



Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 7,271 — FRIDAY, AUGUST 11, 1922.

Part II.—Legal.

Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.

	PAGE		PAGE		PAGE
Draft Ordinances ..	717	Notices from District and Minor Courts ..	742	Notices in Testamentary Actions ..	758
Passed Ordinances ..	—	Notices in Insolvency Cases ..	742	List of Notaries ..	—
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of the Supreme Court ..	741	Notices of Fiscals' Sales ..	743	List of Jurors and Assessors ..	—
				Council of Legal Education Notices ..	—

DRAFT ORDINANCES.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance further to amend "The Irrigation Ordinance, No. 45 of 1917."

WHEREAS it is expedient further to amend "The Irrigation Ordinance, No. 45 of 1917": Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Irrigation (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1922."

2 The following proviso shall be inserted at the end of section 20 of the principal Ordinance :

Provided always that, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this section contained, the Government Agent may, if in his opinion and in that of the district advisory committee it is not advisable to proceed to an election of irrigation headmen, refrain from doing so for such time as the Government Agent and the district advisory committee may think proper.

3 The following section shall be inserted in the principal Ordinance immediately after section 20 thereof, and shall be numbered 20 A :

20 A. The Government Agent may, by writing under his hand, appoint officers whose duty it shall be, subject to the general or specific directions of the Government Agent, to assist the Government Agent in exercising and carrying out the powers and duties conferred or imposed upon him under the provisions of this chapter or any rules made thereunder.

Preamble.

Short title.

Insertion in principal Ordinance of proviso to section 20.

Insertion of new section in the principal Ordinance.

Amendment of section 21 of the principal Ordinance.

4 Section 21 of the principal Ordinance is hereby amended: (a) By inserting at the end of sub-section (1) thereof the words "and presided over by him or by any officer deputed by the Government Agent in that behalf"; (b) by adding at the end thereof the following sub-section:

(6) If in the opinion of the Government Agent and the district advisory committee there has, in any district or area for which an irrigation headman or headmen has or have been elected, ceased to be any need for the services of such headman or headmen, the Government Agent may summon a meeting of the proprietors of such district or area for the purpose of deciding the matter, and if the majority of the proprietors of the district or area decide that such services are not required, such headman or headmen shall thereupon cease to hold office and to exercise and perform any of the powers and duties conferred upon irrigation headmen under the provisions of this Ordinance.

Amendment of section 17 of the principal Ordinance.

5 Section 17 of the principal Ordinance is amended by striking out the figures "10" and inserting in lieu thereof the figures "11."

6 (1) Section 22 of the principal Ordinance is hereby amended by inserting immediately after the word "committed" in line 1 thereof the words "or an omission made."

(2) Immediately after the word "act" wherever it occurs in sections 22, 23, and 29 of the principal Ordinance, except where it first occurs in section 22, there shall be inserted the words "or omission."

By His Excellency's command,
Colonial Secretary's Office, B. HORSBURGH,
Colombo, July 27, 1922. Acting Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

By section 20 of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 45 of 1917, provision is made for the election of irrigation headmen who are, "subject to the direction and control of the Government Agent," to attend to irrigation and cultivation matters, and to see that the rules made under the Ordinance are duly carried out.

It is obvious that the Government Agent cannot himself devote to these matters the time required, and it is proposed by section 3 of the Bill to give him power to appoint officers to assist the Government Agent in carrying out the duties and powers imposed or conferred on the latter by the provisions of Chapter IV. of the Ordinance.

Another difficulty has occurred in the working of Chapter IV. of the Ordinance. It has been found that in certain quarters proprietors are opposed to the election of irrigation headmen on the ground that, having regard to local conditions, there is no need for their services. Under Chapter IV. the Government Agent has no option but to summon meetings of proprietors for the purpose of electing irrigation headmen, and, in the event of failure to elect by the proprietors, to appoint headmen himself. It is proposed by section 2 of the Bill to empower the Government Agent, if in his opinion and in that of the district advisory committee it is not advisable to have headmen in any district or area, to refrain from holding any election for such time as the Government Agent and district advisory committee may think proper.

Section 4 of the Bill proposes to authorize the proprietors to decide, even where irrigation headmen have been elected, that they are no longer needed and to dispense with their services. It also authorizes the Government Agent to depute one of his officers to preside at elections of irrigation headmen (sub-section 13 (2) of the Irrigation Ordinance).

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, January 27, 1922.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance to consolidate and amend the Law relating to Buddhist Temporalities in the Colony is published for general information. The Government will be glad to receive the observations of all persons interested in Buddhism on the provisions of the Bill :—

An Ordinance to consolidate and amend the Law relating to Buddhist Temporalities in the Colony.

WHEREAS it has been found that the provisions of "The Buddhist Temporalities Ordinance, 1905," have failed to give adequate protection to the Buddhist Temporalities :

Preamble.

And whereas it is expedient to provide such a system of administration and control over such Temporalities as will afford to them such adequate protection :

Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows :

PART I.

Preliminary.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Buddhist Temporalities Ordinance, 1922," and shall come into operation on a date to be fixed by the Governor in Executive Council by Proclamation in the "Government Gazette."

Short title and commencement.

2 In this Ordinance, unless the context otherwise requires—

Interpretation.

"District Council" means a district council constituted under this Ordinance ;

"Government Agent" includes an Assistant Government Agent ;

"District" means a district proclaimed under section 3 of this Ordinance ;

"Temple" means vihare, dagoba, dewale, kovila, or any place of Buddhist worship, and includes the Dalada Maligawa, Sripadasthane, and the Atamasthane of Anuradhapura ;

"Trustee" means a trustee of a temple under the provisions of this Ordinance, and includes the diyawadana nilame, a basnayaka nilame, and a viharadhipati acting as a trustee ;

"Bhikshu" means a bhikshu, whether upasampada or samanera ;

"Layman" means a person who is not a bhikshu ;

"Viharadhipati" means the principal bhikshu of a temple other than a dewale or kovila, whether resident or not ;

"Kapurala" means the person officiating as such in a dewale or kovila ;

"Paraveni panguwa" means an allotment of land held by one or more hereditary tenants subject to the performance of service or rendering of dues to a temple ;

"Maruvena panguwa" means an allotment of land held by one or more tenants-at-will under a temple, and subject to the performance of service or rendering of dues to a temple.

PART II.

Constitution, Powers, and Duties of District Councils.

3 It shall be lawful for the Governor in Executive Council, by Proclamation in the "Government Gazette," to establish for the purposes of this Ordinance such and so many districts, and such and so many electoral divisions within such districts, as to him may appear expedient.

Creation of districts and electoral divisions.

4 For each such district there shall be constituted a council, to be called the district council of such district, which shall be composed of a member or members from each electoral division in the district elected, and of a chairman appointed, as hereafter provided.

Creation and constitution of district councils.

Term of office of members.

5 Every member of a district council shall, subject to the provisions of this Ordinance, hold office until the next general election of members after his election.

Chairman of district council.

6 (1) The chairman of each district council shall be such person as may from time to time be appointed by the Governor.

(2) A chairman shall hold office during the pleasure of the Governor.

(3) In the absence of the chairman, the district council may elect one of its members to preside as chairman at any meeting of the council.

District councils to act in accordance with views of majority.

7 All acts whatsoever authorized or required by virtue of this Ordinance to be done by any district council shall be decided and done by the majority of members present at any duly convened meeting; and the chairman or other presiding member shall have an original vote and also a casting vote if the votes shall be equally divided.

District councils may act in spite of vacancies.

8 In the case of the refusal to accept office, death, incapacity, disqualification, resignation, cessation of office, bankruptcy, insolvency, or departure from the Island of any member of any district council, and until the election of his successor, the remaining members of any such council shall continue to do all and every the acts, matters, and things necessary for carrying into effect the purposes of this Ordinance, anything which may be prescribed in any rules made under this Ordinance relative to the number of members required to form a quorum at any meeting of such council to the contrary notwithstanding.

District council to be in charge of temples within its district.

9 (1) Every district council shall be in charge of the temples situated within its district.

(2) In this section, and in other sections of this Ordinance, a temple dependent on any temple situated within the district of a committee shall be deemed, for the purposes of this Ordinance, to be situated within the district of such committee; and every such dependent temple shall, for all the purposes of this Ordinance, be deemed a part of the temple on which it is so dependent as aforesaid.

Duties of district councils.

10 It shall be the duty of each district council to supervise and control the administration of all temples situated within its district, and to ascertain and record in books to be by them kept for that purpose—

- (a) The name and situation of every such temple;
- (b) The names of the trustee and viharadhipati of every such temple;
- (c) The average annual income for the preceding three years from the offerings made to every such temple;
- (d) The nature, extent, and value of the paraveni and maruvena pangus belonging to every such temple;
- (e) The nature, extent, and value of other lands belonging to every such temple, whether held under lease or otherwise;
- (f) The average annual value of the rents, issues, and profits, other than services actually received by every such temple from its lands; and also the average annual income which the temple would receive from the lands subject to the performance of services to such temple, if such services were commuted; and
- (g) The extent and boundaries of the land required to be reserved for the precincts of every such temple, having regard to the convenience of the priesthood and the due performance of religious ceremonies.

Rules by district councils.

11 (1) It shall be lawful for a district council to make rules for any of the following purposes:

- (a) For determining the quorum necessary for the transaction of business at their meetings;
- (b) For regulating the time and place of their meetings and the conduct and record of their proceedings;
- (c) For regulating the management of temple schools;
- (d) For assessing the proportion in which each temple in their charge shall contribute a share of the cost of carrying out the provisions of this Ordinance and for the manner of recovering the same from the temples concerned;

- (e) Prescribing the number, remuneration, and conditions of service of its officers and servants ;
 (f) For every purpose necessary to the due exercise of their powers and the performance of their duties under this Ordinance.

(2) No such rules shall have effect until the same shall have been approved by the Governor in Executive Council ; but on such approval the same shall be as legal, valid, and effectual as if they had been inserted in this Ordinance.

Election of District Councils.

12 (1) One member, who shall be a Buddhist layman, shall be elected for each electoral division within the area of every district council in the manner and subject to the conditions hereinafter appearing.

(2) The upasampada bhikshus of each such electoral division may, if they so desire, also elect a bhikshu to represent them in the district council.

13 (1) Such representative bhikshu shall be an upasampada bhikshu of not less than ten years' standing, who shall either have resided in the electoral division for which he is elected, for a period not less than six months, or be a nayaka having jurisdiction therein or a viharadhipati of a temple situate therein.

(2) Such representative bhikshu shall be elected by the upasampada bhikshus residing within the electoral division at a meeting specially convened for the purpose by the Government Agent, who shall give in such manner as he thinks fit one month's previous notice of the time and place of such meeting.

(3) The Governor in Executive Council may make regulations as to the manner in which such elections shall be held ; but in the absence of any such regulations, the elections shall be held in such manner as the majority of the upasampada bhikshus present at such meeting shall determine.

14 Any person who is entitled to have his name entered, and is entered, in the electoral roll of any electoral division in any district shall be qualified to be elected and to serve as a lay member for any division in such district, provided that—

(a) (i.) He has resided in the district for a period of not less than six months before the date of his election and is in receipt of a clear annual income of not less than one thousand rupees ; or

(ii.) He is the owner either in his own right or in that of his wife of immovable property, situate within such district, the value of which (after allowing for any mortgage debts thereon) is not less than three thousand rupees ;

(b) Neither he nor his wife is a paraveni or maruwena tenant of any temple, nor a lessor of any temple land, situated in such district ;

(c) He has not been dismissed from the Government service ;

(d) He has not been sentenced by a criminal court to death or to imprisonment for an offence punishable with imprisonment of either description for a term exceeding three months, such sentence not having been subsequently reversed in appeal, and such person's disqualification on account of such sentence not having been removed by an order of the Governor in Executive Council ;

(e) He does not hold any office of profit under the council.

15 (1) Any person whose name appears in the electoral roll of any electoral division shall be entitled to vote at any election of a lay member for such division.

(2) Any person shall be entitled to have his name entered in the electoral roll of any electoral division if he possesses the qualifications following, that is to say :

(a) If he is a male and a lay Buddhist and has completed his twenty-fifth year ; and

(b) If he is possessed in his own right or in that of his wife of immovable property situated within the electoral division of not less value (after allowing for any mortgage debts thereon) than two hundred rupees ; and

(c) If he has been resident within such electoral division for a period of six months.

Members for each electoral division.

Qualification of bhikshu representative.

Voters.

Qualification of lay members.

Qualification of voters for lay members.

Preparation of electoral roll.

16 (1) For the purpose of every general election of members of a district council, the Government Agent or some person deputed by him in writing on his behalf shall prepare for each electoral division in the English language and in Sinhalese a roll of persons possessing the qualifications specified in sub-section (2) of the last preceding section, and shall on a date not later than three months before the said election exhibit a notice in the said languages, at such places in the electoral divisions as the Government Agent may think fit, indicating—

(a) That such roll is open for inspection during office hours at his office; and

(b) That at a time and date specified in the notice (not being later than two months before the holding of the said election) he will attend at the said office or at such other place as he may specify in the notice for the purpose of hearing all claims for insertion of any name in the said roll, and of all objections to any name inserted therein.

(2) The Government Agent or some person deputed by him in writing on his behalf shall attend at the time and place so indicated, and shall decide all such claims and objections in a summary manner, and such decision shall be final and conclusive.

(3) No objection shall be entertained unless the objector shall have given seven days' notice in writing of his objection through the Government Agent to the person against the insertion of whose name in the roll the objection is to be taken.

(4) For the purpose of his decision on any claim or objection under this section the Government Agent or person so deputed may administer an oath or affirmation, and any person knowingly making any false statement upon such oath or affirmation shall be guilty of a summary offence, and shall on conviction be liable to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees.

(5) Upon the determination of all claims and objections the Government Agent or person so deputed shall revise the rolls accordingly, and shall cause copies of the said rolls so revised, certified under the hand of the Government Agent, to be exhibited at such place as may be notified by the Government Agent for inspection at all reasonable hours.

(6) The rolls so certified shall remain in force for the purpose of the said general election and any election to fill any casual vacancy, until new rolls are prepared and certified for the next general election of members.

(7) No person shall be entitled to have his name entered in the electoral roll of more than one electoral division of any district, and in the event of any person's name being entered in more than one such roll, the Government Agent may at any time, by notice in writing served upon such person, call upon him to declare, within seven days from the date of service, in what electoral roll he desires his name to appear, and in default of such declaration being made, the Government Agent may himself determine in what electoral roll the name of such person shall appear, and may correct all other electoral rolls accordingly.

Notice of election.

17 (1) Not less than six weeks before the day fixed for any election of a lay member of a district council, the Government Agent shall give public notice that it is intended to hold such an election.

(2) Every such notice shall be in the English and Sinhalese languages, and shall be published by being affixed at such places in the electoral division as may be selected by the Government Agent.

(3) Every such notice shall state the time, not being less than thirty days before the day appointed for the election, within which the nomination of candidates must be made, and the time and place at which a poll will be taken if more than one candidate is nominated.

Mode of election.

18 (1) No person shall be entitled to be a candidate for election unless he shall have been nominated in writing, and unless his nomination shall have been subscribed by at least

two persons who shall be electors for the electoral division for which the candidate is nominated, and shall have been delivered to the Government Agent on or before the day fixed for nomination by the Government Agent.

(2) If not more than one duly qualified candidate is duly nominated, the Government Agent shall declare such candidate to be elected.

(3) If more than one duly qualified candidate is nominated, a poll shall be held in manner set forth in the schedule A to this Ordinance, subject to such modifications or conditions as may be prescribed from time to time by regulations made by the Governor in Executive Council.

19 (1) No objection shall be raised as to the nomination of any candidate, or as to the qualification of any voter or candidate, or as to the exhibiting, affixing, or publication of any notice, or as to any other incidental formality or circumstance affecting the election, nor as to the validity of the election itself, except—

- (a) At the poll to the person presiding at the polling place;
or
(b) Within one month of such poll by application to the District Court.

(2) When an objection is raised at a poll, the person presiding may in his discretion either adjourn the poll in order to allow any necessary formality to be complied with for the purpose of the adjourned poll, or may decide the question raised then and there.

(3) If the person presiding decide the question raised at the poll, his decision shall be final and conclusive, unless within one month of such decision an application is made to the District Court.

(4) Every application to the District Court shall be inquired into and disposed of summarily.

(5) An election shall not be invalidated by any informality unless the informality is substantial and of such a character as to prejudice the choice of the electors.

(6) The Governor in Executive Council may make regulations as to the practice and procedure to be followed, and the costs and fees to be paid, on any such application as aforesaid.

20 A general election of members shall be held every three years in the month of December of the year in which the election is holden on such date as shall be fixed by the Government Agent, and the term of office of the members elected at such general election shall date from the first day of January of the following year.

21 (1) Any member of a district council who shall cease to be qualified as required by section 14 of this Ordinance shall *ipso facto* vacate his office.

(2) If any person elected as member of a district council shall die or cease to be qualified or vacate his office in manner aforesaid, or shall resign or refuse to accept the office of a member, or if a casual vacancy should occur in any other manner in such office, the Government Agent shall hold or cause to be held an election for the purpose of filling up such vacancy, and the person thereupon elected shall serve until the next general election of members.

22 (1) Whoever being qualified to vote, or claiming to be qualified to vote at any election under this Ordinance, accepts or obtains, or agrees to accept or attempts to obtain, for himself or for any person any gratification whatever as a motive or reward for giving or for bearing to give his vote at any such election shall be guilty of a summary offence, and shall be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding fifty rupees for every such offence, and shall be disqualified for ten years from voting at any such election, or from being elected a member of a district council.

(2) Whoever gives or offers to give any gratification whatsoever to any person as a motive or reward for giving or forbearing to give his vote in favour of any person at any election under this Ordinance shall be guilty of a summary offence, and shall be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding one

Decision of objections.

Date of election.

Vacation of office.

Election offences: giving or receiving gratification.

hundred rupees, or to imprisonment of either description for a period not exceeding six months, or to both such punishments, and shall be disqualified as provided by sub-section (1) hereof.

Undue influence.

23 Any person who directly or indirectly, by himself or any other person on his behalf, makes use of or threatens to make use of any force, violence, or restraint, or inflicts or threatens to inflict, by himself or by any other person, any temporal or spiritual injury, damage, harm, or loss, upon or against any person in order to induce or compel such person to vote or refrain from voting, or on account of such person having voted or refrained from voting at any election, or who by abduction, duress, or any fraudulent device or contrivance impedes or prevents the free exercise of the franchise of any voter, or thereby compels, induces, or prevails upon any voter either to give or refrain from giving his vote at any election, shall be guilty of a summary offence, and shall be liable on conviction to the punishment specified in section 22 and shall be disqualified as therein provided.

Unlawful voting and personation.

24 (1) Any person who knowingly votes at any election without possessing the necessary qualifications, or being disqualified as provided in this Ordinance, shall, notwithstanding that his name appears in the list of persons qualified to vote, be guilty of a summary offence, and shall be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees.

(2) Whoever falsely personates any elector at any election under this Ordinance shall be guilty of a summary offence, and shall be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees, or to imprisonment of either description for a period not exceeding six months, or to both such punishments.

Electoral regulations.

25 (1) All matters connected with an election under this part for which no provision is made under this part, or in respect of which the arrangements made by this part require to be supplemented or modified so as to meet unforeseen or special circumstances, or so as to allow of a first general election being held in any district, may be provided for by regulations or orders to be made by the Governor in Executive Council.

(2) Without derogating from the general powers conferred on the Governor in Executive Council under the provisions of this section, the Governor in Executive Council may by order fix the date for the holding of the first general election under this Ordinance, and of the commencement and termination of the term of office of members returned at such first general election as aforesaid.

PART III.

Trustees.

Trustees for temples.

26 (1) There shall, in manner hereafter provided, be a trustee for every temple, whether claimed as pudgalika or not :

Provided always that the Governor in Executive Council may by order published in the "Government Gazette" exempt any temple or class of temple from all or any of the provisions of this part of this Ordinance.

(2) A person may be trustee for one or more temples.

Diyawadana nilame to be trustee of Dalada Maligawa.

27 (1) In the case of the Dalada Maligawa, the diyawadana nilame for the time being shall be trustee of the said temple for his life, unless he shall resign or be suspended or dismissed under the provisions of this Ordinance :

Provided always that, except in the case of the diyawadana nilame in office at the time of the commencement of this Ordinance, a diyawadana nilame shall, notwithstanding anything in this sub-section contained, cease to hold such office after he attains the age of seventy years.

(2) On a vacancy occurring in the office of diyawadana nilame for any cause whatsoever, it shall be lawful for the mahanayakas of Malwatte and Asgiri Vihares, the Ratamahatmayas, being Buddhists, holding office within the revenue district of Kandy, the members of any district councils subject to whose supervision and control there are any temples situated within such revenue district, and the basnayaka nilames of dewales situate within such revenue district to elect a successor to the office of diyawadana nilame.

(3) A meeting shall be convened by the chairman of the Kandy District Council within one month of the vacancy in such office occurring.

(4) Such meeting shall be held at Kandy, and notice in writing shall be sent by registered post of the time and place thereof by such chairman, addressed to each person entitled to be present at his last known place of abode not less than six days before the date fixed by him for such meeting.

(5) At such meeting the voting shall be by ballot, and the person receiving the majority of votes of those present at the meeting on any such ballot shall be deemed to have been elected.

28 (1) In the case of a dewale, the basnayaka nilame thereof for the time being shall be the trustee of the said dewale for his life, unless he shall resign, or be suspended or dismissed under the provisions of this Ordinance:

Basnayaka nilame of a dewale to be trustee.

Provided always that, except in the case of a basnayaka nilame in office at the time of the commencement of this Ordinance, a basnayaka nilame shall, notwithstanding anything in this sub-section contained, cease to hold such office after he attains the age of seventy years.

(2) On a vacancy occurring in the office of basnayaka nilame of a dewale for any cause whatsoever, it shall be lawful for the Ratemahatmayas and Korals, being Buddhists, of the revenue district in which such dewale is situated, the members of the district council which has charge of such dewale, the basnayaka nilames of dewales in such revenue district, and if such dewale is situated within the Kandy Revenue District, the diyawadana nilame, to elect a successor to such office of basnayaka nilame.

(3) A meeting shall be convened by the chairman of the district council in whose district such dewale is situated within one month of the vacancy in such office occurring.

(4) Such meeting shall be held at the headquarters of the revenue district in which the dewale is situated. Notice in writing shall be sent by registered post of the time and place of such meeting by the said chairman addressed to each person entitled to be present at his last known place of abode not less than six days before the date fixed by him for such meeting.

(5) At such meeting the voting shall be by ballot, and the person receiving the majority of votes of those present at the meeting on any such ballot shall be deemed to have been elected.

(6) Provided that in the maritime provinces of the Colony basnayaka nilames shall be appointed in the same manner as trustees of dewales and kovilas are appointed under section 30 of this Ordinance.

(7) Provided further, that in the event of no election of a basnayaka nilame taking place within three months of the vacancy in such office occurring, the district council may appoint a person to be basnayaka nilame of the dewale.

29 (1) As soon as conveniently may be after the commencement of this Ordinance, and, subsequently, whenever occasion arises for the appointment of a trustee of the Sripadasthane, the Atamasthane, or of any vihare or dagoba, the viharadhipati shall submit to the district council of the district in which it is situated the name of a person as trustee of such vihare or dagoba. Such name may be that of the viharadhipati himself, or of any other bhikshu or of a layman.

Trustees for the Sripadasthane, Atamasthane, vihares, and dagobas.

(2) The district council shall issue a letter of appointment to such person, unless his appointment would contravene any of the provisions of this Ordinance.

(3) If the name of a properly qualified person is not submitted as aforesaid within one month after the first constitution of such district council, or, subsequently, within one month of the occasion for the appointment of a trustee arising, the district council shall appoint a trustee.

30 (1) As soon as conveniently may be after the commencement of this Ordinance, and, subsequently, whenever occasion arises for the appointment of a trustee of a dewale or kovila, which is not administered by a basnayaka nilame, the chairman of the council within the area of whose district the

Trustees of dewales and kovilas not having basnayaka nilames.

particular dewale or kovila is situated shall give notice that applications for the office of trustee of the said dewale or kovila may be sent to him in writing within one month of such notification.

(2) Such notice shall be in Sinhalese, and shall be posted at the kachcheri of the said district and at the dewale or kovila for which a trustee is to be appointed.

(3) The question of the appointment of a trustee shall be considered at a meeting of the district council to be held within six weeks of such notification and at such meeting, or at any adjournment thereof, the council may appoint such trustee as it may think proper.

All trustees
to give
security.

31 (1) Subject to the express provisions of this Ordinance, all trustees shall, before carrying on or entering upon their duties as trustees, give such security for the due exercise and performance of their powers, duties, and responsibilities as trustees under this Ordinance as the council shall in each case require :

Provided always that if any trustee is a bhikshu, the council shall not require him to give his own personal security, but shall require him to provide two or more sufficient sureties in his place.

