluwa, on the west by Cassie Chetty's land, and on the north by the Dodanwala village limit.

(2) All that piece of land called Tingolanawatta, together with the house standing thereon, presently bearing assessment No. 13 (formerly Nos. 28 and 29), and known as Coronation Cottage, situate near the road running round the lake known as Victoria Drive, within the limits of the aforesaid Town and Municipality of Kandy aforesaid; and bounded on the east by property said to belong to Mr. W. M. Leake, on the south by property said to belong to D. D. Goonetilleke, Mudaliyar, on the west by the road leading to the Mudaliyar's property and vihare, and on the north by the remaining portion of the land which this allotment at one time formed part; containing in extent 1 rood and 13 perches according to the plan of survey made by Mr. Alexander Robertson in the month of October, 1870.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, September 20, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Muna Runa Pana Lana Muna Ittana Thuna Nadarasan Chetty of Kandy Plaintiff.

No. 28,891. Vs.

(1) Thana Abdul Rahiman Lebbe, (2) Sena Hamidu Lebbe, and (3) K. M. Kiri Banda, all of Peradeniya Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, October 20, 1921, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,072.75, with interest

thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from March 16, 1921, till payment in full, and costs, Rs. 145.95, together making the sum of Rs. 1,218.70, and poundage, viz. :—

(1) All that field called Asweddumakumbura of 3 pelas and 6 kurunies of paddy sowing in extent, or 1 acre and 1 perch in extent, situate at Daskara in Meda palata of Uduwara, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; bounded on the east by Thotaliyaddemahawella alias Pansaleliyadda, on the south by ella of Bodeniyehena alias bank, and on the north by wella of Galahitiyawa alias imaniyara, and on the west by ella of Galkaduwehena alias bank and ela.

(2) An undivided one-half part or share of and in all that field called Tenunliaddakumbura of about 3 pelas of paddy sowing in extent in the whole, situate at Daskara aforesaid; the entirety being bounded on the east by oya, on the south by Asweddumakumbura, on the west by Gansaba road, and on the north by fence of Pansalewatta.

(3) An undivided one-third part or share of and in all that land called Labuangehenayalagewatta of about 1 pela of paddy sowing in extent, situate at Daskara aforesaid; and the entirety being bounded on the east by wella, on the south by fence of Pansalewatta, on the west by fence of Siyatu's garden, and on the north by Kumara-henaya's garden; together with a like share of everything thereon.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, September 20, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Nakulugomuwegamage Don Simon Appuhamy of
Gampola Plaintiff.

No. 28,892. Vs.

Cader Saibo Nawoor Meera alias Kawanna Nagoor
Meera of Gampola Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, October 22, 1921, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 1,364, dated July 1, 1914, and attested by Mr. D. A. T. P. Loku Balasooriya of Gampola, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold under the decree entered in the above case for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,441.56, with legal interest thereon on Rs. 1,278.91 at 9 per cent. per annum from May 14, 1921, till payment in full, and poundage, viz. :—

(1) The lands called Multenkotuwa and Nillakotuwa, both adjoining each other and forming one land, of about 1 amunam in paddy sowing extent, with the plantations and everything thereon, situated at Halagama in Ganga Ihala korale of Udapalata in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and bounded on the north by the drain and the limitary stones, on the east by the limit of the railway line, on the south by the Galheeriya of Nillekoduwa and by the ditch, and on the west by the humbaha of Halagama Dureya's chena, by the drain, and the limitary stones.

(2) An undivided 5/6th shares from the remaining share, with the plantations and everything thereon, from and out of the land called Wallahagodakanda, situated at Wallahagoda in Ganga Ihala korale aforesaid, exclusive therefrom an undivided 1 nellie in extent taken for the Railway purposes; and which said land Wallahagodakanda of 5 acres and 14 perches in extent being bounded on the north and north-east by the land belonging to the natives and by the land described in plan No. 53,769, on the east by lands described in plans Nos. 53,769 and 56,915 and by Muruttenkotuwewatta belonging to the Dewale, on the south-east by the land belonging to the natives described in plan No. 53,769, on the south by the land belonging to the natives, on the west by the land described in plan No. 56,913, and on the north-west by the lands belonging to the natives.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, September 20, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

13/1
Kuanvelligedera Nandana of Yatirawana in Udagampaha of Lower Dumbara Plaintiff.
No. 28,989. Vs.

Malwadan Rajapaksegedere Punchi Veli, legal representative of the estate of Udagama Rajapaksegedera Menika, deceased, of Pallegama in Udagampaha of Lower Dumbara, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, October 26, 1921, and the following days if found necessary, commencing each day at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bonds Nos. 1,784 and 1,687 dated October 26, 1918, and January 25, 1919, respectively, and attested by R. A. F. Jayasinge of Wattagama and J. W. Wickremesinghe of Kandy, respectively, Notaries Public, and decreed to be sold under the decree entered in the above case for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 565.30, with legal interest on Rs. 460.65 from May 24, 1921, and poundage, viz. :—

Schedule A.

(1) The western just $\frac{1}{2}$ share of Maduwegederewatta of about 3 lahas in paddy sowing in extent, or according to the present cultivation containing about 5 pelas paddy sowing extent, situated at Pallegama in Udagampaha of Lower Dumbara, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and which said western just $\frac{1}{2}$ share is bounded on the north by the side of the ditch, on the east by the remaining portion of this land, on the south by within the fence near the tamarind tree, and on the west by karanda bush on the agalwella; being the land within the said boundaries, containing in extent $1\frac{1}{2}$ lahas in paddy sowing extent, or according to the present cultivation about 2 pelas and 5 lahas in paddy sowing extent, together with the plantations and everything thereon.

(2) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of a portion of 16 lahas in paddy sowing extent and of everything thereon out of Kahara Mutuwapanguwa *alias* Kumbukgahakumbura, situate at Pallegama aforesaid; and which said portion of 16 lahas in paddy sowing extent is bounded on the north by the ella of the garden, on the east by the liminary ridge of Ranhotigederekumbura, on the south by ela, and on the west by the limit of Menika Dureya's field and liminary ridge of Horatala's field.

Schedule B.

