ලංකාණ්ඩුවේ ගැසට් පතුය

THE CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE

අංක 11,633 — 1959 ජනවාරි 2 වැනි සිකුරාද — 2.1.195**9**

No. 11,633 - FRIDAY, JANUARY 2, 1959

(Published by Authority)

PART I: SECTION (I)-GENERAL

(Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately)

			PAGE			PAGE
Proclamations by the Governor-Ge	eneral		-	Price Orders		
Appointments, &c., by the Govern	or-General		14	Central Bank of Ceylon Notices		
Appointments, &c., by the Public	Service Com	mission		Accounts of the Government of C	$_{ m eylon}$	
Appointments, &c., by the Judicia	l Service Con	nmission	16	Revenue and Expenditure Return	s	 39
Other Appointments, &c.		٠.	16	Miscellaneous Departmental Notic	ces	 - 39
Appointments, &c., of Registrars			-	Notice to Mariners		
Government Notifications			16	"Excise Ordinance" Notices		 4.1

Appointments, &c., by the Governor-General

No. 1 of 1959.

No. D1/Rect/16.

ARMY—REGULAR FORCE—PROMOTIONS APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

To be Lieutenants with effect from December 3, 1958-

Second Lieutenant M. H. Dharmasiri, C.A.O.C. Second Lieutenant T. D. J. VITHARANA, C.A.C. Second Lieutenant G. Gajendrapoopan, C.A.

Second Lieutenant F. C. CORERA, C.A.

By His Excellency's command,

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.
Colombo, December 20, 1958.

No. 2 of 1959.

No. D1/Rect/26.

ARMY—REGULAR FORCE—POSTINGS APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

THE undermentioned officers are posted to the Corps/Regiments mentioned against their names, with effect from November 16, 1958, in the following order of seniority:—

Second Lieutenant A. F. H. STAVE—The Ceylon Light Infantry.

Second Lieutenant A. Ariyapperuma—The Ceylon Sinha Regiment.

Second Lieutenant W. K. Nanayakkara—The Ceylon Light Infantry.

Second Lieutenant H. F. RUPESINGHE—Ceylon Artillery.

-14-J. N. B 10430-4,004 (12/58)

Second Lieutenant S. P. ILANGANTILERE—The Ceylon Corps of Military Police.

Second Lieutenant G. V. ELAPATA—Ceylon Artillery.

Second Lieutenant M. S. C. DE SILVA—Ceylon Artillery.

By His Excellency's command,

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.
Colombo, December 18, 1958.

No. 3 of 1959

ARMY—REGULAR FORCE—SECONDMENT AND PROMOTION APPROVED BY HIS EXCEL-LENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

Secondment

Captain C. Fernando, C.A., is seconded for service with the High Commissioner for Ceylon in the United Kingdom for a further period with effect from October 26, 1957, whilst holding the appointment of Staff Assistant.

Promotion

To be Local Major for a further period with effect from October 26, 1957, whilst on secondment with the Office of the High Commissioner for Ceylon in the United Kingdom.

Captain C. FERNANDO, C.A.

By His Excellency's command,

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.
Colombo, 18th December, 1958.

PART I: SEC. (I) — (GENERAL) — CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE — JAN. 2, 1959

No. 4 of 1959

D/VF/17/A.

ARMY—C. V. F.—PROMOTION APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

To be Major with effect from January 1, 1958—Captain S. C. Kurukulasuriya, C.C.C.

By His Excellency's command,

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.
Colombo 1, 13th December, 1958.

No. 5 of 1959

D/VF/17/A.

ARMY—C. Y. F.—PROMOTIONS AND TRANS-FERS TO THE RESERVE APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

Promotions

To be Lieutenants in the Ceylon Cadet Corps with effect from the dates shown against their names---

Second-Lieutenant J. A. David, w.e.f. March 1, 1957

Second-Lieutenant R. Y. Fitch, w.e.f. March 1, 1957.