(2) Any trustee who exercises or performs, or attempts to exercise or perform, any such power, duty, or responsibility in contravention of the provisions of the last preceding subsection shall be guilty of a summary offence, and shall be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees for each such contravention.

(3) Any trustee who does not give security as in this section provided within three months of the commencement of this Ordinance or of his election or appointment, or within such further time as the council may in any particular case direct, shall be deemed to have vacated his office.

Qualification
of trustee.

32 No person shall be elected or appointed, or, if elected or appointed, shall act as trustee—

(a) Unless he is a Buddhist ;

(b) Except in the case of a bhikshu, unless he is the owner, either in his own right or in that of his wife, of immovable property of the value (after allowing for any mortgage debts thereon) of not less than one thousand rupees, or is in receipt of a clear annual income of not less than five hundred rupees ;

(c) Unless he has completed his twenty-fifth year ;

(d) If he has been sentenced by a criminal court to death or to imprisonment for an offence punishable with imprisonment of either description for a term exceeding three months, such sentence not having been subsequently reversed in appeal, and such person's disqualification on account of such sentence not having been removed by an order of the Governor in Executive Council ;

(e) If he has been dismissed from the Government service ;

(f) If either he or his wife is a paraveni or maruvana tenant of the temple, or a lessee of any land of the temple ;

Provided always that if a suitable candidate possessed of the above qualifications cannot be found, the district council concerned may, in the case of a vihare or dagoba, appoint any person nominated by the viharadhipati, though not so qualified ; and in the case of a dewale or kovila, which is not administered by a basnayaka nilame, the district council may appoint such person, though not so qualified, as the council may think fit.

Suspension or
dismissal of
trustee.

33 (1) The district council in whose district any temple is situated shall have power to suspend or dismiss any trustee, whether in office at the commencement of this Ordinance or elected or appointed subsequently to such commencement, for gross negligence, misconduct, or incapacity :

Provided always that in a case where the chairman of the council is of opinion that serious loss might ensue if any such trustee were not forthwith suspended, the chairman may order any such trustee to be suspended, but shall without delay report his action to the council, who may either, affirm, modify, or set aside any such order.

(2) In every case in which it is proposed to proceed against a trustee with a view to his dismissal, charges shall be framed in writing, and the trustee concerned called upon for his reply thereto, and should he so desire afforded an opportunity to defend his conduct in person before the council.

34 If any trustee, whether appointed before the commencement of this Ordinance or not, shall refuse to accept office, or shall resign or die or vacate his office, or shall depart from this Island, or shall become bankrupt or insolvent, or incapacitated from fulfilling the duties of his office by mental or bodily infirmity or disease, or shall cease to be qualified or shall become disqualified, or shall be dismissed, then another trustee shall be forthwith elected or appointed in his stead in manner in this Ordinance provided.

Appointment of trustee on vacancy.

35 In the case of the refusal to accept office, death, vacation of office, incapacity, disqualification, resignation, suspension, dismissal, bankruptcy, insolvency, or departure from the Island of any trustee, it shall be competent for the district council concerned to make provisional arrangements for the performance of the duties of the office pending the election or appointment of a successor, and any person who may be provisionally appointed to act as trustee shall have all the powers and be liable to all the responsibilities and duties of a trustee appointed under this Ordinance.

Provisional appointment of trustee during vacancy or suspension.

36 It shall be lawful for the trustee of a temple, or, where there is no trustee, for the viharadhipati of such temple, to sue under the name and style of "trustee of (*name of temple*)" for the recovery of any property vested in him under this Ordinance or of the possession thereof, and for any other purpose requisite for the carrying into effect the objects of this Ordinance. He shall also be liable to be sued under the same name and style, but shall not be personally liable in costs for any act *bona fide* done by him under any of the powers or authorities vested in him under this Ordinance.

Trustee may sue and be sued, but shall not be personally liable in costs.

37 (1) The chairman or any member of a district council in the case of temples situated within the district of such council, or any person interested in any temple, or in the performance of the worship or of the service thereof or the trusts relating thereto, may, without joining as plaintiff any of the other persons interested therein, sue before the District Court having jurisdiction in the district within which such temple may be situated any trustee, or, where there is no trustee, the viharadhipati, of such temple, for any misfeasance, breach of trust, or neglect of duty committed by such trustee or viharadhipati in respect of the trusts vested in or confided to him, and such court may direct the specific performance of any act by such trustee or viharadhipati, and may decree damages and costs against such trustee or viharadhipati, and may also direct the removal of such trustee or viharadhipati from his trusteeship:

Actions against trustees in default.

Provided that before entertaining any plaint by any person interested as aforesaid the court shall satisfy itself that the plaintiff has failed to obtain redress from the district council in whose district the temple is situated.

(2) The interest required in order to entitle a person to sue under this section need not be a pecuniary or a direct or immediate interest, or such an interest as would entitle the person to take any part in the management or superintendence of the trusts. Any person having a right of attendance, or having been in the habit of attending at the performance of the worship or service of any temple, or of partaking in the benefit of any distribution of alms, shall be deemed to be a person interested within the meaning of this section.

38 If any trustee of a temple or, where there is no trustee, if the viharadhipati of such temple shall wilfully refuse or neglect to perform any of the duties imposed on him under this Ordinance, he shall be guilty of a summary offence, and shall on conviction be liable to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees, or to simple imprisonment for any term not exceeding three months:

Neglect of duty by trustees.

Provided that nothing in this section contained shall affect any other liability to which such trustee or viharadhipati may be liable in respect of any such refusal or neglect as aforesaid.

PART IV.

Temple Property.

Temples not to acquire lands without license of Governor.

39 (1) From and after the passing of this Ordinance it shall not be lawful for any temple, or for any person in trust for, or on behalf of, or for the benefit of, any temple to acquire any immovable property, or any interest in any immovable property, unless the license of the Governor under the public seal of the Island be first had and obtained.

(2) If any person shall by devise, grant, or conveyance, or otherwise purport or attempt to vest in any such temple or in any person or persons in trust, for, or for the benefit of, or on behalf of, any such temple, any immovable property, or any interest therein, and such license as aforesaid is not obtained, such property or interest shall devolve on, and become vested in, the lawful heir or heirs of such person, notwithstanding such devise, grant, or conveyance.

Alterations, &c., in structure or decoration of temples in existence before 1815.

40 (1) No addition to, or alterations in, the structure or decoration of any temple which existed in 1815 shall be effected without the sanction in writing of the council in whose district such temple is situated, who shall, when considered necessary, obtain a report from the Archæological Commissioner before giving such sanction.

(2) Any person contravening the provisions of this section shall be guilty of an offence, which shall be punishable on summary conviction by a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees, and on indictment by a fine not exceeding one thousand rupees.

All movable and immovable property and all offerings to vest in trustee.

41 All property, movable and immovable, belonging or in anywise appertaining to or appropriated to the use of any temple, together with all the issues, rents, moneys, and profits of the same, and all offerings made for the use of such temple other than the pudgalika offerings which are offered for the exclusive personal use of any individual bhikshu, shall vest in the trustee for the time being of such temple, or, where there is no trustee, in the viharadhipati of such temple, subject, however, to any leases and other tenancies, charges, and incumbrances affecting any such immovable property.

Commutation under "Service Tenures Ordinance, 1870," to be paid to trustees.

42 Any commutation of the services due by any temple tenants which has been or may hereafter be made under the provisions of "The Service Tenures Ordinance, 1870," shall from the time of this Ordinance coming into operation become due and payable to the trustee of such temple, or, where there is no trustee, to the viharadhipati of such temple. The Dalada Maligawa, Sripadasthane, and Atamasthane shall, for the purposes of the "The Service Tenures Ordinance, 1870," be deemed to be temples, anything in the said Ordinance to the contrary notwithstanding.

Trustee to enforce contracts in favour of, and to recover moneys payable to, temple.

43 All contracts made before the date of the coming into operation of this Ordinance in favour of any temple or of any person on its behalf, and all rights of action arising out of such contracts, may be enforced by the trustee of such temple, or, where there is no trustee, by the viharadhipati of such temple, as far as circumstances will admit, as though such contract had been entered into with him; and all persons who at the said date owe any money to any temple or to any person on its behalf shall pay the same to such trustee, or viharadhipati, who is hereby empowered to recover the same by action if necessary.

Pudgalika immovable property acquired by bhikshu for own use.

44 All pudgalika immovable property that is acquired by any individual bhikshu for his exclusive personal use, if not alienated by such bhikshu during his lifetime, shall be deemed to be the property of the temple to which such bhikshu belongs, or if he does not belong to any temple shall be administered by a trustee appointed by the district council within whose district such immovable property is situated. Such immovable property shall be subject to the provisions of this Ordinance, and the trustee to all duties and liabilities set forth therein. Provided that no trustee shall be required for land with an annual revenue not exceeding five hundred rupees, or under thirty acres in extent.

45 (1) In the case of temples in which the chairman of the district council in whose district such temples may be situated may by writing under his hand so direct all issues, rents, moneys, profits, and offerings received by the trustee, or, where there is no trustee, by the viharadhipati, for or on behalf of any temple shall be deposited in the Ceylon Savings Bank or in a bank approved by the chairman in a separate account in the name of the temple.

Money to be deposited in bank.

(2) Bank pass books shall be open to the inspection of the auditor mentioned in section 61 of this Ordinance or of any officer designated by the chairman at any time.

(3) No such trustee or viharadhipati shall retain in his hand any sum exceeding one hundred rupees, save with the permission in writing of the chairman of the council.

46 All issues, rents, moneys, profits, and offerings received by any trustee or viharadhipati for or on behalf of a temple shall be appropriated by such trustee or viharadhipati, as the case may be, for the following purposes:

Application of income of temples by trustees.

- (a) The proper repair and furnishing of such temple and the upkeep of the roads and buildings belonging thereto; provided that where a vihare and a dewale are in one and the same building, the funds of either may be used for repairs to and improvements of the structure;
- (b) The maintenance of the bhikshus and ministerial officers attached to such temple;
- (c) The due performance of religious services and such customary ceremonies as heretofore carried on, in, or by, or in connection with such temple;
- (d) The promotion of education;
- (e) The relief of the poor in the case of a dewale or kovila, and the customary hospitality to bhikshus and others in the case of a vihare;
- (f) The payment of compensation under sections 49 and 52 and of all legal expenses properly incurred in carrying out the provisions of this Ordinance;
- (g) The payment of such share of the expenses incurred or to be incurred in carrying out the provisions of this Ordinance as shall be determined by the district council; and
- (h) Such other purposes as may be sanctioned by the council.

47 All sales, mortgages, or other alienations of immovable property belonging to any temple, including maruvena pangu, but excluding paraveni pangu, shall be null and void and of no effect in law.

Alienation of immovable property invalid.

48 No sale, mortgage, or other alienation of paraveni pangu held of any temple shall be valid or of any effect in law unless notice in writing of such intended sale, mortgage, or other alienation has been given to the council in whose district such temple is situated, by the vendee, mortgagee, or other alienee, and such committee have returned a written acknowledgment of the receipt of such notice to the person sending the same.

Alienation of paraveni panguwa.

49 (1) Whenever the council in whose district any temple is situated is satisfied that any immovable property belonging to any temple has been heretofore sold, mortgaged, or otherwise alienated to the detriment of such temple, or shall be hereafter sold, mortgaged, or otherwise alienated contrary to the provision of this Ordinance, it shall be the duty of the said district council to direct the trustee, or, where there is no trustee, the viharadhipati, to institute legal proceedings to set aside such sale, mortgage, or alienation, and to recover possession of such property:

Recovery of property improperly alienated.

Provided that in the absence of collusion between the parties the court in setting aside any sale, mortgage, or alienation shall award to the vendee, mortgagee, or alienee the value of any permanent improvements made by him to or upon such property.

(2) If any such trustee or viharadhipati refuses, neglects, or delays in taking or prosecuting such proceedings, the district council may authorize in writing any other person to do so.

Power of trustees, or of viharadhipati where there is no trustee, to demise temple lands.

50 (1) It shall be lawful for a trustee, or, where there is no trustee, for the viharadhipati, from time to time and for such rent and on such conditions as he shall deem reasonable to demise, subject to the provisions of this section, all or any of the lands vested in him under the provisions of this Ordinance, of not more than five hundred rupees in value or five acres in extent, save and except such gardens and chena lands as in the discretion of the district council in whose district the temple is situated, may be reserved for the use of the paraveni pangu tenants :

Provided always that no such demise shall be for a longer period than one year, except with the consent of the district council within whose district the temple is situated.

(2) Immovable property over five hundred rupees in value or five acres in extent shall not be demised, except with the sanction of the district council in whose district the temple is situated.

(3) Such sanction in every case shall be in writing certified under the hand of the chairman of the district council.

(4) (a) All leases of property over five hundred rupees in value or five acres in extent shall be by tender.

(b) The trustee or viharadhipati, as the case may be, after receiving the approval of the district council in whose district the temple is situated, shall, if the district council so directs, publish the full conditions of the lease in one or more of the local newspapers, specifying a date not earlier than six weeks after such publication, after which no tenders will be received by him.

(c) All such tenders shall be sent to the trustee or viharadhipati and a duplicate copy to the district council by the tenderer.

(d) Such tenders shall be scheduled and sent with the recommendation of the trustee or viharadhipati to the district council, who may make such order thereon as it may think fit.

(5) No lease in any case shall be for a period exceeding ninety-nine years, and in all cases of leases for a period exceeding thirty years, a covenant shall be inserted therein providing for the revision of the rent at every period of ten years from the date of the commencement of the lease, provided that in no case shall the rent due be increased at any such revision by more than fifty per centum of the rent payable during the previous ten years.

(6) No trustee or other person holding any office under the provisions of this Ordinance shall hold on lease any land or building belonging to any temple in respect of which he holds office or is a trustee.

(7) In all cases where the sanction of a district council is not required, the name and extent of the land leased, together with the amount of the rent and conditions, shall be reported within one month of the granting of the lease by the trustee or viharadhipati to the district council.

(8) All demises made in contravention of any of the provisions of this Ordinance shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever in law.

Assignment of leases of temple lands.

51 All assignments of leases of temple land shall require the approval of the district council in whose district the temple is situated, and any assignment made without its sanction in writing shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever in law.

Court may set aside leases in certain cases.

52 Whenever it is proved to the satisfaction of a competent court that—

(1) Any property of any temple has heretofore been leased—

(a) For a longer term of years than is consistent with the interest of such temple ; or

(b) On terms showing an improvident alienation ; or

(c) For clearly inadequate consideration ; or

(d) For the private benefit of the lessor or any of his relatives or servants ; or

(e) With a fraudulent intent ;

(2) Any demise of the property of any temple or assignment thereof has been made in contravention of the provisions of this Ordinance ;

such court shall on the application, in a summary fashion, of the trustee, or, if there is no trustee, of the viharadhipati of such temple, or if the trustee or viharadhipati fails to make such application on the direction of the district council within whose district such temple is situated, then on the application of any person authorized in writing by any such district council, either set aside such lease and restore possession of the property to the trustee or viharadhipati entitled to hold the same under this Ordinance or modify the conditions of the same :

Provided that when there has been no collusion between the lessor and the lessee in respect of the lease so set aside, the court shall award to the lessee reasonable compensation for permanent improvements to the property effected by him during his tenure of the land.

53 (1) Whenever the trustee of any temple who has vacated his office as trustee for any cause whatsoever under the provisions of this Ordinance or of any Ordinance hereby repealed, or any viharadhipati, shall hold or occupy, either directly or through any other person on his behalf, any movable or immovable property belonging to any temple, and shall refuse or neglect to deliver possession of such property to the trustee for the time being of the said temple, or to any person authorized in that behalf by the district council in whose district any such temple is situated, it shall be competent for such trustee, or for the chairman of any such district council, or the person authorized as aforesaid, as the case may be, to apply to the District Court for a writ requiring such first-named trustee or viharadhipati to deliver possession of the property to such other trustee or person aforesaid.

Recovery of possession of property from trustee who has vacated office, or viharadhipati.

(2) It shall be competent to such court to issue its writ to the Fiscal or Deputy Fiscal and give possession accordingly as if it were a writ issued in execution of its own decree.

(3) A certificate under the hand of the chairman of the district council to the effect that the person mentioned therein has vacated his office of trustee as aforesaid shall be *prima facie* evidence of the facts stated in the said order, and shall be sufficient authority for the court to act as aforesaid.

54 A District Judge may on the application of the chairman of any district council, or any trustee of a temple, or, where there is no trustee, of the viharadhipati of such temple—

General powers of District Court.

- (a) Give relief against any accidental mistake or omission or any informality occurring in the course of any matter arising under this Ordinance ;
- (b) Extend the time fixed for any action or proceeding in this Ordinance ;
- (c) Order any person within a time fixed in the order to discharge any duty imposed upon him by this Ordinance ;

and for the purpose of the exercise of its powers under this section may make any order that the justice of the case may require.

55 In the case of any claim for the recovery of any property, movable or immovable, belonging or alleged to belong to any temple, or for the assertion of title to any such property, the claim shall not be held to be barred or prejudiced by any provision of Ordinance No. 22 of 1872.

Prescription not to apply.

56 The Governor in Executive Council may, by order published in the " Government Gazette," exclude any temple or class of temple from all or any of the provisions of this part.

Exemption of temples from provisions of Part IV.

PART V.

Accounts, Audit.

57 The Governor in Executive Council may by, order published in the " Government Gazette," exclude any temple or class of temple from all or any of the provisions of this part of this Ordinance.

Temples excluded from this part.

Trustee or viharadhipati to keep complete and detailed accounts of income and disbursements.

58 (1) It shall be the duty of every trustee, or, where there is no trustee, of the viharadhipati, to keep, in a form to be prescribed by the council in whose district the temple is situated, complete and detailed accounts—

- (a) Of the offerings made to the temple, and of the issues, rents, moneys, and profits received by him from the movable and immovable property belonging thereto ;
- (b) Of the disbursements made by him for the purposes defined in section 46 of this Ordinance.

(2) Any trustee or viharadhipati who contravenes the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a summary offence, and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding two hundred rupees.

Accounts to be made up half-yearly and submitted.

59 (1) It shall be the duty of every trustee of a temple, or, where there is no trustee, of the viharadhipati of such temple, to make up a statement of such accounts at the close of every half-year ending June 30 and December 31 in each year, and such trustee or viharadhipati shall sign a declaration at the foot thereof that the accounts are "true and correct."

(2) (a) Such trustee or viharadhipati shall submit his accounts countersigned, in the case of the Dalada Maligawa, by the mahanayakas of Malwatte and Asgiri Vihares, and in the case of any other temple other than a dewale or kovila or other than one of which the viharadhipati is trustee, by the viharadhipati, within thirty days of the end of each half-year to the council of the district in which the temple is situated.

(b) Should the mahanayakas or viharadhipati fail to countersign the accounts, they shall be forwarded with a statement to that effect.

(3) Any trustee or viharadhipati who contravenes any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a summary offence, and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding two hundred rupees.

Duty of viharadhipati to furnish information to trustee and the district council.

60 (1) It shall be the duty of a viharadhipati to furnish to the trustee of the temple and to the district council of the district in which any such temple is situated, when called upon to do so, all such information as he may possess regarding—

- (a) The annual income of the temple from the offerings made to such temple ;
- (b) The nature, extent, and value of paraveni and maruvena pangu and other lands belonging to such temple ; and
- (c) The monthly or annual value of the rents, issues, and profits of movable and immovable property belonging to or held by such temple by virtue of any title whatsoever.

(2) Any viharadhipati who, without just cause withholds any information required to be given by this section, or who wilfully gives false information regarding the same, or who retains possession of any property vested in trustees under the provisions of this Ordinance, or wilfully obstructs any trustee or causes any trustee to be obstructed in the discharge of his duties, shall be guilty of a summary offence, and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding two hundred rupees.

Audit of accounts.

61 The council shall send all accounts of such trustees or viharadhipatis to the Colonial Auditor, who shall cause the same to be audited by an officer of his department (hereafter referred to as the "auditor"), and the expenses of carrying out such audit shall be assessed on temples in manner hereinbefore provided.

Auditor may call for vouchers and other documents and require declarations to be made.

62 Such auditor may by notice in writing require the production before him of all books, deeds, contracts, accounts, vouchers, and other documents which he may deem necessary, and may require any person holding or accountable for the same to appear before him at any such audit and examination or adjournment thereof, and to make and sign a declaration with respect to the same.

Penalty for contravention of section 61.

63 If, in contravention of the provisions of the last preceding section, any such person neglects or refuses to produce any such books, deeds, contracts, accounts, vouchers, documents, or papers, or to appear or to make or sign such declaration, he

shall be guilty of a summary offence, and liable on conviction for every such neglect or refusal to a fine not exceeding fifty rupees, and to a further fine not exceeding ten rupees for each day during which the offence is continued after he has once been convicted; and if any such person shall wilfully make or sign a false declaration, he shall be liable to be prosecuted under section 190 of the Ceylon Penal Code.

64 The auditor shall at the close of his audit send a report in writing, together with all declarations which may have been made and signed before him, to the council, which may take such action under the provisions of this Ordinance as it shall think proper.

Auditor to report to the council.

65 If the issues, rents, moneys, profits, and offerings shall not be duly accounted for as required in this part of this Ordinance, it shall be the duty of the chairman of the council concerned to hold such inquiry as he may think fit, and to cause to be prosecuted any trustee or viharadhipati who may reasonably be suspected of having committed a criminal breach of trust.

If income not duly accounted for, chairman to hold inquiry, and if necessary cause the prosecution of trustees reasonably suspected of breach of trust.

PART VI.

General.

66 (1) It shall be the duty of the mahanayaka or ordaining nayaka of every nikaya or peruwa to make and complete, within six months of the commencement of this Ordinance, a register of the upasampada bhikshus belonging to each such nikaya or peruwa, as the case may be.

Register of bhikshus.

(2) Such register shall be in the form in schedule B to this Ordinance, with such modifications as may from time to time be prescribed by regulations made by the Governor in Executive Council.

(3) Such register shall be made in a bound paper volume, of which the pages shall be numbered consecutively, and a certified copy thereof shall be sent to the Registrar-General as soon as the same shall have been completed.

(4) The mahanayaka or ordaining nayaka shall forthwith make all such corrections, additions, or alterations in such register as may be necessary to keep it up to date, and shall immediately, on making any such corrections, additions, or alterations, send copies thereof to the Registrar-General.

(5) Any mahanayaka or ordaining nayaka acting in contravention of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a summary offence, and liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding fifty rupees.

(6) Such register shall be *prima facie* evidence in all courts and for all purposes of the facts therein contained.

(7) If any person, whose name does not appear on such register, acts, or holds himself out, as an upasampada bhikshu, he shall be guilty of a summary offence, and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding fifty rupees.

67 After the expiration of one year from the commencement of this Ordinance, no samanera shall be qualified to perform any functions of a viharadhipati under this Ordinance.

Samanera not to perform functions of viharadhipati after one year.

68 (1) It shall be lawful for the Governor in Executive Council to make regulations for the preservation and protection of such Buddhist shrines, temples, inscriptions, and monuments as may be considered to be of historical, archaeological, or artistic interest, and for preventing the same from being defaced by inappropriate or incongruous repairs or additions.

Governor in Executive Council may make regulations for preservation of Buddhist shrines, temples, &c.

(2) All regulations so made shall be published in the "Government Gazette," and shall thereupon become as legal, valid, and effectual as if the same had been inserted herein.

(3) The breach of any regulation made in pursuance of this section shall be an offence, and shall be punishable on summary conviction by a fine not exceeding twenty rupees; and in the case of a continuing offence not exceeding five rupees for each subsequent day on which such offence continues.

PART VII.

Transitory and Repeal Provisions.

Dissolution
of existing
district
committees.

69 (1) As from a date to be fixed by the Governor in Executive Council by Proclamation in the "Government Gazette," the Atamasthane Committee and every district committee constituted under any Ordinance repealed by this Ordinance shall be and is hereby dissolved.

(2) Until such dissolution, the Atamasthane Committee and every district committee existing at the time of the commencement of this Ordinance shall be continued and may exercise the same powers and shall be subject to the same responsibilities and duties as are conferred or imposed on a district council constituted under this Ordinance :

Provided that where, under the provisions of section 50 of this Ordinance, the sanction or approval of the district council is required, such provisions shall be read as if the sanction or approval of the Government Agent of the Province within which the administrative limits of the district committee are contained were required instead of the sanction or approval of the district council.

Dissolved
committees
to deposit
property, &c.,
at nearest
kachcheri.

70 (1) Every such committee shall, after the publication of the Proclamation in the last preceding section mentioned, forthwith deposit at the nearest kachcheri all property, funds, records, books, and documents of which it shall be possessed under or by reason of the provisions of any Ordinance hereby repealed.

(2) In the event of any contravention of the provisions of this section, the secretary or person performing the duties of any such office shall be guilty of a summary offence, and shall be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding fifty rupees; and to a further fine of five rupees for every day during which such contravention continues.