An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of each and every of the following premises, to wit :—

(1) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share out of the middle $\frac{1}{2}$ share in extent about 2 pelas paddy sowing out of and from all that land called Guruketewatta of about 1 yelamuna paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Pallegama in Udagampaha of Lower Dumbara, in the District of Kandy of the Central Province; and which said middle $\frac{1}{2}$ share is bounded on the east by ditch of Giddawagederawatta, on the south by fence of a remaining portion of this land, on the west by Dulwewekumbura and jak stump of the dewata, and on the north by the remaining portion of this land and fence; together with a like share of the buildings, plantations, and everything thereon.

(2) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of share of and in all that land called Ellewalawatta of about yelamunam paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Pallegama aforesaid; the entirety being bounded on the east by limit of Ranhotigedera Menika's garden and rukattana tree and stone on the agalmuna of Wavinnegedera Kalua's land, on the south by ditch of Thena Duraya's chena, on the west by ela kandura of Giddawagederehena, and on the north by the old road and fence of Ukkua Vidane's land; together with a like share of everything thereon.

(3) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that land called Gurukatehena of 2 pelas paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Pallegama aforesaid; the entirety being bounded on the east by ela kandura of Tholwadama's chena, on the south by ela kandure of Wavinnegederehena and Pahalagederehena, on the west by the seru tree of Galpottgederehena and heenihulaha, and on the north by the heenihulaha of Pahalagederehena; together with a like share of everything thereon.

(4) All that northern portion in extent 15 lahas paddy sowing of and in all that daranda *alias* southern portion in extent 2 pelas paddy sowing of and in all that field called Udaketalekumbura, now watta, of 5 lahas paddy sowing in extent in the whole, situate at Udagama in Udagampaha of Lower Dumbara aforesaid; and which said 15 lahas are bounded on the east by limit of Rattekelelene, on the south by fence of a remaining portion of this land, on the west by ela of Galapitagedere Puncha's field, and on the north by fence of a remaining portion of this land; together with the straw-thatched house and everything thereon.

(5) All that eastern $\frac{1}{2}$ share in extent 15 lahas paddy sowing of and in all that land called Katunukelelene of 3 pelas paddy sowing extent in the whole, situate at Udagama aforesaid; and which said eastern $\frac{1}{2}$ share is bounded on the east by limit of Deprakaregederahena, on the south by above the ditch of Ankelipathagedere Veda's land, on the west by fence of the remaining portion of this land, and on the north by the 2 haras (cross) stones of Hentaligedera Punchy's chena and below the anthill on the summit of Harakmankanda; together with everything thereon.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, September 20, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

P. R. K. N. Sethambaram Chetty of Sea street in Colombo Plaintiff.

No. 49,043. Vs.

J. H. E. Amarasekera of Ambadeniya, Katugastota, in Kandy Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, October 22, 1921, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,250, and further a sum of Rs. 50 by way of costs, viz. :—

The land called Deligamawalawewatta of 18 acres more or less, situate at Mullegama in Pallegampaha of Harispattu; and bounded on the east by Kahawatte-oya and the land belonging to Kiri Bandoo Vedarala, south by agala and the land belonging to Mrs. J. A. Dissanayaka; west by land belonging to Polgolgedara Kiri Banda and Mudiyanse, and on the north by land belonging to Heratgedara Dingiri Banda and the field called Wahumdeniyakumbura; subject to the lease in terms of the court order No. 623 of September 16, 1921.

Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, September 20, 1921.

A. RANESINGHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Nuwara Eliya.

W. D. Don Dias Appuhamy of Nuwara Eliya Plaintiff.
No. 554. Vs.

P. B. Ratnayake and Daya Menika Kumarihamy, both of Nuwara Eliya Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, October 15, 1921, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the Gansabhawa, Maswela, the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

1. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of Pallemadurugodakumbura and Udamaduruwatta, of 1 amunam and 8 lahas paddy sowing extent, situate at Maswela in Pallepana korale of Kotmale; and bounded on the east by Retidepolakumbura and Ugahakumbura, south Moratotakumbura and Bodanakumbura, west Iddamalpanekumbura, and on the north by Godakebellekumbura.

2. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of Tammittiyaliyadde, of 12 lahas paddy sowing, situate at aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Imanelle of Thammittiyakumbura, south by Imavelle of Henewattaalakumbura, west by stone fence of Rantillekeya's watta, and on the north by stone fence of Malpelekotuwa.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the field called Kendagaspela, of 10 lahas or 1 pela paddy sowing, situate at aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Imavelle of Gedarakumbura and lime trees, south by Imavelle of Danagamuwa, west by Imavelle of Tumpela, and on the north by ela.

4. The field called Panagala, of about 2 pelas and 5 lahas paddy sowing, situate at aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Makotuwe-ela, on the south by velle of Panagala-kumbura of Udagedera Simon Naide, west by Elahelawelaya of Perawella, and on the north by Imavelle of Bohettiya.

5. The field called Rotidepolakumbura and the adjoining field Ugahakumbura, of 6 pelas and 6 lahas paddy sowing, situate at aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Deduwelakumbura and ela, south by Imavelle of Moratota, west by Maduruwagoda-ela, and on the north by Imavelle of Ugahakumbura of Veladuraya's.

6. The field called Pattaradeniya, of 2 pelas and 5 lahas paddy sowing extent, situate at aforesaid; and bounded on the east by Imavelle of Detuludeniya, south by Imavelle of Radadeniya, west by Elahewaliye, and on the north by Imavelle of Sarupenadeniya.

7. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of hena called Nillambella, of 13 seers kurakkan sowing extent, situate at Berivegama; and bounded on the east by Dimingu Cooray's land, south by Kotmale river, west by Nillepolle-ela, and on the north by lane where cattle pass and repass.

8. Two acres extent out of Gangetennehenyaya, of about 36 acres extent, situate at Puhulpitiya; and bounded on the east by wetiya and ditch of Wadugederawatta, south by wetiya of, Tennegedarawatta and Hookiyanakotuwa, west by ela and wetiya of Jayagewatta, and on the north by Mahaweli-ganga.

To levy a sum of Rs. 1,644.50, interest, poundage, and expenses.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Nuwara-Eliya, August 22, 1921.

