



Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 7,254 — FRIDAY, APRIL 28, 1922.

Part II.—Legal.

Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.

	PAGE		PAGE		PAGE
Draft Ordinances ..	369	Notices from District and Minor Courts ..	—	Notices in Testamentary Actions ..	384
Passed Ordinances ..	—	Notices in Insolvency Cases ..	376	List of Notaries ..	—
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of the Supreme Court ..	—	Notices of Fiscals' Sales ..	376	List of Jurors and Assessors ..	—
				Council of Legal Education Notices ..	—

DRAFT ORDINANCES.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance further to amend "The Game Protection Ordinance, 1909."

WHEREAS it is expedient further to amend "The Game Protection Ordinance, 1909": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Game Protection (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1922." Preamble.

2 Section 9 of the principal Ordinance is hereby amended by the addition of the words "capture or" immediately before the word "destruction" in paragraph (b) of sub-section (1) thereof. Short title.

3 Section 31 of the principal Ordinance is hereby amended by— Amendment of section 9 of the principal Ordinance.

(a) The insertion of the figures and letter "13 B" immediately after the figures "12 (5)"; and

(b) The substitution of the figures "21" for the figures "22"— Amendment of section 31 of the principal Ordinance.

in line 3 of the proviso to sub-section (1) of the said section.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, April 5, 1922.

GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

SECTION 9 of the Ordinance as it stands provides for the issue of a free license for the destruction of a troublesome or dangerous tusker, elephant, or buffalo, but not for its capture. It is, therefore, proposed to amend the section by removing this anomaly.

2. The amendment of section 31 by the inclusion of section 13 B is to give power to a Village Tribunal to try an offence against that section (possession of fresh meat of game, &c.), as it has already power to try the greater offence of killing game, &c.

3. The second amendment to section 31 is in order to correct a clerical error.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, March 14, 1922.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

**An Ordinance to create the Paddy Cultivation
Tenure of Land.**

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to encourage the taking up of small holdings for the continuous cultivation of paddy by peasant proprietors, and to preserve such small holdings in the possession of such peasant proprietors and their descendants:

And whereas it is also expedient to secure that such small holdings and other and larger areas of Crown land suitable for the growing of paddy and to be sold or alienated by the Crown for such purpose are not diverted to any other purpose without the permission of the Crown and do not remain uncultivated:

Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Paddy Cultivation (Tenure) Ordinance, No. of 1922."

Application of Ordinance.

2 The provisions of this Ordinance shall apply only to such portions of the Colony as the Governor in Executive Council may, by proclamation in the "Government Gazette," declare.

Definitions.

3 In this Ordinance, unless the context otherwise requires—

"Government Agent" includes the Assistant Government Agent of a district;

"Allotment" means any area of Crown land allotted by a Government Agent under this Ordinance or any portion of such land; and includes any right, title, or interest in or to such allotment or any portion thereof;

"Allotment holder" means any person to whom any allotment of Crown land shall have been made under this Ordinance, and includes any person in whom the same may have become vested in accordance with the provisions of this Ordinance;

"Occupant" means any person, other than an allotment holder, in actual possession or occupation of an allotment, in accordance with the provisions of this Ordinance.

Power of Government Agent to allot Crown land.

4 The Government Agent, may, upon such terms as the Governor shall from time to time approve, make allotments of Crown land to any person to be held subject to the provisions of this Ordinance.

Dealing with allotments void, except as expressly permitted.

5 (1) Any dealing with an allotment, except as expressly permitted by the provisions of this Ordinance, shall be null and void, and of no force or effect in law whatsoever.

(2) (a) If any allotment is dealt with in contravention of the provisions of this Ordinance, it shall be lawful for the Government Agent personally to hold an inquiry, after giving such allotment holder or occupant not less than ten days' notice thereof, and to make an order that the allotment has been dealt with in contravention of the provisions of this Ordinance; and that the same has been forfeited to His Majesty and has vested in His Majesty absolutely.

(b) The Government Agent may produce or cause to be produced such order before the Court of Requests having jurisdiction within the district in which such allotment is situated, and such court shall forthwith issue a writ of possession requiring the Fiscal or Deputy Fiscal to put the Government Agent in possession of the allotment for and on behalf of His Majesty and, if need be, to remove therefrom any person refusing to vacate the same.

6. Subject to the express provisions of this Ordinance, allotments shall be subject to the following conditions and restrictions :

Conditions and restrictions attached to allotments.

- (1) No allotment shall be sold, leased, or otherwise dealt with by the allotment holder or occupant except with the permission in writing of the Government Agent first had and obtained: Provided always that nothing herein contained shall require any such permission in the case of any agreement between an allotment holder and any other person for the cultivation of the allotment by the latter for any period not exceeding one year;
- (2) No allotment shall be mortgaged, charged, or otherwise hypothecated by the allotment holder or occupant except to the Crown;
- (3) No allotment shall be seized or sold in execution of any decree or order of any court, whether made before or after the commencement of this Ordinance, nor under the provisions of any Ordinance relating to the seizure and sale of any immovable property for non-payment of any rate, tax, assessment, or charge whatsoever, against the allotment holder or occupant by any party except the Crown;
- (4) (a) Upon any allotment, which, in the opinion of the Government Agent, is suitable for the growing of paddy, the Government Agent shall, by notice in writing to be signed by him and affixed to some part thereof, have power to require that paddy be grown upon such allotment;
 - (b) (i.) If the allotment holder or occupant without reasonable cause, of which the Government Agent shall be the sole judge, fails to carry out any requisition as aforesaid, the Government Agent may make an order in writing under his hand that the allotment may be cultivated for and during the next succeeding paddy crop by any other person upon such terms as the Government Agent shall decide, and that the allotment holder shall, within a time to be specified in the order, deliver, or permit such person to enter into, possession of the allotment;
 - (ii.) If possession is not obtained by any such person in accordance with the terms of any such order, the Government Agent may produce or cause to be produced such order before the Court of Requests having jurisdiction within the district in which such allotment is situated, and such court, shall forthwith issue a writ of possession requiring the Fiscal or Deputy Fiscal of such district to put and place such person as aforesaid in possession of the allotment, and, if need be, to remove therefrom any person refusing to vacate the same;
- (c) If any such allotment holder or occupant fails to cultivate the allotment without such reasonable cause as aforesaid for a period of three years, it shall be lawful for the Government Agent to hold an inquiry in the manner provided in sub-section (2) of section 5 of this Ordinance, and to make an order that there has been failure to cultivate the said allotment without reasonable cause, and that the same has been forfeited to, and vested in, His Majesty absolutely. Every such order may be enforced in the manner provided for the enforcement of orders made under the said sub-section;
- (5) In respect of an allotment no prescription shall run in favour of any person whatsoever as against the Crown, any provisions of Ordinance No. 22 of 1871, intituled "An Ordinance to amend the laws Regulating the Prescription of Actions," notwithstanding.

- (6) (a) No action under the Partition Ordinance shall be commenced or carried on with respect to any allotment except with the permission in writing of the Government Agent granted, after due inquiry, to the allotment holders or occupants, and no court shall entertain such action unless such permission as aforesaid shall have been previously obtained ;
- (b) Any proceedings had contrary to the provisions of this section shall be null and void ;
- (c) Any person who becomes the owner of an allotment under any partition proceedings instituted with the permission in writing of the Government Agent shall become the allotment holder ;
- (7) Any allotment holder may transfer by way of gift or sale, or bequeath by last will, any allotment to his or her wife or husband or any descendant, or the husband or wife of any descendant, and such transferee or beneficiary shall become the allotment holder ;
- (8) If any allotment holder die intestate, the allotment shall pass to his heirs who shall become the allotment holders.

Sale of land free from restrictions imposed by section 6 (1), (2), and (3).

7 Notwithstanding anything in the last preceding section contained, the Government Agent may, upon such terms as the Governor may from time to time approve, sell or otherwise alienate any piece of Crown land suitable for the growing of paddy free from the conditions and restrictions contained in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of section 6 of this Ordinance, but, notwithstanding such exemption, such piece of land shall be an allotment within the provisions of this Ordinance, and shall in all other respects be, and remain, subject to such provisions.

Power of Government Agent to allot high land.

8 It shall be lawful for the Government Agent to allot, together with any piece of land suitable for the growing of paddy allotted to any person, a piece of high land also for a residing garden, and such high land shall be subject to all the provisions of this Ordinance as far as they are applicable.

Statement in Crown grant conclusive proof that any land is an allotment.

9 The statement in any Crown grant that the land referred to therein is an allotment under this Ordinance, or that such land has been sold or otherwise alienated under section 7, shall be final and conclusive proof of such fact in any proceedings in any court, nor shall any evidence on the contrary be admissible.

Allotment holder to be owner of allotment.

10 Subject to the express provisions of this Ordinance, the allotment holder shall be the owner of the allotment.

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, March 30, 1922.

GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE objects of the Bill are set out in the preamble, and are as follows :—

- (1) To encourage the taking up of small holdings for the continuous cultivation of paddy by peasant proprietors, and to preserve such small holdings in the possession of such peasant proprietors and their descendants ; and
- (2) To secure that such small holdings as well as other and larger areas of Crown land suitable for the growing of paddy and sold by the Crown for that purpose are not diverted to any other purpose without the permission of the Crown and do not remain uncultivated.

2. The necessity for some such provisions as are above set forth has arisen owing to a tendency in certain parts of the country for the ownership of land to pass from the small owner who actually cultivates it himself to the money lender or capitalist who in many cases does not do so, a tendency specially marked in the Batticaloa District. As a general rule, the small holder has not himself the capital necessary to cultivate, and is therefore compelled to borrow. The rate of interest charged is often exorbitant, and one or two bad harvests is sufficient to

ruin the small holder and involve the loss of his land. Even if the land has not actually been taken away from him, he has been reduced to a state of hopeless dependence upon his creditor, who has thus been enabled to absorb far more than his fair share of the products of his industry.

A further evil result of these conditions has in some villages been that some of the land has gone out of cultivation. The creditor who had taken over the land for his debt, or his successor, living often at a distance from the land, has found it no longer worth his while to cultivate, and has allowed it to lie fallow.

3. The second set of objects which are set forth in the preamble are intended to prevent land alienated by the Crown on favourable terms with a view to increasing the food production of the Colony being used for any other purpose without the consent of the Crown.

4. It will be seen by reference to section 2 of the Bill that it does not come into operation over the whole of the Colony, but only applies to such portions of it as the Governor in Executive Council may by Proclamation declare.

5. By section 4 of the Bill the Government Agent is empowered to make allotments of Crown land to be held under the Bill upon such terms as the Governor from time to time may approve; and by section 9 the statement in a Crown grant that the land referred to therein is an allotment under the Bill is to be final and conclusive proof of that fact in any proceedings in any court.

6. The general principle of law is that when land has been alienated a condition limiting its use or disposal cannot be attached to its ownership. As, otherwise, one of the main objects of the Bill would be defeated if provisions for attaching conditions were not made, section 5 of the Bill lays it down that any dealing with an allotment, except as expressly permitted by the provisions of the Bill, is to be null and void, and will result in the forfeiture of the land to the Crown.