District
councils under
this Ordinance
to be successors
of former
district
committees.

71 (1) Where a district council is constituted under the provisions of this Ordinance, such district council shall be the successor of the district committee constituted under any Ordinance hereby repealed for all purposes relating to the area of such committee included within the limits of any district council constituted under this Ordinance; and all the property, funds, records, books, and documents of which such committee shall be possessed under or by reason of the provisions of any of the Ordinances hereby repealed, and all the rights, powers, duties, debts, liabilities, and obligations of such committee shall be deemed to be transferred to such district council as from the date of its constitution.

(2) In any case in which by reason of the proclamation of a district under this Ordinance a part only of the area comprised within the limits of a district or other committee dissolved under the provisions of this Ordinance is included within the limits of such district council so proclaimed as aforesaid, and doubts shall arise as to the extent to which the property and funds, or the rights, powers, duties, debts, liabilities, and obligations of any district or other committee so dissolved vest in any district council constituted under this Ordinance, the matter may be referred at the instance of any district council interested for the decision of the Governor in Executive Council, and such decision shall be final.

Forms and
rules under
repealed
Ordinance to
remain valid
until replaced.

72 All forms and rules prescribed or made by any district or other committee dissolved under the provisions of this Ordinance shall remain in force within the district of a district council which is under the provisions of this Ordinance the successor of such committee until new forms and rules are prescribed or made by such district council under the provisions of this Ordinance.

Existing
trustees.

73 Trustees in office at the time of the commencement of this Ordinance shall remain in office until the appointment of persons to act as trustees under the provisions of this Ordinance :

Provided always that such trustees shall be liable to the same supervision and control, and have and possess the same powers, duties, liabilities, and responsibilities as if they had been elected or appointed under the provisions of this Ordinance :

Provided further that nothing in this section contained shall, except as in this Ordinance provided, affect the tenure of office of the diyawadana nilame or of any hasnayaka nilame in office at the commencement of this Ordinance.

74 "The Buddhist Temporalities Ordinance, 1905," and "The Buddhist Temporalities (Amendment) Ordinance, No. 15 of 1919," are hereby repealed. Repeal.

SCHEDULE A.

Regulations for the holding of a Poll.

(Section 18.)

1. The Government Agent shall provide one or more polling places for each electoral division, and shall either himself preside or shall appoint a person to preside at each polling place. Every candidate and one other person selected by himself shall be entitled to attend the proceedings during the time appointed for the election.

2. At every election the votes shall be given by ballot.

3. The poll shall open and close at such times as shall be publicly notified by the Government Agent.

4. The ballot of each voter shall consist of a paper (in these regulations called a ballot paper) to be supplied by the Government Agent containing the names of the candidates in English and in Sinhalese. Each ballot paper shall have a number printed on the back, and shall have a counterfoil with the same number printed on the face.

5. At the time of voting the ballot paper shall be marked on both sides with an official mark and delivered to the voter within the polling station, and a number corresponding to the number of the voter on the list of voters shall be marked on the counterfoil. The voter having secretly marked his vote on the paper by setting a cross opposite the name of the candidate for whom he desires to vote, and having folded it up so as to conceal his vote, shall place it in a closed box in the presence of the presiding officer, after having shown to him the official mark at the back. The presiding officer, on the application of any voter who states that he is unable to read, shall cause the vote of such voter to be marked on a ballot paper in manner directed by such voter.

6. Any ballot paper which has not on its back an official mark, or on which votes are given to more than one candidate, or on which anything except the number on its back referred to in regulation 4 is written or marked by which the vote can be identified, shall be void, and shall not be counted.

7. If at any such poll any question arises as to the identity of any person claiming to be a person whose name is on the electoral roll, the presiding officer shall have power to inquire into the same, and, if it appears expedient to him, to administer an oath or affirmation to any person whom he may think fit to examine with reference to such question, and it shall be the duty of any person so examined to answer truly all questions put to him. The decision of the presiding officer on any such claim shall be final.

8. If as a result of any decision under the last preceding regulation it should appear that a person by falsely personating another voter recorded a vote, the person entitled to vote shall be permitted to exercise his right, and the presiding officer shall declare the vote recorded by the false personation void, and on the opening of the ballot box shall on tracing the ballot paper, have the same removed, so that it shall not be counted among the votes recorded.

9. After the close of the poll the ballot boxes shall be sealed by the presiding officer so as to prevent interference with its contents and shall be taken charge of by the Government Agent.

10. Each candidate may appoint an agent for the purpose of attending at the opening of the ballot boxes and checking and counting of the votes.

11. The Government Agent shall, in the presence of any such agents of the candidates as may be in attendance, open the ballot boxes and ascertain the result of the poll by counting or causing to be counted the votes given to each candidate, and shall forthwith declare to be elected the candidate to whom the majority of votes have been given.

12. The decision of the Government Agent as to any question arising in respect of any ballot paper shall be final.

13. In the event of the election being rendered undecided by reason of an equality of votes, the matter shall be decided by the casting vote of the Government Agent, or, at the option of the Government Agent, by lot.

SCHEDULE B.

(Section 65.)

Register of Upasampada Bhikshus.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Consecutive Number.	Province or District of Birth.	Village of Birth and Ge Name.	Name of Father.	Name of Tutor.	Name of Ordaining Bhikshu and of Village.	Names of Karachanis (කරාචනි).	Date of Initiation and of Ordination.	Name of Upachayaya.	Name assumed at Ordination.	Residence.	Name of Tutor applying for Ordination.	Age and Date of Registration.

By His Excellency's command,
Colonial Secretary's Office, Colombo, July 24, 1922. B. HORSBURGH,
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE Commissioners appointed to inquire into the working of "The Buddhist Temporalities Ordinance, No. 8 of 1905," presented an Interim Report dated March 29, 1919, and a Final Report dated September 27, 1920. These reports have been published, and it is thought well, before dealing with the provisions of the Bill, to call attention to certain points brought forward by the Commissioners. During the first part of the British régime in Ceylon, the control and administration of the Buddhist temporalities was in the hands of the priests, but the results were far from satisfactory. As pointed out in paragraph 4 of the report of the Commission of 1876: "The witnesses examined by the Commission holding different positions and representing a great variety of interests are unanimous as to the necessity for the intervention of the Government to put a stop to the scandalous misappropriation of the temple revenues and to the widespread corruption and systematic fraud disclosed by the evidence." (Interim Report, paragraph 3.)

By Ordinance No. 3 of 1889, which was re-enacted with amendments by No. 8 of 1905, the priesthood were removed from the control and administration of the Buddhist temporalities and replaced by lay trustees. Under Ordinance No. 3 of 1889, these lay trustees were elected by the members of the district committees within whose administrative areas the temples were situated, subject to certain exceptions in the case of basnayaka nilames and of temples founded by a royal sannas or private persons.

Under Ordinance No. 8 of 1905, trustees are elected by the voters resident in the villages to which temples are attached, subject to certain exceptions in the case of the Atamasthane, basnayaka nilames, and the diyawadana nilame. In dealing with the results of this change of system of control and administration, the Commissioners adopt the language used above with regard to the system prevailing before the enactment of the Ordinance No. 3 of 1889. (Interim Report, paragraph 4.)

The Commissioners also, amongst other things, call attention to—

- (a) Serious irregularities at elections of trustees (Interim Report, paragraph 7A);
- (b) Gross misconduct on the part of trustees (Interim Report, paragraph 7B; Final Report, paragraph 14);
- (c) Lack of effective check over accounts of trustees (Interim Report, paragraphs 7C and 7D);
- (d) Scandals in connection with leases of temple lands (Interim Report, paragraph 7D);
- (e) The deterioration in the position of the priesthood and the hardships suffered by its members under existing conditions (Interim Report, paragraph 7, pages 12 and 13).

With regard to the position of the priesthood, the Commissioners report as follows: "There is no question that the Buddhist priesthood has of recent years felt its present position very acutely, and that the effects of the Ordinance (No. 8 of 1905) have undoubtedly been to deprive a religious order—among whom there are many able scholars and teachers of Buddhism—of the legitimate part they should undoubtedly take in the administration of their temple properties. Unfortunately the priesthood from its religious and educational training cannot, we consider, be again placed in the position of sole trustees and administrators of temple properties, but that they should be partners in its concerns we consider very essential if any reforms are to be effected. The general consensus of opinion among the witnesses was undoubtedly in this direction." (Interim Report, paragraph 7, page 13.)

It is proposed by the Bill that there should be established district councils (sections 3 and 4) composed of elected members (section 4) who shall hold office for three years (sections 5 and 21). They are to be composed both of lay and priestly members (section 12) so as to carry out the recommendation of the Commissioners above referred to, are to have as their chairman a person appointed by the Governor (section 6), and are to have the supervision and control of temples situated within their respective districts (sections 9, 10, and 11).

The Commissioners, while advocating the retention of district councils, proposed the constitution of a Buddhist temporalities council under the chairmanship of a senior Government officer, and with great powers of control over the district councils. This central council was also directly to administer the temporalities of the major temples.

The appointment of such an officer and the need of a staff to aid him in carrying out the work of supervision over district councils and of the administration of the temporalities of the major temples entailed considerable expenditure and, as it was clear that the Buddhist community could not supply the necessary funds for the purpose, Government has not been able to accept the proposals of the Commissioners with respect to the constitution of a Buddhist temporalities council.

But with a view to helping district councils to start on proper lines, Government has agreed to appoint as their chairman the Government Agent or Assistant Government Agent during the initial stages of their existence. If the system proposed to be established by the Bill is to have any chance of success, it is necessary that the election of members to the various district councils shall be conducted in a manner very different from that prevailing under Ordinance No. 8 of 1905, with that object in view provisions are inserted in the Bill which may be criticised as being of an elaborate character; but the subject is so essential, if the existing condition of affairs is to be improved, that it is thought better to err on the side of over-elaboration than to give opportunities for the continuance of existing practices.

The qualifications of lay and clerical members are set forth in sections 13 and 14 of the Bill, and will, it is hoped, help towards the selection of suitable persons, while the qualifications required for voters are such as to exclude unfit persons from voting at elections.

Sections 16 to 29 deal with the preparation of the voting lists and the conduct of elections, and the whole machinery is worked by the Government Agent or some person deputed by him. Sections 22 to 24 of the Bill provide penalties for election offences, such as bribery, undue influence, and personation.

Under section 18 of No. 8 of 1905 trustees are elected. The conditions in which these elections take place are graphically set forth in paragraph 7A of the Interim Report; and in paragraph 14 of their Final Report the Commissioners give their reasons for recommending that the system of election should be completely changed.

Part III. of the Bill deals with the subject of trustees.

Every temple is to have a trustee, unless excepted by the Governor in Executive Council, though a trustee may act for more than one temple (section 26).

The rights of the diyawadana nilame and of basnayaka nilames are preserved, though the procedure for their election is set out in greater detail than in Ordinance No. 8 of 1905 (see sections 27 and 28 of the Bill). In the case of trustees for the Sripadasthane, Atamasthane, vihares, and dagobas, the viharadhipati is given the right to submit the name of a person to the district council as trustee, and such name may be that of the viharadhipati himself, or of any other bhikshu or of a layman; unless the appointment of the person whose name is submitted would contravene the provisions of the Bill, the district council are to issue a letter of appointment to him (section 29).

In the case of dewales or kovilas not administered by a basnayaka nilame, the district council are to call for the names of candidates and to select a proper person for appointment (section 30).

Section 31 of the Bill is a most important one. It requires that all trustees should give security before entering on their duties, and imposes a penalty for a breach of its provisions. The existing law requires trustees to give security (section 17 of No. 8 of 1905), but the way in which this requirement is obeyed is shown by the statements made by the Commissioners in paragraph 7 *B* (e) of the Interim Report, presumably because no penalty is attached to its contravention.

Section 32 sets out the qualifications for a trustee, and increases them as compared with section 8 of No. 8 of 1905, but this change in the law is thought necessary in the financial interests of the temples.

Sections 33 to 35 deal with suspensions and appointments on vacancies of trustees; while sections 36 to 38 provide for actions by and against trustees and for the punishment of neglect of duty by them.

Section 40 of the Bill is new, and its provisions are recommended by the Committee with the object of stopping vandalism in the guise of restoration (see paragraph 21 of Final Report); while sections 39, 41, 42, and 43 respectively reproduce sections 41, 20, 18, and 19 of Ordinance No. 8 of 1905.

Section 44 is new, and is intended to cover pudgalika immovable property, the omission to deal with which in Ordinance No. 8 of 1905 has, according to the Commissioners, led to litigation (paragraph 8 of Final Report).

The lack of effective control over the accounts of trustees, and their management of temple property, is dealt with by the Commissioners in paragraph 7 (C and D) of their Interim Report; and sections 45 to 65 have been introduced with the object of remedying the existing unsatisfactory state of affairs.

Section 45 of the Bill is new, and requires, where the chairman of the district council so directs, temple moneys to be deposited in a bank. This provision is urgently required, as will appear from a consideration of the evidence given before the Commissioners.

Section 46 of the Bill reproduces the latter part of section 20 of No. 8 of 1905.

The provisions of sections 47 and 48 of the Bill are required, according to the Commissioners, in the interests of the preservation of the property of the temples (see paragraph 20 of Final Report); while section 49 reproduces section 37 of No. 8 of 1905.

The scandals which have arisen in connection with the leasing of temple lands are set forth in paragraph 7 *D* of the Interim Report, and sections 50 to 52 of the Bill are intended to remedy this state of affairs. They are based on the recommendations of the Commissioners, and replace sections 22 and 38 of Ordinance No. 8 of 1905.

Sections 53 and 54 of the Bill re-enact sections 35 and 37 of No. 8 of 1905; but section 55 of the Bill is new and of great importance. The Commissioners report in favour of such a provision (Interim Report, paragraph 8), and the

attitude of Buddhism towards the alienation of temple lands is clearly shown by the quotations set out in paragraph 7 B (g) of the Interim Report.

The need for an effective audit of the revenues and expenditure of temple funds and the failure of the existing system is made clear by many passages in the Interim and Final Reports, but reference is specially made to paragraph 7 C of the former. A clear obligation is proposed to be cast on every trustee to keep proper accounts (section 58), which are to be made up half-yearly and submitted to the district council (section 59); and the duty is thrown on the viharadhipati to supply the data necessary to make these accounts complete (section 60).

The District Council is to send in these accounts to the Audit Department for audit, the expenses of the audit being provided by a levy on the temples (section 61). The necessary powers are conferred on the auditor by sections 62, 63, and 64 of the Bill; and section 65 makes provision for the district council taking criminal proceedings in proper cases.

The Commissioners in paragraphs 29 and 30 of their Final Report deal with the expediency of providing a register of priests. Sections 66 and 67 of the Bill have been inserted with a view to give effect to their recommendations.

Section 68 of the Bill is practically a re-enactment of section 43 of No. 8 of 1905; while sections 69 to 73 of the Bill are of a transitory character and speak for themselves.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, May 18, 1922.

H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information :—

An Ordinance to amend "The Treaty of Peace (Enforcement) Ordinance, No. 7 of 1920," and "The Treaty of Peace (Austria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 3 of 1921," and "The Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Enforcement Ordinance, No. 4 of 1921," and "The Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Enforcement Ordinance, No. of 1922."

WHEREAS in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaty of Peace Act, 1919, and the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, His Majesty in Council was pleased to make the Treaty of Peace Order, 1919, the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, and the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, and various Orders amending the aforesaid Orders, which said Orders and amending Orders are set forth in Ordinance No. 7 of 1920, No. 2 of 1921, and No. 8 of 1921, and in Ordinances No. 3 of 1921 and No. 16 of 1921, and in Ordinances No. 4 of 1921 and No. 17 of 1921, respectively:

Preamble.

And whereas His Majesty has, in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the said Acts, been pleased further to amend the aforesaid Orders by the Treaties of Peace Orders (Amendment) Order, 1921 (hereinafter referred to as the amending Order), which is set forth in the Schedule to this Ordinance:

And whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Act, 1921, His Majesty in Council was pleased to make the Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Order, 1921, which is set forth in Ordinance No. of 1922:

And whereas His Majesty has, in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the Act last above mentioned, been pleased to amend the Order last above mentioned by the aforesaid amending Order:

And whereas it is expedient to make certain modifications in the said amending Order for the purpose of adapting its provisions to the circumstances of this Colony:

Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

Short title.

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Treaties of Peace Orders (Amendment) Ordinance, No. of 1922."

Application of Order in Council to Colony.

2 In applying the amending Order to the Colony, the following modifications shall be made :

- (1) In Article 1 (xvii.) of the Treaty of Peace Order, 1919, as amended by the Amending Order, the reference to the Lord Chancellor, or, in Scotland, the Court of Session, shall be taken to be to the Chief Justice ;
- (2) In article 1 (x.) of the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, as amended by the Amending Order, the reference to the Lord Chancellor, or, in Scotland, the Court of Session, shall be taken to be to the Chief Justice ;
- (3) In article 1 (ii.) of the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, as amended by the Amending Order, the reference to the Lord Chancellor, or, in Scotland, the Court of Session, shall be taken to be to the Chief Justice ;
- (4) In article 1 (x.) of the Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Order, 1921, as amended by the Amending Order, the reference to the Lord Chancellor, or, in Scotland, the Court of Session, shall be taken to be to the Chief Justice.

SCHEDULE.

At the Court at Buckingham Palace, the 11th day of October, 1921.

Present :

THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY IN COUNCIL.

Whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred on him by the Treaty of Peace Act, 1919, and the Treaties of Peace (Austria and Bulgaria) Act, 1920, His Majesty in Council was pleased to make the Treaty of Peace Order, 1919, the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, and the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, and various Orders amending the aforesaid Orders :

And whereas it is expedient that the aforesaid Orders as amended should be further amended in manner hereinafter appearing :

And whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred on Him by the Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Act, 1921, His Majesty in Council was pleased to make the Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Order, 1921, and it is expedient that that Order should be amended in manner hereinafter appearing :

Now, therefore, His Majesty, by and with the advice of His Privy Council, is pleased to order, and it is hereby ordered, as follows :

1. The provisions of the Treaty of Peace Order, 1919, the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, and the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, as amended, and the Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Order, 1921, mentioned in the first column of Part I., Part II., Part III., and Part IV. of the Schedule to this Order, shall be amended in the manner shown in the second column of the several parts of that Schedule.

2. This Order may be cited as the Treaties of Peace Orders (Amendment) Order, 1921, and shall be included amongst the Orders which may be cited as the Treaty of Peace Orders, 1919 to 1921, the Treaty of Peace (Austria) Orders, 1920 to 1921, and the Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Orders, 1920 to 1921, and the Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Order, 1921, and this Order may be cited together as the Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Orders, 1921.

ALMERIC FITZROY.

SCHEDULE.

Part I.

Treaty of Peace Order, 1919, as amended.

Article.	Nature of Amendment.
1 (xvii.)	At the end of paragraph (aa) the following words shall be inserted : "The Lord Chancellor, or, in Scotland, the Court of Session, may make rules or act of sederunt regulating the procedure under this paragraph, and any such rules or act of sederunt may determine the court or judge before whom applications under this paragraph may be made."

Part II.

Treaty of Peace (Austria) Order, 1920, as amended.

Article.

Nature of Amendment.

- 1 (x.) .. In paragraph (e) for the words "an Austrian national" there shall be substituted the words "a national of the former Austrian Empire."
 At the end of paragraph (g) the following words shall be inserted: "The Lord Chancellor, or, in Scotland, the Court of Session, may make rules or act of sederunt regulating the procedure under this paragraph, and any such rules or act of sederunt may determine the court or judge before whom applications under this paragraph may be made."

Part III.

Treaty of Peace (Bulgaria) Order, 1920, as amended.

Article.

Nature of Amendment.

- 1 (ii.) .. At the end of paragraph (h) the following words shall be inserted: "The Lord Chancellor, or, in Scotland, the Court of Session, may make rules or act of sederunt regulating the procedure under this paragraph, and any such rules or act of sederunt may determine the court or judge before whom applications under this paragraph may be made."

Part IV.

Treaty of Peace (Hungary) Order, 1921.

Article.

Nature of Amendment.

- 1 (x.) .. In paragraph (f) for the words "a Hungarian national" there shall be substituted the words "a national of the former kingdom of Hungary."
 At the end of paragraph (i.) the following words shall be inserted: "The Lord Chancellor, or, in Scotland, the Court of Session, may make rules or act of sederunt regulating the procedure under this paragraph, and any such rules or act of sederunt may determine the court or judge before whom applications under this paragraph may be made."

By His Excellency's command,

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, July 27, 1922.B. HORSBURGH,
Acting Colonial Secretary.*Statement of Objects and Reasons.*

AN Order entitled the Treaties of Peace Orders (Amendment) Order, 1921, has been issued by His Majesty in Council making certain formal amendments to the various Treaty of Peace Orders which have been made by the Privy Council. The first-mentioned Order is in force in the Colony, but it is necessary to make certain changes of phraseology so as to adapt it to the circumstances of this Colony. These changes are made apparent by a reference to section 2 of the Bill.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, January 27, 1922.H. C. GOLLAN,
Attorney-General.**NOTIFICATIONS OF CRIMINAL SESSIONS.**

BY virtue of a Mandate to me directed by the Hon. the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon, I do hereby proclaim that a Criminal Session of the said court for the Districts of Ratnapura and Avissawella will be holden at the Court-house at Ratnapura on Monday, August 21, 1922, at 11 o'clock of the morning of the said day.

And I do hereby require and inform all persons concerned therein to attend at the time and place above-mentioned, and not to depart without leave asked and granted.

Fiscal's Office,
Ratnapura, August 7, 1922.H. W. CODRINGTON,
Fiscal.

DISTRICT AND MINOR COURTS NOTICES.

IN terms of Ordinance No. 12 of 1894, it is hereby notified that, three months hence, the under-mentioned money cases decided during the years 1905 and 1906 will be destroyed.

2. Any person interested in any record may personally, by Proctor, or by duly authenticated petition, claim, upon good cause shown, that such record may not be destroyed:—

Additional Court of Requests, Kandy, cases Nos. 3,353 to 3,436.

W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge and
Acting Additional Commissioner of Requests.

District Court,
Kandy, August 2, 1922.

In the Court of Requests of Avissawella.

Sodalamuttu Kangany, now of Avissawella. Plaintiff.

No. 11,874. Vs.

The Proprietor of Meepilawa estate in Puwak-pitiya. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that a suit has been instituted in the Court of Requests of Avissawella, by one labourer of Meepilawa estate, against the proprietor thereof, under the Ordinance No. 13 of 1889, for the recovery of his wages amounting to Rs. 27.75.

August 7, 1922.

A. K. ALVAPPILLAI,
Chief Clerk.

NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,093. In the matter of the insolvency of Henry Robert Hercules Wijesinghe of Mahawatta in Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on August 15, 1922, for the annulment of adjudication in the above matter.

By order of court, P. DE KRETZER,
Colombo, August 2, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,142. In the matter of the insolvency of Badurdeen Miskin Madarsha of Slave Island in Colombo.

WHEREAS B. M. Madarsha has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has also been filed by Abdul Rahim Bin Jawherasha of Slave Island, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said B. M. Madarsha insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on September 5, 1922, and on September 19, 1922, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, A. C. BELING,
Colombo, August 7, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,143. In the matter of the insolvency of Don William Jayamaha of Colpetty in Colombo.

WHEREAS D. W. Jayamaha has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has also been filed by J. R. Peter of Kayman's Gate in Pettah, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said D. W. Jayamaha insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on September 5, 1922, and on September 19, 1922, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, A. C. BELING,
Colombo, August 7, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,144. In the matter of the insolvency of Peyna Abdul Majeed of New Bazaar in Colombo.

WHEREAS P. A. Majeed has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has

also been filed by Meeanna Nagoor Pitche of Silversmith lane in Colombo, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said P. A. Majeed insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on September 5, 1922, and on September 19, 1922, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, A. C. BELING,
Colombo, August 7, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 3,145. In the matter of the insolvency of Oduma Lebbe Marikar Mohamed Cassim Marikar of 1st Division, Maradana.

WHEREAS O. L. M. M. Cassim Marikar has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has also been filed by Kalu Aratchige Charles of No. 11, First Fishers' lane, Pettah, Colombo, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said O. L. M. M. Cassim Marikar insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on September 12, 1922, and on September 26, 1922, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, A. C. BELING,
Colombo, August 8, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

No. 172. In the matter of the insolvency of Ana Mohammodu Shariff of Henemulla in Panadure.

NOTICE is hereby given that the certificate meeting of the estate of the above-named insolvent will take place at this court on September 12, 1922.

By order of court, R. MALALGODA,
August 3, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kandy.

No. 1,654. In the matter of the insolvency of Koswatte Muhandiramalagedara Kiri Banda of Polgaha-ange.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on September 15, 1922, to consider the granting of a certificate of conformity to the above-named insolvent.

By order of court, P. MORTIMER,
Kandy, August 3, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kandy.
No. 1,659. In the matter of the insolvency of Weragoda Louis de Silva of Castle Hill street, Kandy.