E. T. DYSON,
Deputy Fiscal.

Northern Province.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

The Jaffna Commercial Corporation, Ltd., Jaffna. Plaintiffs.
No. 13,947. Vs.

(1) V. K. S. C. Sivasitamparam Sellappah of Chundikuly,
(2) Nakamuttu Kandiah of Chiviateru, (3) Katiresar Ponniah of Chankanai Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, October 19, 1921, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said 3rd defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 403.53, with interest on Rs. 317.60 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from July 23, 1919, until payment, poundage, and charges, viz. :-

An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of a piece of land situated at Chankanai in Chankanai parish, Valigamam West division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Sekidyvayal, containing or reputed to contain in extent 47 lachams p.c. and $4\frac{1}{2}$ kulies with $\frac{1}{2}$ share of well lying on the northern boundary land, together with the right of turvai ground sufficient to fit well-sweep and support of well-sweep to water the land, and the right of use of way and water-course: the said 47 lachams p.c. and $4\frac{1}{2}$ kulies is bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the properties of Thambiah Thambynatapillai, Tillaiyampalam Rasaretnam, and Annamuttu, wife of Turaikkuddy, north by the property of Thankamuttu, widow of Thambiah, west by lane, and south by the property of Ampalavanar Saravanamuttu.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, September 20, 1921.

A. VISVANADHAN,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

4/4 Pamar Sinnaturai of Vannarponnai East Plaintiff.
No. 15,689. Vs.

Yovanna Samuel of Chundikuly Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, October 18, 1921, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 400 and costs of suit being Rs. 24.70, poundage, and charges, viz. :-

An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share, with its appurtenances, of a piece of land situated at Chundikuly in Chundikuly parish,

Jaffna division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Kunchumaniantarai and Parukukadu, containing or reputed to contain in extent $6\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture, with a stone-built house called Mansion, well, cultivated and spontaneous plants; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Johnpillai Illariyanpillai and brothers and sisters, north by the property of Marypilla, wife of Edward Saturugasingam, west and south by road.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, September 15, 1921.

A. VISVANADHAN,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

V. V. R. M. Ramen Chetty of India, by his attorney
K. M. Annamalai Chetty of Kandy Plaintiff

No. 28,774. Vs.

(1) Veyanna Muttiah of Kandy, (2) Veyanna Ponniah's wife, Sellamma of Ammankovilady in Jaffna, administratrix of the estate of Veyanna Ponniah of Jaffna, deceased Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, October 20, 1921, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the late V. Ponniah in the following property for the recovery of the balance Rs. 1,025.08, with interest on Rs. 975.20 at 9 per cent. per annum from September 24, 1921, until payment in full, poundage, and charges, viz. :-

1. A piece of land situated at Anaikkodai in Manipay parish, Valigamam West division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Narankutevanodai, containing or reputed to contain in extent 12 lachams p. c.; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Ponnachchy, wife of Namasyvayam, north by the property of the heirs of the late Ponniah, west by the property of the heirs of the late Kartikesu Marimuttu, and south by the property of Alakapperumal Manavalpillai.

2. An undivided $10/12$ share with its appurtenances of a piece of land situated at Anaikkodai in Manipay parish, Valigamam West division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Narankutevanodai, containing or reputed to contain in extent $10\frac{1}{2}$ lachams p. c.; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Ponnachchy, wife of Namasyvayam and Kartikesu Appakkuddy, north by the property of Kanapatippillai Thambipillai and Perumal Chinniah, west by the property of Sinnakkuddyar Sapapathippillai and others, and south by the property of the heirs of the late Ponniah.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share with share of well lying on the northern boundary land and the right of use of way and water-course of a piece of land situated at Chutumalai in Manipay parish, Valigamam West division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Kurankiruppan, containing or reputed to contain in extent $15\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture, with cultivated and spontaneous plants, huts, and young palmyras; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Thankamma, wife of Thambiah, Sinnatamby Ariacutty, and Ponnachchy, widow of Periyatamby, north by the property of Ponnachchy and front of way and water-course and Sinnatankam, wife of Ariacutty, west by the property of Alakaperumal Manuelpillai and shareholders and Sinnatamby Kantar, and south by bye-lane.

4. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ share with its appurtenances of a piece of land situated at Chutumalai in Manipay parish, Valigamam West division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Kurankiruppan, containing or reputed to contain in extent $28\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture, with palmyras; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of the 2nd defendant, Sellamma, widow of V. Ponniah, north by the property of Sinnatankam, wife of Ariacutty, and front of bye-lane and by the property of the heirs of the late Thankam, wife of Muttu, and Alakaperumal Manuelpillai and shareholders, west by the village limit of Anaikkodai, and south by the property of Sinnatamby Kantar.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, September 20, 1921.

A. VISVANADHAN,
Deputy Fiscal.

Eastern Province.

In the District Court of Batticaloa.

George Retnarajah Tisseveresinghe of Batticaloa. Plaintiff.
No. 5,038. Vs.

(1) Omerulevvaipody Marakayar's widow, Ahamadulevvai Marakayar Kathisaumma, (2) Omerulevvaipody Marakayar Meera Moheyadeenvava of Kattankudy, Division 5. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, October 15, 1921, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property decreed to be sold for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 5,510.41, being the aggregate amount of principal of interest due on bond No. 1,914 dated March 23, 1914, with interest at 9 per cent. per annum from October 29, 1920, till payment in full, viz. :—

A piece of land called Vempuvalavu situated at Talankudah in Manmunai pattu, in the District of Batticaloa, Eastern Province; bounded on the north and east by Crown land, south by the land of T. Thillayampalapy and others, and west by the land belonging to the second defendant and another, containing in extent 3 acres, with coconut trees and produce. Valued Rs. 250.

Fiscal's Office, C. ARASARATNAM,
Batticaloa, September 19, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Batticaloa.

George Retnarajah Tisseveresinghe of Batticaloa. Plaintiff.
No. 5,038. Vs.