7. Section 6 of the Bill is the most important section of the whole measure, and it is proposed to call attention to its principal provisions:—

- (a) Allotments cannot be sold, leased, or otherwise dealt with except with the permission of the Government Agent.
- (b) Allotments must not be mortgaged, charged, or otherwise hypothecated except to the Crown.
- (c) Allotments cannot be seized or sold in execution of any decree or order of a court whether made before or after the coming into operation of the Bill; nor for non-payment of rates, taxes, assessments, &c.
- (d) It is obvious that power must be given to deal with allotment holders who do not fulfil the conditions on which they hold their allotments. Consequently it is provided in sub-section (4) to section 6 of the Bill that upon any allotment which, in the opinion of the Government Agent, is suitable for the growing of paddy, the Government Agent may give the holder notice to grow paddy upon such allotment. If the allotment holder fails to carry out the terms of the notice, the Government Agent may let another person to cultivate the land upon such terms as the Government Agent may decide. Furthermore, if an allotment holder fails to cultivate his allotment without reasonable excuse for a period of three years, the Government Agent may hold an inquiry, and if he finds that the allotment holder has been at default, may order the land to be forfeited to the Crown.
- (e) There are other provisions which deal with partition suits in case of allotments contained in sub-section (6) of section 6 of the Bill.
- (f) Very important provisions are contained in sub-section (7) of section 6 of the Bill. Careful consideration has been given to the evils which arise from subdivision, but as a result of careful inquiry, it was found that unless a limited form of subdivision were permitted, the probabilities were that use would not be made of the other provisions of the Bill by the small proprietor,

whom it is desired most of all to encourage. Consequently sub-section (7) allows of subdivisions or transfers by way of gift or sale or will to the wife or husband or any descendant of any allotment holder or the wife or husband of any descendant. It is thought that by confining subdivisions strictly to members of a family, who to a large extent can be regarded as a unit, some of the more glaring evils of subdivision may be averted. Experience has shown that many of these evils may be avoided by the "Tattumaru" system, and further it will be remembered that by sub-section (4) of section 6 the Government Agent has the power to let another person on to cultivate if an allotment is not properly cultivated.

8. As it may be advisable to make grants of allotments of considerable size to persons financially able to cultivate on a large scale, section 7 has been inserted in the Bill. Generally the provisions of the Bill are made applicable to such large allotments. Power is given to the Government Agent to exempt them from the provisions of sub-sections (1), (2), and (3) of section 6 of the Bill.

9. With a view to making conditions easier and more attractive to the small cultivator, power is given to the Government Agent under section 8 of the Bill to allot together with any piece of land suitable for the growing of paddy a piece of high land for a residing garden. So far as they are applicable, the provisions of the Bill are intended to apply to such portions of high land.

10. Although the ownership of allotments under the Bill are made subject to conditions not usually found in Ceylon, still the intention of the Bill is that, subject to those conditions, the allotment holder is to be owner of the land. In order to make this perfectly clear, section 10 has been inserted in the Bill. Under this section the allotment holder is declared to be owner of the allotment subject to the express provisions of the Bill.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, September 20, 1921.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance further to amend "The Colombo Suburban Dairies and Laundries Ordinance, 1908."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient further to amend "The Colombo Suburban Dairies and Laundries Ordinance, 1908": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Colombo Suburban Dairies and Laundries (Amendment) Ordinance, No. 1922."

Amendment of section 22 of the principal Ordinance.

2 Section 22 of the principal Ordinance shall be amended by the addition of the following words immediately after the word "Colombo" in line 5 thereof: "or to the Board of Improvement of Nuwara Eliya or to any Local Board or to any Sanitary Board town or to any urban area as defined in "The Local Government Ordinance, No. 11 of 1920."

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, February 25, 1922.

GRAEME THOMSON,
Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE object of the amendment is to take power to extend the provisions of the principal Ordinance to the Board of Improvement of Nuwara Eliya or to any Local Board, Sanitary Board town, or urban area as defined in Ordinance No. 11 of 1920, where circumstances justify such extension.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, April 7, 1922.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance for making provision for the Supplementary Contingent Charges for the Year 1920-21.

WHEREAS by Ordinance No. 18 of 1920 it was enacted that a sum not exceeding Eighty-two million One hundred and Seventy-nine thousand Six hundred and Seventy-eight rupees should be charged upon the revenue and other funds of this Island for the Contingent Service of the financial year 1920-21, and it has become necessary to make further provision for the service of the said period: It is enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

1 That a sum not exceeding Thirteen million Seven hundred and Eighty-six thousand One hundred and Twenty-five rupees shall be and the same is hereby charged upon the revenue and other funds of the Colony for the services hereinafter mentioned, and the said expenditure shall be in conformity with the Heads of Expenditure specified in the Schedule hereunto annexed:

Preamble.

Rs. 13,786,125 to be charged upon the revenue of the Island for the Supplementary Contingent Charges for the year 1920-21.

SCHEDULE.

	Rs.	c.
1. His Excellency the Governor	40,200	84
4. Secretariat	19,211	63
4A. Secretariat: Printing Branch	156,931	1
5. Controller of Revenue	1,891	30
6A. Treasury: Loan Board	309	68
6B. Treasury: Stamp Office	2,571	59
7. Audit	8,353	66
8. Provincial Administration	74,501	76
8A. Local Government Board	3,490	91
11. Government Stores	40,692	96
14. Excise Department	28,275	79
15. Post Office and Telegraphs	30,583	33
17. Colombo Port Commission	224,962	52
19. Legal Departments:—		
Supreme Court	37,977	20
Attorney-General	24,375	95
Courts of Requests and Police Courts	12,749	78
Registrar-General's Department	11,016	44
Fiscals	73,504	62
21. Prisons	120,578	61
22. Medical Department	359,038	4
27. Veterinary Department	10,389	90
29. Mineral Survey	2,406	89
31. Inspector of Factories	395	45
33. Ecclesiastical	41	46
34. Railway Department	62,186	51
37. Irrigation Annually Recurrent	27,464	90
38. Irrigation Extraordinary	19,476	49
44. Pensions	99,117	83
45. Exchange	6,726,425	89
46. Miscellaneous Services	5,567,002	6

Total—Rs. 13,786,125 0

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, April 27, 1922.

B. HORSBURGH,
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE Ordinance makes provision for the Supplementary Contingent Charges for the Financial Year 1920-21.

Colombo, April 27, 1922.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,060. In the matter of the insolvency of John Balengero van Langenberg of Wall street, Kotahena, in Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on May 16, 1922, for the grant of a certificate of conformity to the insolvent.

By order of court, G. WIRARATNA,
Colombo, April 20, 1922. for Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,106. In the matter of the insolvency of Awanna Sana Sona Sangaralingampillai of No. 29, 5th Cross street, Pettah, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on May 2, 1922, to annul the adjudication in the above matter.

By order of court, G. WIRARATNA,
Colombo, April 20, 1922. for Secretary.

In the District Court of Negombo.

No. 145 I. In the matter of the insolvency of Francis William Nicholas of Gampaha, Medagama.

NOTICE is hereby given that the first sitting of this court in the above matter is adjourned to May 24, 1922.

By order of court, C. EMMANUEL,
Negombo, April 24, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Negombo.

No. 147. In the matter of the insolvency of Hewadewage Harrisal Fernando of Mukalangomuwa.

WHEREAS Hewadewage Harrisal Fernando has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of the estate of Hewadewage Harrisal Fernando, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said Hewadewage Harrisal Fernando insolvent accordingly, and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on May 11, 1922, and on June 7, 1922, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, R. L. GUNASEKARA,
Negombo; April 11, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

No. 174. In the matter of the insolvency of Mestiage Don Arnolis Gunatilaka of Wadduwa.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on May 22, 1922, for proof of claims.

By order of court, R. MALALGODA,
April 25, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kandy.

No. 1,647. In the matter of the insolvency of Lanka-barenege Hendrick Jinasena of Katukele in Kandy.

NOTICE is hereby given that the order of adjudication made in the above case was on January 20, 1922, annulled.

By order of court, P. MORTIMER,
Kandy, April 25, 1922. Secretary.

NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

Western Province.

In the Court of Request of Colombo:

M. R. M. K. R. Caruppan Chetty of Sea street,
Colombo. Plaintiff.

No. 1,081. Vs.

Vavenna Moona Thana Tamby Kanni Saibo of
Sea street, Colombo. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, May 30, 1922, at 2 P.M., will be sold by public auction, at No. 32, Sea street, Pettah, Colombo, the following movable property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 270, with legal interest thereon from March 14, 1922, till payment in full, and costs of suit Rs. 35.65, viz. :-

11 white waiy handkerchiefs containing 4 handkerchiefs in each, 3 sela cloths, 4 waiy cloths, 33 handkerchiefs,

13 sela cloths, 130 Tamil waiy cloths, 53 pairs coloured waiy cloths, 8 sela cloths, 12 coloured sela cloths, 5½ pairs waiy cloths, 6 white flowered sela cloths, 18 shawls, 8 black shawls, 7 pairs black shawls, 8½ pairs towels, 4 silk handkerchiefs, 17 waiy cloths, 7 shawls, 5 pairs waiy cloths, 5 pairs handkerchiefs, 10 pairs waiy cloths, 7 pieces cambayas, 26 sela cloths with silk thread, 11 sela cloths, 83 Bombay waiy cloths, 15 pieces white cloths, 11 pieces Tamil shawls, 3 glass almirahs, 1 cash box, 1 plank chair, 2 corner brackets, 1 mat (kalala), 34 Tamil sela cloths, 36 sela clothes, 1 piece white cloth, 85 waiy cloths, 7 white waiy cloths, 5 sela cloths, 36 handkerchiefs, 4 pieces white cloths.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, April 25, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

K. R. Caruppen Chetty of Sea street, Colombo, administrator of the estate of the late P. R. K. R. Caruppen Chetty Plaintiff.

No. 2,603/1921. Vs.

Wahalatantrige Gertrude Eugene Weerasinha nee Perera and her husband Edward Henry Weerasinha, both of Kiribathgoda, Kelaniya Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, May 31, 1922, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 5,357 dated April 19, 1919, and attested by G. A. F. Seneviratne, Notary Public, and decreed and ordered to be sold by the order of court dated March 22, 1922, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 9,032.50, with further interest on Rs. 7,000 at 15 per cent. per annum from September 9, 1921, till February 7, 1922, and thereafter further interest on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs, viz. :-

At 2 P.M.

1. All those 14 allotments of land called and known as Delgahawatta, Siyambalagahawatta, Madatiyagahawatta, Beligahawatta, and Timbirigahawatta, now forming one property called Siyambalagahawatta, shaded pink in the plan, with the trees and plantations and the buildings standing thereon with the field attached thereto of the extent of 2 acres 1 rood and 16 perches, and shaded green in the plan, situate at Talawatuhenpita and Maharawera, Heiyantuduwa, in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale, in the District of Colombo, Western Province; the entire land and the field being bounded on the north by the lands said to belong to Hewatantrige Raphael Appu and others and lands said to belong to Jayasuriya Arachchige Babanis Appu and Lokuhanage Simon Gabriel Appuhamy, east by the lands said to belong to Jayasuriya Arachchige Palanchi Appu, Kuruppu Arachchige Mangiris Appu, Kiriwalage Peeris Appu, Banasinha Arachchige Babanis Appu, Warahantuduliyanage Kalis Appu, Lankaluradage Migel Fernando, south-east by the Kandy road, south by the land said to belong to Nonchamy and Kirinde Liyanage Don Pabilis Appuhamy, and on the west by the lands said to belong to Sandage Adonis, Kirinde Liyanage Don Hendrick Appuhamy, Mahalage Agilis Appu, and field said to belong to Lokuhanage Simon Gabriel Appuhamy; and containing in extent 22 acres and 8 square perches as per figure of survey dated February 26, 1890, and made by Frederick Bartholomewsz, Surveyor.