WHEREAS James Culatunga Abeykoon of Lady MacCarthy road, Kandy, has filed a declaration of insolvency from the above-named Weragoda Louis de Silva, and a petition for the sequestration of the estate of the said Weragoda Louis de Silva, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said Weragoda Louis de Silva insolvent accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on September 22, 1922, and on October 13, 1922, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, P. MORTIMER,
Kandy, August 5, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Nuwara Eliya holden at Hatton.
No. 6. In the matter of the insolvency of Percival Frederick Augustus Théodore La Brooy of Nuwara Eliya.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on September 8, 1922, for the grant of a certificate of conformity to the insolvent.

By order of court, H. J. CHANDRAWARNAM,
Hatton, August 1, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Nuwara Eliya holden at Hatton.
No. 10. In the matter of the insolvency of Gan Hewage Saris de Silva and Punchi Hewage Davith Silva, both carrying on business under the name, style, and firm of P. H. Davith Silva & Co. at Pathana, Kotagala.

WHEREAS Gan Hewage Saris de Silva and Punchi Hewage Davith Silva carrying on business under the name, style, and firm of P. H. Davith Silva & Co., at Pathana, Kotagala, have filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of their estate has also been filed by M. Simon Perera Gunawardene of Hatton,

under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said Gan Hewage Saris de Silva and Punchi Hewage Davith Silva, both carrying on business under the name, style, and firm of P. H. Davith Silva & Co., at Pathana, Kotagala, insolvents accordingly; and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on September 8, 1922, and on September 22, 1922, will take place for the said insolvents to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, H. J. CHANDRAWARNAM,
Hatton, August 1, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.
No. 82. In the matter of the insolvency of Rawanna Mana Kanapathi Chetty of Gangoda.

NOTICE is hereby given that the second sitting of this court in the above matter has been adjourned for August 24, 1922, for assignee's report.

By order of court, GERARD E. DE ALWIS,
Kurunegala, August 2, 1922. Secretary.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.
No. 52. In the matter of the insolvency of Francis Xavier Silva, carrying on business under the name, style, and firm of C. Silva, Gomez & Co., Ratnapura.

WHEREAS Francis Xavier Silva of Ratnapura has filed a declaration of insolvency, and a petition for the sequestration of his estate has also been filed by A. P. Casie Chitty of Colombo, under the Ordinance No. 7 of 1853: Notice is hereby given that the said court has adjudged the said Francis Xavier Silva insolvent accordingly, and that two public sittings of the court, to wit, on September 5, 1922, and September 19, 1922, will take place for the said insolvent to surrender and conform to, agreeably to the provisions of the said Ordinance, and for the taking of the other steps set forth in the said Ordinance, of which creditors are hereby required to take notice.

By order of court, B. L. ABEYRATNA,
Ratnapura, August 2, 1922. Secretary.

NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

(1) Frederick Dornhorst of Calverley House, Turret road, Colombo, (2) Isabel Amelia Loos of Colombo, (3) Beatrice Hesba Loos of Colombo..... Plaintiffs.
No. 2,617 of 1921. Vs.

Kotte Rambukkana Mahawasala Tantiri Mudalige Don John Cornelius of Dam street, Colombo..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, September 15, and Saturday, September 16, 1922, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 1,313 dated September 16, 1920, and attested by Ernest Reed Williams of Colombo, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 41,867.40, with interest on the sum of Rs. 40,000 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from August 21, 1921, till date of decree (February 20, 1922), and thereafter on the aggregate amount at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs, and poundage, viz. :—

On Friday, September 15, 1922, at 2 p.m.

1. All that and those the estate and plantations and premises called and known as Mandawalla, comprising the following premises which adjoin each other and from their

situation as respects each other can be included in one survey to wit :—

(1) All that land called Mawatalanda, situated at Mandawala in the Gangaboda pattu of the Siyane korale, in the District of Colombo, Western Province; and bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 133,063, on the east by Crown land called Mawatadeniya, on the south and east by Crown land called Mawatadeniya, Irriyagahakumbura claimed by D. Nanduwa and others, and Nagahakumbura claimed by H. Kiri Ukkuwa, on the south by Nagahakumbura claimed by H. Kiri Ukkuwa, on the south-west by Nagahakumbura claimed by H. Kiri Ukkuwa and a reservation for a road, and on the west by a reservation for a road; containing in extent 8 acres 3 roods and 35 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 142,647 dated June 27, 1887; authenticated by Lieutenant-Colonel F. C. H. Clarke, R.A., Surveyor-General; and

(2) All that land called Mawatalanda, situated at Mandawala aforesaid; and bounded on the north and west by reservation for a road, on the east by lands described in plans Nos. 102,062 and 133,064, on the south-east by land described in plan No. 133,064, and on the south by Crown land; containing in extent, exclusive of the portion marked A, 35 acres according to the survey and description thereof No. 133,063 dated November 17, 1884, authenticated by the said Lieutenant-Colonel F. C. H. Clarke, R.A., Surveyor-General; which said two allotments of land form one property, and on a recent admeasurement and survey are described as two contiguous allotments of land called Mawatalanda,

now forming one property bearing title plans Nos. 133,063 and 142,647, situated at Mandawala aforesaid; and bounded on the north by lands said to belong to R. J. M. Aron Perera Appuhamy and others and Sembukutti Arachchige Don Marthelis and others, on the east by land described in T. P. No. 102,062, by land described in T. P. 133,064 and called Mawatazeniya, by Wewakumbura, and by Iriyagahakumbura, on the south by Nagahakumbura, on the west by Nagahakumbura, Godaporagahakumbura, Alubogahakumbura, and Alubogahawatta; containing in extent 43 acres 3 roods and 35 perches, according to the figure of survey dated August 20, 1904, made by Charles A. O. Buysler, Registered Licensed Surveyor and Leveller, held and possessed by the defendant under and by virtue of a deed No. 3,990 dated December 9, 1915, attested by F. A. Prins of Colombo, Notary Public, registered D 82/57 in the Colombo District Land Registry Office, together with the buildings, bungalows, machinery, fixtures, furniture, tools, implements, cattle, and other the dead and live stock, crops, produce, and appurtenances whatsoever to the said Mandawala estate and premises belonging or in any wise appertaining or held to belong or be appurtenant thereto and all the estate, right, title, and interest, property, and claim and demand whatsoever of the defendant into, upon, or out of the said Mandawala estate and premises.

On Saturday, September 16, 1922, at 2 P.M.

2. All that and those the property and premises called and known as Fenland, bearing assessment No. 40 and Ward No. 738, situated at Nagalagam street in Grandpass, within the Kotahena Ward of the Municipality and District of Colombo, Western Province; bounded on the north by the property of Mr. Ramanathan, on the east by the high road (Nagalagam street), on the south by the Post Office, property of Mudaliyar S. R. de Fonseka, and on the west by the road leading to Victoria Bridge; containing in extent 30.50 perches according to the plan thereof No. 1,641 dated February 12, 1920, made by I. H. W. Smith, Fiscal's Licensed Surveyor, held and possessed by the defendant under and by virtue of a Fiscal's conveyance No. 12,391/1920 dated February 23, 1920, and therein referred to as Tantrimudalige Don John Cornelius, registered A 137/150 in the Colombo District Land Registry Office, together with all the buildings now standing or at any time hereafter to be erected thereon, and all appurtenances whatsoever to the said property and premises belonging, or in any wise appertaining or held to belong or be appurtenant thereto or used or enjoyed therewith, and all the estate, right, title, interest, property, claim, and demand whatsoever of the defendant of, into, upon, or out of the said property and premises.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August, 8, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

D. P. A. Wijewardene of Montrose, Horton place,
Colombo Plaintiff.

No. 4,412. Vs.

Don Salmon Yatawaka of Yatawaka, Veyan-
goda Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, September 8, 1922, will be sold by public auction at the respective places the following property specially mortgaged and hypothecated with the plaintiff and decreed and ordered to be sold by the order of court dated June 8, 1922, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 165,000, with interest on Rs. 150,000 at 10 per cent. per annum from March 8, 1922, to the date of decree (March 22, 1922), and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs of suit to be taxed, viz. :—

All that and those the estate, plantations, and premises called and known as Yatawaka, situated in the District of Colombo, Western Province, comprising the following allotments of land, forming one property, and which from their situation as respects each other can be included in one survey, to wit :—

First Part.

1. All that allotment of land called Batadombagahalandia, situated in the village Bopagama in Udugaha pattu

of the Siyane korale, in the District of Colombo, Western Province; bounded on the north by lands described in plans Nos. 150,674 and 150,675, east by lands described in plans Nos. 150,673 and 11,454, south by Etambagahahena claimed by W. Baronchy Appu and land described in plan No. 72,214, and on the west by Gorakagahakumbura claimed by M. Singappu and an ela; containing in extent 6 acres 1 rood and 18 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,672 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General.

2. All that allotment of land called Panawaladolahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Hikgahahena, east by Crown land called Hikgahahena and land described in plan No. 150,675, south by land described in plan No. 150,672, and on the west by an ela; containing in extent 4 acres and 24 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,674 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke.

3. All that allotment of land called Panawaladolahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 167,514, on the east by Crown land called Hikgahahena and lands described in plan No. 150,673, south by land described in plan No. 150,672, and on the west by land described in plan No. 150,674; containing in extent 3 acres and 26 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,675 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke.

4. An allotment of lands situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Hikgahahena, east by Hikgahahena-ela, south by Batadombagahalandia claimed by K. Appu and Palinguhamy and lands described in plans Nos. 150,673 and 150,675, and on the west by lands described in plans Nos. 150,675 and 150,674 and a water-course; containing in extent 6 acres 2 roods and 14 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 167,514 dated August 6, 1895, authenticated by D. G. Mantell, Surveyor-General.

5. All that allotment of land called Belungalalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Hampalandegahalanda, east by land described in plan No. 150,654, south by lands described in plans Nos. 150,657, 150,656, and 150,655, and on the west by the Bopagama-ela; containing in extent 7 acres 3 roods and 23 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,660 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke.

6. All that allotment of land called Horegahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by lands claimed by Simon Appu and Baba Appu, east by lands claimed by Baba Appu, Baronchy Appu and Don Polan and Siman Appu and by land described in plan No. 66,389, south by lands claimed by Don Polan and Siman Appu and land described in plan No. 66,389 and by land said to belong to the Crown, west by land described in plan No. 66,392 and by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the north-west by land claimed by Siman Appu; containing in extent 28 acres and 1 rood according to the survey and description thereof No. 66,390 dated July 21, 1866, authenticated by A. B. Fyers, Surveyor-General.

7. All that allotment of land called Kadurugahahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by lands described in plans Nos. 66,440 and 62,650 and by land said to belong to the Crown, north-east by land described in plan No. 61,005, east by land described in plan No. 52,651 and by land said to belong to the Crown, south-east by land described in plan No. 71,299, and on the west by lands described in plans Nos. 71,298 and 66,440; containing in extent 14 acres 1 rood and 15 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 71,315 dated February 29, 1868, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

8. All that allotment of land called Katuwelanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north-west and north by land described in plan No. 71,299, north-east by land described in plan No. 71,299 and by land said to belong to the Crown, east by land said to belong to the Crown, south-east by land described in plan No. 71,303, south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the south-west by land said to belong to the Crown and by land claimed by Samuel Appu; containing in extent 18 acres 3 roods and 11 perches according to the survey and

description No. 71,302 dated February 29, 1868, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

9. All that allotment of land called Dehiakele, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land claimed by K. Baba Appu and another and land said to belong to the Crown, north-east by land described in plan No. 124,678, east by a stream and land said to belong to the Crown, south-east by land described in plan No. 124,679, south and south-west by land said to belong to the Crown, west by Nagas-ela, a stream, and land claimed by K. Baba Appu and another, north-west by land claimed by K. Baba Appu and land described in plan No. 124,687; containing in extent 75 acres and 3 roods according to the survey and description thereof No. 124,688 dated August 31, 1882, authenticated by J. Stoddart, Acting Surveyor-General.

10. All that allotment of land called Dunakeliawilakele, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by Bopagama-ela and Crown land, south by Crown land and land described in plan No. 124,690, and on all other sides by Bopagama-ela; containing in extent 3 acres 1 rood and 10 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 128,997 dated November 21, 1883, authenticated by the said J. Stoddart.

11. All that allotment of land called Kahatagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plans Nos. 146,547 and 146,546, north-east by land described in plan No. 146,547, east by Crown land called Hikgahahena and ela, south-east and south by an ela, south-west by land described in plan No. 146,549, west by land described in plan No. 6,595 and Belungalahena claimed by Julis Appu, and on the north-west by Belungalahena claimed by Julis Appu and Belungalalanda claimed by Julis and others; containing in extent 9 acres 2 roods and 12 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 146,548 dated September 21, 1888, authenticated by the said C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General.

12. All that allotment of land called Batadombagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by land described in plan No. 66,390 by land said to belong to the Crown, south by land said to belong to the Crown, south-west by land said to belong to the Crown and by land claimed by Kusal Hamy, and on all other sides by land said to belong to the Crown; containing in extent 19 acres and 14 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 66,394 dated July 21, 1866, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

13. All that allotment of land called Moragahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by the fields, south by land of Nonchy Hamy, west by land belonging to Juan Appu and others, and on the north by ditch; containing in extent 4 acres more or less.

14. All that allotment of land called Welikumburawatta, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by land belonging to Yadehige people, south by Wickremeachhigewatta, west by canal, and north by Wanakumbura; containing in extent about 6 bushels of paddy sowing.

15. All that allotment of land called Nugagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by Malhamygekumbura, and south-west and north by land purchased by Wickremeachchi Appuhamillage Baronchy Appuhamy; containing in extent 1 acre 1 rood and 10 perches.

16. All that allotment of land called Miyanwilakolahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by T. P. 179,587 and Crown land, east by T. Ps. 24,012 and 24,013, south by T. P. 24,014, and on the west by T. Ps. 150,652 and 150,651; containing in extent 3 acres according to the survey and description thereof No. 211,745 dated February 5, 1894, authenticated by F. H. Grinlinton, Surveyor-General.

17. All that allotment of land called Kongahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by T. P. 24,663 and Crown land, east by Crown land T. P. 24,665, south by T. Ps. 24,665 and 24,666, and on the west by T. Ps. 24,666 and 146,545; containing in extent 6 acres 1 rood and 22 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 22,664 dated June 5, 1903, authenticated by the said F. H. Grinlinton.

18. All that allotment of land called Kongahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by T. Ps. 146,546 and 146,545, east by T. Ps. 24,664 and 24,665, a path, and Hikgaha-ela, south by T. P. 16,754, and on the

west by T. P. 146,548; containing in extent, exclusive of the path passing through the land, 7 acres and 1 rood according to the survey and description thereof No. 24,666 dated June 5, 1903, authenticated by F. H. Grinlinton.

19. All that allotment of land called Alubogahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Bopagama-ela, and on all other sides by Crown land; containing in extent 1 rood and 35 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 199,049 dated August 8, 1902, authenticated by the said F. H. Grinlinton.

20. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Dunakeiyawilakele, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north-east by land described in plans Nos. 124,618 and 124,629, east by Dunakeiyawila-ela, south-east by Dunakeiyawila-ela and Bopagama-ela, south-west by land described in plan No. 124,690, and on the west by Crown land and Nagas-ela; containing in extent 36 acres 3 roods and 5 perches according to the title plan No. 128,996.

21. An undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ part of all that allotment of land called Pelengahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Gorakagahakumbura claimed by Julis Appu and land described in plan No. 65,657, north-east by land described in plan No. 146,549 and an ela, east by land described in plans Nos. 65,957 and 146,549 and an ela, south-east by an ela and Gorakagahakumbura claimed by M. Palingu Hamy, south by an ela, on the west by an ela and Gorakagahakumbura claimed by M. Palingu Hamy, west by an ela, and north-west by Gorakagahakumbura claimed by M. Palingu Hamy and Punchy Rala; containing in extent 14 acres and 3 roods as per survey plan thereof bearing No. 146,550 dated September 21, 1888, and authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General; registered under F 12/74.

22. All that allotment of land called Dombagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; and bounded on the west and north by T. P. 211,746, east by Crown land and Wauldola, and south by T. P. 140,647 and a stream; containing in extent 2 acres 1 rood and 15 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 211,747 dated February 5, 1904, and authenticated by the said F. H. Grinlinton, Surveyor-General.

23. All that allotment of land called Dambuatalalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by lots 21332 and 21330 in T. P. 13,295, east and south by Crown land, and west by T. Ps. 212,460 and 255,233; containing in extent 3 acres 3 roods and 12 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 256,583 dated August 15, 1908, and authenticated by R. S. Templeton, Esq., Surveyor-General.

24. All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of all that field called Milagahakumbura, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by Niladapalakumbura belonging to Wickreme Achchiley Appuhamillage Don Baronchy Appuhamy, south by high ground of Godayahakkuruge people, west by Wekanda, and north by water-course called Depawella; containing in extent 3 bushels of paddy sowing; registered F 26/141.

25. All that allotment of land called Belungalahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 150,660, east by land described in plan No. 150,657, south by land described in plan No. 160,651, and west by land described in plan No. 65,956 and the Bopagama-ela; containing in extent 1 acre 2 roods and 32 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,655 dated November 11, 1889, and authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke; registered F 12/367.

26. All that allotment of land called Katukongahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Dombagahahena and Katukongahalanda, east by Crown land called Katukongahalanda, south by Crown lands called Katukongahalanda and Dombagahahena, and west by land described in plan No. 150,696; containing in extent, exclusive of the Wauldola passing through the land, 1 acre and 20 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,647 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General; registered F 12/30.

27. All that allotment of land called Miyanakolawilalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 150,651, east by

Crown land called Dambugahalanda and Madagamawatta claimed by L. Baba Appu and others, south by Madagamankumbura claimed by L. Baba Appu and another, and west by Dambugahalanda claimed by Peris Appu and Crown land called Dambugahalanda; containing in extent 1 acre and 23 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,652 dated November 11, 1889, and authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General; registered F 12/36.

28. All that allotment of land called Miyanawilakoléhena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; and bounded on the south by land described in plan No. 150,652, and on all other sides by Crown land called Dambugahalanda; containing in extent 1 acre according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,651 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General; registered F 12/365.

29. All that allotment of land called Dambugahaléna, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Dambugahaléna and the Wauldola, east by land described in plan No. 150,649, and south and west by Crown land called Dambugahaléna; containing in extent 1 acre 1 rood and 28 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,646 dated November 4, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General; registered F 12/364.

30. An allotment of land called Belungalahéna, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the south by land described in plan No. 150,657, and on all other sides by land described in plan No. 150,660; containing in extent 2 roods and 12 perches as per title plan No. 150,656; registered F 12/368.

31. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Waljumbugahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the west and north by land described in title plan No. 127,059, on the east by land described in title plan No. 255,451, and on the south by land described in title plan No. 257,700 and land claimed by natives; containing in extent 3 roods and 32 perches according to the title plan No. 264,082 held under Crown grant dated August 23, 1909; registered under title F 49/33.

32. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Belungalahéna, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land said to belong to the Crown and land described in plan No. 22,214, on the north-east by land described in plan No. 72,214, on the east by a path, on the south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the west by land said to belong to the Crown and land described in plan No. 65,959; containing in extent 37 acres 1 rood and 36 perches according to the title plan No. 111,453 dated November 25, 1878, authenticated by A. B. Fyers, Surveyor-General, held under Crown grant dated March 1, 1879; registered F 34/376, 5/159.

33. All that allotment of land called Katuelanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by lands described in plans Nos. 21,298, 71,315, and 52,951, east by land said to belong to the Crown and by land described in plan No. 52,951, south-east by land described in plan Nos. 52,951 and 71,302 and by land said to belong to the Crown, south by land described in plan No. 71,302, and on the west by land claimed by Samuel Appu and Amara-sekera; containing in extent 20 acres.

Schedule "B," Part II.

1. All that allotment of land called Talawatukanda, situated in the village Yatawaka in the Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the west and north by land claimed by J. Appusinghe, east by land described in plan No. 130,863, and on the south by Crown land; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 33 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 130,864 dated May 13, 1884, made by the said J. H. Stoddart, Acting Surveyor-General.

2. All that allotment of land called Talawatukanda, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the west by land described in plan No. 130,864, and on all other sides by Crown land; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 38 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 130,863 dated May 13, 1884, authenticated by the said J. H. Stoddart.

3. All that allotment of land called Millagahawatta, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by

Acharigewatta and field, south by Kadurugahalanda, west by Katuwelanda, north by field; containing in extent about 30 acres more or less.

4. All that allotment of land called Millagahawatta, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the north and north-west by land said to belong to the Crown and by land claimed by V. Punchi Appuhamy, north-east and east by land described in plan No. 52,950 and by land claimed by V. A. Punchi Appuhamy, south-east by land claimed by Galwadurugey Juanis Naide and by land said to belong to the Crown, and on all other sides by land said to belong to the Crown; containing in extent 8 acres 1 rood and 34 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 5,951 dated August 31, 1860, authenticated by Charles Sim, Surveyor-General.

5. All that allotment of land called Wekandewatta, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; and bounded on the east by field and ditch, south by Warakumbura, west by Yatawaka-oya, and north by field; containing in extent 3 acres.

6. One undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Kahatagahalanda, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the north by land claimed by V. A. Baronchy Appu, by Dalukkatula-dola, land described in plan No. 124,179 and said to belong to the Crown, east and south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the west by land claimed by H. B. Kusalhamy, G. A. Kiri Neide, and V. A. Baronchy Appu, and by Bopagama-ela; containing in extent 57 acres and 2 roods according to the survey and description thereof No. 124,274 dated July 25, 1882, authenticated by J. Stoddart.

7. All that allotment of land called Dalukgahalanda, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the north by Nagahawatta claimed by K. A. Baronchy Appu and Crown land called Kahatagahalanda, on the east by Crown land called Kahatagahalanda, on the south by land described in plan No. 124,179, and on the west by Dalukatula-dola and Helemburukumbura, and Nagahawatta claimed by V. Baronchy Appu; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 10 perches as per title plan No. 150,686; registered F 13/15, held under Crown grant dated June 30, 1890.

8. All that field called Halgahakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by the water-course, south by the liminary dam of Nadukumbura, west by Halgahawatta, and on the north by the field of Wickreme Achchi Appuhamillage people; containing in extent about $4\frac{1}{2}$ bushels of paddy sowing.

9. All that field called Polonkumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Yatawaka canal, south by Sahitakumbura, west by high land, and north by a portion of the field belonging to Sabchy Appu; containing in extent about 6 bushels of paddy sowing.

10. All that field called Halgahakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Yatawaka canal, south by high land, and west by Acharigekumbura, and north by Halgahakumbura; containing in extent 3 bushels of paddy sowing.

11. All that field called Gorapatakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Yatawaka canal, south and west by the boundary dam belonging to Jagodi Aratchige Egi, and on the north by Yatawaka canal and high land; containing in extent about 3 bushels of paddy sowing.

12. All that field called Helikumburakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by high land, south by Pinliyadda, west by Ihalawanatta and Halgahakumbura belonging to Madurupilliraddige people, and on the west by Helikumbura purchased by Wickremeachehi Appuhamillage Haramanis Appuhamy; containing in extent 4 bushels and 2 pecks of paddy sowing.

13. All that field called Sahitakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Beliatte-lyadda, south by Acharigekumbura, west by Acharigewatta, and on the north by the boundary dam of Palankumbura; containing in extent 3 bushels of paddy sowing.

14. All that field called Muladerekumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Yatawaka-ela, south by Polenkumbura of Wickremeachehi Appuhamy, and west by Radagewatta, and north by Radagekumbura; containing in extent 3 pecks of paddy sowing.

15. All that field called Gorapatakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by the high land,

south and west by Yatawaka-ela, and on the north by Pinkumbura belonging to Yatawaka temple; containing in extent $4\frac{1}{2}$ bushels of paddy sowing.

16. All that field called Helumburakumburairawella, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by the boundary dam of Delgahakumbura of Carnis Appuhamy, south by the field belonging to Caspe Bhuddarakkita Terunnanse, west by irawella of this field and by ela, and north also by ela (canal); containing in extent $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushel of paddy sowing.

17. All that field called Amunukumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Aswedduma and by high land, south by high land, west by field of Allis Appu and by Radagekumbura, and north by Galwadu-achcharigeaswedduma; containing in extent 4 bushels of paddy sowing.

18. All that field called Kotadeniyawekumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the canal, south by Ambagahakumbura belonging to Jagodige Samuel Appu, west by the dam of the canal, and north by Kotadeniyawekumbura belonging to Jagodige Samuel Appu and others; containing in extent 2 bushels of paddy sowing.

19. All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of all that field Kogahakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; and bounded on the south by the boundary ridge of the field of Baronchy Appuhamy, and south also by the field of the said Allis Appu; containing in extent 2 bushels of paddy sowing.