Second-Lieutenant A. N. Perera, w.e.f. March 1,

1957.
Second-Lieutenant B. G. N. Sariffodeen, w.e.f.
August 1, 1957.

Second-Lieutenant N. B. S. BALALLE, w.e.f.

January 1, 1958. Second-Lieutenant B. M. M. S. L. B. Amara-

NAYAKE, w.e.f. January 1, 1958. Second-Lieutenant R. L. Goonetilleka, w.e.f.

January 1, 1958.

Second-Lieutenant S. B. J. Puvimannasinghe, w.e.f. January 1, 1958.

Second-Lieutenant G. H. Dharmadasa, w.e.f. January 1, 1958. Second-Lieutenant M. T. H. Perera, w.e.f. January

1, 1958.

Transfers

Transferred to the Reserve of the Ceylon Cadet Corps with effect from October 4, 1958—

Lieutenant J. A. DAVID, C.C.C. Lieutenant R. Y. FITCH, C.C.C.

By His Excellency's command,

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.
Colombo 1, 13th December, 1958.

No. 6 of 1959

.D/VF/17/A.

ARMY—C. Y. F.—APPOINTMENT TO COMMISSION AND SECONDMENT APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

Appointment

To be Second-Lieutenant in the Ceylon Cadet Corps with effect from November 1, 1958.

Mr. NAGALINGAM RATNASINGAM.

Secondment

Second-Lieutenant N. RATNASINGAM, C.C.C., is seconded for service with the Junior Division of the Corps with effect from November 1, 1958.

By His Excellency's command,

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.
Colombo 1, 13th December, 1958.

Appointments, &c., by the Judicial Service Commission

No. 7 of 1959

SUMMARY OF APPOINTMENTS MADE BY THE JUDICIAL SERVICE COMMISSION

Name of Officer	New Appointment	$Effective\ Date\ of\ New\ Appointment$	Remarks
Mr. J. J. David	Additional District Judge etc., Batticaloa	17th to 30th December, 1958	During absence of Mr. D. S. L. P. ABAYA. SEKARA
Mr. M. ESURUPADHAM	Additional District Judge etc., Point Pedro	17th to 20th December, 1958	During absence of Mr. S. THAMBY DURAI
Mr. B. R. G. WIJEYEKOON		15th and 20th December, 1958	
Mr. S. D. KARUNARATNE	Additional Magistrate etc., Kurunegala, at Kanadulla	17th December, 1958	During absence of Mr. S. S. KULATILEKE
Mr. C. M. THARMALINGAM	Additional Magistrate etc., Vavuniya	22nd January, 1959	
Mr. W. A. C. SIRISENA	Additional District Judge etc., Balapitiya	22nd to 24th, December, 1958	During absence of Mr. V. T. PANDITA GUNA- WARDENE
Mr. S. ILAYATAMBI	Additional Magistrate etc., Jaffna, at Mallakam	20th to 22nd December, 1958	During absence of Mr. G. C. Niles
Mr. S. Mathavaraja	Additional District Judge etc., Trincomalee	20th December, 1958	During absence of Mr. A. O. S. DISSANAYAKE
Mr. B. R. G. WIJEYEKOON	Additional Magistrate etc., Kandy	,	During absence of Mr. A. E. R. COREA
Mr. V. CANAGASABAI	Additional Magistrate etc., Point Pedro	24th December, 1958	

PART I:	SEC.	(I)	(GENERAL)	— CEYLON	GOVERNMENT	GAZETTE — JAN.	2,	1959
---------	------	-----	-----------	----------	------------	----------------	----	------