At 2.30 P.M.

2. An undivided 13/16 parts or shares of and in all that land called Beligahawatta, situated at Talawatuhenpita in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale aforesaid; bounded on the north by lot X 795 in P. P. 2,288, east by land appearing in T. P. 206,947, on the south by a road and lot Q 333 in P. P. 1,343, and on the west by land appearing in T. P. 207,183; containing in extent 3 acres and 14 perches.

At 3 P.M.

3. Undivided 13/16 parts or shares of and in all that land called Madatiyagahawatta, situated at Talawatuhenpita aforesaid; bounded on the north by land appearing in T. P. 75,111 and lot X 795 in P. P. 2,288, on the east by land appearing in T. P. 207,182 and lot Q 333 in P. P. 1,343, on the south by land appearing in T. Ps. 207,184, 207,185, and on the west by lands appearing in T. Ps. 207,185 and 75,111; containing in extent 2 acres and 2 roods.

At 3.30 P.M.

4. Undivided 13/16 parts or shares of and in all that land called Delgahawatta, situated at Talawatuhenpita aforesaid; bounded on the south by land appearing in T. P. 75,111 and on all other sides by land appearing in T. P. 93,840; containing in extent 2 roods, together with all and singular the buildings, stores, machinery, fixtures, furniture, tools, implements, cattle, and others the dead and live stock in and upon the said estate, lands, and premises or thereto belonging.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, April 25, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

V. P. R. R. M. Ramasamy Chetty of Sea street, Colombo Plaintiff.

No. 4,346. Vs.

(1) Kavenna Moona Thana Mohamado Noordeen Saibo,
(2) Kavenna Moona Thana Tamby Kanny Saibo,
both of Sea street, Colombo Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, May 30, 1922, at 3.30 P.M., will be sold by public auction, at No. 32, Sea street, Pettah, Colombo, the following movable property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 360, with legal interest thereon from March 16, 1922, till payment in full, and costs, viz. :-

11 waity handkerchiefs containing 4 handkerchiefs in each, 3 sela cloths, 4 waity cloths, 33 handkerchiefs, 13 sela cloths, 130 Tamil waity cloths, 53 pairs coloured waity cloths, 8 sela cloths, 12 coloured sela cloths, 5½ pairs waity cloths, 6 white flowered sela cloths, 18 shawls, 8 black shawls, 7 pairs black shawls, 8½ pairs towels, 4 silk handkerchiefs, 17 waity cloths, 7 shawls, 5 pairs waity cloths, 5 pairs handkerchiefs, 10 pairs waity cloths, 7 pieces cambayas, 26 sela cloths with silk thread, 11 sela cloths, 83 Bombay waity cloths, 15 pieces white cloths, 11 pieces Tamil shawls, 3 glass almirahs, 1 cash box, 1 plank chair, 2 corner brackets, 1 mat (kalala), 34 Tamil sela cloths, 36 sela cloths, 1 piece white cloth, 85 waity cloths, 7 white waity cloths, 5 sela cloths, 36 handkerchiefs 4 pieces white cloths.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, April 25, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

K. A. Peduru Perera of Welikada Plaintiff.

No. 4,375. Vs.

G. A. F. Seneviratna, Notary Public, No. 33, Cotta road, Borella, Colombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, May 20, 1922, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at No. 33, Cotta road, Colombo, the following movable property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 751.45, with interest at 8 per cent. per annum from March 16, 1922, till payment in full, and costs not taxed yet, viz. :-

Six ebony chairs, 2 ebony settees (cushioned), 6 arm chairs (cushioned), 1 ebony carved stand, 12 brass flower vases, 1 ebony stand with mirror, 1 carved teapoy, 1 chair, 1 brass standing lamp, 1 small teapoy, 5 ebony brackets, 6 pictures, 1 small mirror, 1 wall clock, 20 brass toys, 1 toy elephant, 2 brass toy elephants, 8 brass flower vases, 2 mirrors with brass frames, 2 coir mattings, 1 brass lamp, 1 round table, 3 nadun lounges, 3 nadun armchairs, 2 nadun folding lounges, 1 nadun teapoy, 1 settee painted black, 1 bench with high back, 1 glass almirah, 1 whatnot, 1 sideboard, 1 meat safe, 1 almirah, 1 table, 1 large brass spittoon.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, April 26, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Nana Moona Rawana Mana Nalla Caruppan Chetty of Sea street, Colombo Plaintiff.

No. 4,562. Vs.

Srai Lebbe Maraikar Mohamed Sameer of Old Butcher street, Colombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, May 26, 1922, at 2 P.M., will be sold by public auction at 23, Old Moor street, Colombo, the following movable property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 2,994 dated February 23, 1922, and attested by C. T. Kandaiya of Colombo, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 6,640, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from April 5, 1922, till payment in full, and costs, and less Rs. 4,477 paid by the defendant to plaintiff, viz. :-

All and singular the goods, stock-in-trade consisting of paint, glass panes, fittings, furniture, effects, and things

now lying in the defendant's shop bearing assessment No. 23, Old Moor street, Colombo, and also all and singular the goods, stock-in-trade, paints, glass panes, fittings, furniture, effects, and things which shall from time to time and at all times hereafter during the continuance of the said bond be brought into or be in the defendant's shop or place of business or be brought into or be in any other shop or place of business into which the defendant may hereafter remove and carry on his business or trade, viz., 10 packing cases, 10 tins paint, 5 boxes glasses, 1 empty barrel, 1 hand cart, 8 barrels.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, April 25, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Nana Moon Rawana Mana Nalla Caruppan Chetty of Sea street, Colombo Plaintiff.

No. 4,562. Vs.

Kai Lebbe Marikar Mohamed Sameer of Old Butcher street, Colombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, May 26, 1922, at 10 A.M., will be sold by public auction at 31, Old Butcher street, Colombo, the following movable property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 2,994 dated February 23, 1922, and attested by C. T. Kandaiya of Colombo, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 6,640, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from April 5, 1922, till payment in full, and costs, and less Rs. 4,477 paid by the defendant to plaintiff, viz. :—

All and singular the goods, stock-in-trade, consisting of paint, glass panes, fittings, furniture, effects, and things now lying in the defendant's shop bearing assessment No. 31, Old Butcher street, Pettah, Colombo, and also all and singular the goods, stock-in-trade, paints, glass panes, fitting, furniture, effects, and things which shall from time to time and at all times hereafter during the continuance of the said bond be brought into or be in the defendant's shop or place of business or be brought into or be in any other shop or place of business into which the defendant may hereafter remove and carry on his business or trade, viz. :—

One writing table, 1 iron safe, 2 armchairs, 1 wooden chair, 1 table with drawers, 1 glass almirah, 115 pincers, 120 small pincers, 22 tins paints, 2 glass almirahs, 12 bottles spirits, 7 bundles tin tax nails, 150 tins paint, 3 tins varnish, 1 bottle brass nails, 1 box small pincers, 3 bottles tin tax nails, 1 lot packets distemper, 6 tins Japanese varnish, 70 large packets distemper, 1 lot emery cloth, 1 scale, 20 tins paint (large and small), 15 boxes silver paint, 1 box pincers, 1 lot pieces glue, 2 large glass almirahs, 1 small glass show case, 40 tins paint, 7 tins paint, 1 lot glasses (large and small), 25 packing cases, 30 boxes paint, 13 polish tins, 1 tin carbolic, 3 small carbolic tins, 33 empty bottles, 1 scale, 2 nail pullers, 1 inkstand, 1 book case, 1 large rack, 1 ladder, 1 tin turpentine, 20 tins paint, 1 hammer, 3 old small barrels, 1 loose barrel cement, 1 jar, 1 loose tin tub resin, 1 tin tub, 1 basin (enamelled), 2 dozen bottles linseed oil, 1 trowel, 1 footrule, 1 lot sundries.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, April 25, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the Court of Requests, Colombo.

B. M. Kelaart of Ekala, Ja-ela Plaintiff.

No. 77,872. Vs.

A. V. R. A. Adycappa Chetty of Sea street, Colombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, May 19, 1922, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said plaintiff in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 57.55 and poundage, viz. :—

All that premises bearing assessment Nos. 8 and 9, situated at Kayman's Gate, now Sea street, within the Municipality of Colombo; bounded on the north by a room claimed by Mr. D. P. Wijewardana, east by the yard belonging to the estate of the late Dr. J. B. Misso, south

by premises bearing assessment No. 12 of Mr. C. Kelaart and premises bearing assessment No. 13, west by premises bearing assessment Nos. 3 and 4 and premises bearing assessment No. 5 of Mr. Wright and premises bearing assessment No. 6 of J. C. Perera; in extent 2 47/100 square perches.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, April 26, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the Court of Requests of Negombo.

Jayasinha Arachchige Davith Appuhami of Medamulla Plaintiff.

No. 29,749. Vs.

(1) Senerat Gunasekara Vidanarallage Don John Senerat Gunasekara Appuhami of Udugampola, (2) Jayasekara Pohonsuriya Appuhamilage Appu Sinno Appuhami of Mahatammama Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on May 22, 1922, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said plaintiff in the following property, viz. :—

The land called Bulugahawatta, situate at Medamulla in Dasiya pattu; and bounded on the north by deniya land belonging to Don Davith Appuhamy, east by lands of Jayasinha Arachchige Esan Appuhamy and Pathira Appuhamilage Leno Appuhamy, south by land of Jayasekara Balasuriage Siman Appuhamy, and west by land of Bastian Appuhamy; containing in extent about 3 acres. Amount to be levied Rs. 73.90, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Negombo, April 25, 1922.

FRED. G. HEPONSTALL,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the Court of Requests of Negombo.

Siedle Brothers & Co. of Colombo and Kattayakaya Plaintiffs.

No. 29,750. Vs.

K. D. Joseph of Negombo Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on May 20, 1922, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

Two contiguous allotments of land called Kantaruwatta alias Kongahawatta, situate at 4th Division, Tammita, within the gravets of Negombo; and bounded on the north by a portion of this land, east by land of P. Paulu Appu, south by land of G. Migel Appu, and west by the high road; containing in extent about 1 acre 1 rood and 30½ perches, with the buildings standing thereon.

Amount to be levied Rs. 241.65, with interest on Rs. 212 at 9 per cent. per annum from October 4, 1921, till payment.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Negombo, April 25, 1922.

FRED. G. HEPONSTALL,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Illekuttige Sarpinu Fernando of Marakkalahawatta in Maggona Plaintiff.

No. 9,797. Vs.

Kadiri Lebbe Marikar Unusu Lebbe of Deenagoda Beruwala Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, May 19, 1922, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 1,164, with interest on Rs. 600 at the rate of 16 per cent. per annum from November 8, 1920; till June 28, 1921, and thereafter on the aggregate at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs Rs. 157, viz. :—

An undivided 1/16 share of the land called Kolaththaditotam, situate at Deenagoda in Beruwalbadda; and bounded on the north by the high road leading to the village Yakgahamulla, on the east by the ditch of a field, on the south by the other portion of this land, and on the west

by Mahapittaniagala; containing in extent $1\frac{1}{2}$ acre, together with $\frac{1}{16}$ share of the plantation and all the appurtenances thereto belonging and the entire tiled house standing thereon, excluding the planter's share of the 3rd plantation thereof.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kalutara, April 25, 1922.

H. SAMERASINGHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

the District Court of Kalutara.
Pitabendige Cyril Methodius Cooray of Kalutara
North Plaintiff.

No. 6,557. Vs.

Wajjakkara Kankanange Pestralina Dias of Paiyagala (3) ditto Letisia Dias of Diyalagoda, (5) ditto Selbina Dias of ditto, (8) Karunakalage Francis Silva of Alutgama, (9) Francis Aron Silva of ditto, (10) ditto Anjalina Rosaline Silva of ditto, (7) Kandebaduge Migel Fernando of Diyalagoda, (119) Lianarallage Don Francis of Diyalagoda, (14) Perumavidanerallage Emarentina Fernando of ditto (15) ditto Silvestry Fernando of ditto, (38) Bodiabaduge Maria Perera of Diyalagoda, (39) Kurukulasuria Merennarallage Regina Fernando of ditto, (40) ditto Walter Fernando of ditto, (41) ditto Michela Fernando of ditto, (42) ditto Catherina Fernando of ditto, (16) Sinnathommelage Don Juwan Perera of ditto, (17) ditto Dona Eusenia Perera of ditto, (18) ditto Dona Angalina and husband (19) Beruwalatottage Agostinu Fernando of ditto, (20) Sinnathommelage Don Jamis Appu, (21) Sembage Letisia Fernando of ditto, (22) ditto Cicilia Fernando of ditto, (23) Di ala Anthonige Eralis Fernando of ditto, (47) Moratuwage Manuel Fernando of ditto, (49) ditto Salvina Fernando of ditto, (50) ditto Justina Fernando of ditto, (114) Peter Fonseka of ditto, (35) Kariwasanvidanerallage Dona Justina of Diyalagoda, (37) ditto Dona Agida of ditto, (44) Kontadorutambividanelage Abilinu Fernando of ditto, personally and as guardian *ad litem* over the minor ditto Maria Fernando the 118th defendant, (45) Lianarallage Benadict Silva of Maggona, (55) Koruwakankanage Dona Agida, (58) Gomarage Dona Ropina of ditto, (60) Gomarage Migel of ditto, (61) ditto Peduru of ditto, (32) Mutuwara Acharige Edo Hainy of Maggona, (110) Diyalagoda Elaris Fernando of Diyalagoda, (62) Sinnathommelage Don Peduru Weerasinghe of ditto, (63) ditto Don Stephen of ditto, (64) ditto Dona Engrasia of ditto, (66) ditto Dona Babianu and husband (67) Palliarallage Don Santiago, both of Paiyagala, (68) Ponnaielalage Prorentina Fernando of Diyalagoda, (69) Sinnathommelage Serpinu of ditto, (70) ditto Don Lewis of ditto, (71) ditto Dona Maria of ditto, (72) Sembage William of ditto, (73) ditto Babia of ditto (judgment debtors) Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, May 20, 1922, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 4,195.50, viz. :—

1. The soil and all things thereon of lot No. 4 of Omari-godawatta *alias* Kumari-goda and Watupallaowita allotted to 15th defendant appearing in plan No. 1,899 dated December 29 to 31, 1920, and January 4 to 8, 1921, made by Mr. James O. Orr, Licensed Surveyor, situated at Maggona; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 5, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lots Nos. 1, 2, and 3, and containing in extent 2 roods $1\frac{7}{9}$ perches.
2. Lot No. 1 A of the same land allotted to 15th defendant, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot 2A, south by lot No. 14 *alias* the road, and west by lot No. 13; and containing in extent 29 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches.
3. The soil and all things thereon of lot No. 5 of the same land allotted to 14th defendant, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 6, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 4; and containing in extent 20 $\frac{4}{9}$ perches.

4. The soil and all things thereon of lot No. 6 of the same land allotted to 119th defendant, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 7, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 5; and containing in extent 20 $\frac{4}{9}$ perches.

5. Lot No. 2A of the same land allotted to 119th and 14th defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lots Nos. 3A and 4A, south by lot No. 14, and west by lot No. 1A; and containing in extent 14 $\frac{2}{9}$ perches.

6. Lot No. 7 of the same land allotted to 7th defendant, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 8, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 6; and containing in extent 1 rood 21 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches.

7. Lot No. 4A of the same land allotted to 7th defendant, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lots Nos. 5A and 6A, south by lot No. 3A, and west by lot No. 2A; and containing in extent 22 perches.

8. The soil and all things thereon of lot No. 8 allotted to 38th to 42nd defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 9, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 7; and containing in extent 38 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches.

9. Lot No. 3A of the same land allotted to 38th to 42nd defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by lot No. 4A, east by lot No. 5A, south by lot No. 14, and west by lot No. 2A; and containing in extent 13 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches.

10. The soil and all things thereon of lot 9 of the same land allotted to 16th to 23rd defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 10, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 8; and containing in extent 1 rood and 7 $\frac{19}{22}$ perches.

11. Lot No. 5A of the same land allotted to 16th to 23rd defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by lot No. 6A, east by lots Nos. 7A and 8A, south by lot No. 14 and west by lots Nos. 3A and 4A; and containing in extent 17 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches.

On Monday, May 22, 1922, commencing at 10 A.M.

12. The soil and all things thereon of lot No. 10 of the same land allotted to 47th, 49th, 50th, and 114th defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 11, and south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 9; and containing in extent 2 roods and 12 perches.

13. Lot No. 7A of the same land allotted to 47th, 49th, 50th, and 114th defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by lot No. 8A, east by lot No. 9A, south by lot 12A and lot No. 14, and west by lot No. 5A; and containing in extent 22 perches.

14. The soil and all things thereon of lot No. 11 of the same land allotted to 35th, 37th, 43rd, and 45th defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 12, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 10 of the same land; and containing in extent 20 $\frac{4}{9}$ perches.

15. Lot No. 11A of the same land allotted to 35th, 37th, 43rd, and 45th defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by Kurunduwatta, south by lot No. 10A, and west by lot No. 8A; and containing in extent 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches.

16. The soil and of all things thereon of lot No. 12 allotted to 1st, 2nd, 5th, 8th, 9th, and 10th defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 13, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 11; and containing in extent 1 rood and 3 $\frac{17}{21}$ perches.

17. Lot No. 9A of the same land allotted to 1st, 2nd, 5th, 8th, 9th, and 10th defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by lot No. 10A, east by Kurunduwatta, south by lot No. 12A, and west by lots Nos. 7A and 8A; and containing in extent 15 $\frac{5}{7}$ perches.

18. Lot No. 13 of the same land and all things thereon allotted to 55th to 58th and 60th and 61st defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lots Nos. 14 and 1A, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 12; and containing in extent 3 roods and 35 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches.

19. The soil and all things thereon of lot No. 14 of the same land allotted to 32nd defendant, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by lots Nos. 1A, 2A, 3A, and 5A.

east by lot No. 12A and 7A, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 13; and containing in extent 2 roods 30 62/63 perches.

20. Lot No. 12A allotted to 32nd defendant of the same land, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by lots Nos. 7A and 9A, east by Kurunduwatta, south by $\frac{2}{3}$ portion of the same land, and west by lot No. 14; and containing 38 58/105 perches.

21. Lot No. 6A of the same land allotted 62nd to 64th, 66th, 68th to 73rd defendants, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by Haldeniya, east by lot No. 8A, south by lot No. 5A, and west by lot No. 4A; and containing in extent 15 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches.

22. Lot No. 10A of the same land allotted to 110th defendant, situated at ditto; and bounded on the north by lot No. 11A, east by Kurunduwatta, south by lot No. 9A, and west by lot No. 8A; and containing in extent 11 perches.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kalutara, April 25, 1922.

H. SAMERESINGHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

Central Province.

In the District Court of Kandy.

W. M. M. Usoof and two others carrying on business as W. M. Mohammod Usoof and Bros., The Colombo Crystal Palace, Colombo Plaintiffs.

No. 28,801. Vs.

I. L. S. Seyado Ibrahim Saibo of Matale Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on May 23, 1922, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the following movable property of the defendant lying in the defendant's garage bearing Nos. 171 and 172, situate at Trincomalee street, Matale, viz. :-

One Hupmobile motor car bearing No. E 144.

Amount of writ Rs. 322.57 $\frac{1}{2}$, with interest on Rs. 500 from January 18, 1921, and on Rs. 444 from January 27, 1921, at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Matale, April 24, 1922.

C. SENARATNE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

W. M. M. Usoof and two others carrying on business as W. M. Mohammod Usoof and Bros., The Colombo Crystal Palace, Colombo Plaintiffs.

No. 29,078. Vs.

I. L. S. Seyadu Ibrahim Saibo of Matale Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on May 22, 1922, commencing at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the following movable property lying in the defendant's garage bearing Nos. 171 and 172, situated at Trincomalee street, Matale, viz. :-

One Buick motor car bearing No. E 118.

Amount of writ Rs. 1,451.45, with legal interest on Rs. 1,705 from April 1, 1921, till payment in full, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Matale, April 24, 1922.

C. SENARATNE,
Deputy Fiscal.

Southern Province.

In the District Court of Matara.

Hewa Visenti Don Bastian de Silva of Denepitiya .. Plaintiff.

No. 8,706. Vs.

Kirinde Ganitage Hinniyes of Uruwitike Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, May 27, 1922, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of

the said defendant in the following mortgaged property, viz. :-

(1) The undivided 7/10 parts of all the remaining fruit trees and on soil (save and except 7 coconut trees out of the fruit trees of 3rd plantation towards the east) of the entirety of Mekiliyagahahena *alias* Hettigewewatta, in extent about 4 acres, situated at Uruwitike; and bounded on the north by Ganitagedeniya and Godella, east by Diwelwatta and Mederikoratuwa, south by Digapotha, and west by Pelawatta and Dunwattekoratuwa. Valuation Rs. 800.

(2) The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of all the fruit trees and of soil of Edirachcharigewatta, in extent about 3 acres and of the field Paragahadeniya of 30 kurunies of paddy, both adjoining one another and forming one land, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by high road and Pansaledeniya-inniyara, east by Bodagalahena and the high road, south by Paragahawatta and Weralugahawatta, and on the west by Tikiriamahtiyawatta. Valuation Rs. 400.

(3) The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of the remaining fruit trees and of soil (save and except planter's $\frac{1}{2}$ part of the fruit trees of the new plantation) of Henegederawatta, in extent about 3 acres, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Paragahahena, east by Mataragehena, south by Ganitagedeniya, and west by Ipitawatta. Valuation Rs. 250.

(4) The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of all the fruit trees and of soil of the high land of about 3 roods in extent and of the lowland in extent 2 pelas of paddy of the land Ganitagedeniya and Deniyegodella, both adjoining one another and forming one land, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Henegederawatta, east by Godellewatta and pillega, south by Hettigewatta, and west by Ipitawatta and Pelawatta. Valuation Rs. 50.

(5) The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of all the fruit trees and of soil of Dunwattekoratuwa, in extent 2 roods and 3 perches, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Ilangankoratuwa and Pelawatta, east by Hettigewatta and Digapotha, south by Ambalamgodella and Bakkingahaowita, and west by Pahalawattedeniya. Valuation Rs. 15.