20. An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Talgahawatta, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by galwetiya, on the south by a portion of the same land belonging to Jagodi Atchige Balahamy, on the west by a portion of the same land belonging to Jagodi Atchige Puchihamy, and on the north also by galwetiya; containing in extent ground sufficient to sow about 6 bushels of paddy.

21. An undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ part or share of and in all that land called Halgahakumburairawella, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by the high land belonging to the Crown, on the south by Talgahawatta, on the west by the portion of the same field sold by Ingurala known as irawella, and on the north by ela; containing in extent ground sufficient to sow about 1 bushel of paddy.

Schedule "B," Part III.

1. All that allotment of land called Caloogahalanda, situated at Meewitigammana in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 52,672, east by land described in plan No. 52,673, south-east and south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the west by a road; containing in extent 4 acres 1 rood and 7 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 52,674 dated August 4, 1860, authenticated by Charles Sim, Surveyor-General.

2. All that allotment of land Caloogahalanda, situated at Meewitigammana aforesaid; bounded on the north-east by land described in plan No. 58,673; south-east and south by land said to belong to the Crown, west by land described in plan No. 52,674; and on the north-west by land described in plan No. 52,678; containing in extent 2 acres 3 roods and 17 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 52,675 dated August 4, 1860; authenticated by the said Charles Sim.

3. All that allotment of land called Caloogahalanda, situated at Meewitigammana aforesaid; bounded on the east by Kadurugahalandewatta and field, south by ditch, west by land belonging to Hakgalage Issan Appu and others, and north by land belonging to Baronchy Appu and others; containing in extent about 15 acres, which said land is according to the title plan thereof No. 62,672 dated August 4, 1860, authenticated by the said Charles Sim, described as follows: All that allotment of land called Caloogahalanda, situated at Meewitigammana aforesaid; bounded on the south-west by land said to belong to the Crown and by land described in plans Nos. 52,675 and 52,672, and on all other sides by land said to belong to the Crown; containing in extent 14 acres 2 roods and 9 perches.

4. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of all that allotment of land called Heniahena, situated at Meewitigammana aforesaid; bounded on the north by land claimed by Sinehy Appu and Puchi Appu, east by land claimed by Samuel Appu,

south-east by land said to belong to the Crown, south by land described in plan No. 66,442, and south-west and north-west by land claimed by Amaris Appu; containing in extent 10 acres and 6 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 66,441 dated July 20, 1866, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

5. All that allotment of land called Katukelalanda, situated at Meewitigammana aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plans Nos. 52,674 and 52,675, on the east by lands claimed by natives, on the south by land described in title plan No. 184,129, and on the west by land described in title plans Nos. 171,300 and 52,647; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 32 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 184,143 dated November 18, 1889, authenticated by F. H. Grinlinton, Surveyor-General.

6. All that allotment of land called Dambugahalanda, situated at Urapola in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north-east and east by lands said to belong to the Crown, south by land described in plan No. 65,967; containing in extent 6 acres 2 roods and 12 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 65,966 dated May 5, 1866; authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers, Surveyor-General.

7. All that allotment of land called Horagahalanda, situated at Urapola aforesaid; bounded on the north-west and north by land said to belong to the Crown, east and south by land described in plan No. 52,776 dated May 5, 1866, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

8. All that allotment of land called Haliyamadapammina, situated at Karasugala in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 137,804 and a footpath, reservation for a road, Dunakeiyadeniyalanda claimed by Balahamy and others, east by Crown land called Moratulapalakele, south by land described in plan No. 150,569 and footpath, and on the west by the Crown land called Bulutwelagola-palle; containing in extent, exclusive of the footpath passing through the land 3 acres 1 rood and 35 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,568 dated October 31, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke.

9. All that land called Weeraderakumbura, situated at Pallakumbura in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by a footpath, on the north-east by the land appearing in plan No. 52,772, east by the land of Mirigamage Siman Appu, south by the land appearing in plan No. 96,712, and west by a Crown land and the lands belonging to Mirigamage Siman Appu and Kiribandara Appuhamillage Puchihamy; containing in extent 2 acres 2 roods and 18 perches.

10. All that allotment of land called Katukelalanda, situated in the village Dinapamuniwa in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north and east by land said to belong to the Crown, south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the south-west and west by a road; containing in extent 9 acres 1 rood and 2 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 52,768 dated August 11, 1860, authenticated by the said Charles Sim.

11. A portion in extent 7 acres 3 roods and 20 perches out of an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of the land called Dombagahalanda, situated at Dinapamuniwa aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 71,738 and land claimed by Haggalage Hamy, north-east by land purchased by D. N. Wijesinghe Lama Etana, east by land purchased by D. N. Wijesinghe Lama Etana and land described in plans Nos. 52,825, 43,807, and 66,440, south by land described in plans Nos. 71,298 and 113,806, and on the south-west and west by lands claimed by Issan Appu and others, Hotcha and others, David Appu and others, Girigoris Appu and others, Kusal Hamy and others, land said to belong to the temple, and land described in plan No. 113,798; containing in extent 19 acres and 3 roods according to the survey and description thereof No. 113,805 dated August 25, 1879, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

12. All that field called Haduwela, situated in the village Dorandewala in Meda pattu of Siyane korale aforesaid; bounded on the east by the field of Mapege Siman Appu and others, south by the field of Mapege family and Depawella, west by the field purchased by Edirisinaratchirallage Don Bastian Appuhamy, and north by the high ground; containing in extent about 7 bushels of paddy sowing.

13. All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of the field called Haduwella, situated at Dorandewala aforesaid; bounded on the east by the high land belonging to Don Carolis and Abraham Naide, south and west by the water-course called Depawella, and on the north by the high ground; containing in extent 14 bushels of paddy sowing.

14. All that portion of land called Gorakagahawatta, situated at Udagama, in Gangaboda pattu in Siyane korale aforesaid; bounded on the north by the ground belonging to the family of Timbrialage people, east by the high road leading from Hanwella to Pasyala, south by the live fence of the garden belonging to the family of Warusamanapedige people, and west by the old road and the live fence; containing in extent 2 pecks of paddy sowing.

15. All that portion of land called Gorakagahawatta, situated at Udagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by the land belonging to Don Salmon Vidanaratchige and others, east by the high road leading from Hanwella to Pasyala, and south and west by the live fence of the garden belonging to the family of Warusamanapedige people; containing in extent 3 pecks of paddy sowing.

16. All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of the field called Galahitiyawekumbura, situated at Werahera in the Gangaboda pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by Galabodakumbura, west by the Crown forest and Halgahakumbura, south by the water-course called Depawella, and west by Beliattekumbura; containing in extent 12 bushels of paddy sowing.

All the right, title, interest, and claim whatsoever of the defendant into, upon, or out of the said several premises.

The said properties are more correctly described as follows:—

Lot 1, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 12 noon.

1. All those three allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that allotment of land called Dambugahalanda, situated at Urapola, in Udugaha pattu of Siyane korale in the District of Colombo, Western Province; bounded on the north-east and east by lands said to belong to the Crown, south by land described in plan No. 65,967; containing in extent 6 acres 2 roods and 12 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 65,966, dated May 5, 1866, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers, Surveyor-General.

(2) All that allotment of land called Horagahalanda, situated at Urapola, in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north-west and north by land said to belong to the Crown, east and south by land described in plan No. 52,776 dated May 5, 1866, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers; containing in extent 5 acres 1 rood and 10 perches.

(3) All that allotment of land called Katukelelanda, situated in the village Dinapamunuwa, in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north and east by land said to belong to the Crown, south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the south-west and west by a road; containing in extent 9 acres 1 rood and 2 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 52,768 dated August 11, 1860, authenticated by the said Charles Sim.

Lot 2, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 12.30 P.M.

2. All that field called Kotadeniyawekumbura, situated at Yatawaka, in the Udugaha pattu aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the canal, south by Ambagahakumbura belonging to Jagodige Samuel Appu, west by the dam of the canal, and north by Kotadeniyawekumbura belonging to Jagodige Samuel Appu and others; containing in extent 2 bushels of paddy sowing.

Lot 3, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 1 P.M.

3. All those two allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that field called Gorapatakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Yatawaka canal, south and west by the boundary dam belonging to Jagodi Arachchige Egi, and on the north by Yatawaka canal and high land; containing in extent about 3 bushels of paddy sowing.

(2) All that field called Gorapatakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by the high land, south and west by Yatawaka-ela, and on the north by Pinkumbura belonging to Yatawaka temple; containing in extent $4\frac{1}{2}$ bushels of paddy sowing.

Lot 4, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 1.30 P.M.

4. All those two allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that allotment of land called Talawatukanda, situated in the village Yatawaka, in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the west and north by land claimed by J. Appusingho, east by land described in plan No. 130,863, and on the south by Crown land; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 33 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 130,864 dated May 13, 1884, made by the said J. Stoddart, Acting Surveyor-General.

(2) All that allotment of land called Talawatukanda, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the west by land described in plan No. 130,864, and on all other sides by Crown land; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 38 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 130,863 dated May 13, 1884, authenticated by the said J. Stoddart.

Lot 5, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 2 P.M.

5. All those three allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that allotment of land called Belungalalanda, situated at Bopagama, in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Hampelanda-ihalanda, east by land described in plan No. 150,654, south by lands described in plans Nos. 150,657, 150,656, and 150,655, and on the west by the Bopagama-ela; containing in extent 7 acres 3 roods and 23 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,660 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke.

(2) All that allotment of land called Belungahahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 150,660, east by land described in plan No. 150,657, south by land described in plan No. 160,651, and west by land described in plan No. 65,956 and the Bopagama-ela; containing in extent 1 acre 2 roods and 32 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,655 dated November 11, 1889, and authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, registered F 12/367.

(3) An allotment of land called Belungahahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the south by land described in plan No. 150,657, and on all other sides by land described in plan No. 150,660; containing in extent 2 roods and 26 perches as per title plan No. 150,656, registered F 12/368.

Lot 6, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 2.30 P.M.

6. All that allotment of land called Alubogahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Bopagama-ela, and on all other sides by Crown land; containing in extent 1 rood and 35 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 199,040 dated August 8, 1902, authenticated by the said F. H. Grinlinton.

Lot 7, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 3 P.M.

7. An undivided 4th part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Waljambugahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the west and north by land described in title plan No. 127,059, on the east by land described in title plan No. 255,451, and on the south by land described in title plan No. 257,700 and land claimed by natives; containing in extent 3 roods and 32 perches according to the title plan No. 264,082 held under Crown grant dated August 23, 1909, registered under title F 49/33.

Lot 8, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 3.30 P.M.

8. All those three allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that allotment of land called Dambagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; and bounded on the west

and north by T. P. 211,746, east by Crown land and Waul-dola, and south by T. P. 140,647 and a stream; containing in extent 2 acres 1 rood and 15 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 211,747 dated February 5, 1904, and authenticated by the said F. H. Grinlinton, Surveyor-General.

(2) All that allotment of land called Dambagahahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Dambagahahena and the Waul-dola, east by land described in plan No. 150,649, and south and west by Crown land called Dambagahahena; containing in extent 1 acre 1 rood and 28 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,646 dated November 4, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General, registered F 12/364.

(3) All that allotment of land called Katukongahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Dambagahahena and Katukongahalanda, east by Crown land called Katukongahalanda, south by Crown land called Katukongahalanda and Dambagahahena, and west by land described in plan No. 150,606; containing in extent, exclusive of the Waul-dola passing through the land, 1 acre and 20 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,647 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General, registered F 12/30.

Lot 9, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 4 P.M.

9. All those three allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that allotment of land called Niyanwilakolahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by T. P. 179,587 and Crown land, east by T. Ps. 24,012 and 24,013, south by T. P. 24,014, and on the west by T. Ps. 150,652 and 150,651; containing in extent 3 acres according to the survey and description thereof No. 211,745 dated February 5, 1904, authenticated by F. H. Grinlinton, Surveyor-General.

(2) All that allotment of land called Miyanakolawilahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 150,651, east by Crown land called Dambagahalanda and Madagamanawatta claimed by L. Baba Appu and others, south by Madagamanakumbura claimed by L. Baba Appu and another, and west by Dambagahalanda claimed by H. Pieris Appu and Crown land called Dambagahalanda; containing in extent 1 acre and 23 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,652 dated November 11, 1889, and authenticated by F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General, registered F 12/36.

(3) All that allotment of land called Miyanakolamulahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; and bounded on the south by land described in plan 150,652, and on all other sides by Crown land called Dambagahalanda; containing in extent 1 acre according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 150,651 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General, registered F 12/364.

Lot 10, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 4.30 P.M.

10. All those three allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that allotment of land called Dahiyakele, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land claimed by K. Baba Appu and another and land said to belong to the Crown, north-east by land described in plan No. 124,678, east by a stream and land said to belong to the Crown, south-east by land described in plan No. 124,679, south and south-west by land said to belong to the Crown, west by Nagas ela, a stream, and land claimed by K. Baba Appu and others, north-west by land claimed by K. Baba Appu and land described in plan No. 124,687; containing in extent 75 acres and 3 roods according to the survey and description thereof No. 124,688 dated August 31, 1882, authenticated by J. Stoddart, Acting Surveyor-General.

(2) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Dunakeiyawilakele, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north-east by lands described in plans Nos. 124,618 and 124,620, east by Dunakeiyawila-ela, south-east by Dunakeiyawila-ela, and Bopagama-ela, south-west by land described in plan

No. 124,690, and on the west by Crown land and Nagas-ela; containing in extent 36 acres 3 roods and 5 perches according to the title plan No. 128,996.

(3) All that allotment of land called Dunakeiyawila-ela, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by Bopagama-ela and Crown land, south by Crown land and land described in plan No. 124,690, and on all other sides by Bopagama-ela; containing in extent 3 acres 1 rood and 10 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 128,907 dated November 21, 1883, authenticated by the said J. Stoddart.

Lot 11, on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 5 P.M.

11. All those eight allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that allotment of land called Kongahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by T. P. 24,663 and Crown land, east by Crown land, T. P. 24,665, south by T. Ps. 24,665 and 24,666, and on the west by T. Ps. 24,666 and 146,545; containing in extent 6 acres 1 rood and 22 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 24,664 dated June 5, 1903, authenticated by F. H. Grinlinton.

(2) All that allotment of land called Kongahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by T. Ps. 146,546 and 146,545, east by T. Ps. 24,664 and 24,665, a path, and Hikgaha-ela, south by T. P. 16,754, and on the west by T. P. 146,548; containing in extent, exclusive of the path passing through the land, 7 acres and 1 rood according to the survey and description thereof No. 24,666 dated June 5, 1903, authenticated by F. H. Grinlinton.

(3) All that allotment of land called Kahatagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by lands described in plans Nos. 146,547 and 146,546, north-east by land described in plan No. 146,547, east by Crown land called Hikgahahena and ela, south-east and south by an ela, south-west by land described in plan No. 146,549, west by land described in plan No. 65,957 and Belungalahena claimed by Julis Appu, and on the north-west by Belungalahena claimed by Julis Appu and Belungalalanda claimed by Julis and others; containing in extent 9 acres 2 roods and 12 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 146,548 dated September 21, 1888, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General.

(4) All that allotment of land called Panawaladolahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Hikgahahena, east by Crown land called Hikgahahena and land described in plan No. 150,675, south by the land described in plan No. 150,672, and on the west by ela; containing in extent 4 acres and 24 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,674 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke.

(5) All that allotment of land called Panawaladolahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 167,514, on the east by Crown land called Hikgahahena and lands described in plan No. 150,673, south by land described in plan No. 150,672, and on the west by land described in plan No. 150,674; containing in extent 3 acres and 26 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,675 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke.

(6) An allotment of land situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by Crown land called Hikgahahena, east by the Hikgahahena-ela, south by Batadombagahalanda claimed by K. Appu and Palinguhamy, and lands described in plans Nos. 150,673 and 150,675, and on the west by land described in plans Nos. 150,675 and 150,674 and a water-course; containing in extent 6 acres 2 roods and 14 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 167,514 dated August 6, 1895, authenticated by D. G. Mantell, Surveyor-General.

(7) All that allotment of land called Batadombagahalanda, situated in the village Bopagama in Udugaha pattu of the Siyane korale, in the District of Colombo, Western Province; bounded on the north by lands described in plans Nos. 150,674 and 150,675, east by lands described in plans Nos. 150,673 and 11,454, south by Etambagahahena claimed by W. Baronchi Appu and land described in plan No. 72,214, and on the west by Gorakagahakumbura claimed by M. Singho Appu and an ela; containing in

extent 6 acres 1 rood and 18 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,672 dated November 11, 1889, authenticated by F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General.

(8) An undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ part of all that allotment of land called Pelengahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Gorakagahakumbura claimed by Julis Appu and land described in plan No. 65,657, north-east by land described in plan No. 146,549 and an ela, east by lands described in plans Nos. 65,957 and 146,549 and an ela, south-east by an ela and Gorakagahakumbura claimed by M. Palinguhamy, south by an ela, on the west by an ela and Gorakagahakumbura claimed by M. Palinguhamy, west by an ela, and north-west by Gorakagahakumbura claimed by M. Palinguhamy and Punchirala; containing in extent 14 acres and 3 roods as per survey plan thereof bearing No. 146,550 dated September 21, 1888, and authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke, Surveyor-General.

Lot 12, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 10 A.M.

12. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Belungalahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land said to belong to the Crown and land described in plan No. 72,214, on the north-east by land described in plan No. 72,214, on the east by a path, on the south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the west by a land said to belong to the Crown and land described in plan No. 65,959; containing in extent 37 acres 1 rood and 36 perches according to the title plan No. 111,453 dated November 25, 1878, authenticated by A. B. Fyers, Surveyor-General, held under Crown grant dated March 1, 1879, registered F 34/376, 5/159.

Lot 13, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 10.30 A.M.

13. All that allotment of land called Welikumburawatta, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by land belonging to Yadehige people, south by Wickreme Achchigewatta, west by canal, and north by Wanakumbura; containing in extent about 6 bushels of paddy sowing.

Lot 14, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 11 A.M.

14. All those thirty-one allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) A portion in extent 7 acres 3 roods and 20 perches out of an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of the land called Dombagahalanda, situated at Dinapamunuwa aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 71,738 and land claimed by Hakgalagey Hamy, north-east by land purchased by D. N. Wijesinghe Lama Etana, east by land purchased by D. N. Wijesinghe Lama Etana and land described in plan No. 52,825, 43,807, and 66,440, south by land described in plan No. 71,298 and 113,806, and on the south-west and west by land claimed by Issan Appu and others, Hotcha and others, David Appu and others, Girigoris Appu and others, Kusal Hamy and others, lands said to belong to the temple, and land described in plan No. 113,798; containing in extent 19 acres and 3 roods according to the survey and description thereof No. 113,805 dated August 25, 1879, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

(2) All that allotment of land called Kadurugalahena, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plans Nos. 66,440 and 62,650 and by land said to belong to the Crown, north-east by land described in plan No. 61,005, east by land described in plan No. 52,651 and by land said to belong to the Crown, south-east by land described in plan No. 71,299, and on the west by lands described in plans Nos. 71,298 and 66,440; containing in extent 14 acres 1 rood and 16 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 71,315 dated February 29, 1868, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

(3) All that allotment of land called Katuwelanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north-west and north by land described in plan No. 71,299, north-east by land described in plan No. 71,299 and by land said to belong to the Crown, east by land said to belong to the Crown, south-east by land described in plan No. 71,303, south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the south-west by land said to belong to the Crown, and by land claimed by Samuel Appu; containing in extent 18 acres

3 roods and 11 perches according to the survey and description No. 71,302 dated February 29, 1868, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

(4) All that allotment of land called Katuwelanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by lands described in plans Nos. 21,298, 71,315, and 52,951, east by land said to belong to the Crown and by land described in plan No. 52,951, south-east by lands described in plans Nos. 52,951 and 71,302 and by land said to belong to the Crown, south by land described in plan No. 71,302, and on the west by lands claimed by Samuel Appu and Amarasekera; containing in extent 20 acres.

(5) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of all that allotment of land called Heenahena, situated at Miwitigamana, in Udugaha-pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by land claimed by Sinchy Appu Punchi Appu, east by land claimed by Samuel Appu, south-east by land said to belong to the Crown, south by land described in plan No. 66,442, and south-west and north-west by land claimed by Amaris Appu; containing in extent 10 acres and 6 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 66,441 dated July 20, 1866, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

(6) All that allotment of land called Kalugahalanda, situated at Meewitigamana, in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 52,672, east by land described in plan No. 52,673, south-east and south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the west by a road; containing in extent 4 acres 1 rood and 7 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 52,674 dated August 4, 1860, authenticated by Charles Sim, Surveyor-General.

(7) All that allotment of land called Kalugahalanda, situated at Meewitigamana aforesaid; bounded on the north-east by land described in plan No. 58,673, south-east and south by land said to belong to the Crown, west by land described in plan No. 52,674, and on the north-west by land described in plan No. 52,678; containing in extent 2 acres 3 roods and 17 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 52,675 dated August 4, 1860, authenticated by the said Charles Sim.

(8) All that allotment of land called Kalahugahalanda, situated at Meewitigamana aforesaid; bounded on the east by Kadurugahalandewatta and field, south by ditch, west by land belonging to Haggalagey Issan Appu and others, and north by land belonging to Baronchy Appu and others; containing in extent about 15 acres, which said land is according to the title plan thereof No. 62,673 dated August 4, 1860, authenticated by the said Charles Sim, described as follows: All that allotment of land called Kalugahalanda, situated at Meewitigamana aforesaid; bounded on the south-west by land said to belong to the Crown and by lands described in plans Nos. 52,675 and 52,672, and on all other sides by land said to belong to the Crown; containing in extent 14 acres 2 roods and 9 perches.

(9) All that allotment of land called Katukelelenda, situated at Meewitigamana aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plans Nos. 52,674 and 52,675, on the east by land claimed by natives, on the south by land described in title plan No. 184,129, and on the west by lands described in title plans Nos. 171,300 and 52,647; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 32 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 184,148 dated November 18, 1899, authenticated by F. H. Grinlinton, Surveyor-General.

(10) All that allotment of land called Batadombagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by land described in plan No. 66,390 by land said to belong to the Crown, south by land said to belong to the Crown, south-west by land said to belong to the Crown and by land claimed by Kusalhamy, and on all other sides by land said to belong to the Crown; containing in extent 19 acres and 14 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 66,394 dated July 21, 1866, authenticated by the said A. B. Fyers.

(11) All that allotment of land called Horagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by lands claimed by Siman Appu and Baba Appu, east by lands claimed by Baba Appu, Baronchy Appu, and Don Polan and Siman Appu, and by land described in plan No. 66,389, south by lands claimed by Don Polan and Siman Appu and lands described in plan No. 66,389 and by land said to belong to the Crown, west by land described in plan No. 66,302 and

by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the north-west by land claimed by Siman Appu; containing in extent 28 acres and 1 rood according to the survey and description thereof No. 66,390 dated July 21, 1866, authenticated by A. B. Fyers, Surveyor-General.

(12) All that allotment of land called Horagahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by the field, south by land of Nonchi Hamy, west by land belonging to Juan Appu and others, and on the north by ditch; containing in extent 4 acres more or less.

(13) All that allotment of land called Millagahawatta, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the north and north-west by land said to belong to the Crown and by land claimed by V. A. Punchi Appuhamy, north-east and east by land described in plan No. 52,950 and by land claimed by V. A. Punchi Appuhamy, south-east by land claimed by Galvadirugey Juanis Naide and by land said to belong to the Crown, and on all other sides by land said to belong to the Crown; containing in extent 8 acres 1 rood and 34 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 50,951 dated August 31, 1860, authenticated by Charles Sim, Surveyor-General.

(14) All that field called Mulladarakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Yatawaka-ela, south by Polenkumbura of Wickreme Achchi Appuhamy, west by Radagewatta, and north by Radagekumbura; containing in extent 3 pecks of paddy sowing.

(15) All that field called Polonkumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Yatawaka canal, south by Sahitakumbura, west by high land, and north by a portion of the field belonging to Sanchy Appu; containing in extent about 6 bushels of paddy sowing.

(16) All that field called Helumburekumbura-irawella, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by the boundary dam of Delgahakumbura of Carnis Appuhamy, south by the field belonging to Gaspe Buhuddarakita Terunnanse, west by irawella of this field and by ela, and north also by ela (canal); containing in extent $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushel of paddy sowing.

(17) All that field called Sahitakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Beliatte-lyadda, south Achcharigeekumbura, west by the Achcharigeewatta, and on the north by the boundary dam of Polan-kumbura; containing in extent 3 bushels of paddy sowing.

(18) All that field called Halgahakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Yatawaka canal, south by high land, west by Achcharigeekumbura, and north by Halgahakumbura; containing in extent 3 bushels of paddy sowing.

(19) All that field called Halgahakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by the water-course, south by the limitary dam of the Nadukumbura, west by Halgahawatta, and on the north by the field of Wickreme Achchi Appuhamillage people; containing in extent about $4\frac{1}{2}$ bushels of paddy sowing.