Name of Officer	$New\ Appointment$	${\it Effective\ Date\ of}\ {\it New\ Appointment}$	Remarks
Mr. W. A. C. SIRISENA	Additional Magistrate etc., Balapitiya	23rd December, 1958 to 2nd January, 1959	
Mr. T. J. C. Peiris	Additional Magistrate etc., Panadura	24th and 25th December,	
Mr. B. P. Piyasena	Acting President, Rural Court, Morawak Korale etc.		
Mr. P. R. RAJENDRA	Acting President, Rural Court, Valikamam etc.		
Mr. W. Dias de Singhe	Acting President, Rural Court, Matale South etc.	15th December, 1958	During absence of Mr. M. M. Perera
Mr. A. B. RATNAYAKE	Acting President, Rural Court, Katugampola Hat- pattu etc.		During absence of Mr. A. S. HERAT GUNARATNE
Mr. M. Perera	Acting President, Rural Court, Yatikinda-Wiya- luwa etc.		During absence of Mr. H. S. TILLEKERATNE
Mr. T. Mylvaganam	Acting President, Rural Court, Vavuniya North etc.		During absence of Mr V. Somasunderam
Mr. F. G. B. PERERA	Acting President, Rural Court, Beligal Korale etc.		During absence of Mr. H MEEDENIYA
Office of the Judicial Serv P. O. Box 57			S. R. WIJAYATILAKE, Secretary,

Colombo, 20th, December, 1958.

Judicial Service Commission.

Other Appointments

No. 8 of 1959

No. OM. 4/1/11F.

THE following transfer in the Ceylon Overseas Service takes effect from the date notified:-

Mr. I. B. Fonseka, Grade V of the Ceylon Overseas Service, to be Second Secretary (Overseas Service, Grade V) in the Ceylon Embassy in China, with effect from 20th December, 1958, until further orders.

G. DE SOYZA, Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Defence and External Affairs. Senate Building, Colombo 1, 22nd December, 1958

No. 9 of 1959

APPOINTMENTS BY THE HONOURABLE MINISTER OF JUSTICE

Unofficial Magistrates

Mr. Felix S. Paul to be an Unofficial Magistrate for the judicial district of Mannar.

Officers administering Oaths under Section 372 of the Civil Procedure Code

Mr. P. B. Weerasuriya to be, while acting in the post of Fiscal's Marshal, Hatton, an officer specially authorised to administer the oaths or affirmations which are requisite to the making of affidavits mentioned in section 371 of the said Code, for the judicial division of Hatton with effect from the 23rd Decem-

Inquirers under Section 120 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Cap. 16)

- (1) Mr. T. NAGAMANY to act as Inquirer for Naducheddikulam Division, Vavuniya District, from the 19th December, 1958, until the resumption of duties by Mr. S. PATHMANATHAN.
- (2) Mr. S. Ponnian to act as Inquirer for the Village Headmen's Divisions of Illupaikadavai, Vellankulam

and Vidattaltivu, Mannar District, from the 20th December, 1958, until the resumption of duties by Mr. K. MEERAMOHIDEEN.

- (3) Mr. D. P. RANASINGHE to act as inquirer for Dimbula Korale, Nuwara Eliya District, from the 22nd December, 1958, until the resumption of duties by Mr. J. D. W. WAKISTA.
- (4) Mr. M. K. W. Fonseka to act as Inquirer for Udugahapattu in Hapitigam Korale, Colombo District, from the 23rd December, 1958, until the resumption of duties by Mr. J. RATNAYAKE.

Government Notifications

(D. S. 148/58).

No. 552E 293/23 DC.

PURSUANT to the 2nd Section of the Minutes on Pensions, it is hereby notified that the holder of the office specified below is entitled to pension:

Education Department

Inspectors of Kandyan Dancing Assistant Inspectors of Music.

> S. F. AMERASINGHE, Secretary to the Treasury.

General Treasury, Colombo, December 8, 1958.

L.D.—B. 104/44.

THE TRUSTS ORDINANCE Order under section 114

WHEREAS the Trustees of the Asoka Dharmadutha Trust, namely,-

Lady Evadne de Silva, Lady Chrysobel Rajapakse, Mrs. Clara Heendeniya, Mrs. Muriel Salgado, Mrs. G. C. T. A. De Silva,

Mr. G. D. Jayasundara,

Mr. D. L. F. Pedris, Mr. P. P. Siriwardana, Dr. H. C. H. Soysa,

Mr. Ananda Semage, and

Mr. H. D. Perera,

have applied to the Minister of Justice for their incorporation under section 114 of the Trusts Ordinance (Chapter 72):