(1) Omerulevvaipody Marakayar's widow, Ahamadulevvai Marakayar Kathisaumma, (2) Omerulevvaipody Marakayar Meera Moheyadeenvava of Kattankudy, Division 5. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, October 17, 1921, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, decreed to be sold for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 5,510.41, being the aggregate amount of principal of interest due on bond No. 1,914 dated March 23, 1914, with interest at 9 per cent. per annum from October 29, 1920, till payment in full, viz. :—

Out of the land of the extent of 87 acres known as Chalambai Veli, situated at Malukamputti in Sammanurai pattu, in the District of Batticaloa, Eastern Province; the western share of paddy land called Oddaianamaduvevi; and bounded on the north by the paddy land called Sinnaveli being other share of this belonging to E. M. Paikere Mohaideenlebbe, south by the land of V. Nagamaney and others, east by the land called Valaikalaiveli belonging to the receiver of this bond being other share of this land, and west by Ottayanmadu; containing in extent 32 acres, out of the land of the description an undivided 22 acres, or out of the whole an undivided 11/16 shares. Valued Rs. 2,640.

Fiscal's Office, C. ARASARATNAM,
Batticaloa, September 19, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

M. Abusalam Plaintiff.
No. 61. Vs.

D. Rajaratnam. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, October 15, 1921, at 4 o'clock in the evening, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

A piece of field called Ilaiparivayal (ආචාරිවයාල) situate at Chempanaru, Trincomalee District, Eastern Province, with coconut trees, tamarind tree, and all belongings thereto; boundaries: east, field of P. K. Yokesparakurukkal; west, channel; north, river; and south, land of Ponniah; extent 3 acres 1 rood and 8 perches.

Writ amount Rs. 1,510.

Fiscal's Office, C. VELU PILLAI,
Trincomalee, September 14, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

North-Western Province.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Warnakulasuriya Mahaguruge Peduru Fernando of Lansigama Plaintiff.
No. 6,243. Vs.

Warnakulasuriya Nanayakkara Warnakulapatabendige Gabriel Marianu Perera of Lansigama Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, October 21, 1921, commencing at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

(1) An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ share of the portion of land called Bogahayaya, exclusive of 13 coconut trees without soil, situate at Lansigama in Meda palata of Pitigal korale south, in the District of Chilaw; and bounded on the north by Gansabhawa road, east by agara *alias* old road, south by land of Pedro Fernando, and west by lands of Warliyan Peris and others; containing in extent about 170 coconut trees plantable soil.

2. An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ share of the portion of land called Bogahayaya and of the buildings standing thereon, situate at Lansigama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by lands of defendant and others, east by land of Pedro Fernando, south by lands of Elaris Perera and others, and west by land of the heirs of the late Jango Sinno; containing in extent 120 coconut trees plantable soil, subject to lease for 18 years.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ share of the portion of the land called Bogahayaya, situate at Lansigama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by land of Pedro Fernando and Elaris Perera, east by agara *alias* old road, south by land of the heirs of the late Juan Perera, and west by land of the heirs of Gabriel Thamel; containing in extent about 300 coconut trees plantable soil.

Amount to be levied Rs. 1,552.63, with interest on Rs. 1,000 at 15 per cent. per annum from June 18, 1919, till September 8, 1919, and further interest on the aggregate sum decreed at 9 per cent. per annum from September 8, 1919, till payment in full, and poundage.

Valuation, Rs. 1,365.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, A. G. FERNANDO,
Chilaw, September 20, 1921. Acting Deputy Fiscal.

Province of Sabaragamuwa.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

Harry Abeywickrema Gunasekara, trustee of the Sripadastenne Vihare, Adam's Peak. Plaintiff.
No. 3,495. Vs.

Konara Ranasingha Mudiyanseralamilage William Ranasingha Bandara of Kiriella Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on October 15, 1921, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property specially mortgaged and decreed to be sold under decree entered in the above case for the recovery of Rs. 4,727.75, with further interest at 10 per cent. per annum from July 31, 1920, till date of decree and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs Rs. 202.17 and poundage, viz. :—

1. An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ share of Milebendahena, situated at Millawitiya in Uda pattu of Kuruwitikorale; and bounded on the north by reservation along the footpath and lot 1234 in P. P. 2,436; east by lot 1235 in P. P. 2,436, land claimed by the temple, a stream, and reservation along Kuru-ganga, south by reservation along the Kuru-ganga, and on the west by lot 1231 in P. P. 2,436; containing in extent 23 acres 2 roods and 15 perches, and depicted and described in the figure of survey No. 322,749.

On October 18, 1921, at 11 A.M.

2. All that allotment of land called and known as a portion of Dikgalahenyaya, situated at Kiriella; bounded on the north by Paragaswatte-dola, east by Dikgalahenyaya belonging to Huratalhamy, south by Dikgalahenyaya belonging to Dhanawardana Bandara, west by high road; in extent 18 acres.

Fiscal's Office, R. E. D. ABEYARATNA,
Ratnapura, September 20, 1921. Deputy Fiscal.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Kankaniatchi Kankanamalage Don Yahanis, retired Police Headman of Waturugama in the Meda pattu of Siyane korale, deceased.

Don Herat Appuhamy of Waturugama aforesaid. Petitioner.

And

(1) Don Jacolis Appuhamy of Waturugama, (2) Dona Susanchi Nonna, wife of Pannala Appuhamillage Don Simon Appuhamy of Waturugama. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on August 25, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. B. Tillekeratne, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 3, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 25, 1921.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Malawasala Liyana Mohottige Vincent Valentine Wijeytunga of Class III. Golconda, Colpetty, in Colombo, deceased. Rs. 7,161.60.

Charles de Cabral Wijeytunga of Bambalapitiya in Colombo. Petitioner.