(20) All that field called Amunukumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by aswed-duma and by high land, south by high land, west by field of Alles Appu and by Radagekumbura, and north by Galwaduachcharugeyaswed-duma; containing in extent 4 bushels of paddy sowing.

(21) All that allotment of land called Wekandewatta, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; and bounded on the east by field and ditch, south by Warekumbura, west by Yatawaka-oya, and north by field; containing in extent 3 acres.

(22) One undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Kahatagahalanda, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the north by lands claimed by V. A. Baronchy Appu, by Dalukkatuladola land described in plan No. 124,179 and said to belong to the Crown, east and south by land said to belong to the Crown, and on the west by land claimed by N. B. Kusalhamy, G. A. Kirinaide, and V. A. Baronchy Appu, and by Bopagama-ela; containing in extent 67 acres and 2 roods according to the survey and description thereof No. 124,274 dated July 25, 1882, authenticated by J. A. Stoddart.

(23) All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of all that field called Millagahakumbura, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by Niladapalakumbura belonging to Wickremeachchiley Appuhamillage Don

Baronchy Appuhamy, south by high ground of Godayahak-kuruge people, west by Wekande, and north by water-course called Depawella; containing in extent 3 bushels of paddy sowing, registered F 26/141.

(24) All that allotment of land called Nugegahalanda, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; bounded on the east by Malhamygeykumbura, and south, west, and north by land purchased by Wickremeachchi Appuhamillage Baronchy Appuhamy; containing in extent 1 acre 1 rood and 10 perches.

(25) All that allotment of land called Dambuatu-land, situated at Bopagama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by lots 21,332 and 21,330 in T. P. 13,295, east and south by Crown land, and west by T. Ps. 212,460 and 255,233; containing in extent 3 acres 3 roods and 12 perches according to the survey and description thereof bearing No. 256,583 dated August 15, 1908, and authenticated by R. S. Templeton, Esq., Surveyor-General.

(26) All that allotment of land called Millagahawatta, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by Achcharigeewatta and field, south by Kadurugahalanda, west by Katuwelanda, and north by field; containing in extent 30 acres more or less.

(27) All that allotment of land called Dalugahalanda, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the north by Nagahawatta claimed by K. Baronchy Appu and Crown land called Kahatagahalanda, on the east by Crown land called Kahatagahalanda, on the south by land described in plan No. 124,179, and on the west by Dalukattuledola and Helumburekumbura and Nagahawatta claimed by V. Baronchy Appu; containing in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 10 perches as per title plan No. 150,686, registered F 13/15 held under Crown grant dated June 30, 1890.

(28) All that field called Helikumburakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by high land, south by Pinliyadda, west by Ithalawanatta of Halgahakumbura belonging to Madurupilliradage people, and on the west by Helikumbura purchased by Wickreme Achchi Appuhamillage Haramanis Appuhamy; containing in extent 4 bushels and 2 pecks paddy sowing.

(29) All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of all that field called Kosgahakumbura, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; and bounded on the east by the boundary ridge of the field of Allis Appu, west by an oya, north by boundary ridge of the field of Baronchy Appuhamy, and south also by the field of the said Allis Appu; containing in extent 2 bushels of paddy sowing.

(30) An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that allotment of land called Talgahawatta, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by galwetiya, on the south by a portion of the same land belonging to Jagodige Achigey Balahamy, on the west by a portion of the same land belonging to Jagodi Achchige Puchchiamy, and on the north also by galwetiya; containing in extent ground sufficient to sow about 6 bushels of paddy.

(31) One undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share of and in all that land called Halgahakumburairawella, situated at Yatawaka aforesaid; bounded on the east by the high land belonging to the Crown, and on the south by Talgahawatta, on the west by the portion of the same field sold by Ungurala known as Irawella, and on the north by ela; containing in extent ground sufficient to sow about 1 bushel of paddy.

Lot 15, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 11.30 A.M.

15. All that allotment of land called Haliyamadapamunuwa, situated at Karasuagala, in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by land described in plan No. 137,804 and a footpath, reservation for a road, Dunakeiyadeniyalanda claimed by Balahamy and others, east by Crown land called Moratulapalekele, south by land described in plan No. 150,569 and footpath, and on the west by the Crown land called Bulatwalgollepalle; containing in extent, exclusive of the footpath passing through the land, 3 acres 1 rood and 35 perches according to the survey and description thereof No. 150,568 dated October 31, 1889, authenticated by the said F. C. H. Clarke.

Lot 16, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 12 noon.

16. All that land called Weradarakumbura, situated at Pallakumbura in Udugaha pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by a footpath, on the north-east by the land

appearing in plan No. 52,772, east by the land of Mirigamage Siman Appu, south by the land appearing in plan No. 96,712, and west by a Crown and the lands belonging to Mirigamage Siman Appu and Kiribandara Appuhamilage Punchihamy; containing in extent 2 acres 2 roods and 18 perches.

Lot 17, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 3 P.M.

17. All those two allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that field called Haduwella, situated in the village Dorandewala in Meda pattu of the Siyane korale aforesaid; bounded on the east by the field of Mapagey Siman Appu and others, south by the field of Mapagey family and Depawella, west by the field purchased by Edirisingha Arachchirallagey Don Bastian Appuhamy, and north by the high ground; containing in extent about 7 bushels of paddy sowing.

(2) All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of the field called Haduwila, situated at Dorandewala aforesaid; bounded on the east by the high land belonging to Don Carolis and Abaran Naide, south and west by the water-course called Depawella, and on the north by the high ground; containing in extent 14 bushels paddy sowing.

Lot 18, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 4 P.M.

18. All those two allotments of land forming one property consisting of—

(1) All that portion of land called Gorakagahawatta, situated at Udagama in Gangaboda pattu in Siyane korale aforesaid; bounded on the north by the ground belonging to the family of Timbiriya-alagey people, east by the high road leading from Hanwella to Pasyala, south by the live fence of the garden belonging to the family of Warusamanapedige people, and west by the old road and the live fence; containing in extent 2 pecks of paddy sowing.

(2) All that portion of land called Gorakagahawatta, situated at Udagama aforesaid; bounded on the north by the land belonging to Don Salmon Vidane Arachchigey and others, east by the high road leading from Hanwella to Pasyala, and south and west by the live fence of the garden belonging to the family of Warusamanapedige people; containing in extent 3 pecks of paddy sowing.

Lot 19, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 4.30 P.M.

19. All that undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part or share from and out of the field called Galahitiyawekumbura, situated at Werahera, in the Gangaboda pattu aforesaid; bounded on the north by Galabodakumbura, west by the Crown forest and Halgahakumbura, south by the water-course called Depawella, and west by Beliattekumbura, containing in extent 12 bushels of paddy sowing.

At the Yatawaka estate, on Saturday, September 9, 1922, starting at 12.30 P.M.

1. Decree in D. C., Colombo, case No. 49,259 for Rs. 49,600, with interest on Rs. 40,000 at 12 per cent. per annum from December 5, 1917, till February 6, 1919, and with further interest on the aggregate amount of the decree at 9 per cent. per annum from February 7, 1919, till payment in full, and costs, &c.

2. Decree in D. C., Colombo, case No. 1,074 of 1920 for Rs. 36,383.33, with interest on Rs. 18,500 at 10 per cent. per annum from June 18, 1920, till March 8, 1921, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum from March 9, 1921, till payment in full, and costs of suit.

3. Decree in D. C., Colombo, case No. 1,089 of 1920 for Rs. 68,156.71 $\frac{1}{2}$ and interest on Rs. 35,129.48 $\frac{1}{2}$ at the rate of 15 per cent. per annum from June 1, 1920, till March 8, 1921, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum on the aggregate amount from March 9, 1921, till payment in full, and costs of suit.

4. Decree in D. C., Colombo, case No. 1,258 of 1920 for Rs. 1,400 and costs.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 8, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Abraham Peter Casie Chetty of Pettah, Colombo, Plaintiff.
No. 4,550. Vs.

Hewadewage Charles Fernando, carrying on business under the name, style, and firm of E. P. Fernando & Co., Baillie street, Fort, Colombo, Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 2, 1922, will be sold by public auction at 15, Baillie street, Fort, Colombo, the following movable property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1,374.24, with legal interest thereon from April 3, 1922, till payment in full and costs of suit, viz. :—

At 10 A.M.

One satinwood almirah, 1 large writing table, 3 chairs, 1 clock, 1 writing table with drawers, 1 iron safe, 1 typewriter, 1 glass almirah, 3 chairs, 1 sideboard, 1 table with pigeonhole, 1 teapoy, 2 ebony chairs, 1 ebony couch, 2 rattan chairs, 2 lounges, 1 small square table, 1 corner whatnot, 1 stuffed crocodile, 2 wooden elephants, 1 nadun writing table, 1 ebony stand with mirror, 1 table with copying press, 3 old lounges, 1 sofa, 2 old balances, 1 small jak almirah, 1 wash-hand stand with basin, 1 dining table, 1 old ebony chair, 1 large picture.

At 11.30 A.M.

The following movable property lying at defendant's house No. 7, Kynsey road.

Westcote motor car bearing No. C 3825.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 7, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

S. L. Neina Marikar Hadjar of Turret road, Colombo, Plaintiff.
No. 5,571. Vs.

Avoc Lebbe Marikar Mohammado Abubucker, (2) Cassim Lebbe Hadjar Mohammad Rawoof, carrying on business under the name, style, and firm of Abubucker Rawoof of 37, 3rd Cross street, Pettah, Colombo, Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, September 6, 1922, at 1 P.M., will be sold by public auction at 37, 3rd Cross street, Pettah, Colombo, the following movable property, mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 1,616 dated May 6, 1922, and attested by M. R. Akbar, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 6,915, with interest at 9 per cent. per annum from July 12, 1922, until payment in full and costs of suit, viz. :—

All those hardware stock-in-trade goods, chattels, fittings, effects, and things lying in premises No. 37, 3rd Cross street, Pettah, Colombo, and also all and singular the hardware stock-in-trade, chattels, fittings, effects, and things which should from time to time and at all times under the continuance of the said bond No. 1,616 be brought or be in the said premises No. 37, 3rd Cross street, Pettah, Colombo, or be in any place or places of business and all their right, title, interest, claim, and demand, whatsoever therein and thereto and every part thereof and all the right, title, interest, claim, and demand, whatsoever of the said defendants.

Four heaps iron wires and tyres, 10 barrels iron nails, 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ barrels iron nails, 8 rolls lead, 10 pans, 2 rolls hoop iron, 10 spring sheets, 2 mill wheels, 2 cotton belts, 15 rat traps, 3 cast irons, 5 saws, 10 balances, 6 scales, 8 rubber wringlets, 10 bathing tubs, 25 cogwheels, 50 hammers, 12 black lead wires, 60 packets tin tax, 3 wet stones, 1 heap small lead wires, 3 heaps hoop iron, 5 bundles of handles, 45 packets screws, 1 heap electric fittings, 9 levels, 3 footrules, 3 bundles brass padlocks, 4 empty oil tins, 8 large files, 12 pipe taps, 2 trowels, 2 tapes, 1 box locks, 2 augers, 3 scales, 4 boxes hinges, 4 rolls wire nettings, 3 iron sheets, 5 iron rolls for packing rubber, 1 brass roll, 2 rolls hemp, 20 brass sheets, 100 tin buckets, 9 water cans, 150 mammoties, 19 iron sheets, 1 heap old iron, 1 glass almirah, 3 spades, 2 hanging lamps, 2 chairs, 1 table with drawers, 1 lot remaining goods.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 9, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

S. L. Neina Marikar Hadjar of Turret road,
Colombo Plaintiff.

No. 5,571. Vs.

Avoc Lebbe Marikar Mohammado Abubucker, (2)
Cassim Lebbe Hadjar Mohammado Rawoof, carrying
on business under the name, style, and firm of Abu-
bucker and Rawoof of 37, 3rd Cross street, Pettah,
Colombo Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, September 6, 1922, at 10 A.M., will be sold by public auction at No. 13/50, Old Urugodawatta road, Colombo, the following movable property, mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 1,616 dated May 6, 1922, and attested by M. R. Akbar, Notary Public, and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 6,915, with interest at 9 per cent. per annum from July 12, 1922, until payment in full and costs of suit, viz. :—

All those hardware stock-in-trade goods, chattels, fittings, effects, and things lying in premises No. 13/50, Old Urugodawatta road, and also all and singular the hardware, stock-in-trade, chattels, fittings, effects, and things which should from time to time and at all times under the continuance of the said bond No. 1,616 be brought or be in the said premises No. 13/50, Old Urugodawatta road or be in any place or places of business and all their rights, title, interest, and claim and demand therein and thereto and every part thereof and all the right, title, interest, whatsoever of the said defendants, viz. :—

One heap springs, 6 mill wheels, 1 iron pump, 2 small mill wheels, 1 heap hoop iron, 1 heap pieces of iron, 5 anchors, 3 small iron wheels, 5 bundles iron wires, 1 heap pipes, 6 heaps iron sheets, 1 heap old iron.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 9, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Don Elias Jayasingha Appuhamy of Weligampitiya
in Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale Plaintiff.

No. 52,745. Vs.

Kanugawattage Josta Rapeana Perera Ranasinghe
Hamine of Hendala, in the District of Colombo,
administratrix of the estate of Halahapperumage
Costantinu Fonseka Appuhamy, deceased. . . Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, August 31, 1922, at 2 P.M., will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property mortgaged with the plaintiff by bond No. 62, and decreed and ordered to be sold by the order of court dated September 1, 1921, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 5,625, with further interest on Rs. 5,000 at 12½ per cent. per annum from March 27, 1919, till March 4, 1921, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full and costs of suit, Rs. 368·72½, less the sum of Rs. 2,000, viz. :—

All those contiguous portions of the land called Delgahawatta, Dombagahawatta, and Dawatagahawatta, now forming one property, with the tiled house standing thereon, situated at Mahabage in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale, in the District of Colombo, Western Province; bounded on the north by Kongahawatta of W. Marku Fernando and Kahatagahawatta of A. Martin Silva, formerly of Mawatage Simplinu Fernando, on the east by the high road from Colombo to Negombo and garden of Santiago Silva, on the south by the garden of Alagiyadura Marthinu Fernando and Santiago Silva, and on the west by the property of Ettige Siyadoris Silva, Thomis Fernando, and others, and Nissange Marthelis Mendis; containing in extent 3 acres 1 rood and 25 perches, and all the right, title, and interest and claim whatsoever of the said defendant in, to, upon, or out of the said premises.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 8, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the Court of Requests of Colombo.

Peter Silva Wijetunga of Wellawatta in Colombo. . . Plaintiff.

No. 78,047. Vs.

(1) Dawood Moti, and (2) Hadje Hassan Moti, carrying
on business in partnership under the name, style,
and firm of Dawood Moti & Company of Main
street, Colombo Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, September 5, 1922, at 2 P.M., will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said plaintiff in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 61·15, being costs incurred by the defendants and payable by the plaintiff, viz. :—

All that house and ground bearing assessment No. 50, situated at Maliban street, Pettah, Colombo; and bounded on the north by the house of Andris Canjan, now belonging to the Roman Catholic Church, on the east by the house of Hewadewage Magris Fernando, on the south by Maliban street, and on the west by the other part now belonging to a Moorman; containing in extent 1 37/100 perches.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 7, 1922.

W. DE LIVERA,
Deputy Fiscal, W. P.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Joseph Adolphus Christopher de Saram of
Negombo Plaintiff.

No. 14,615. Vs.

(1) Kumarasinha Hettiarachchige Magilin Perera
Katana and others Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on September 5, 1922, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said plaintiff in the following property, viz. :—

An undivided 1/24 share of the five in to one annexed land called Kahatagahalanda and Godaparagahalanda, situate at Hunumulla in Hapugahagama, in Dunagaha pattu; and bounded on the north by high land of Seenchi Appuhami and by the land of Geelis Appuhamy and others, east by field of Appuhamirala and others, south-east by high road, and on the west by Dalupotha road belonging to Selenchi Appuhami; containing in extent about 76 acres 1 rood and 32 perches.

Amount to be levied Rs. 730·55, less Rs. 64·16, and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Negombo August 3, 1922.

F. VANDERPUTT,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Nicholas Muttiah, Postmaster, Kurunegala Plaintiff.

No. 7,848. Vs.

Kodikari Arachchige Peduru Perera of Negombo road in
Kurunegala and of Tudella Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on August 31, 1922, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

The garden called Kahatagahawatta and the tiled house standing thereon, situate at Tudella in Ragam pattu; and bounded on the north by lands of K. Marisel Perera and others, east by lands of Mahapatabendige Pemiano Perera and others, south by lands of Kovilage Pabiano and others, and west by lands of Francisco Perera Mudalali; containing in extent about ½ an acre.

Amount to be levied Rs. 730·55, less Rs. 64·16 and poundage.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Negombo, August 3, 1922.

F. VANDERPUTT,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Kasinader Vaitillingam Markandan Chankuveli in Jaffna, administrator of the estate of Kasinader Vaitillingam, deceased. Plaintiff.

No. 9,741. Vs.

(1) Sinhara Jiris Silva, (2) Sinhara Monis Silva, both of Moragalla, (3) Theinkutti Amis Silva Gunasekera Karunaratne of Kaluwamodera. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 11,109.79, with interest on Rs. 6,302.65 at 20 per cent. per annum from October 13, 1920, till March 23, 1921, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs of suit Rs. 322, together with Rs. 41.40 costs of stamps, less Rs. 5,295.50, viz. :—

Undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of the soil of the trees (excluding the planter's $\frac{1}{2}$ share) of the land called Kohukanawatta, situated at Moragalla in Alutgambadda of Kalutara totamune, in the District of Kalutara, Western Province; and bounded on the north by Dehigahawatta, east by Pelawatta *alias* Pinwatta, south by Ambagahawatta *alias* Bakinigahawatta, and on the west by canal; containing in extent about 1 acre, together with the tiled new upstairs house and the buildings standing thereon.

The above-mentioned property will be sold at the risk of the original purchaser, P. Jayaneri Silva, for and on behalf of Lini Benda de Silva.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, August 8, 1922. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Richard Charles Wijesekara of Geekianakanda estate in Neboda Plaintiff.

No. 9,830. Vs.

Sultan Marikar Mohamado Ismail Marikar of Katukurunda in Kalutarabadda of Kalutara totamune, (2) B. D. C. Jayasinghe of Kalutara, assignee of the insolvent Sultan Marikar Mohamado Ismail Marikar of Katukurunda aforesaid; 1st defendant. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, September 7, 1922, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property (mortgaged by the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 2,787.50, with interest at 9 per cent. per annum from November 25, 1920, until payment in full, and costs of suit Rs. 198.15, viz. :—

An undivided $\frac{1}{60}$ share of the soil and trees of an allotment of land called Madanganawatta, together with an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the tiled house standing thereon, situated at Katukurunda in Kalutarabadda of Kalutara totamune, in the District of Kalutara, Western Province; and bounded on the north by a portion of this land belonging to the estate of the late Kurukulasuriyapatabendige Silvestry Silva, Muhuppu, east by high road, south by Sarlatwatta, and west by a portion this land; and containing in extent about 1 acre.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, August 8, 1922. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Meenanage Helena Fernando of Helen Cottage, Talpitaya, Wadduwa. Plaintiff.

No. 10,102. Vs.

Calwadewage Martin Fernando of Desastara Kalutara. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, September 11, 1922, at 3 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property (mortgaged by

the defendant with plaintiff and declared bound and executable for the decree entered in the said case) for the recovery of Rs. 2,320, with further interest on Rs. 2,000 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from 30 May, 1921, till January 18, 1922, and thereafter at the rate of 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full, and costs Rs. 165, viz. :—

The soil and trees of an allotment of land called Elhentuduwa, situated at Kalapugama; and bounded on the north and north-east by land claimed by K. Don Davith Appu and Moi Appu, on the east by land claimed by Don Juwanis Appu, M. Velun Perera, and Don Davith, on the south by land claimed by M. Velun Perera, on the south-west by lands claimed by Don David Perera and D. T. Gunaratna, and on the north-west by land claimed by Don Davith Appu; containing in extent about 15 acres 2 roods and 26 perches.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, August 8, 1922. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Joseph Aloysius Fernando, Proctor of Kalutara. Plaintiff.

No. 10,459. Vs.

H. A. Perera of Kalutara Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, September 8, 1922, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the motor garage, Kalutara South, the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 821.63 with legal interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from January 19, 1922, till payment in full, with further rent at Rs. 50 per mensem from January, 1922, until restored to possession, costs Rs. 85, and poundage, viz. :—

Federal bus bearing No. B 221.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, H. SAMERESINGHA,
Kalutara, August 3, 1922. Deputy Fiscal.

Southern Province.

In the Court of Requests of Galle.

Bulatge Konnehamy of Galupiyadda. Plaintiff.
No. 2,370. Vs.

Meera Lebbe Marikkar Mohamadu Ali of Galupiyadda Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, September 4, 1922, at 12 noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

1. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ part of the soil and of the plantations of the land called Kadolanewatta *alias* Gurunnansegewatta, in extent about 20 acres, situate at Kalahe; bounded on the north by Beliduwa-addaraowita, east by Kadolanewatta *alias* Samankankangekumbura, south and west by Ratmeherabedda. And also the 5 cubits house thereon.

2. The soil and trees of the allotment of the land called Kadawalawatta belonging to Abdul Rahiman, situate at Kalahe; bounded on the north by Beliduwa, east by Beliduwa-addaraowita, south by another portion of Kadawalawatta, and west by another portion of Kadawalawatta belonging to Deen; extent about 1 acre.

3. The soil and fruit trees of the land called Kadawalawatteengekebella, situate at Kalahe; bounded on the north by Galmediduwa, east by Addaramangekebella, south by Kadawalawatta, and west by Galmediduwa; extent about 1 acre.

4. The soil and trees of the defined $\frac{1}{2}$ portion of Galbendiduwamahaowita, situate at Kalahe; bounded on the north by Opisarageduwa and Naiduwa, east by $\frac{1}{2}$ portion of Galbendiduwemahaowita belonging to Asana Marikkar Naina Marikkar, south by Kadola, and west by Galbendiduwamahaowita; extent about 1 acre. And also all the buildings standing thereon, subject to the mortgage bond No. 15,662 dated May 28, 1920.

5. The land called Rukmalgahaduwa, situated at Kalahe; bounded on the north by Ambalanduwa-addaraowita, east by Naiduwa and Mahakumbura, south by Mahaowita, and west by Kadola; extent about 2½ acres; subject to the mortgage created thereby.

Amount of writ Rs. 442·95, with legal interest on Rs. 202·50 from May 26, 1921, till payment in full.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, August 5, 1922.

J. A. LOURENSZ,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

Dammalage Nonis Appu of Midigama Plaintiff.
No. 19. Vs.

(1) Jayawardene Kankanange Don Lewis, (2) ditto Methias Appu, (3) Gammedde Thandakkarage Balahami *alias* Babachohamy, and (4) Jayawardene Kankanange Anadahami all of Midigama Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 12.15 P.M. in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following mortgaged property for the recovery of a sum of Rs. 756·95, with legal interest on the aggregate from May 14, 1922, till payment in full, viz. :—

(1) The undivided planter's ½ share of the 2nd and 3rd plantations, undivided 14/30 parts or share of the remaining plantations and of the soil (exclusive of the undivided planter's share of the 4th plantation), and the thatched house of seven cubits standing thereon, of the land called and known Berawamullewatta, situated at Midigama in Weligam korale of Matara District, Southern Province; and bounded on the north by Pengirihenegodaparagaha and Ampawalawatta, east by Muttettuwa, south by deniya, and west by Lehuwalahenegodaparagaha; and containing in extent of about 5 acres. Valuation, Rs. 400.

(2) An undivided 1/5 part or share of the land called Deniya, in extent 8 kurunies of paddy sowing, situated at Midigama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Berawamullewatta, east by Muttettuwa, south by Bamunugodawatta, and on the west by Berawamullewatta. Valuation, Rs. 16.

(3) An undivided 1/5 part or share of the plantations (save and except the planter's ½ share of the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th plantations) and of soil, the undivided planter's ½ share of the said 2nd and 3rd plantations, the undivided planter's ½ share of the fruit trees of the 4th plantations standing on the undivided eastern portion in extent about 2 acres, and the tiled house of 9 cubits standing on of the land called and known as Bamunugodawatta; and containing in extent about 6 acres, situated at Midigama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Berawamullewatta and Berawamullewatta, east by Muttettuwa and Udakatugahawalakumbura, south by the ditch of Ihalagalahena and Gahalawatta, and on the west by the jak tree of Lehuwalawattebadawetiya. Valuation, Rs. 400.

(4) The undivided 11/15 parts or share of the field called Thunmululiadda; containing in extent 8 kurunies of paddy sowing, situated at Midigama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Mitiyawatta, east by Kokatiyawekumbura, south by Ekanayake Tunpela, and on the west by Warakawekumbura. Valuation, Rs. 60.