(6) The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of Pahalawattedeniya, in extent 1 rood and 26 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Pahalawatta *alias* Julgahakoratuwa and Ilangankoratuwa, east by Dunwattekoratuwa, south by Ambalamgodella, and on the west by Kajjugahaliadda. Valuation Rs. 10.

(7) The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of all the fruit trees and of soil of Ilangankoratuwa, in extent 34 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Ipitawatta, east by Pelawatta, south by Pahalawattedeniya and Dunwattekoratuwa, and west by Pahalawatta. Valuation Rs. 10.

(8) The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of all the fruit trees and of soil of Palugodellewatta, in extent 2 acres and 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Paragahahena, east by Ipitawatta and Gederawatta, south by Pahalawatta *alias* Julgahakoratuwa, and west by portion of the same land. Valuation Rs. 50.

(9) The undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of all the fruit trees and of soil of Julgahakoratuwa, in extent 3 roods and 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ perches, situate at ditto; and bounded on the north by Palugodellewatta, east by Ilangankoratuwa, south by Pahalawattedeniya and Julgahaliadda, and on the west by the portion of the same land. Valuation Rs. 10.

Writ amount Rs. 2,494.91.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Matara, April 22, 1922.

E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

L. N. de Silva of Matara Plaintiff.

No. 9,864. Vs.

G. P. H. Sirisena of Matara Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, May 20, 1922, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 1,309.39, with legal interest from September 27, 1921, till payment in full, costs, and Fiscal's charges, viz. :-

The undivided 11/12 parts of the land called Henewatta in extent about $\frac{1}{2}$ acre, situate at Gabadaweediya in Matara, and all the buildings standing thereon; the said premises

being bounded on the north by the portion of the said land belonging to Pinidiyalahamy, east by Kovilawatta, south by the minor road leading to the temple, and on the west by the share of the same land belonging to Interpreter Mudaliyar Goonawardena. Valuation Rs. 6,000.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Matara, April 21, 1922.

E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Mena Pana, Vena Ena Muttu Palaniappa Chetty of
Kandy Plaintiff

No. 29,615. Vs.

(1) Mrs. L. M. Jayawickreme of Weligama, (2) Walter
Jayawickreme of Hatton Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on the following days, and at the hours specified below, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the life interest of the 1st defendant in the following property for the recovery of a sum of Rs. 6,150.40, with interest on Rs. 5,962.50 at 9 per cent. per annum from March 27, 1922, till payment in full, and Fiscal's charges, viz. :—

On Friday, May 19, 1922, at 10.15 A.M.

(1) The land called Antananhettigewatta of 2 roods and 8 perches in extent, situated at Weligama in Weligam korale of Matara District, in the Southern Province; and bounded on the north by Udakanattagewatta, on the east by Gurunadahettigewatta, on the south by minor road, and on the west by Tudellewatta; being the land, all the plantations, and buildings thereon within the said boundaries.

(2) 14 shares out of 96 shares, that is to say, the western portion marked A containing 13 perches in extent, which has been surveyed and partitioned, together with all the plantations and buildings thereon possessed upon deed of transfer No. 3,022 dated August 20, 1898, attested by Isaac de Silva Jayasundera Seneviratne, Notary Public, out of the land called Gurunadahettigewatta, containing in extent 2 roods and 9.36 perches, situated at Weligama aforesaid; and bounded in its entirety on the north by Udakanatta and Godapitiyelahamahinegewatta, on the east by Kataluwe-gewatta and Punchigurunadakoratuwa, on the south by minor road, and on the west by Antananhettigewatta.

(3) 36 shares out of 42 shares out of the portion to the south of the railroad and of all the plantations and buildings thereon out of Ulijjawatta *alias* Udakanattewatta of about 2 acres in extent, situated at Weligama aforesaid; and bounded in its entirety on the north by Maligatenna, on the east by Godapitiyelahamahinewatta, on the south by Antananhettigewatta, on the west by Galketiyawatta, Lahandihaminnekoratuwa, and Madamagewatta.

(4) Divided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the portion to the south of the railroad and of the plantations thereon out of the land called Lamadoohaminnekoratuwa *alias* Kirihaminnekoratuwa of about 1 acre in extent, situated at Hettiweediya in Weligama aforesaid; and bounded in its entirety on the north by Galketiyawatta, on the east by Ulijjawatta *alias* Balalamayapadinchiwahitiyawatta, on the south by Antananhettigewatta, on the west by Tudella, Pansalakoratuwa *alias* Pokuna-addarawatta, and Tanikosgahawatta.

On Friday, May 19, 1922, at 12.30 P.M.

(5) The land called Mawatapelawatta *alias* Mullearambewatta of 5 acres and 33 perches in extent, situate at Mirissa in Weligam korale of Matara District, Southern Province; and bounded on the north by high road and Appuhamy's garden, on the east by high road and ela, on the south by Bandarawatta, ela, and seacoast, and the west by Uswatta and seacoast; being the land, plantations, and buildings thereon within the said boundaries (save and except therefrom the parapet wall erected for the protection of the burial ground and also the ground and the coconut trees within the said parapet wall).

(6) The land called Moderawellemoodillagahawatta of 1 rood and 31.25 perches in extent, situated at Mirissa aforesaid; bounded on the north by Pelawatta, Kalukankanangewatta, and Wella-adderawatta, on the east by Ilukwatta *alias* Kadira-arambepelawatta, on the south by seacoast, on the west by Mestrigewatta *alias* Hondaliyawalawatta

and Arukattigeividaneralapadinchiwahitiyawatta; being the land and plantations thereon within the said boundaries (save and except a $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the plantations, as compensation).

(7) The land called Usarambewatta of about 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ acre in extent, situated at Mirissa aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Paranawatta and Malapalamalmiyawatta, on the east by Palliyagurugeideniya, Kotaperumagewatta, and Pelawatta, on the south by Ithalagewatta, Tuduwegeipelawatta, Daluwatta, and Berawainnewatta, and on the west by seacoast and Usarambewegatta; being the land, plantations, and buildings thereon within the said boundaries (save and except therefrom a $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the plantations as and for compensation).

(8) Uswatta *alias* Mullearambewatta of about 2 acres in extent, situated at Mirissa aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Egodahawatta *alias* Pansalewatta and ela, on the east by Appuhamigewatta *alias* Embarallagahawatta, on the south by Mawatapelawatta *alias* Mullearambepelawatta, and on the west by seacoast; being the land, plantations, and buildings thereon within the said boundaries (save and except a $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the new plantations thereon as and for compensation).

(9) The land called Rendapalawatta of 2 roods and 7.36 perches in extent, situated at Mirissa aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Welekankanamagewatta, on the east by Pashannedigeandiyepadinchiwahitiyawatta, garden occupied by Dioguhennedige Solomon, Wella-addarawatta planted by Alutrala, and Juwanapadinchiwahitiyawatta *alias* Kettagahakoratuwa, on the south by Tumbahodigewatta, and on the west by seacoast; being the land, plantations, and buildings thereon within the said boundaries.

(10) The land called Wellekankanangewatta of 3 roods and 20.16 perches in extent, situated at Mirissa aforesaid; and bounded on the north by water-course, on the east by Balantiriyawatta and Wattuwepadinchiwahitiyawatta, on the south by Rendapalawatta, Pashannedigeandiyepadinchiwahitiyawatta, Palatugahawatta, and Wattuwepadinchiwahitiyawatta, and on the west by seacoast; being the land and all the plantations thereon within the said boundaries.

(11) The land called Appuhamigewatta of 1 acre 2 roods and 21 perches in extent, situated at Mirissa aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Kurunduwatta and Pansalewatta, on the east by high road, on the south by Mullearambewatta, and on the west by Uswatta; being the land and all the plantations thereon within the said boundaries.

(12) 3/10 shares held and possessed by upon deed of transfer No. 3,218 dated January 31, 1903, attested by George Edward Dionysius Seneviratne, Notary Public, out of Kankorigewatta *alias* Kettagahakoratuwa of about 3 roods in extent, situated at Mirissa; and bounded on the east by the garden occupied by Kodippiligei Lewis, on the north by Rendapelalangawella-adderakoratuwa, on the south by Wella-adderatumbodigewatta, and on the west by Malapalawella-adderawatta; together with a like share of all the plantations and the buildings thereon.

(13) A $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the land and of the remaining plantations and the buildings thereon out of Hondaliyawalawatta, 1 rood and 27 perches in extent, situate at Mirissa aforesaid; and bounded in its entirety on the north by Padinchi-gederawatta, on the east by Moodillagahawatta, on the south by seacoast, and on the west by ela (save and except a $\frac{1}{3}$ share of the 2nd plantation, as and for compensation).

On Friday, May 19, 1922, at 3.30 P.M.

(14) Nedungasdoladeniya and Nedungasdolahena, both adjoining each other, containing in extent 11 acres 3 roods and 15 perches in extent, situated at Kotawila in Weligam korale aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Galduwalangakorle and land described in plan No. 97,618, on the north-east by Weligodellewila, on the east by Weligodellewila and Udumullewila, on the south by land said to belong to the Crown and by a road, on the south-west by a dam and Meehalugodaduwa, on the north-west by Attakotaduwalangakele; being the land, all the plantations, and the buildings thereon within the said boundaries.

(15) The land called Kitulduwa of 4 acres 1 rood and 18 perches in extent, situated at Sulutanagoda in Weligam korale aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Welagawakumbura, on the east by Tunmoderakumbura and Munamal-gahakumbura, on the south by Munamalgasaddara and

Kolaparagaha-addara, on the west by Kolaparagaha-addara and Crown land, and on the north-west by Crown land and Walagawakumbura; being the land and plantations and buildings thereon within the said boundaries (save and except a $\frac{1}{4}$ share of the 2nd plantations as and for compensation).

(16) The land called Yamanduwa of 1 acre 1 rood and 7 perches in extent, situated at Kotawila; and bounded on the north by Ela-adderakele, on the east by Galduwalangakele, on the south by Galduwalangakele and Eriyagahawela, and on the west by Panchiduwa-adderakele; being the land, plantations, buildings, and everything thereon within the said boundaries (save and except a $\frac{1}{4}$ share of the plantations).

(17) The land called Galduwa of 3 roods and 13 perches in extent, situated at Kotawila aforesaid; and bounded on the north-east, east, and south-east by land described in plan No. 97,618, and on all other sides by Galduwalangakele; being the land, plantations, and buildings thereon within the said boundaries (save and except a $\frac{1}{4}$ share of the plantations as and for compensation).

(18) The land called Nedungastollewila of 11 acres 1 rood and 14 perches in extent, situated at Kotawila and Kamburugamuwa in Weligam korale aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Maha-ela, on the east by Weligodellewila and Dicktollewatta, and on the south by Dicktollewatta and Nedungastolledeniyeheha, on the west by Dicktollewatta, Galduwagawakumbura, and Galduwa; being the entire field within the said boundaries.

(19) Weligodella *alias* Dicktollewila of 7 amunams and 3 pelas in paddy sowing, or 29 acres and 21 perches in extent, situated at Sulutanagoda or Kotawela; and bounded on the north by Maha-ela, on the east by Rahinduwella or Gansabhaya road and Weligodella, on the south by Keppetiyaduwa, Mediagahawatta, Weligodelledeniya, and Crown lands, and on the west by Dicktollewatta and Weligodella *alias* Mahakumbura; being the entire field within the said boundaries.