And

(1) Alice Maud Samarawickrema nee Wijeytunga, wife of (2) David F. Samarawickrema of Maligawatta, Colombo, (3) Angelina Henrietta de Silva nee Wijeytunga, wife of (4) Richard Francis de Silva of Colpetty in Colombo, (5) Lydia Catherine de Silva nee Wijeytunga of Dematagoda in Colombo, (6) Cornelia Leonara Weeresekera nee Wijeytunga, wife of (7) Don Simon Joseph Weeresekera of Kadawatta, (8) Eugene Louis Wijeytunga of Bambalapitiya in Colombo, (9) Reginald Dunuwille Wijeytunga of Colpetty, Colombo, (10) John Alfred Wijeytunga, (11) Gerard Leonard Wijeytunga, both of Colpetty, Colombo, (12) Edward Alfred Victor de Silva of Avissawella, (13) Lena Hana de Silva, (14) Richard Clarence de Silva, and (15) Percy Gordon de Silva, all of Dematagoda in Colombo. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on August 26, 1921, in the presence of Mr. H. A. Abeyawardena, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 24, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as brother of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him,

unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 26, 1921.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jayakodi Aratchige Don Joronis Appuhamy of Naranwela in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale, deceased. Class IV. Rs. 19,603.14.

Jayakodi Aratchige Don Babanis Appuhamy of Naranwela in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale. Petitioner.

And

Jayakodi Aratchige Don Anoris Appuhamy of Kirikitta in the Meda pattu of Siyane korale. Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on August 26, 1921, in the presence of Mr. H. A. Abeyawardena, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 28, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondent above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 26, 1921.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Eliza Margaret Wijesinghe of No. 531. Colpetty, deceased.

Cyril Wijesinghe of Colpetty. Petitioner.

And

(1) Mildred Ada Wijesinghe, (2) Maud Eleanor Wijesinghe, both of Colpetty, Colombo. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on August 26, 1921, in the presence of Mr. J. P. Amarantunga, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 3, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to her estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 26, 1921.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Moonesinghe Arachchige Don Gabriel No. 533. Theophilus of Battaramulla in the Pattu of Hewagam korale, deceased. Class II. Rs. 4,100.

Don Samuel Alfred Moonesinghe of Battaramulla aforesaid Petitioner.

And

(1) Wickrama Arachchige Sophia de Silva of Battaramulla, (2) Sara Ellen Moonesinghe and her husband (3) Don Henry Charles Pathivila, both of Kelaniya in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale, (4) Alice Matilda Moonesinghe and her husband (5) John Lambert de Silva Abhayanyaka, both of Battaramulla, (6) Louisa Agnes Moonesinghe and her husband (7) Nawagamuwage Solomon Vincent Perera, both of Cottā in the Pattu of Salpiti korale, (8) Henry Louis Moonesinghe also of Battaramulla Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on August 20, 1921, in the presence of Mr. D. R. de S. Abhayanyaka, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 23, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as eldest son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

August 30, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate and Effects of Alaldeen Junus Kitchilan, late of No. 38, Church street, Slave Island, Colombo, deceased. Class II. Rs. 4,500.

Hamim Junus Kitchilan of No. 7, Mosque lane, Colpetty, Colombo Petitioner

And

(1) Sitti Ammoon of No. 38, Church street, Slave Island, Colombo, (2) Sariffdeen Kitchulan and (3) Sabireen Kitchilan, both minors, of No. 38, Church street aforesaid, by their guardian *ad litem*, (4) Ribeen Emburan also of No. 38, Church street, aforesaid. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on August 30, 1921, in the presence of Mr. M. T. A. Akkar, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 25, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as brother of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

August 30, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate and Effects of John Henry Gerhard, late of No. 540. Colombo, deceased.

Percy Hugh de Kretser, Secretary of the District Court of Colombo Petitioner.

And

(1) Cecil Hamilton Gerhard of No. 24, Marshall street, Mutwal, Colombo, (2) Percy Gerhard of No. 44, Marshall street, Mutwal, Colombo; (3) Arthur George Thomasz of No. 7, Temple road, Dematagoda, Colombo, employed at the office of the Cargo Boat Despatch Company, Fort, Colombo; (4) Lillian Charlotte Thomasz of No. 206, Floor's lane, Dematagoda, Colombo; (5) Muriel Clarice Ebert (*nee* Thomasz) of No. 7, Temple road, Dematagoda, Colombo; (6) Hazel Mona Lappen (*nee* Thomasz) of Barnes place, Cinnamon Gardens, Colombo; (7) Clarence Wolff, van Rooyan street, Colombo; (8) Justin Rulph Potger, Proctor, Avissawella; (9) Victor Gerhard Potger of Badulla; (10) Rose Lillian Augusta Modder (*nee* Potger) of Colpetty lane, Colombo; (11) Grace Mottau (*nee* van Langenberg) of Bambalapitiya, Colombo; (12) Esme Ludowyk of Vine street, Mutwal, Colombo; (13) A. Ludowyk of 166, Vine street, Mutwal; (14) Beatrice Bulner of Neboda; (15) Frances Phœbus (*nee* van Langenberg) wife of George Phœbus of Bambalapitiya, Colombo; (16) Florence van Langenberg of Bambalapitiya, Colombo; (17) Alice Wright (*nee* van Langenberg) of Bambalapitiya, Colombo; and (18) Lillian Vanderpoot of the Post Office, Negombo .. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on September 2, 1921, in the presence of Mr. Arthur Alvis, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as Secretary of the District Court of Colombo to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

September 2, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate and Effects of Meera Saibo Abdul Caffoor, late of No. 99, Messenger street, in Class L. Colombo, deceased. Rs. 2,415.

Meera Saibo Mohamed Yoosuf of No. 99, Messenger street in Colombo Petitioner.

And

(1) Paakeer Saibo Pathurnuthu of No. 99, Messenger street in Colombo, (2) Muthu Umma, (3) Asia Umma and her husband (4) Assena Marikar, (5) Sulaiha Umma, all of No. 3, Kuruwestreet in Colombo. Petitioners.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on September 2, 1921, in the presence of Mr. S. M. Aboobucker, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 1, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as brother of the above-named, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before October 6, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

September 2, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Mapiitigama Acharige Aberan Perera No. 542. of Pahala Biyanwila, in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale west, deceased.

Mapiitigama Acharige Janis Singho of Pahala Biyanwila aforesaid Petitioner.