(5) The undivided 7/12 parts or share of the soil, fruit trees of the plantations, exclusive of the undivided planter's ½ share, of the land called Ruppepelakoratuwa, in extent about 1 acre, situated at Midigama Bamunugaoda, in Weligam korale of Matara District; and bounded on the north by Gahalawatta, east by Katugahawala, south by Ruppe, and on the west by Ihalagalahena. Valuation, Rs. 200.

(6) The undivided 7/12 parts or share of the fruit trees of the plantations (exclusive of the undivided planter's ½ share) and of soil, of the land called Usruppekoratuwa, in extent about 2 acres, at Midigama, Bamunugoda aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Ruppepelakoratuwa, east by Pallekattugahawala, south by Baduwatta, and on the west by Ihalagalahena and Mitiyawatta. Valuation, Rs. 250.

(7) The undivided 6 kurunies extent of paddy sowing of Wilekumbura, in extent 2 bags of paddy sowing, situated at Midigama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by

Balapeyakumbura and Polangakepu-ela, east by Hengodakumbura, south by Balapegeyhatamune, and on the west by Pathiranageyhatamuna. Valuation, Rs. 45.

(8) The undivided 6 kurunies extent of paddy sowing of the field called Diddeniya, in extent 2 bags of paddy sowing, situated at Midigama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Dolasgodawatta, east by Talgahahena, south by Baduarambegeywila, and west by Dolasgodawatta. Valuation, Rs. 75.

(9) The undivided 2 bags of paddy sowing extent of the field called Balapegey Suranugubissa, in extent 1 amunam of paddy sowing, situated at Midigama aforesaid; and bounded on the north by Wilekumbura, east by Dangahaliadda, south by Halligey Suranugubissa, and west by Patiranagehatamuna and Liyanagama Suranugubissa. Valuation, Rs. 120.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, August 2, 1922. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

Mailappuge Don David Karunanayaka of Ratnapura Plaintiff.
No. 8,388. Vs.

(4) Mohottige William Sedara of Kotuwegoda, (1) Mohottige John Carolis Sedara of Kadeweediya in Matara and another Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday, September 6, 1922, at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said 1st and 2nd defendants in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 242·36 and the Fiscal's charges, viz. :—

The portion marked letter D belonging to the 1st defendant of the land called Segara Sewakkarage Ihalawella-addara-pelawatta, situated at Kotuwegoda in Matara, and the buildings standing on the said portion D; which is bounded on the north by portions marked letters B and E of the same land, east by the portion marked letter E of the said land, south by beach road, and on the west by the portion marked letter C of the same land and Parawawatta *alias* Pansalewatta *alias* Pinchabaduge Don Kristiange-watta; and containing in extent 1 rood and 35·52 perches.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, August 7, 1922. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Matara.

Mr. Henry Carver Gooneratne of Matara Plaintiff.
No. 9,985. Vs.

Walgama Kankanamge Martin Samaraweera of Kamburupitiya in Matara Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 9, 1922, at 8.30 in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following mortgaged property for the recovery of Rs. 953·22, and legal interest on the aggregate sum from July 4, 1922, up to payment, and the Fiscal's charges, viz. :—

The soil and fruit trees of the land Takkagewatta, in extent 2 roods and 4 perches, as well as all the buildings standing thereon, situate at Weeragampita in Matara; and bounded on the north by Dingiappugewatta and Koneponnewatta, east by the road, south by the road and Babusepadinchiwatta, and on the west by Panthiyegewatta. Valuation Rs. 2,000.

Deputy Fiscal's Office, E. T. GOONEWARDENE,
Matara, August 7, 1922. Deputy Fiscal.

Northern Province.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Arunasalam Thillayampalam of Vannarponnai - East Plaintiff.
No. 15,823. Vs.

(1) Sinnathamby Ponniah and his wife (2) Kovinthammah, both of ditto, presently of Kokkuvil. Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, September 1, 1922, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public

auktion at the spot the following property, decreed to be sold under the above action for the recovery of Rs. 1,357.26, with further interest on Rs. 1,000 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from May 19, 1921, till August 22, 1921, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum from August 22, 1921, till payment in full and costs Rs. 171.22 and poundage, and charges, viz. :—

(1) A piece of land situated at Vannarponnai East, Vannarponnai parish, Jaffna division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Anaikarankuruvilitoddam; containing or reputed to contain in extent 3 lachams varagu culture and 12½ kulies, with house, well, palmyras, cultivated and spontaneous plants; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of Paekiam, wife of Nalliah, and brothers, on the north by the property of Sinnathamby Ponniah and of wife, Kovinthammah and others, on the west by property of the heirs of Veluppillai Vaithilingam, and on the south by the property of the heirs of Kandan Nagan and of wife, Sinnachchy, and others.

(2) An undivided ½ share of a piece of land situated at Vannarponnai East, Vannarponnai parish, Jaffna division of the Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Anaikarankuruvilitoddam; containing or reputed to contain in extent 13½ kulies; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of Paekiam, wife of Nalliah, on the north by property of Pandaram Paramanathapillai, on the west by lane, and on the south by property of the heirs of Veluppillai Vaithilingam and of Sinnathamby Ponniah and his wife Kovinthammah.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, August 5, 1922.

J. R. MANN,
Deputy Fiscal.

North-Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

S. K. P. S. Veerappa Pillai, by his attorney M. Letchumana Pillai of Sea street, Colombo..... Plaintiff.

No. 2,820 of 1921. Vs.

P. Gunaratna of No. 9, Victoria building, First Cross street, Colombo..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday September 9, 1922, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

1. All that estate called Lizziedale estate, comprising of the following 12 contiguous allotments, vizi.: (1) Lizziedale estate, (2) Temple side estate, (3) Kalagahamulahena, (4) Ketakalagahamulahena, (5) Esseddumekumbura, (6) Maragahamulawatta, (7) Bakmeegahakotuwu, (8) Kahata-gahamulawatta, (9) Inihannihena, (10) Dampitiyehena, (11) Bandiwewa Kalagahamulahena, (12) Bakmeegahamulakumbura, in extent 200 acres and 5 perches, situated at Madawa, Tarapota, and Dematagolla, in Gandahe korale of Weudawili hatpattu, in the District of Kurunegala, North-Western Province; and bounded on the north by the land of Sohondirala of Meegolla, land of Intoris, field of Meegolla Korala and Kawrala, fields of Appuhami of Meegolla and others, fields of Ukku Banda, Punchi Banda, Appuhami, Kiriya-henyaya, and Sohondi Vederala, on the east by the lands of Dingiri, Guni, and others, lands of Hetu and Siripala, fields of Nasuran and others, land of Kira and others, land of Bilinda and others, land of Nongo, field of Hataraliyadde Upasakaya, fields of Ukku Banda Korala and Tikiri Banda Korala, fields of K. H. de Martin, fields of Tarapota Tikiriya, fields of Kaluwa, land of Ukku and others, land of Hewadiya, field of Tarapota Tikiriya, field of Lindapitiye Pina, fields of Lndapitiye Hapu and others, land of Appu Naide, and cemetery (minipittaniya), on the south by the lands of Maralande Walauwa, and on the west by the Crown forests, fields of villagers, lands of dewale, and ela; with everything standing thereon.

2. The land called Bogahapitiya of about 1 laha of kurakkan sowing in extent, situate at Madawa in the aforesaid korale; and bounded on the north by the field Pahaladepela of Kira, on the east by Wiliniyara, on the south by the field of Kiriugaduraya, on the west by ratmala tree and karanda tree; with everything standing thereon.

3. The land called Innawatta of about 2 lahas of kurakkan sowing in extent, situate at Madawa in the aforesaid korale; and bounded on the north by the land of Kiriya and others, on the east and south by the land of Simon Goonarathna and others, on the west by the fence of the garden of Ponna and others; with the plantations standing thereon.

4. Bogahakumbura of about 15 lahas of paddy sowing in extent, situate at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the east by Weroda, on the south by anthill, on the west by karaw tree, and on the north also by Weroda.

5. All those lands called Gomarapitiyehena, Meegahamulawatta, Moravilehena, Hitinagederawatta, Wenkumbura, Annasiwattehena, Hitinagederakumbura, Jambugahamulawatta, Meegahamulawatta, Boraluwhena, and Meegahapitiyehena, now forming one property of 9 acres 1 rood and 10 perches in extent, situate at the aforesaid village; and bounded on the north by the land claimed by Sirimala and others, on the east by Crown forest, on the south by the land of Naganadar Mudaliyar, and on the west by the high road leading to Kandy; with buildings and plantations standing thereon.

Amount to be levied Rs. 9,060, with legal interest thereon from October 3, 1921, till payment in full, and costs of suit.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, August 7, 1922.

S. D. SAMARASINHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Noor Mohammadu Karim of Keye street, Colombo..... Plaintiff.

No. 5,639. Vs.

Ana Cassim Lebbo Marikar of Kachchepadi, Kurunegala..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 2, 1922, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the defendant's boutique at Esplanade street, Kurunegala, the following property, viz. :—

- | | |
|---|---|
| (1) 10 pieces of satin cloth 159 yards. | (23) 2 pieces of 8 yards nein-sook cloth. |
| (2) 4 pieces of white cloth 73 yards. | (24) 2 white shawls. |
| (3) 5 pieces of ketindiel cloth 30 yards. | (25) 15 umbrellas. |
| (4) 2 pieces of flowered chintz cloth 18 yards. | (26) 25 pieces of tweed 150 yards (inferior quality). |
| (5) 5 pieces of bodin chintz cloth 35 yards. | (27) 5 pieces of alpaca 25 yards. |
| (6) 1 piece of satin silk 34 yards. | (28) 5 pieces of silk 10 yards. |
| (7) 12 white banians. | (29) 40 camboys (small). |
| (8) 14 pieces chintz 170 yards. | (30) 19 pieces of linen 180 yards. |
| (9) 2 pieces white sheetings 60 yards. | (31) 100 sarongs. |
| (10) 42 camboys. | (32) 84 white towel (sambus). |
| (11) 6 big glass almirahs. | (33) 3 blankets. |
| (12) 2 sideboards. | (34) 150 gauze banians. |
| (13) 91 camboys (rata). | (35) 1 piece of flannel 10 yards. |
| (14) 73 camboys (infericr). | (36) 4 hanging lamps. |
| (15) 58 sarongs small. | (37) 1 clock. |
| (16) 9 sarongs (sandarapadi). | (38) 1 writing table. |
| (17) 64 handkerchiefs. | (39) 2 chairs. |
| (18) 20 saya cloths. | (40) 1 small bench. |
| (19) 30 white shirts. | (41) 12 cups and saucers. |
| (20) 2 silk handkerchiefs. | (42) 9 small cups. |
| (21) 8 gillet belts. | (43) 4 chamber pots. |
| (22) 7 pieces white cloth 48 yards. | (44) 4 plates. |
| | (45) 1 glass box containing small articles. |
| | (46) 135 pieces of chintz 1,118 yards. |

Amount to be levied Rs. 724.92, with interest thereon at 12 per cent. per annum from July 12, 1922, till August 1, 1922, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, August 8, 1922.

S. D. SAMARASINHE,
Deputy Fiscal.

Province of Uva.

In the District Court of Badulla.

Weligama Polwatte William de Silva of Haputale. Plaintiff.

No. 3,444.

Vs.

Yoonus Lebbe Abdul Caffoor of Rathkarawwa. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, September 9, 1922, commencing at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of Rs. 1,177.34, with legal interest on Rs. 1,000 from March 2, 1920, till payment in full, viz. :—

1. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the contiguous allotments of land called (1) Watagodakumbura, (2) Ellekumbura, (3) Hapugahauhana, (4) Gannilearamulla, (5) Ambagahakumbura, (6) Meda-arawa, (7) Gamaniddarawa, (8) Boraliyadda, (9) Pellagahakumburepaula, (10) Gannilearamulla, (11) Medapanguwa, (12) Kamathpathwissa, (13) Nayekumbura, (14) Pitahakumbura, (15) Himbiliyagahakumbura, (16) Nayekumburemadapanguwa, (17) Kahatagahaulpota, (18) Nayawatta, (19) Bogahakumburewatta, and (20) Nayawatta, situate at Rathkarawwa; bounded on the north by oya, south by ela, east by oya and Crown patana, west by oya and V. L. M. Mohamado's tea garden; containing in extent 28 acres 1 rood and 6 perches.

2. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the contiguous allotments of land called (1) Darandekumbura, (2) Pitahakumbura, (3) Darandekumbureaswedduma, (4) Watagodakumbura, (5) Epalagolla, (6) Kekunagaha-arawa, (7) Katuwelarawa, (8) Palleaswedduma, (9) Watabeddeulpotha, (10) Alakolalarawa, and (11) Koskotearawa, situate at Rathkarawwa, containing in extent 27 acres 1 rood and 10 perches; bounded on the north by oya and Crown patana, east by Crown patana, south and west by Silva's tea garden and Crown patana.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the land called Aswedduma, situate at Rathkarawwa, of 6 acres 1 rood and 18 perches; bounded on the north by ela, east by A. L. Mohamado's tea garden, south by oya, west by ela and stone fence.

4. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the land called Kalupediya-kumbura, situate at Rathkarawwa, of 4 acres 2 roods and 3 perches; bounded on the north by ela, east by Crown patana and oya, south by oya, west by Crown patana.

5. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the land called Beddearawa, situate at Rathkarawwa, of 2 acres and 10 perches; bounded on the north by V. L. Mohamado's tea garden, east by V. L. Mohamado's tea garden and oya, south by oya, west by V. L. Mohamado's tea garden.

6. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the land called Depawela-arawa, situate at Rathkarawwa, of 1 acre 2 roods and 28 perches; bounded on the north by Crown patana and Appuhamy's land, east by Crown patana, south by Mohamado's land, west by Mohamado's land and Appuhamy's land.

7. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the land called Moragahaulpota, situate at Rathkarawwa, of 1 acre 1 rood and 30 perches; bounded on the north by Crown patana, east and south by Crown patana and Panchirala's field, west by Crown patana.

Fiscal's Office,
Badulla, August 2, 1922.H. C. WIJESINHA,
Deputy Fiscal.

Province of Sabaragamuwa.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

Y. S. Y. Sithamparam Chetty, by his attorney Y. S. A. Yegappa Chetty of Ratnapura. Plaintiff.

No. 3,515.

Vs.

Wappusa Marikar Habibu Umma of Katukurunda in Kalutara, administratrix of the estate of the late A. L. M. Musapa Lebbe Marikar Hadjar of Ratnapura. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on September 2, 1922, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction

at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 4,975.15, with interest on Rs. 4,716 at 9 per cent. per annum from September 6, 1920, till payment in full, and poundage, viz. :—

1. All that upstair tiled house bearing assessment No. 226, situate at Ratnapura; bounded on the north by high road, east by Church road, south by room occupied by Ango, west by the house belonging to P. W. Dias.

The above land has also been seized under D. C., Ratnapura, writ No. 3,419.

Fiscal's Office,
Ratnapura, August 2, 1922.R. E. D. ABEYRATNE,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Ratnapura.

S. P. S. S. Sivanadayan Chetty of Ratnapura, by his attorney P. L. Raman Chetty. Plaintiff.

No. 3,558.

Vs.

Wappusa Marikar Habibu Umma of Kalutara, by her attorney S. M. M. Kaladeen Marikar of Ratnapura. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on September 6, 1922, commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, specially mortgaged and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above case, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 6,483.70, with interest on Rs. 6,187 at 9 per cent. per annum from November 4, 1920, till payment in full, and poundage, viz. :—

1. All that land called Tepulangoda tea estate, comprising the allotments of land called Pattiyewatta, Pahalahenawalehena, Colombagamagewatta, Egodawatta, Wilagawahena, Henawalehena, Egodawattahena, Colombugamehitiya, Egodawatta, together with the buildings standing thereon; bounded on the north by lands belonging to Mutsaha Lebbe Marikar and villagers, east by Kuruwitakekumbura, south by Hedellanaganmaima, west by Amutagoda; in extent about 18 acres, situate at Tepulangoda.

On the same day commencing at 11 A.M.

2. All that tea and coconut estate, comprising two allotments of land called Binkattiya, together with the tea store and other buildings standing thereon, situate at Hidellana; bounded on the north by T. P. 99,533, east by high road, south by garden of Podimenika and land in T. P. 46,533, and on the west by T. P. 46,533; in extent 12 acres.

On the same day commencing at 2 P.M.

3. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of coconut estate, comprising Atakotagewatta, Horagahadeniya, Batahena, and Batahenedeniya, together with the tiled house and other buildings standing thereon, situate at Ketaliyanpalla; bounded on the north by kumbura, east by lands belonged to villagers, south and west by Bandarahena; of the extent of 24 acres and 27 perches.

4. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ share of the coconut estate called Kirivanakadehena, together with the buildings standing thereon, situate at Ketaliyanpalla; bounded on the north by T. P. 164,541, east by T. P. 46,533; and reservation south by reservation along the path and T. P. 191,382; and west by T. P. 191,382 and 164,541; in extent 3 acres 3 roods and 14 perches.

5. An undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ of coconut estate called Radagehdeniya, situate at ditto; bounded on the north by Radagehdeniya, east by Crown land, south by Teple village boundary, west by Crown land; in extent 4 kurunies of paddy sowing.

Fiscal's Office,
Ratnapura, August 7, 1922.R. E. D. ABEYRATNA,
Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

P. A. Wijewardene of Montrose, Horton Place,
Colombo..... Plaintiff.

No. 4,412.

Vs.

Don Salmon Yatawaka of Yatawaka, Veyangoda. Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on September 9, 1922, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

All that and those the estate, plantations, and premises called and known as Bulanehena, situated at Batuwita in Dehigampal korale of Three Korales, in the District of Kegalla, Province of Sabaragamuwa; bounded on

the east by the high land sold by the Crown, and stream (mala-dola) running at the end of galenda (range of rocks) standing on the $\frac{1}{2}$ portion allotted to Edirisinghe Mudiyanselage Mudliashamy, of the land called Indurankandehena, south by the field called Ihalagalwalakumbura, west by the $\frac{1}{2}$ portion allotted to Allis Appuhamy of the land called Mawatehena, and on the north by the road called Ethpara; in extent 115 acres more or less.

To levy Rs. 165,000, with interest on Rs. 150,000 at 10 per cent. per annum from March 8, 1922, to the date of decree, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum, till payment in full, and costs of suit.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Kegalla, August 5, 1922.

N. GOONAWARDANA,
Deputy Fiscal.

I, FRANCIS GRAEME TYRRELL, Fiscal for the North-Western Province, do hereby appoint Mr. A. W. Joseph to be Marshal for the divisions of Dambadeni Udukaha North and West and Mairawati korales of Dambadeni hatpattu; Giratalana, Baladora, and Angomu korales of Dewamedi hatpattu; Karandapattu, Meddeketiya, Katugampola, Medapattu East and West, Yatikaha, Yagampattu, Kinyama, Katugampola North and South, and Pitigal korales of Katugampola hatpattu, in the Kurunegala District, under "The Fiscals' Ordinance, No. 4 of 1867" from August 4 to 7, 1922, and authorize him to perform the duties and exercise the authority of Marshal, for which this shall be his warrant.

Given under my hand, at Kurunegala, this 5th day of August, 1922.

F. G. TYRRELL,
Fiscal.

I, FRANCIS GRAEME TYRRELL, Fiscal for the North-Western Province, do hereby appoint Mr. Murugesu Chelliah to be Marshal for the divisions of Pitigal korale south and Pitigal korale central, in the District of Chilaw, under the provisions of "The Fiscals' Ordinance, No. 4 of 1867," for July 31, 1922, and to authorize him to perform the duties and exercise the authority of Marshal, for which this shall be his warrant.

Given under my hand, at Kurunegala, this 31st day of July, 1922.

F. G. TYRRELL,
Fiscal.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Bastianpillai Anthonipillai, late of
No. 813. Wellawatta, Colombo, deceased.

Vincent Muttiah Anthonipillai of Puttalam.... Petitioner.

And

(1) Margaret Rasama Anthonipillai, (2) Mary Theresa Packiamalar Anthonipillai, (3) Joseph Xavier Rajasingham Anthonipillai, all of Wellawatta, presently of Point Pedro, Jaffna, (4) Bastianpillai Selvanayagam of Wellawatta, in Colombo... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on May 16, 1922, in the presence of Messrs. Sattrukalsinghe & Joseph, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated December 12, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled as uncle of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before June 15, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

May 16, 1922. ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

Time for showing cause is extended to August 17, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Morawakage Charles Perera of Deapanama,
No. 914. in the Palle pattu of Salpiti korale,
deceased.

Morawakage Arthur Perera of Deapanama afore-
said..... Petitioner.

And

(1) Bethmage Dona Thisera Hamina of Deapanama aforesaid, (2) Morawakage Emaliana and her husband (3) Algamage Don Abilin, both of Tunbowila, in the Palle pattu aforesaid, (4) Morawakage Romiel Perera, (5) ditto Ceciliana Perera, (6) ditto Martin Perera, (7) ditto Juwanis Perera, (8) ditto Kumatheris Perera, all of Deapanama aforesaid; the 4th to 8th minors appearing by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 14, 1922, in the presence of Mr. D. R. de S. Abhayanayake, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated April 10, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 14, 1922. ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. of Palawala Arachchige Dona Missia No. 905. Eda nee Perera, late of Wellawatta, deceased.

Welikadage Herrick Botajue of 149, Boswell road, Wellawatta, in Colombo Petitioner.

And

Welikadage Eugene Cecilia Botajue of 149, Boswell road, Wellawatta, in Colombo Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 12, 1922, in the presence of Mr. G. L. Cooray, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated August 30, 1921, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as widower of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to her estate issued to him, unless the respondent above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 12, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Kellemestriige Don Juwanis No. 910. Naide of Weboda in the Adikari pattu of Miran Korale deceased.

Imbulanebadalge Rango Natchirey of Embaraluwa in the Meda pattu of Sryane korale Petitioner.

And

(1) Kolamunemestriige Sabo Naide, (2) Liyanawaduge Macho Natchirey, both of Weboda aforesaid. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 13, 1922, in the presence of Mr. J. R. Rodrigo, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 3, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 13, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Isalake Don Virginia Talaratne No. 911. Hamine, deceased.

Manchanayakege Don Aron Appuhamy of Gangodawila in the District of Colombo Petitioner.

And

(1) Dona Cecilia Agnes Manchanayake, (2) Dona Flora Beatrice Manchanayake, (3) Manchanayakege Don Abraham, all of Gangodawila Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 14, 1922, in the presence of Mr. D. W. Appola, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above-named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 6, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as widower of the above-named deceased

to have letters of administration to her estate issued to him, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 14, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. Pelanda Pathirage Edwin Dias of Etul No. 915. Kotte in the Palle pattu of Sathikorale, deceased.

Bulatsinghalage Podinna Cooray of Etul Kotte aforesaid Petitioner.

And

(1) Pelanda Pathirage Shelton Dias, (2) ditto Margaret Dias, (3) ditto Mabel Dias, all minors by their guardian *ad litem*, (4) Bulatsinghalage Cooray of Etul Kotte aforesaid. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 14, 1922, in the presence of Mr. D. R. de S. Abhayanyake, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 5, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 14, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the Jurisdiction. late Henry Oswald Jonklaas of Matara No. 921. deceased.

Athelind Victoria Jonklaas of the Fort, Matara ... Petitioner.

And

(1) Esme Edith Victoria Ernst nee Jonklaas, (2) Gerald Frank Ernst, (3) Oswald Charles Chryse Jonklaas, all of the Fort, Matara, (4) Leonard Victor Oswald Jonklaas of Matara, presently of Wesley College, Colombo Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 21, 1922, in the presence of Mr. F. W. de Vos, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 18, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 24, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 21, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Oona Sayna Voona Sevigan No. 923. Chetty, deceased.

Payna Reena Supramanian Chetty of Sea street Colombo Petitioner.

And

Koona Mana Thena Muttiah Chetty of Sea street aforesaid Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 24, 1922, in the

presence of Messrs. Wilson & Kadirgamar, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavits (1) of the said petitioner dated July 11, 1922, and (2) of the attesting notary dated July 12, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of Oona Sayna Voonu Sevugan Chetty, deceased, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioner is one of the executors named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate thereof issued to him accordingly, unless the respondent above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 24, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate and Effects of Hewage Noris Appu, late of Dekatana in the Gangaboda pattu of Siyane korale, deceased.

Hewage Martin Appu of Dekatana in the Gangaboda pattu aforesaid Petitioner.

And

Panduawala Kanlanamalage Engohamy of Dekatana aforesaid Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 20, 1922, in the presence of Mr. D. E. Wanigesooria, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 13, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as son of the above named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to him, unless the respondent above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 20, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Assen Meera Lebbe Ahamado Lebbe of Kahatawita in the Siyane korale, in the District of Colombo, deceased.

Sultan Lebbe Sameena Umma of Kahatawita aforesaid Petitioner.

And

(1) Ahamado Lebbe Mohammado Saleem, (2) ditto Kamila Umma, both of Kahatawita aforesaid Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 28, 1922, in the presence of Mr. M. N. M. Salahudeen, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 25, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 31, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 28, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate and effects of Paulu Saramge Dionis Saram Appuhamy, late of Ihala Karagahamuna, deceased.