(20) A $\frac{1}{4}$ share possessed upon deed of transfer No. 920 out of the field called Kitulduwa-addara *alias* Kolabaragaha-addara of 4 amunams in paddy sowing, of 6 acre 3 roods and 26 perches in extent, situated at Sulutanagoda aforesaid; and bounded in its entirety on the north by Malapalawatta *alias* Kitulduwa and Kohuduwa-adderadeniya, on the east by Munamalgasaddera, on the south by Maha-ela, on the west by the dam of Malapalawa, Kohuduwa, and Karaunnewela.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Matara, April 24, 1922.

E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Deputy Fiscal.

Northern Province.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Kana Nana Kana Chena Vairavan Chetty of Kurunegala Plaintiff.

No. 8,490. Vs.

Ponnampalam Cumaraswamy Villavarayan of Colombo, the duly appointed executor in District Court of Colombo, Testamentary Case No. 3,789 Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, May 29, 1922, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the late Tillaiyampalam Ponnampalam in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 836.70, with legal interest thereon from October 18, 1921, until payment in full, poundage, and charges, viz.:

(1) A divided 15 lachams varagu culture on the west of a piece of land situated at Nallur, Nallur parish, Jaffna division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Nankaiththoddam and other parcels, Taraiyalasingaradchyvalavu, Meththanachcheythoddam, Pandymutalyvalavu, and Choththippulyantarai; containing or reputed to contain in extent 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture, with palmyras (old and young) and other plantations and well;

the said 15 lachams varagu culture is bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of Chellavathy, widow of the late T. Ponnampalam, and her minor children, on the north by road, on the west by lane, and on the south by the property of Thampan Saravanai and of Kathirkamar Kantar, and wife Nakamuttu, and brothers.

(2) A divided 13 $\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture on the east of a piece of land situated at Nallur, Nallur parish, Jaffna division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Nankaiththoddam and other parcels, Talaiyalasingaradchyvalavu, Meththanachcheythoddam, Pandymutalyvalavu, and Choththippulyantarai, containing or reputed to contain in extent 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture, with well, palmyras (old and young), and other plantations; the said 13 $\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture is bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of the heirs of the late Tillaiyampalam Sadasivam, on the north by road, on the west by the property of the heirs of the late Tillaiyampalam Ponnampalam, and on the south by the property of Thampan Saravanai and of Kathirkamar Kantar, and wife Nakamuttu, and brothers.

(3) A piece of land situated at Nallur, Nallur parish, Jaffna division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Puthuththoddam, containing or reputed to contain in extent 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture, with house, well, and cultivated plants; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by road, on the north by the property of Visaladchy, wife of Arunachalam, on the west by the property of Vallaiammai, wife of Ponniah, and sister and of Valampikai, wife of Somasundaram, and on the south by the property of Valampikai, wife of Somasundaram.

At 10.30 A.M.

(4) A piece of land situated at Copay South, Copay parish, Valigamam East division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Kadduvasal, containing or reputed to contain in extent 25 lachams paddy culture; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by the property of the heirs of the late Tillaiyampalam, on the north by the property of Ampikaipakar and others, and on the west by the property of Annappillai and others, and on the south by the property of Vallipuram and others.

The first mentioned land will be sold first, and if the proceeds are not sufficient to cover the writ amount, the remaining lands will be sold immediately afterwards, unless the claimant, Chellavathi, widow of Ponnampalam, pays the balance.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, April 21, 1922.

A. VISVANADHAN,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Kaylasa Sivabhagiya Bahavathi, widow of Kaylasa-pillai, presently of Balapitiya Plaintiff.

No. 16,071. Vs.

Muttiah Asaippillai of Vannarponnai East Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, May 29, 1922, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following decreed property for the recovery of Rs. 1,614.58, with interest on Rs. 1,500 at the rate of 10 per cent. per annum from August 19, 1921, until payment in full, costs of suit Rs. 96.40, poundage, and charges, viz.:

A piece of land situated at Vannarponnai East in Vannarponnai parish, Jaffna division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Ikeeriththoddam, containing or reputed to contain in extent 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ lachams varagu culture, with stone-built house and other buildings, well, and other appurtenances; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east and south by road, on the north by the property of Nagaretnam, wife of Rajadurai Sandirasegaram, and on the west by the property belonging to the Wesleyan Mission.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, April 20, 1922.

A. VISVANADHAN,
Deputy Fiscal.

North-Western Province.

the District Court of Colombo.

S. V. S. T. Somasundaram Chetty of Sea street,
Colombo Plaintiff.

No. 4,533. Vs.

Ena Nana Muna Mohammadu of Main street, Colombo,
now of Kuliyaipitiya Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, May 26, 1922, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

(1) All that undivided 9/16 shares from and out of all that land called Aliyawetichchahenyaya, situate at Galakumbura in Yatikaha korale of Katugampola hatpattu, in the District of Kurunegala, North-Western Province; and bounded on the north by Bakmigahakumbura and Gorokgahakotuwakumbura, on the east by Veraluaththapitiyakumbura, on the south and west by the village limit of Bohingomuwa; containing in extent about 6 pelas kurakkan sowing.

2. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the field called Gorokgahakotuwa, situate at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the north by Godakele, on the east by field of Guruhamy and others, on the south by the field of Hitihamy, and west by Bohingomuwa village limit; containing in extent about 2 pelas paddy sowing.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the field called Gorokgahakotuwa, situate at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the north and south by hena of Guruhamy, on the east by limitary ridge of the field of PUNCHIRALA Arachchi, and on the west by field of Hitihamy; containing in extent about 3 pelas paddy sowing.

Amount to be levied Rs. 3,433.80, with legal interest thereon from April 1, 1922, till payment in full, and costs.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, April 25, 1922.S. D. SAMARASINHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

K. N. Vajramattu, Overseer of Udattapola in Kudagalboda korale Plaintiff.

No. 8,799. Vs.

(1) Mangalam, daughter of Venganam Shervai of Yantampalawa, and her husband (2) Suna Arumogam of Yantampalawa in Tiragandahe korale... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, May 23, 1922, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property mortgaged by bond No. 4,548 dated December 18, 1920, and attested by L. S. P. Patiratne, Notary Public, viz. :—

1. Ambagahamulawatta of 3 seers kurakkan sowing extent, situate at Puhulamade in Kudagalboda korale of Weudawilli hatpattu, in the District of Kurunegala, North-Western Province; and bounded on the north by Gorokgahamulahena, on the east by Imbulgahamulahena, on the south by the fence of the garden of Sellaiya, and on the west by the fence on the limit of the garden of Haramanis Naide, milla tree, gonna tree, and muruta post.

2. Puhulamadewatta of 2 lahas kurakkan sowing extent, situate at the same village; and bounded on the east by end of rock, south by fence of the garden of Sellaiya, on the west by milla bush, gonna tree, and white ant hill (binhumbaha), and on the north by muruta post and roda of field on which kubuk tree stands.

3. An undivided 5/6 shares of an allotment of land towards the south-west of 3 chundu's kurakkan sowing extent from and out of Imbulgahamulahena of 3 seers kurakkan sowing extent, situate at the same village; and bounded on the east and north by huri post and white ant nest on the limit of the remaining portion of the land belonging to Menika Nachchire and others, south by fence of the garden of Sellaiya, and on the west by land of Miyanna Mustan.

4. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of Puhulamadewatta of about 1 timba kurakkan sowing extent, situate at the same village; and bounded on the east by kahata tree on the limit of the chena of Kiri Naide and others and galima, on the south by

endaru fence of Nugagahamulawatta, on the west by muruta bush and gonna post on the limit of the chena of Ran Etana Natchire, and on the north by kubuk tree on the limit of the field of Kiri Naide and others.

5. Paragahakotuwa, Bulugahamulahena, now a garden of about 1 timba of kurakkan sowing extent, situate at Kalohogedara in the aforesaid korale, and bounded on the east by dan stump of the land of Vairamuttu Overseer, south by fence which separates the strip of land 4 feet in breadth adjoining Paragahakotuwakumbura, west by big tree on the limitary ridge of the field of Menikappu and others, and north by bulu bush on the pilawa of Davith Appu and others and wire fence of the garden of Sokalingam.

Amount to be levied Rs. 2,260, with interest on Rs. 2,000 at 12 per cent. per annum from January 18, 1922, to February 17, 1922, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, April 24, 1922.S. D. SAMARASINHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Charles Ciril Chitty, presently of Kanjikkuliya... Plaintiff.

No. 6,607. Vs.

(1) Charles Peter Wijesinghe of Madampe, (2) Comitige Peduru Perera of Kekunawala in the District of Kurunegala Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, May 27, 1922, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

The allotment of land called Siyambalagahawatta, situate in the village Kekunawala in Yagam hatpattu korale of Katugampola hatpattu, Kurunegala District, and bounded on the north by the land called Panawewaragahenyaya claimed by Babappu and others, east by the village limits of Athungahakotuwa and Polgahawela, south by the village limit of Polgahawela, and west by the village limit of Habarawewa claimed by Siyambalagaharuppe Mudalali and lands claimed by villagers and the 1st plaintiff; containing in extent 47 acres 1 rood and 30 perches, as described in plan No. 165 dated April 3, 1916, made by F. J. N. Murray, Licensed Surveyor, subject to mortgage in favour of Pallawala Aratchige Don Paulis Perera, Registrar of Madampe.

Amount to be levied Rs. 510.40, with interest on Rs. 500 at the rate of 5 per cent. per annum from September 29, 1920, till payment in full.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, April 24, 1922.S. D. SAMARASINHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

Province of Sabaragamuwa.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Brown & Company, Limited, of Colombo... Plaintiff.

No. 1,214 of 1921. Vs.

(1) John Wilson and two others of Colombo, executors of the last will and testament of the late R. S. Peiris, deceased Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, May 27, 1922, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

An undivided $\frac{2}{3}$ share of all the land, plantations, gardens, and fields called Pallakanugala, situated at Kanugala in Dehigampal korale of Three Korales, in the District of Kegalla of the Province of Sabaragamuwa; and bounded on the north by the village limit of Uda Kanugala and Maladola, east by a row of stones and Kanugala-ela, south by Menigamma-ela and Kelani river, west by a dam of stones and Iddamal-dola; and containing about 3,800 acres in extent.

To levy the sum of Rs. 663.31, with legal interest thereon from May 9, 1921, till payment in full, and costs.

Fiscal's Office,
Avisawella, April 22, 1922.L. GOONAWARDANA,
Fiscal's Marshal.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Florence Beatrice Mack, late of Mayfield
No. 761. road, Kotahena, Colombo.

Sydney Mack of Grandpass, Colombo Petitioner.
And

- (1) Christobel Hope Jansen, (2) Millicent Evangeline
Jansen, (3) Hector H. Jansen, of Cotta road,
Borella, Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven,
Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on March 22, 1922, in the
presence of Mr. Albert E. Perera, Proctor, on the part of the
petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said
petitioner dated March 14, 1922, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby
declared entitled, as widower of the above-named deceased,
to have letters of administration to her estate issued to him,
unless the respondents above named or any other person or
persons interested shall, on or before May 4, 1922, show
sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the
contrary.