And

- (1) Siman de Sampayo Mestrige Pavistina Perera of Biyanwila; (2) Mapiitigama Acharige Podi Singho of 62, High street, in Singapore; (3) M. A. Mango Nona and her husband (4) R. A. Robo Singho, both of Biyanwila aforesaid; (5) M. A. Louisa Nona and her husband (6) L. A. Pieris Hamy, both of Weboda; (7) M. A. Seeta Nona of Biyanwila; (8) M. A. Jane Nona Perera and her husband (9) K. A. Babbu Singho, both of Talangama, in the Palle pattu of Hewagama korale. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on September 2, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Livera & Jacolyn, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 17, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before October 6, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN, Acting District Judge. September 2, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. Hikkaduwege Charles Fernando of No. 551. Wellawatta in Colombo, deceased.

Class IV. Rs. 44,050.

Bentotage Rosaline Fernando of Wellawatta, Colombo Petitioner.

And

- (1) H. Harriet Matilda Fernando, (2) H. Margaret Matilda Fernando, (3) H. Kusumawathie Matilda Fernando, (4) H. Violet Henrietta Premawathie Fernando, (5) H. Eileen Flotilda Fernando, (6) H. Herbert Walter Bernard Fernando, (7) H. Beatrice Dharmathie Fernando, (8) H. Liliyan Evelyn Fernando, (9) H. Charles William Dharmadasa Fernando, (10) Pattiage Domitian Fernando, all of Wellawatta Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on September 12, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. de Vos & Gratiaen, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above-named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated September 6, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before October 6, 1921, show sufficient cause to this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN, Acting District Judge. September 12, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. Kudellege Marthens Fernando of No. 552. Muhandiram's road in Colombo, deceased.

Class III. Rs. 6,690.

Wedagey James Fernando, husband of the 9th respondent Petitioner.

And

- Kudellege Thomas Fernando, (2) ditto Simon Fernando, (3) ditto Batchcho Fernando, (4) ditto Pesona Fernando, (5) ditto Gregoris Fernando, (6) ditto Elieas Fernando, (7) ditto Johannes Fernando, (8) ditto Cecilia Fernando, (9) ditto Ketherina Fernando, (10) ditto Yaso Fernando, all of Muhandiram's road aforesaid. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on September 14, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. H. Abeyeratne, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 17, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as brother-in-law of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN, Acting District Judge. September 14, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. Punchi Nona Hami of Waturugama, in the No. 7,113. Meda pattu of Siyane korale, deceased.

Don Herat Appuhamy of Waturugama aforesaid. Petitioner.

And

- (1) Don Jacolis Appuhamy of Waturugama, (2) Dona Susanchi Nona, wife of Panna Appuhamillage Don Simon Appuhamy of Waturugama. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on August 25, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. B. Tillekeratne, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 3, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to her estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN, Acting District Judge. August 25, 1921.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Don William Wijesinghe of Colpetty, No. 7,115. Colombo, deceased.

Cyril Wijesinghe of Colpetty. Petitioner.

And

- (1) Eliza Margaret Wijesinghe, (2) Mildred Ada Wijesinghe, (3) Maud Eleanor Wijesinghe, all of Colpetty. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven Esq., Acting District Judge of Colombo, on August 26, 1921,

in the presence of Mr. J. P. Amaratunga, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 3, 1921, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 26, 1921.

ALLAN BEVEN,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi declaring Will Proved, &c.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Trividaratne Atmaparthyaga Saranagamana Mahanama, Upasakatena, deceased, of Horetuduwa.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before J. C. W. Rock, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on March 3, 1921, in the presence of Mr. P. C. F. Goonewardene, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Trividaratne Atmaparthyaga Saranagamana Suddarmawathie Upasikawa; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 17, 1917, and of the attesting witnesses dated July 3, 1917, having been read :

It is ordered that the will of Trividaratne Atmaparthyaga Saranagamana Mahanama Upasakatena, deceased, dated July 23, 1916, be and the same is hereby proved, unless the respondents—(1) Koruwage Kusumawathie Piyasilina Fernando, minor; by her guardian *ad litem* the 2nd respondent, (2) Koruwakankanange Jacovis Fernando, both of Wadduwa, (3) Trividaratne Atmaparthyaga Saranagamana Dharmasoka, (4) ditto Dharmapala, (5) ditto Dharmadasa, (6) ditto Lilawathie, all of Horetuduwa; 5th and 6th respondents, minors, by their guardian *ad litem* Trividaratne Atmaparthyaga Saranagamana Dharmasoka, the 3rd respondent—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before March 24, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Trividaratne Atmaparthyaga Saranagamana Suddarmawathie Upasikawa is the executrix named in the said will, and that she is entitled to have probate of the same issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before March 24, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary; and it is further declared that the 3rd respondent be and he is appointed guardian *ad litem* over 5th and 6th respondents for all the purposes of this action.

March 3, 1921.

J. C. W. ROCK,
District Judge.

Extended till October 6, 1921.

W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Neelaththi Jurisdiction. Welpina Silva of Mukalangomuwa. No. 1,959.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. T. Stace, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on September 6, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Amarasinghe & Ranasinghe, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Kavanna Kuna Mana Kumarappa Chetty, by his attorney Muna Kandasamy Pulle of Negombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 29, 1921, having been read: It is ordered that the Secretary of this court be and he is hereby declared entitled, as official administrator, to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly for the limited

purpose of proceeding on with the action No. 14,231, D. C., Negombo, instituted for the recovery of Rs. 4,062' 50, with further interest and costs due on bond No. 136 dated June 28, 1917; unless the respondents—(1) Galketidewage Sinno Fernando of Mukalangomuwa, (2) Galketidewage Ransa Fernando, assisted by her husband (3) Hewadewage Harison Fernando, both of Demanhandiya; (4) Galpetidewage Salogin Fernando, assisted by her husband (5) Dangalidewage Gomis Dharmasiri, both of Palliyapitiya, and (6) Galketidewage John Fernando of Mukalangomuwa—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before September 28, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

September 6, 1921.