Now, therefore, by virtue of the powers vested in me by the aforesaid section, I. Manikku Wadumestri Hendrick de Silva, Minister of Justice, do by this Order authorise the incorporation of the said Trustees, and direct and declare—

- (a) that the said Trustees and their successors for the time being duly appointed under the rules of the said Trust shall be a body corporate with perpetual succession and a common seal under the name and style of "THE ASOKA DHARMADUTHA TRUST",
- (b) that by the said name and style they may receive, take, hold, and dispose of all descriptions of property, both movable and immovable, on behalf of the said Trust; and
- (c) that they shall be able and competent in law to sue and be sued, to answer and be answered, to defend and be defended, in any court or elsewhere in all causes and action in respect of the said Trust.

This Order may be revoked, or from time to time be varied or amended, in such manner as may appear to me to be necessary.

> M. W. H. DE SILVA, Minister of Justice.

Colombo, 24th December, 1958.

THE Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs has been pleased under section 32 (1) (b) of the Prisons Ordinance (Chapter 44), as amended by Ordinance No. 53 of 1939, and as modified by Proclamation in Gazette Extraordinary No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, to appoint the persons mentioned in column II of the Schedule hereto to be members of the Local Visiting Committee of the Institution mentioned in column I of the Schedule for a period of one year from the date of this notice.

S. C. Fernando, Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs.

Colombo 7, December 18, 1958.

Schedule

 $I \\ {\rm Hulftsdorp\ Prison}$

II

Mrs. E. L. Cosme.

THE Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs has been pleased under section 32 (1) (b) of the Prisons Ordinance (Chapter 44), as amended by Ordinance No. 53 of 1939, and as modified by Proclamation in Gazette Extraordinary No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, to appoint the persons mentioned in column II of the Schedule hereto to be members of the Local Visiting Committee of the Institution mentioned in column I of the Schedule for a period of one year from the date of this notice.

S. C. FERNANDO, Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs.

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Schedule

*I*Galle Prison

11

Mr. Rex Wickramasinghe Mr. D. A. S. P. Dahanayake

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that the Minister for Lands and Land Development has, by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 60 (1) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, as modified by the Proclamation published in Gazette Extraordinary No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, confirmed the scheme relating to the Badahelagama irrigation work in the Anuradhapura District of the North-Central Province, prepared under Part V of the same Ordinance and approved at a meeting duly held on the 21st and 11th days of June and November respectively, 1957, by the prescribed majority of the proprietors under the irrigable area of that irrigation work

C. B. P. Perera,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Lands and Land Development.
Colombo, 24th December, 1958.

MILK BOARD ACT, No. 12 OF 1954 Notification

IT is hereby notified for general information that the Honourable the Minister of Agriculture and Food has been pleased under section 4 (1) of the Milk Board Act, No. 12 of 1954, to appoint Mr. H. S. Wanasinghe, C.C.S., to be a Member of the Milk Board for a period of five years with effect from December 26, 1958.

K. M. D. JAVANETTI, Acting Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Agriculture and Food.

Ministry of Agriculture and Food, Union Place, Colombo 2. December 26, 1958.

THE FOOD CONTROL ACT, No. 25 OF 1950 Sale of Rice by Weight

IT is hereby notified in terms of regulation 4 (1) of Part III of Head E of Food Control Regulations 1952 that with effect from 5th January, 1959, weekly ration of rice which may be sold or issued by weight to any person who is in possession of a ration book of any class specified in Column I of the schedule hereto and who is resident in any one of the Administrative Districts of Colombo, Galle, Jaffna, Kegalle, Hambantota and Mannar, shall be the quantity specified in the corresponding entry in Colomn II of that schedule.

Column I	Column II
Class	Rice
. *	(Pounds)
Infant	4
Child	4
Ordinary	4
Worker	4

Note.—One measure of rice is equivalent to 2 pounds. On this basis, consumers will receive for each rice ration book 4 pounds of rice instead of two measures per week on surrender of coupons.