March 22, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Ratnayake Kaluaratchige Don
No. 762. Maramana Appuhamy of Amunukumbura in the Meda pattu of Siyane korale,
deceased.

Ratnayake Kaluaratchige Ruithan Appuhamy of
Amunukumbura in the Meda pattu of Siyane
korale Petitioner.

And

- (1) Dombawela Pathirennehelage Menik Hamy of
Amunukumbura, (2) Ratnayake Kaluaratchige Engo
Nona, wife of (3) Samaranayake Seneviratne Mohan-
dirange Don Carolis Appuhamy, both of Henagama
in the Meda pattu of Siyane korale, (4) Ratnayake
Kaluaratchige Podi Nona and her husband (5)
Edirisinghe Mudianselage Harmanis Aratchirala,
both of Amitirigala in Three Korales, (6) Ratnayake
Kaluaratchige Leisohamy and her husband (7)
Kudakalu Aratchige Podi Singho, (8) Ratnayake
Kaluaratchige Don Noris Appuhamy, (9) ditto Yasso
Hamy and her husband, (10) Kudakalu Aratchige
Pabilis Appu, (11) Ratnayake Kaluaratchige James
Appuhamy, all of Amunukumbura, (12) Ratnayake
Kaluaratchige Eya Nona and her husband (13) ditto
Lewis Singho, both of Udugoda in the Udugaha
pattu of Siyane korale, (14) Ratnayake Kaluarat-
chige Ketchi Nona and her husband (15) Sena-
nayake Mudianselage Sedris, both of Kalagedihena,
in the Meda pattu of Siyane korale Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven,
Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on March 22, 1922, in the
presence of Mr. O. A. Jayasekere, Proctor, on the part of
the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said
petitioner dated February 22, 1922, having been read :

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby
declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased,
to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him,
unless the respondents above named or any other person or
persons interested shall, on or before May 4, 1922, show
sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the
contrary.

March 22, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Ganegodage Don Simeon Appuhami
No. 763. of Horaheenlla in Pannu pattu of
Atakalan korale, in the District of
Ratnapura, deceased.

Loogodage Don Simeon of Peliyagoda in the Ragam
pattu of Alutkuru korale Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Loogodage Pavistinahami, (2) ditto Catharina-
hami, wife of (3) Elwalage Paulu Appu, (4) Hetti-
arachchige Selan Appu, (5) ditto Carlis Appu, (6)
ditto Porlentinahami, wife of (7) Srikavilaksana
Panhit Korallage Abilinu Appuhami, (8) Bulath Sin-
halage Bastian Perera, all of Peliyagoda aforesaid,
(9) ditto Salohami Perera, wife of (10) Dalugama
Mudianselage Elias Perera Appuhami, both of Ran-
mutugala in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale, (11)
Christogu Mudalige Mathes Perera, (12) ditto Giri-
goris Perera, both of Negombo, (13) ditto Sango-Nona,
(14) Ganegodage Dona Lucyhami, (15) ditto Don
Andris Appu, (16) ditto Don Paulu Appu of Peliya-
goda aforesaid Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven,
Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on March 27, 1922, in
the presence of Mr. J. H. Perera, Proctor, on the part
of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the
said petitioner dated March 20, 1922, having been read :
It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared
entitled, as a cousin of the above-named deceased, to have
letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the
respondents above named or any other person or persons
interested shall, on or before May 2, 1922, show sufficient
cause to satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 27, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Jayawickreme Pedige Bastian Fernando
No. 3,731. of Galkapanawatta in Colombo, deceased.
Jayawickreme Pedige Francisco Fernando of Galka-
panawatta in Colombo Petitioner.

And

- (1) Assarapulige Pavistina Silva of Ja-ela, (2) Jaya-
wickreme Pedige Manuel Fernando, (3) ditto Anthony
Fernando, (4) ditto Lewis Fernando, (5) ditto Juan
Fernando, (6) ditto Maria Fernando, and her husband
(7) Pattige Nicholas Fernando, all of Galkapannawatta
in Colombo Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven,
Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on March 28, 1922, in
the presence of Mr. B. S. Wickremeratne, Proctor, on the
part of the 1st respondent above named; and the affidavit
of the said respondent dated March 17, 1922, having been
read :

It is ordered that the 1st respondent be and she is
hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named
deceased, to have letters of administration *de bonis non*
to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above
named or any other person or persons interested shall,
on or before May 18, 1922, show sufficient cause to the
satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 28, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Seyadhu Thora Bai, late of Mews lane,
No. 774. Slave Island, Colombo, deceased.
Seyadhu Nazir Bai of No. 6, Mews lane, Slave Island,
Colombo Petitioner.

Vs.

- (1) Seyamohamed Koo, (2) Seyad Mubarak, (3)
Noor Johan, all of Haremzai in Baluchistan. Respondents.
THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven,
Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on April 6, 1922, on the

presence of Messrs. Sattrukalsinghe & Joseph, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated April 4, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as attorney of the said respondents, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 18, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

April 6, 1922.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of Jurisdiction. Udawattage Jan Singho, Police Headman No. 1,998. of Walpitamulla in Dasiya pattu of the Aluturu korale, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on April 4, 1922, in the presence of Mr. D. W. Satharanga, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, H. G. W. Ranaweerage Mesbil Nona of Walpitamulla, and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated February 24, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the deceased above named, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Udawattage Somawathi Hamine, assisted by her husband (2) Jayakodi Arachhige Don Aaron Singho Appuhamy, both of Thawalampitiya in Udugaha pattu of the Hapitigam korale, (3) Udawattage Piyadasa Sirisena, (4) ditto Samarajeewa, (5) ditto Wijepala, all of Walpitamulla, (6) ditto Peeris-Singho of Walpitamulla, guardian *ad litem* of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th respondents, who are minors—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 3, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. E. DE ZOYSA,
District Judge.

April 4, 1922.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Basnayake Jurisdiction. Appuhamillage Jacolis Tissera Appuhamillage of Kalualgoda, deceased. No. 2,002.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on March 30, 1922, in the presence of Messrs. Silva & Edirisinghe, Proctors, on the part of the petitioners, Balasoori Weebaddage Podisino of Kalualgoda and wife (2) Basnayake Appuhamillage Jane Tissera Hamine *alias* Loku Nona Tissera Hamine of Kalualgoda; and the affidavit of the said petitioners dated February 27, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that Naranapitihengawatte Appuhamillage Don Charles Appuhamy of Kalualgoda be and he is hereby appointed as guardian *ad litem* over Basnayake Appuhamillage Podisina Tissera Hamine, unless sufficient cause be shown to the contrary; and it is further ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the eldest daughter of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the deceased above named, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Basnayake Appuhamillage Podinona Tissera Hamine of Kalualgoda (2) Naranapitihengawatte Appuhamillage Don Charles Appuhamy of Kalualgoda—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 4, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

March 30, 1922.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Kuruppachig Don Seneris Appuhamy, deceased, of Tantirimulla in Panadure. No. 1,441.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. H. B. Carbery, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on March 16,

1922, in the presence of Mr. F. A. C. Tirimanne, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Don Harmon Kuruppu of Tantirimulla in Panadure; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated January 31, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents—(1) Vithanage Podinona Perera Hamine, (2) Don Jokin Kuruppu, (3) Dona Johana Kuruppu, (4) Dona Carolina Kuruppu, (5) Don Solomon Kuruppu, (6) Dona Leelawathy Kuruppu, (7) Don Austin Kuruppu, all of Tantirimulla in Panadure; the 3rd to 7th respondents, minors, by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 11, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said 1st respondent be and she is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 3rd to 7th respondents, minors, for all the purposes of this action, unless the respondents or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 11, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

ARTHUR DE ABBREW,
District Judge.

March 16, 1922.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Udagedera Kiriunga of Wademada No. 3,847. Matale South, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Walter Sanford de Saram, Esq., Acting District Judge, Kandy, on March 9, 1922, in the presence of Messrs. Silva & Coomaraswamy, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner, Udagedera Balaya of Wademada; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated January 5, 1922, and his petition having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as a son of the deceased above named, be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration issued to him, unless the respondents—(1) Udagedera Lapee, (2) ditto Lamadu, (3) ditto Kirisanda, (4) ditto Pohanda, (5) ditto Howkendi, (6) ditto Meniki, (7) ditto Santoo, (8) ditto Setuwa, (9) ditto Howkendi, (10) ditto Salindo, (11) ditto Girangee, (12) ditto Bodia, (13) ditto Janguwa, (14) ditto Ukkua, (15) ditto Lasee; the 6th, 7th, and 8th respondents by their guardian *ad litem* the 5th respondent, and the 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, and 14th respondents by their guardian *ad litem* the 15th respondent—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before April 10, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

March 9, 1922.

Date for showing cause is extended for May 8, 1922.

W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

April 10, 1922.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testa- Jurisdiction. ment of Henry James Walbeoff, deceased, of Katugastota. No. 3,871.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Walter Sanford de Saram, Esq., Acting District Judge of Kandy, on March 18, 1922, in the presence of Messrs. Silva & Coomaraswamy, Proctors, on the part of the petitioners, Annie Louise Walbeoff, Elaine Constance Walbeoff, and Stanley Studohalen Walbeoff, all of Katugastota; and the affidavit of the said petitioners dated March 8, 1922, and their petition having been read:

It is ordered that the will of the said deceased dated June 27 be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless (1) Muriel, (2) Daisy Janze, both of Katugastota, or any person or persons interested shall, on or before April 10, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said petitioners are executors named in the said will, and that they are entitled to have probate of the same issued to them accordingly.

unless the respondents above named or any person or persons interested shall, on or before April 10, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 13, 1922. W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

Date for showing cause is extended for May 8, 1922.

April 10, 1922. W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Kandy.
Order Nisi declaring Will proved.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testa-
Jurisdiction. ment of Rahmathoo Aleema Natchia,
No. 3,880. deceased, of Trincomalee street, Kandy.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Walter Sanford de Saram, Esq., Acting District Judge of Kandy, on April 6, 1922, in the presence of Mr. Walter Beven, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mohamed Abdul Majeed Habeebu Lebbe of No. 180, Trincomalee street, Kandy; and the affidavits of the said petitioner and his petition and the affidavit dated April 5, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the will of the above-named deceased dated January 10, 1901, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 1, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary; it is further declared that the said petitioner Mohamed Abdul Majeed Habeebu Lebbe is the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate of the same issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before May 1, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 6, 1922. W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Saraswathee of Vannarponnai, Jaffna,
No. 4,727. deceased.

Somasundaram Balasingam of Vannarponnai West,
Jaffna. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) ~~See many~~, daughter of Balasingam, (2) Maheswary, daughter of ditto, minors, both of Vannarponnai, Jaffna, appearing by their guardian *ad litem* the 3rd respondent (3) C. Kumarasamy of Chilaw. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Somasundaram Balasingam of Vannarponnai West, the petitioner above named, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Saraswathee, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on March 29, 1922, in the presence of Mr. S. Cumarasuriar, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated September 28, 1921, having been read; It is declared that the petitioner is the father of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before May 4, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 5, 1922. G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Neelaiyinar Varitampy of Palavy, de-
No. 4,740. ceased.