W. T. STACE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Haluapullana Heneyalagedara Kuda No. 3,795. Heneya, deceased, of Yatawara.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. S. de Saram, Esq., Acting District Judge of Kandy, on August 25, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. Godamunne, Munasinha, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Oyatenne Heneyalagedara Rankiri of Yatawara; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 8, 1921, and her petition having been read :

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as widow of the above-named deceased, be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the deceased's estate issued to her, unless the respondents—(1) Haluapullana Heneyalagedara Lensuwa Heneya, (2) Haluapullana Heneyalagedara Ukku Ridee, (3) ditto Kuda Ridee, the 1st and 2nd respondents, by their guardian *ad litem* the 3rd respondent—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before September 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 25, 1921.

W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Nuwara Eliya.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Arthur Dewhurst, deceased, of Gonakelle, No. 121. Kandapola.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Francis Marshall, Esq., District Judge of Nuwara Eliya, on September 13, 1921, in the presence of Messrs. van Rooyen & Modder, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Godfrey Jefferson Dewhurst; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated August 27, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the said Godfrey Jefferson Dewhurst is the attorney in Ceylon of the executors named in the will of the above-named deceased, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration (with a copy of the will annexed) in respect of the estate in Ceylon of the said deceased issued to him, unless any person interested shall, on or before September 27, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

Nuwara Eliya, September 16, 1921.

F. MARSHALL,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Sheriff Thjiddegn, deceased, of Nawana, No. 5,399. Galle.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on July 3, 1921, in the presence of Mr. A. Dias Abeyesingha, Proctor, on the part of the

petitioner Sheriff Magdoon of Nawinna, Galle; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 18, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as eldest brother of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents, viz: (1) Sheriff Amina Umma, (2) Kalandera Saibo Abdul Hamedo, (3) Ossen Rahumma Umma, all of Nawinna, shall, on or before August 25, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 23, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

Extended and re-issued for September 29, 1921.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Ellen Susan Serasingha *nee* Amaraweera, No. 2,757. deceased, of Pelena.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C.W. Bickmore, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on August 18, 1921, in the presence of Mr. Samson Dias, Proctor, on the part of petitioner Daniel Serasingha, Vidane Arachchi of Pelena; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 5, 1921, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as the husband of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased issued to him accordingly.

It is further ordered that the said 9th respondent Namburawasan Kotudura-atchige Fransisku de Silva be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors (1) Armalina Serasingha, (2) Grace Serasingha, (3) Jane Serasingha, (4) Leana Serasingha, (5) Mabel Serasingha, (6) Henry Bertram Serasingha, (7) Corneliya Serasingha, and Cyril Joseph Serasingha, all of Pelena, unless the said respondents shall, on or before October 5, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 18, 1921.

C. W. BICKMORE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Tangalla.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Ahamadu Lebbe Marikkar Usubu Lebbe No. 775. Marikar, deceased, of Nalagama.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A. H. Egan, Esq., District Judge of Tangalla, on August 24, 1921, in the presence of Saibo Dore Seenuth Umma of Nalagama, the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 23, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the letters of administration to the estate of Ahamadu Lebbe Marikkar Usubu Lebbe Marikar, deceased, be granted to the petitioner aforesaid, unless the respondents—(1) Usubu Lebbe Marikkar Umma Rasina, (2) ditto Neina Mohammedu, (3) ditto Isaumma, (4) Meera Lebbe Marikkar Saibu Dorey—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before September 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said 4th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors—1st, 2nd, and 3rd respondents—for the purpose of this case, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before September 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 24, 1921.

A. H. EGAN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Tangalla.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Halimnona Sinhawansa, deceased, of No. 777. Hambantota.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A. H. Egan, Esq., District Judge, Tangalla, on September 2, 1921, in the

presence of A. C. M. Salim, the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 31, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the letters of administration to the estate of the late Halimnona Sinhawansa, deceased, be granted to the petitioner, unless the respondents—(1) Nona Hassena, (2) Nona Feinoor, (3) Nona Maliha, (4) Rajazeen, (5) Raufferdeen, (6) A. L. M. Abdul Latiff of Tangalla—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before September 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said 6th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors—1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th respondents—unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before September 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

September 2, 1921.

A. H. EGAN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Tanalla.

Order Nisi declaring Will Proved.

Testamentary. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Harankahadeniye Manage Don Andiris No. 778. of Kahandawa, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A. H. Egan, Esq., District Judge, Tangalla, on September 9, 1921, in the presence of Harankahadeniye Manage Don Agoris, the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated September 3, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the letters of administration to the estate of the late Harankahadeniye Manage Don Andiris be granted to the petitioner aforesaid, unless the respondents—(1) Gajawira Arachchige Punchihamy, (2) Harankahadeniye Manage Semidahamy, (3) Harankahadeniye Manage Don Costan, (4) ditto Nansohamy, wife of (5) Don Carolis Abewickr me, all of Kahandawa, (6) Harankahadeniye Manage Dionis of Wirawila, (7) ditto Kirihami of Kahandawa, (8) Harankahadeniye Manage Appusinnno of ditto, (9) ditto Kanlihamy, wife of (10) Nanayakkara Nethasinha Nandiyas Appu, both of Kahandawa—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before September 26, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

September 9, 1921.

A. H. EGAN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Kasinather Sinniah of Maravanpulo, No. 4,358. deceased.

Thankam, widow of Paramanathar Somasundaram of Maravanpulo Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Nagamuttu, widow of Sithamparapillai Suntharam of Maravanpulo, (2) Somasundaram Markkandu, (3) Somasundaram Sivakkolunthu, both of Annarponnai Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Thankam, widow of Paramanathar Somasundaram of Maravanpulo, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Kasinather Sinniah of Maravanpulo, coming on for disposal before W. Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge, on August 9, 1921, in the presence of Mr. V. K. Gnanasundaram, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated October 19, 1920, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the mother of the heirs of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to her, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before September 29, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

September 15, 1921.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. ~~Chellappah Nallatamby of Arali South,~~
No. 4,526. deceased.