K. M. D. JAYANETTI, Food Controller and Food Commissioner. Colombo, December 30, 1958. PART I: SEC. (I) - (GENERAL) - CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE - JAN. 2, 1959

L. D.—B. 277/40.

THE ANTIQUITIES ORDINANCE, No. 9 OF 1940

NOTICE given by the Minister of Local Government and Cultural Affairs under section 19 of the Antiquities Ordinance, No. 9 of 1940, as modified by the Proclamation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947.

J. Kuruppu,
Minister of Local Government and
Cultural Affairs.

Colombo, December 11, 1958.

Notice

It is intended to make order under the provisions of section 18 of the Antiquities Ordinance, No. 9 of 1940, declaring the remains of Handamagama Vihara, located in one inch to mile map I 14 square 18 map No. 7 of Mahagalboda North in Weudavilli Hatpattuva of the Kurunegala District in the North-Western Province, to be a protected monument for the purposes of that Ordinance.

Objections to the making of the above order must be received by the Arachæological Commissioner, Colombo, on or before 31st January, 1959. Every such objection must be in writing and must contain a statement of the grounds upon which it is made.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT. No. 43 OF 1950

Order under Section 4 (2)

WHEREAS an industrial dispute in respect of the matters specified in the statement of the Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour which accompanies this Order exists between the All Ceylon Shop and Hotel Workers' Union and Free China Hotel, Colombo:

Now, therefore, I, Tikiri Bandara Ilangaratne, Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, do, by virtue of the powers vested in me by section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, hereby refer the aforesaid dispute for settlement to an Industrial Court which shall be constituted in accordance with the provisions of section 22 of that Act.

T. B. ILANGARATNE,
Minister of Labour, Housing
and Social Services.

Colombo, 24th December, 1958.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

In the matter of an industrial dispute between

The All Ceylon Shop and Hotel Workers' Union, No. 108, Kew Road, Colombo 2

and

Free China Hotel, York House, No. 106, York Street, Colombo 1

STATEMENT OF MATTERS IN DISPUTE

The matters in dispute between the All Ceylon Shop and Hotel Workers' Union and Free China Hotel, Colombo 1, are—

- An increase of 50 per cent in the present scale of wages with the proviso that no employee should be paid less than Rs. 45 per month. This to have retrospective effect from January, 1958;
- 2. Annual incremental scale;
- 3. The payment of a special living allowance of Rs. 17.50; and
- 4. Provision of a morning meal to all workers.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour.
Dated at Colombo, this 23rd day of December, 1958.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE Award transmitted to me by the Industrial Court constituted for the purpose of settling the industrial dispute between the Eksath Engineru Saha Samanya Kamkaru Samithiya and Messrs. Harrisons and Crosfield, Limited, Colombo, which was referred by the Honourable the Minister of Labour. Housing and Social Services, by Order dated February 14, 1958, made under section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, and published in Ceylon Government Gazette No. 11,263 dated February 21, 1958, for settlement by an Industrial Court, is hereby published in terms of section 25 (1) of the said Act.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour.
Department of Labour,
Colombo, 23 December, 1958.

Industrial Court at Colombo

No. I. D. 52

In the matter of an industrial dispute

between

The Eksath Engineru Saha Samanya Kamkaru Samithiya, 171 1/1, Norris Road, Colombo 11.

and

Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield Limited, Prince Buildings, P. O. Box 69, Colombo.

THE AWARD

The Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services has, under section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, referred this dispute to this Court. The Commissioner of Labour in his statement dated 13th of February, 1958, has set out the dispute between the parties concerned. The dispute is between The Eksath Engineru Saha Samanya Kamkaru Samithiya, hereinafter referred to as the "Union" on the one side, and Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield Limited, hereinafter referred to as the "Company" or "Employer" on the other. The dispute as stated in the order of the Honourable the Minister which has been referred to this Court for settlement is the demand by the Union that Mr. H. Watson Perera an employee at the mills of Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield should be transferred out of the mills to other employment.