Murugar Kanthar Kanapathippillai of Kachchai. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Kumarar Kesagar of Sanrampokkaddy, (2) Settupillai, widow of Veerakattiar Kanapathippillai of Kachchai. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Murugar Kanthar Kanapathippillai of Kachchai, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Neelaiyinar

Varitampy, coming on for disposal before M. S. Sreshta, Esq., District Judge, on February 8, 1922, in the presence of Mr. C. R. Tambiah, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated January 31, 1922, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the sole heir of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before May 2, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

March 23, 1922. W. D. NILES,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Sivakamy Ammah, wife of Sundara
No. 4,741. Aiyer Aiyadurai Aiyer of Kondavil,
deceased.

Sundara Aiyer Aiyadurai Aiyer of Kondavil. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Aiyadurai Aiyer Suppaiyar, (2) Nagammah, daughter of Aiyadurai Aiyer, and (3) Suppaiyar Sanmugaratna Aiyer, all of Kondavil; the 1st and 2nd respondents are minors appearing by their guardian *ad litem* the 3rd respondent. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Sundara Aiyer Aiyadurai Aiyer of Kondavil, the petitioner, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Sivakamy Ammah, wife of Sundara Aiyer Aiyadurai Aiyer of Kondavil, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on March 29, 1922, in the presence of Mr. P. K. Somasundram, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated January 31, 1922, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the husband of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the 3rd respondent or any other person shall, on or before May 4, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 10, 1922. G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.
Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Kasippillai Kanapathippillai of Varuth-
No. 4,742. thalaivelan, deceased.

Kanapathippillai Kandiah of Varuththalaivelan. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Kanapathippillai Saravanamuttu of Varuththalaivelan, (2) Kanapathippillai Suppiah of ditto, presently of Federated Malay States, (3) Amirthanai kaippillai, daughter of Mailvaganam, (4) Kambala pikaippillai, daughter of Mailvaganam, (5) Sarathampikaippillai, daughter of Mailvaganam, (6) Mangalam pikaippillai, daughter of Mailvaganam, (7) Tharmanayaki, widow of Mailvaganam, all of Varuththalaivelan, and (8) Nagamuttar Kasippillai of Kadduvan; the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th respondents are minors appearing by their guardian *ad litem* the 7th respondent. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the petitioner above named, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Kasippillai Kanapathippillai of Varuththalaivelan, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on March 29, 1922, in the presence of Mr. P. K. Somasundram, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated February 1, 1922, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is one of the heirs of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the 1st, 2nd, 7th, and 8th respondents or any other person shall, on or before May 4, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

April 10, 1922. G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Chellachippillai, wife of S. M. Cumarasur-
No. 4,751. surier of Uduppiddy, Jaffna, deceased.

S. M. Cumarasurier of Kopay, Jaffna..... Petitioner.

(1) Muttu Cumarasurier, (2) Amalambalam Cumarasurier, (3) Mailvaganam Cumarasurier, (4) Retnam Cumarasurier, (5) Manikalingam, daughter of S. M. Cumarasurier, all of Uduppiddy, Jaffna, are minors appearing by their guardian *ad litem* (6) Ramalingam Kathirgamathamby of Uduppiddy..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of S. M. Cumarasurier of Copay, the petitioner above named, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Chellachippillai, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on April 4, 1922, in the presence of Mr. S. Cumarasurier, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated November 14, 1921, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the husband of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before May 4, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary:

April 11, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Clarice
Jurisdiction. Winifred Sukertharatnam, wife of Albert
No. 4,772. Selvaratnam Lymon of Manipay, late of
Haputale, deceased.

Albert Selvaratnam Lymon of Colombo..... Petitioner.

(1) Ariyakuddy Lymon of Manipay, (2) Joy Winifred Kerupairatnam of Haputale, (3) S. C. Mather of ditto; the 2nd respondent is a minor appearing by her guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent.... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner, praying that the above-named 1st respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minor, the 2nd respondent, and that letters of administration of the estate of the above-named deceased be issued to the petitioner, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, Jaffna, on March 16, 1922, in the presence of Mr. E. Murugesampillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated November 25, 1921, having been read: It is ordered that the above-named 1st respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the said minor, the 2nd respondent, for the purpose of representing her in this case, and that the petitioner be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased be issued to him, as her lawful husband, unless the respondents above named shall appear before this court on May 2, 1922, and state objection or show cause to the contrary.

March 20, 1922.

W. D. NILES,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. the late Kantai Chellappa of Manipay,
No. 4,782. Jaffna, deceased.

Nagamma, widow of Kantai Chellappa of Manipay,
Jaffna..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Chellappa Kandasamy of Neboda, (2) Chellappa Kumaraswamy of Manipay, (3) Chellappa Seevaratnam of ditto..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner, praying that the above-named 1st respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors, the 2nd and

3rd respondents, and that letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased be issued to the petitioner, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, Jaffna, on March 10, 1922, in the presence of Mr. E. Murugesampillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated March 8, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the above-named 1st respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors, 2nd and 3rd respondents, for the purpose of representing them in this case, and that the petitioner be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to her, as his lawful widow, unless the respondents or any other person shall appear before this court on or before May 4, 1922, and state objections or show cause to the contrary.

March 16, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Tangammah, wife of Visuvanathar Sellaturai of Manipay, deceased.

Visuvanathar Sellaturai of Manipay, presently of
Colombo..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Sellaturai Ramasvaram (a minor) appearing by his guardian *ad litem* the 2nd respondent, (2) Kanapathippillai Sivakolundu of Manipay, presently of Hill street, Colombo, and (3) Sinnachchy, widow of Tambiah of Manipay..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner, praying that the above-named 2nd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minor, the 1st respondent, and that letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased be granted to the petitioner, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, Jaffna, on March 30, 1922, in the presence of Mr. E. Murugesampillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated March 27, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the above-named 2nd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the said minor, the 1st respondent, for the purpose of representing him in this case, and that the petitioner be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased be issued to the petitioner, as her lawful husband, unless the 1st and 2nd respondents or any other person shall, on or before May 2, 1922, and state objection or show cause to the contrary.

April 5, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate
Jurisdiction. Sinne Colende Thoattathur Marakar, late
No. 506. of Kalpitiya, deceased.

Nagur Pitche alias Segu Muttu Natchia of Kalpitiya,
widow of the above-named deceased..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Beebi, wife of Sena Mianna Segalado Maraika, (2) Mohamado Ali Umma, wife of Ana Segalado Maraika, (3) Mohamado Assia Umma, wife of Kawanna Naina Mohamado Lebbe Maraika, (4) Panicker Beebi Natchia, aged about 11 years, (5) Panicker Muttu Natchia, aged about 9 years, by their proposed guardian *ad litem* (6) Sina Ana Ena Ibrahim Naina Marakar, all of Kalpitiya..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for order before Carl E. Arndt, Esq., Additional District Judge of Puttalam, on March 13, 1922, in the presence of Mr. William S. Strong, Proctor, on the part of the above-named petitioner; and the petitioner's affidavit dated March 7, 1922, and petition dated March 11, 1922, having been duly read: It is ordered that the above-named 6th respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors, the 4th and 5th respondents, unless the 6th respondent shall, on or before May 5, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the above-named petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, and that the same will be issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other persons interested in the said estate shall, on or before May 5, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

CARL E. ARNDT,
Additional District Judge.
March 13, 1922.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. Mohamado Naina Maraikar Ummu No. 507. Suleha Umma, late of Kalpitiya, deceased.

Mohamado Assen Cudus Marakar Mohamado Naina Maraikar of Kalpitiya Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Addu Assis Mohamado Ibrahim Naina Maraikar, or himself and as the proposed guardian *ad litem* of the minors, (2) Mohamado Naina Maraikar Tamby Naina Maraikar, aged about 12 years, (3) Mohamado Naina Maraikar Cader Saibo Maraikar, all of Kalpitiya Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for order before Carl E. Arndt, Esq., Additional District Judge of Puttalam, on March 13, 1922, in the presence of Mr. William S. Strong, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the petitioner's affidavit dated February 17, 1922, and petition dated March 11, 1922, having been duly read: It is ordered that the above-named 1st respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors, the above-named 2nd and 3rd respondents, unless the 1st respondent above named shall, on or before May 5, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the above-named petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, and that the same will be issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other persons interested in the said estate shall, on or before May 5, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

CARL E. ARNDT,
Additional District Judge.
March 13, 1922.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Jurisdiction. Vaitylingam Vadivaloe, late of Sellankandal, deceased.

Sevastiamma of Sellankandal, widow of the above-named deceased Petitioner.

Vs.

Perumaruuppen Meenatchi of Sellankandal Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for order before Carl E. Arndt, Esq., Additional District Judge of Puttalam, on March 13, 1922, in the presence of Mr. William S. Strong, Proctor, on the part of the above-named petitioner; and the petitioner's affidavit dated February 20, 1922, and petition dated March 11, 1922, having been duly read: It is ordered that the petitioner above named be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, and that the same will be issued to her accordingly, unless the above-named respondent or any other persons shall, on or before May 5, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

CARL E. ARNDT,
Additional District Judge.
March 13, 1922.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate Jurisdiction. of Muttu Maraikar Mohallam Assan No. 509. Mohiedin Saibo Lebbe, late of Mudalapati, deceased.

Naguran Mohamado Cassim of Puttalam Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Mohamado Wavri Natchia, widow of the above-named deceased, (2) Naguran Assana Maraikar, (3) Assia Umma, wife of Sego Ibrahim, all of Puttalam Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for order before Carl E. Arndt, Esq., Additional District Judge of Puttalam, on March 13, 1922, in the presence of Mr. William S. Strong, Proctor, on the part of the above-named petitioner; and the petitioner's affidavit dated February 20, 1922, and petition dated March 11, 1922, having been duly read: It is ordered that the above-named petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, and that the same will be issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other persons interested in the said estate shall, on or before May 5, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

CARL E. ARNDT,
Additional District Judge.
March 13, 1922.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament Jurisdiction. of Ahamado Lebbe Mohamado No. 510. Ibrahim Marakar, late of Kalpitiya deceased.

Between

Kosali Mohamado Casim of Kalpitiya Petitioner.

And

(1) Mohideen Madaru Meera Natchia of Kalpitiya, widow of the deceased above named, (2) Cader Saibo Marakar Seyado Mohamado Buhari *alias* Mohamado Ali Marakar, also of Kalpitiya, proposed guardian *ad litem* of his wife (a) Mohamado Suleha Umma, aged about 17 years, and the minors (b) Seyado Mohamado Buhari *alias* Wawa Lebbe Marakar, aged about 20 years, (c) Mohamado Falaloon Marakar *alias* Madar Marakar, aged about 15 years, all children of the deceased above named Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Carl E. Arndt, Esq., Additional District Judge of Puttalam, on April 1, 1922, in the presence of Mr. Wilfred A. Muttukumaru, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated March 16, 1922, and petition dated March 29, 1922, having been duly read:

It is ordered that the said Mohamado Ali Marakar, the 2nd respondent above named, be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* of his wife and two other minors above named and that an act of appointment do issue to him accordingly, and also the said last will and testament of Ahamado Lebbe Mohamado Ibrahim Marakar, the deceased above named, be and the same is hereby declared proved, and that Kosali Mohamado Casim, the petitioner above named, be and he is hereby declared executor of the said will, and that probate thereof be issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before May 4, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

CARL E. ARNDT,
Additional District Judge.
April 1, 1922.