Sinnakkuddy, widow of Murugar Maruthu of Arali
South Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Achchimuttu, widow of Chellappah Nallatamby
of Arali South, (2) Vaity Subramaniam and wife (3)
Amutham of ditto, (4) Nallatangam, daughter of
Sellappah of ditto, (5) Sellappah Sivalingam of ditto,
(6) Villiamma, widow of Nagalingam Chellappah
of ditto; the 4th and 5th respondents, minors, by their
guardian *ad litem*, the 6th respondent Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Sinnakkuddy, widow of
Murugar Maruthu of Arali South, praying for letters of
administration to the estate of the above-named deceased
Chellappah Nallatamby of Arali South, coming on for
disposal before W. Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge, on
August 30, 1921, in the presence of Mr. M. Kanapathippillai,
Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of
the petitioner dated June 28, 1921, having been read: It is
declared that the petitioner is one of the heir of the said intes-
tate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the
estate of the said intestate issued to her, unless the respon-
dents or any other person shall, on or before September 13,
1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court
to the contrary.

September 8, 1921. W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. ~~Nagammah, wife of Velauther Sayampu~~
No. 4,556. of Sarasalai in Chavakachcheri, deceased.

Velauther Sayampu of Sarasalai in Chavakach
cheri Petitioner.

(1) Velauther Sinnatamby and wife (2) Sinnatankam,
both of Sarasalai in Chavakachcheri Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the petitioner above
named, praying for letters of administration to the estate
of the above-named deceased Nagammah, wife of Velauther
Sayampu, coming on for disposal before William Wadsworth,
Esq., District Judge, on August 9, 1921, in the presence of
Mr. G. N. Tisseverasinghe, Proctor, for petitioner; and the
affidavit of the petitioner dated August 3, 1921, having
been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the sole
heir of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of
administration to the estate of the said intestate issued
to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall,
on or before September 8, 1921, show sufficient cause to
the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 19, 1921. W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

Order Nisi extended for September 29, 1921.

W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. the late Ramanatar Visuvalingam of
No. 4,581. Alaveddy, deceased.
Class I.

Meenachchippillai, widow of Ramanatar Visuvalingam
of Alaveddy Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Visuvalingam Sivaprakasam of Alaveddy (minor), (2)
Suppar Kandar of ditto Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named
petitioner, praying that the above-named 2nd respondent

be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minor, 1st re-
spondent, and that letters of administration to the estate
of the above-named deceased be issued to the petitioner,
coming on for disposal before W. Wadsworth, Esq., District
Judge, on August 31, 1921, in the presence of Mr. E.
Murugesampillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner;
and the affidavit of the petitioner dated August 30, 1921,
having been read: It is ordered that the above-named
2nd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the
minor, 1st respondent, for the purpose of representing him
on this case, and that letters of administration to the estate
of the above-named deceased be issued to the petitioner,
as his lawful widow, unless the above-named respondents
or any other person shall, on or before September 29, 1921,
show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of the court to the
contrary.

September 8, 1921. W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Appakkuddy Sinnattamby of Kokkuvil,
No. 4,582. deceased.

Sinnattamby Appakkuddy Sathasivam of Kokkuvil
East Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Teyvanaippillai, widow of Sinnattamby of Kok-
kuvil East, and (2) Sellammah, widow of Senathi-
rajah of ditto Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Sinnattamby Appak-
kuddy Sathasivam of Kokkuvil East, praying for letters of
administration to the estate of the above-named deceased
Appakkuddy Sinnattamby of Kokkuvil, coming on for
disposal before William Wadsworth, Esq., District Judge,
on September 2, 1921, in the presence of Mr. K. Kanaga-
sabai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the
affidavit of the said petitioner dated September 1, 1921,
having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and
he is hereby declared entitled, as the sole heir of the said
deceased, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and
that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly,
unless the respondents above named or any other person
shall, on or before September 27, 1921, show sufficient
cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

September 14, 1921. W. WADSWORTH,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Gallat Arachchige Singhappuhamy
No. 1,380. of Peekulama, deceased.

Singakkuli Arachchige Menikhamy of Peekulama. Petitioner.

And

(1) Gallat Arachchige William Singho Appuhamy
of Etiyawala, (2) Gallat Arachchige Podi Singho
Appuhamy of Negombo, (3) ditto Jokinu Appu-
hamy of Peekulama, (4) ditto Karolis Appuhamy
of Etiyawala, (5) ditto Maria Nona and husband
(6) ditto Charles Appuhamy, both of Manakkulama,
(7) ditto Louisa Nona, (8) ditto Podihamine, both of
Peekulama Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomara-
swamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on August 1, 1921,
in the presence of Mr. T. F. Paulickpulle, Proctor, on the
part of the petitioner Singakkuli Arachchige Menikhamy
of Peekulama, after reading the petition; and affidavit of
the said petitioner dated August 1, 1921: It is ordered that
Singakkuli Arachchige Menikhamy of Peekulama, be and
she is hereby appointed administratrix of the estate of the

late Gallat Arachchige Singappuhamy of Peekulama, unless the respondents or any other person interested shall, on or before October 12, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

Chilaw, August 1, 1921.

C. COOMARASWAMY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kegalla.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Peramune Rallage Kiri Banda of Kehel-
No. 754. pannala, deceased.

Peramune Rallage Ukkū Banda of Kehelpa-
nala Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Athurapane Galaboda Rallage Ranmenika of Kehel-
pannala, (2) Peramune Rallage Punchi Banda of
ditto, (3) ditto Dingiri Amma of ditto, (4) ditto
Tikiri Banda of ditto, (5) ditto Dingiri Mahatmaya;
the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th being minors, by their
guardian *ad litem*, the 1st respondent. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before V. P. Redlich,
Esq., District Judge, Kegalla, on August 9, 1921, in the

presence of Mr. R. L. Perera, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and his affidavit and petition dated August 2 and 9, 1921, respectively, praying for letters of administration to the above estate and for the appointment of 1st respondent, as guardian *ad litem* over the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th respondents, having been read; It is ordered and declared that the petitioner, as the eldest son of the deceased, is entitled to letters of administration to the above estate, and that such letters will be issued to him accordingly; and that the 1st respondent, being the mother of the rest of the respondents, is a fit and proper person to be appointed their guardian *ad litem*, and that such appointment will be made, unless the respondents or any person or persons interested in shall, on or before September 15, 1921, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of the court to the contrary.

August 9, 1921.

ELIAN ONDAATJE,
District Judge.

Order Nisi returnable date extended for October 6, 1921.

September 15, 1921.

V. P. REDLICH,
District Judge.