Moragoda Liyanage Johana Pinto Harana of Ihala Karagahamuna Petitioner.

And

(1) Paulu Saramge Albina Saram, wife of (2) Jayasuri Arachchige Don Lewis Appu, (3) Paulu Saramge Egonis Saram, (4) Paulu Saramge Sederis Saram, (5) Paulu Saramge Warlina Saram, (6) Paulu Saramge Sirinelis Saram, and (7) Paulu Saramge Ginthoris Saram, all of Ihala Karagahamuna in the Adikari pattu of Siyane korale Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on July 28, 1922, in the presence of Mr. D. E. Wanigesooria, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 24, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as widow of the above-named deceased, to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents above named or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 31, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 28, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Joint Last Will and Testament and Codicil of William Perera Ranasinghe and Missy Mary Ann Ranasinghe, husband and wife of Colombo, Missy Mary Ann Ranasinghe of Colombo, deceased.

William Perera Ranasinghe of Dehiwala in Colombo Petitioner.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Allan Beven, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on August 9, 1922, in the presence of S. V. Ranasingha, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavits (1) of the said petitioner dated August 3, 1922, and (2) of the attesting witnesses dated August 5, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the last will of Missy Mary Ann Ranasinghe, deceased, of which the original has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved; and it is further declared that the petitioner is the executor named in the said last will, and that he is entitled to have probate thereof issued to him accordingly, unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 9, 1922.

ALLAN BEVEN,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Mohamed Madan, late of 3rd Division, Hunupitiya, Negombo, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on July 11, 1922, in the presence of Mr. Raheemdin, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Mohamed Lebbe Marikar Kenny Umma of 3rd Division, Hunupitiya, Negombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 5, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the 5th respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over 2nd, 3rd, and 4th minor respondents for the purpose of the testamentary action, unless sufficient cause be shown to the contrary on or before August 1, 1922.

It is further ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the deceased above named, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Mohamed Madarsa Mohamed Sulaiman, (2) Mohamed Madarsa Abdul Hameed, (3) ditto Abdul Majeed, (4) ditto Rehmath Umma, (5) Sulaiman Lebba Mohamed Sally, all of 3rd Division, Hunupitiya, Negombo—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before August 1, 1922, show sufficient cause to the contrary.

July 11, 1922. F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

The date for showing cause extended to August 16, 1922.

August 1, 1922. F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Patapilige Marthino Fernando of Hen-
No. 2,027. pitigedara, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on July 15, 1922, in the presence of Mr. Raheman, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Singappulige Christina Fernando of Henpitigedara; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 11, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the 4th respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd respondents, who are minors, for the purpose of the testamentary action, unless sufficient cause be shown to the contrary on or before August 15, 1922.

It is further ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the deceased, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Patapilige Martin Fernando, (2) ditto Engi Fernando, (3) ditto James Fernando, (4) Patapilige Sediris Fernando, all of Henpitigedara—or any person or persons interested shall, on or before August 15, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 15, 1922. F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of
Jurisdiction. Pathirannehelage Walbinappu of Gal-
No. 2,028. gomuwa in Meda pattu of the Siyane
korale, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on July 28, 1922, in the presence of Mr. Samaratunga, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Petikiri Achchige Pabilinahamy of Galgomuwa; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 24, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the 1st respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 2nd and 3rd minor respondents for the purpose of the testamentary action, unless sufficient cause be shown to the contrary on or before August 23, 1922.

It is further ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled, as the widow of the deceased above named, to administer the estate of the said deceased, and that letters of administration do issue to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Pathirannehelage Elmeris, (2) ditto Seenchinona, (3) ditto Podinona, all of Galgomuwa—or any other person or persons interested shall on or before August 23, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 28, 1922. F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of
Jurisdiction. Edirisinghe Achchige Bartin Skingho of
No. 2,029. Kumbaloluwa in Meda pattu of the
Siyane korale, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before F. D. Peries, Esq., District Judge of Negombo, on July 28, 1922, in the presence of Mr. Samaratunga, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Edirisinghe Achchige Podiappuham, of Kumbaloluwa; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 21, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as a son of the said deceased, to administer the estate of the deceased above named, and that letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Kumarapettarachchige Elizabeth and (2) Edirisinghe Achchige Podinamine, both of Kumbaloluwa—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 23, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 28, 1922. F. D. PERIES,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Bodiabaduge Richard Perera Gunaratne,
No. 1,455. deceased, of Kalutara North.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before W. H. B. Carbery, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on June 23, 1922, in the presence of Messrs. Wijeyeratne & Martin, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Maraya de Silva Abeyewickreme Wijenayaka of Kalutara North; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated April 29, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner, as widow of the above named, be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to his estate issued to her, unless the respondents—(1) Paul Tytus Perera Gunaratne, (2) Winifred Gunaratne, (3) Evelyn Perera Gunaratne, minors, by their guardian *ad litem* Arthur de Silva Abeyewickreme Wijenayaka, all of Desastra Kalutara—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before July 28, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said 4th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd minor respondents, unless any other person or persons interested show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 23, 1922. W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

It is further ordered that the date for showing cause be extended till August 25, 1922.

W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Gunetti Nona Baba Erskine, deceased,
No. 1,463. Kuda Waskaduwa, widow of the late
Henry Jones Erskine.

THIS matter coming on for determination before W. H. B. Carbery, Esq., District Judge of Kalutara, on July 7, 1922, in the presence of Mr. C. Hepponstall, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Cecil Hamilton Erskine of Kuda Waskaduwa; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 7, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled, as the eldest son of the above-named deceased, to have letters of

administration to her estate issued to him, unless the following respondents—(1) Anne Erskine, presently of Singapore, widow of Patrick Erskine, (2) Michael Wingale Erskine, (3) Samson Kitchener Erskine, (4) David Plumer Erskine, all of Kida Waskaduwa—or any other person or persons interested shall, on or before August 28, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

W. H. B. CARBERY,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of the late Ana Madar Saibu of Kandy, deceased.
No. 3,742.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Walter Sanford de Saram, Esq., Acting District Judge, on July 13, 1922, in the presence of Mr. N. B. Jansze, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner, Nana Moona Kader Batcha Saibu of Hill street, Kandy; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated January 22, 1921, and his petition having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as the duly appointed attorney of the widow of the above-named deceased, be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the deceased's estate issued to him, unless the respondents—(1) Ana Madar Saibu's son Abdul Hamidu, (2) Ana Madar Saibu's son Abdul Latif, by their duly appointed guardian *ad litem* Yakub Saibu's son Pakeer Mohideen of Castle Hill street, Kandy—shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Ramen Chetty's daughter Velalai deceased, of Trincomalee street, Matale.
No. 3,899.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Walter Sanford de Saram, Esq., Acting District Judge of Kandy, on June 22, 1922, in the presence of Mr. V. M. Saravanamuttu, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Ana Ona Oyawanthan Chetty of Trincomalee street, Matale; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 19, 1922, and his petition having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as husband of the deceased above named, be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the deceased's estate issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Tangatchi Amma and her husband (2) Carupiah Chetty, (3) A. O. Letchumanan Chetty, (4) A. O. Ariamuttu Chetty, all of Matale, (5) Agilandam and her husband (6) Carupiah Chetty, both of Tripattur Taluk, Ramnad District, India, (7) A. O. Mannikan Chetty of Matale; the 3rd respondent by his duly appointed guardian *ad litem* the 7th respondent—shall, on or before July 13, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 22, 1922. W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

Extend and re-issue for August 17 for showing cause.

W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Meerambal Nadarajah, deceased, of Kawdupellella.
No. 3,911.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Walter Sanford de Saram, Esq., Acting District Judge, Kandy,

on July 21, 1922, in the presence of Mr. V. M. Saravanamuttu, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Arunachalam Kanagasabai of Kawdupellella on the part of the respondent; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated July 20, 1922, and his petition having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as the father of the deceased above named, be and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the deceased's estate issued to him accordingly, unless the respondent, Nallatamby Nadarajah of Laurie's road, Bambalapitiya, shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 21, 1922.

W. S. DE SARAM,
Acting District Judge.

In the District Court of Nuwara Eliya holden at Hatton.

Order Absolute in the first instance declaring Will proved.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of William Lyall formerly of Carolina estate, Watawala, and late of Fetteresse estate, Bogawantalawa, deceased.
No. 94.

Alice Mary Lyall of Fetteresse estate, Bogawantalawa Petitioner.

THIS matter coming on for final determination before Norman Izat, Esq., District Judge of Nuwara Eliya, on July 27, 1922, in the presence of Mr. J. A. Aiyadurai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner above named; and the affidavit of the said petitioner and of the attesting witness dated July 26, 1922, respectively, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of the above-named deceased dated October 25, 1897, be and the same is hereby declared proved.

It is further ordered that the said petitioner is the executrix named in the said last will, and that she is entitled to have probate of the same issued to her accordingly.

July 27, 1922.

N. IZAT,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the Tirimadura Arnolis Mendis, deceased, of Kosgoda.
No. 5,556.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on June 22, 1922, in the presence of Mr. K. T. P. Perachunas Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Medibe Jayarona Rajapaksa of Welitara; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated January 12, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the 3rd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* of the 1st and 2nd respondents, unless the respondents—(1) Tirimadura Caroline Mendis, (2) ditto Daymend Mendis, (3) Manaweera Barlis Rodrigo, all of Welitara—shall on or before July 20, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said petitioner, as widow of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the said respondents shall, on or before July 20, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 22, 1922.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

The above Order Nisi is extended to August 17, 1922.

August 3, 1922.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Peter Henry Cooray, deceased, of Balapitiya. Jurisdiction. No. 5,565.

Lucy Cooray of Balapitiya Petitioner.

- (1) Bernard Henry Cooray, (2) Agnes Florence Cooray, (3) Ester Florence Cooray, (4) Oliver Henry Cooray, (5) Beatrice Florence Cooray, all of Tangalla, (6) Tersie Florence Cooray of Balapitiya, (7) James Wickramasingha of Tangalla Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on June 16, 1922, in the presence of Mr. E. S. Jayawickrama, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Lucy Cooray of Balapitiya; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated June 13, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the 7th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over minors, 1st to 6th respondents, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before July 20, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said petitioner, as widow of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before July 20, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

June 16, 1922.

Order Nisi extended to July 27, 1922.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

July 20, 1922.

Order Nisi extended to August 17, 1922.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

July 27, 1922.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Edinadura Odiris de Silva, deceased, of Dadalla. Jurisdiction. No. 5,576.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. B. Russell, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on June 30, 1922, in the presence of Mr. Jayawickrama, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Vitarana Eysahamy of Dadalla; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated June 22, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the said petitioner, as widow of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents, viz., (1) Edinadura Daisahamy, wife of (2) Nahadura Carolis de Silva Jayasekera, and (3) Edinadura Hinni Appu de Silva, all of Dadalla, shall, on or before August 3, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

June 30, 1922.

Order Nisi extended to August 17, 1922.

T. B. RUSSELL,
District Judge.

August 3, 1922.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Don Simon Wimalagunasekera of Naimbala. Jurisdiction. No. 2,836. deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before E. Rodrigo, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on July 26, 1922, in the presence of the petitioner Dona Gimara Diani Narandeniya of Naimbala; and the affidavit of the said petitioner dated May 1, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as widow of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Don Helesek Wimalagunasekera of Naimbala, (2) Dona Gimara Wimalagunasekera of ditto, (3) Heenhamine Wimalagunasekera of ditto, and (4) Dona Ciciliana Wimalagunasekera of Narandeniya—shall, on or before July 28, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

E. RODRIGO,
District Judge.

June 26, 1922.

Extended for publication for August 30, 1922.

E. RODRIGO,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Maramba Badalge Mathes Hamy, deceased, of Pitadeniya. Jurisdiction. No. 2,842.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before E. Rodrigo, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on July 20, 1922, in the presence of Messrs. G. E. & G. P. Keuneman, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner Batuwita-acharige Nonnohamy of Pitadeniya; and affidavit dated July 10, 1922, and the petition dated July 14, 1922, of the said petitioner, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner, as widow of the deceased above named, is entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents—(1) Maramba Badalge Leisi Hamy, (2) husband Memendra-acharige Obiyas Hamy, both of Pitadeniya, (3) Maramba Badalge Sarinchohamy, (4) ditto Charlis, (5) ditto Jayanhamy, (6) ditto Heenhamy, (7) ditto Podisimmo, (8) ditto Porolis, all of Pitadeniya—shall, on or before August 30, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minors, 3 to 8 respondents above named, unless the respondents above named shall, on or before August 30, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

E. RODRIGO,
District Judge.

July 20, 1922.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Tamber Muttiah of Nallur in Jaffna. Jurisdiction. No. 4,784. deceased.

Kadrigamer Kander of Nallur in Jaffna Petitioner.
(1) Muttiah Ponnudurai, (2) Muttiah Thampimuttu and (3) Annachchy, widow of Tamber Muttiah, all of Nallur in Jaffna; 1st and 2nd respondents being minors appear by their guardian *ad litem* the 3rd respondent Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of petitioner above named, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on July 27, 1922, in the presence of Mr. J. A. J. Tisseverasinghe, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated March 2, 1922, having been read: It is

declared that the petitioner is a next of kin of the deceased of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

August 7, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Chewanaiappillai, widow of Sithamparanathar Ampalavanar of Vaddukoddai west, deceased.

(1) Kunaratnam Kandiah and his wife (2) Chelammah of Vaddukoddai west..... Petitioners.
Vs.

(1) Ampalavanar Arumugam of Vaddukoddai west, (2) Luluamamar Sellamathar, and wife (3) Sinnachippillai of ditto, (4) Arumugam Arunasalam of ditto, now employed as an overseer, Public Works Department in Kuala Selangore, and his wife (5) Sinnammah of ditto, (6) Nagammah, widow of Kanapatipillai of ditto, (7) Arunasalam Murugesapillai of ditto, now a dresser at General Hospital in Kuala Lumpur, minor, (8) Arunasalam Vesuvalingam of Vaddukoddai west, and (9) Themanaiappillai, widow of Arunasalam of ditto; the 8th respondent is a minor appearing by his guardian *ad litem* the 9th respondent..... Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the petitioners, praying that the above-named 9th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minor the above-named 8th respondent, and that letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased be issued to the petitioners, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on May 29, 1922, in the presence of Mr. A. Mudr. Veluppillai, Proctor, on the part of the petitioners; and the affidavit of the 1st petitioner dated May 29, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the above-named 9th respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the said minor the 8th respondent, and that the petitioners be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased issued to them, as the 2nd petitioner is one of her heirs, unless the respondents or any other person shall, appear before this court on June 20, 1922, and state objection or show cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 2, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

Time to show cause extended to August 15, 1922.

June 12, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Veluppillai Thampaiyah of Vannar No. 4,864. Ponnai East, deceased.

Veluppillai Sangarappillai of Vannarponnai East Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Thangamuttu, widow of Veluppillai Thampaiyah of Vannarponnai East, (2) Veluppillai Nagalingam of ditto, (3) Veluppillai Maniccam of ditto, presently employed as overseer, P. W. D., Raub Pahang, F. M. S., (4) Veluppillai Ponnampalam of Vannarponnai East, presently employed as overseer, Katugastota, Kandy Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, coming on for disposal before

G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on June 13, 1922, in the presence of Mr. R. Sivagurunather, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated June 12, 1922, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is an heir of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before July 11, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 24, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

Time for showing cause is extended to September 12, 1922.

July 11, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Nallatamby Subramaniam of Vaddukoddai west, deceased.

Rasammah, widow of Subramaniam of Vaddukoddai west..... Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Velatuhar Sinnadurai of Vaddukoddai west, minor; (2) Subramaniam Nadaraja of ditto; the 2nd respondent is a minor appearing by his guardian *ad litem* the 1st respondent Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner, praying that the above-named 1st respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the 2nd respondent for the purpose of this action, and also praying that the petitioner be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased intestate, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, Jaffna, on July 11, 1922, in the presence of Mr. S. Sittampalam, Proctor for petitioner; and on reading the affidavit of the petitioner dated July 7, 1922:

It is ordered that the above-named 1st respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minor, 2nd respondent for the purpose of this action and the petitioner, as the widow of the deceased intestate be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased intestate, unless the respondents above named appear before this court on August 10, 1922, and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

July 20, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

Time to show cause extended to August 24, 1922.

August 2, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late Jurisdiction. Rasamina, wife of Sanmugampillai No. 4,889. Arumugam of Manipay, deceased.

Sanmugampillai Arumugam of Manipay, presently of Maskeliya Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Arumugam Manikkavayasayar (minor), (2) Visuvalingam Senuvasagam of Navaly, and (3) Sinnattamby Sangarappillai of Manipay Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner, praying that the 2nd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minor 1st respondent, and that letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased be issued to the petitioner, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on July 17, 1922, in the presence of Mr. E. Murugesampillai, Proctor, on the

part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated July 10, 1922, having been read: It is ordered that the above-named 2nd respondent be appointed guardian *ad litem* over the minor 1st respondent for the purpose of representing him in this case, and that letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate be issued to the petitioner, as her lawful husband, unless the above-named respondents appear before this court, and show sufficient cause to the contrary on August 15, 1922.

July 21, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Arumugam Managasabai of Chiviateru,
No. 4,892. deceased.
Class I.

Saravanamuttu Arumugam of Chiviateru. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Sinnattamby Kadiravelu of Chiviateru, (2) Navasi-
vayam Chupparamanian of ditto, (3) Navasiyayam
Tambirasa of ditto, (4) Navasiyayam Ponniah of
ditto, and (5) Kadiraspillai, widow of Kartigesar of
ditto. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Saravanamuttu Arumu-
gam of Chiviateru, the above-named petitioner, praying for
letters of administration to the estate of the above named
deceased, Arumugam Managasabai, coming on for disposal
before G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on July 24,
1922, in the presence of Mr. R. Subramaniam, Proctor, on
the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner
dated July 17, 1922, having been read: It is declared that
the petitioner is the father of the said intestate, and is
entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of
the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or
any other person shall, on or before August 10, 1922, show
sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the con-
trary.

July 24, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

Time extended till August 22, 1922.

August 8, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate and Effects of
Jurisdiction. the late Veerakattiar Chittambalam of
No. 4,895. Karaveddy West, deceased.

Chittambalam Veluppillai of Karaveddy West. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Parupathippillai, widow of Chittambalam, (2) Chit-
tambalam Karthikeso, (3) Meenadchy, daughter of
Chittambalam, (4) Vethanai, daughter of Chittam-
balam, (5) Chittambalam Kathiravelu of Karaveddy
West. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner,
praying that the above-named 1st respondent be appointed
guardian *ad litem* over the minors, the above-named 2nd,
3rd, 4th, and 5th respondents, and that letters of administra-
tion to the estate of the above-named deceased be issued
to the petitioner, coming on for disposal before G. W.
Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on July 25, 1922, in the
presence of Mr. K. Mailvaganam, Proctor, on the part of
the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated
July 1, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the above-named 1st respondent be
appointed guardian *ad litem* over the said minors, the 2nd,
3rd, 4th, and 5th respondents, and that the petitioner be
declared entitled to have letters of administration to the

estate of the above-named deceased issued to him, as his
brother-in-law, unless the respondents or any other person
shall appear before this court, on August 15, 1922, and state
objection or show cause to the satisfaction of the court to
the contrary.

July 25, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Philippattai, widow of Antonippillai of
No. 4,896. Chillalai, deceased.

Antonippillai Soosaippillai of Chillalai. Petitioner.

(1) Yakkoppillai Neekkilappillai and (2) wife Annammah
of Chillalai. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Antonippillai Soosaippillai
of Chillalai, praying for letters of administration to the
estate of the above-named deceased Philippattai, widow of
Antonippillai of Chillalai, coming on for disposal before
G. W. Woodhouse, Esq., District Judge, on July 20, 1922,
in the presence of Mr. R. Candiah Proctor, on the part of
the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner dated
July 18, 1922, having been read: It is declared that the
petitioner is one of the heirs of the said intestate, and is
entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of
the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or
any other person shall, on or before August 17, 1922, show
sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the
contrary.

July 26, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Sellachchippillai, widow of Sathasivampillai
No. 4,905. pillai of Vaddukodai West, deceased.

Weluppillai Subramaniam of Vaddukodai West. Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Amirthavalyammah, daughter of Sathasivampillai,
(2) Sathasivampillai Balasingam, (3) Nagammah,
daughter of Sathasivampillai, and (4) Murugesar
Arunasalam, all of Vaddukodai West; the 1st, 2nd,
and 3rd respondents are minors appearing by their
guardian *ad litem* the 4th respondent. Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of the above-named petitioner,
praying that the above-named 4th respondent be appointed
guardian *ad litem* over the above-named minors the 1st,
2nd, and 3rd respondents, and that letters of administration
to the estate of the above-named deceased be issued to the
petitioner, coming on for disposal before G. W. Woodhouse,
Esq., District Judge, on July 28, 1922, in the presence of
Mr. S. Sittampalam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner;
and the affidavit of the petitioner dated July 22, 1922,
having been read:

It is ordered that the above-named 4th respondent be
appointed guardian *ad litem* over the said minors the 1st,
2nd, and 3rd respondents for the purpose of representing
them in this case, and that the petitioner be declared entitled
to have letters of administration to the estate of the said
intestate, issued to him as her son-in-law and guardian of
the minor heirs, unless the respondents above named shall
appear before this court on August 17, 1922, and state
objection or show sufficient cause to the contrary.

August 2, 1922.

G. W. WOODHOUSE,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Batticaloa.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary. In the Matter of the Estate of the late
Jurisdiction. Moheideen Bawapody Asenar *alias* Toon-
No. 62. gar Sinnataraby of Division No. 3, Katan-
kudy, deceased.

B. Emmanuel, Secretary, District Court, Batti-
caloa..... Petitioner.

And

(1) Muhamathaliya Asiathumma (widow), (2) Assenar
Agamadulevvai, (3) Assenar Magamoodulevvai, (4)
Assenar Muhamathaleva, all of Division No. 3,
Katanakudy; the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th respondents are
minors by their guardian *ad litem* the 1st respon-
dent..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before N. E. Ernst,
District Judge, Batticaloa, on June 20, 1922, in the
presence of the petitioner appearing in person; and the
affidavit and petition of the petitioner dated May 24, 1922,
and June 20, 1922, respectively, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby
declared entitled, as Secretary of the District Court of
Batticaloa, to administer the estate of the deceased, and that
letters of administration do issue to him accordingly, unless
the above-named respondent or any other person or persons
interested shall, on or before July 27, 1922, show sufficient
cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

June 20, 1922.

N. E. ERNST,
District Judge.

Order Nisi extended to August 29, 1922.

July 27, 1922.

N. E. ERNEST,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Jurisdiction. Muthunamagonnage Maria Fernando
No. 1,442. of Katuneriya, deceased.

Warnakulasuriya Mahamudalige Paschal Fernando of
Katuneriya..... Petitioner.

And

(1) Vincent Michael Fernando, (2) Mary Anasitus
Fernando, (3) Aloysius Gonsal Fernando, (4)
Clotilda Fernando, (5) Muthunamagonnage Anthony
Fernando, all of Katuneriya..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before C. Coomara
swamy, Esq., District Judge of Chilaw, on July 25, 1922,
in the presence of Messrs. Corea & Corea, Proctors, on the

part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the said peti-
tioner dated July 25, 1922, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner above named be and he
is hereby declared entitled, as husband of the above-named
deceased, to have letters of administration to her estate
issued to him, and that the 5th respondent be appointed
guardian *ad litem* over the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th respondents,
unless any person or persons interested shall, on or before
August 16, 1922, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction
of this court to the contrary.

July 25, 1922.

N. M. BHARUCHA,
District Judge.

In the District Court of Badulla.

Order Nisi.

B/628

In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of
Medagedera Balaya of Hinnarangolla in
Dambawini Palata of the District of
Badulla, deceased.

Between

Medagedera Kiru of Peda Arawe Malpota..... Petitioner.

And

(1) Medagedera Giravi of Hinnarangolla, (2) Medagedera
Rankiri of ditto (3) Gamedagedera Garu of Gala-
danda, (4) Migahakotuwegedera Giravi, widow of
Menicka of Hinnarangolla..... Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Reginald
Gibson Saunders, Esq., District Judge of Badulla, on May 17,
1922, in the presence of Mr. A. P. Bartholomeusz, Proctor,
on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the peti-
tioner dated May 17, 1922, having been read: It is ordered
that the petitioner, as daughter of the deceased, be and she is
hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to
the estate of the deceased issued to her, unless any person or
persons interested shall, on or before June 21, 1922, show
sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the con-
trary.

May 17, 1922.

R. G. SAUNDERS,
District Judge.

The date for showing cause is extended to July 19, 1922.

June 21, 1922.

R. G. SAUNDERS,
District Judge.

The date for showing cause is extended to August 23, 1922.

July 19, 1922.

R. G. SAUNDERS,
District Judge.