2. When this matter was referred to this Court, it called for statements from the parties concerned in order to ascertain what the grounds are on which the Union demands the transfer of Mr. H. Watson Perera from the mills of this establishment and the objections thereto, if any, from the Company; the Company by its statement dated 5th March, 1958, set out its objections to the demand. The Union in its statement dated 6th March, 1958, only repeated its demand that Mr. H. Watson Perera should be transferred out of the mills in the interest of the workers, but did not set out the grounds on which the demand is based; the Company, until the matter came up for inquiry before this Court, was not apprised of the grounds on which the demand was based. On the first inquiry date, namely, March 15th, 1958, the representative of the Union, Mr. Kulasekera, stated that there were twenty specific charges that they were making against Mr. Watson Perera. But a reference to 2R4 which contains the allegations against Mr. Watson Perera which were submitted to the Commmissioner of Labour shows that there are twenty-three charges some of which were sponsored and supported by a person or presons named therein. Mr. Advocate Stanley Perera who appeared for the Union on 29th of March, 1958, stated that although twenty-three allegations had been framed against Mr.

Watson Perera and submitted to the Commissioner of Labour, he would confine himself to six allegations which he has set out and also the further allegations that he will set out later. The allegations are:—

- (1) That certain non-union members, e.g., Baron was favoured by Mr. Watson Perera. The charge will be that Mr. Watson Perera has discriminated in making appointments for permanent work in the Black Tea Department. The witnesses were Hendrick Singho and T. Gunadasa.
 - (2) Mr. Watson Perera has harassed a certain batch of seven or eight employees persistently and the witnesses on that would be E. Mason and two others.
- · (3) On the Black Tea Department the witnesses are Mackeen 212 and Naheem 218.
 - (4) Mr. Watson Perera has overlooked the claims of certain union members in granting increases of salary in favour of non-union members. The witnesses would be H. J. de Silva, Amarasinghe, Karunatilleke and James Caldera.
 - (5) This charge would be a complaint by H. K. Ekmon that he was unfairly treated after he made representations at a conference on 29.3.57 regarding a rice meal. The witnesses would be Siriwardena, Secretary of the Union at the time.
 - (6) A complaint by M. P. S. Perera that his pay has not been increased by Mr. Watson Perera due to his (Mr. Watson Perera's) animosity towards him although he was entitled to an increase.

3. It must be noted that although Mr. Watson Perera was the person primarily affected by this demand, he was not made a party to these proceedings; but on an application made on his behalf that he be heard before an order was made upon this demand, this Court permitted Mr. Watson Perera to place his case before it. The Counsel appearing for the Company led no evidence except making certain submissions. The Union has called a large volume of evidence and so has Mr. Watson Perera. Mr. Watson Perera has been an employee of this Company for nearly 15 years; he has worked as a clerk and also as a supervisor of two departments in the mills of Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield Ltd., namely, the Estate Tea Department and the Black Tea Department. There are kanganies who supervise the work of the workmen and are always on the spot. Mr. Watson Perera, as supervising officer, is not constantly in the various departments, but he makes a couple of visits or more to them in the course of the day depending on the circumstances. He works normally in the office along with other clerks in a hall where the Mills Manager also works. At the period relevent to this inquiry the Mills Manager was Mr. Martin, a European gentleman; he went on furlough in June, 1956, and returned in January, 1957: during his absence Mr. Hughes who has given evidence at this inquiry and who had been Assistant Mills Manager acted for Mr. Martin. Mr. Watson Perera's father, Mr. M. S. Perera, was the chief clerk at the mills and had served this Company faithfully for a period of well over 50 years. Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield Ltd. has its head office in London and have branches in many parts of the world including Cevlon. Mr. M. S. Perera, the father of Mr. Watson Perera, was a person who ranked third in the matter of length of service of all the employees of this Company in its various branches. His devotion to duty and faithful discharge of duties were highly appreciated by his employer. Mr. Watson Perera joined this Company as a clerk, and has served in its various departments for well nigh 15 years. According to Mr. Hughes Mr. enta convenire sin len quagani eni il il tininge

Watson Perera has been a very faithful and trusted employee of Messrs. Harrisons and Crosfield Ltd. and his work was very much appreciated.

- 4. The gravamen of the charges against Mr. Watson Perera by this Union seems to be that he had influence with the Mills Manager Mr. Martin and was using that influence to the detriment of the workers generally and to the advancement of his favourite workers.
- 5. One Mr. Ariyadasa is the secretary of the branch union at Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield Ltd. at present; he is in the van of the fight against Mr. Watson Perera.
- 6. It would appear that the fourteen workmen employed in what is known as the Estate Tea Department made a demand to the Mills Manager Mr. Martin for an increase of their wages to which Mr. Martin replied that the workmen were paid according to the rates laid down by the Wages Board and that he could not do anything in the matter. Mr. Martin is no more in the mills having been forced to give up the managership of the mills about the middle of 1957, by the workers; I propose to deal with that aspect of the matter later. Mr. Martin was the Manager for about 10 years; he went on furlough in June, 1956, overseas and returned to the Island in January, 1957, Before he left on furlough he had promised the fourteen workers of the Estate Tea Department that he was not in a position to raise their wages, but that he would consider a scheme of paying them a bonus on his return. The work done by these fourteen persons was of a heavy nature, and probably Mr. Martin recognised their claim to the bonus being a just one, and gave them a promise that he would prepare a scheme to pay the bonus on his return; the only department the workers of which had previously for many years received a bonus was the Chest Component Department. Mr. Hughes says in his evidence that Mr. Martin had made the other workers understand that he was preparing a scheme of bonus to the workers in the other departments as well, but they seem to have had no patience. Before Mr. Martin went on furlough certain demands had been made by the Union and the consideration of the demands appears to have been postponed till the return of Mr. Martin to the Island. On 19th of March, 1957, Mr. Martin paid the bonus that he had promised to the workers of the Estate Tea Department. This seems to have created a considerable amount of dissatisfaction among the workers of the other departments on the ground that one set of the workers had been treated more favourably than the others, and as a result the workers went slow in their work which undoubtedly must have given considerable anxiety to the management. The twenty-two demands which the workers had prepared were put up to the Labour Department, and the Assistant Commissioner of Labour on 29th of March, 1957, held an inquiry in the presence of Mr. Champion, the Managing Director and the representatives of the Union. These twenty-two demands have been produced marked P4; in none of these twenty-two demands was there even a word mentioned against Mr. Watson Perera. The evidence shows that this conference presided over by the Assistant Commissioner of Labour came to an end at about 8 p.m. on that day and all the demands made were conceded by Mr. Champion. At the close of this conference the members of the Union suddenly made a demand without any prior notice; that Mr. Watson Perera should be transferred from the mills. Mr. Champion was not prepared to consider this matter thrust upon him so suddenly without a proper statement being submitted for consideration. Accordingly the Union submitted the twenty-three allegations against Mr. Watson Perera (2R4). It was agreed that Mr. Watson Perera should be suspended from work till these twenty-three allegations against Mr. Watson Perera

were considered. Mr. Watson Perera has been up to date in suspension, receiving his full pay from his employer.

7. In the statement sent to this Court the Company set out that there was no post in their establishment to which Mr. Watson Perera could be transferred if it became necessary to send him away from the mills and that the only course open for them would be to dismiss him. The Union, probably following some principle, is insistent that Mr. Watson Perera shoud not be dismissed, but that he should be found a place elsewhere. The position now has resolved itself into this: if this Court holds that the demand of the Union has been substantiated and is a just one, it will result in Mr. Watson Perera having to lose his employment and seek employment for his living elsewhere; but the Union seems to be solicitous for his future in that they do not want him to be deprived of his living, but demands that its employer must find a place elsewhere for him other than in the mills.

8. It is relevant to state at this stage that most of the witnesses who had subscribed to the allegations laid down in 2R4 have not been called before this Court. It is now not necessary for this Court to consider all the allegations contained in 2R4 because the Union representative Mr. Advocate Stanley Perera has confined his charges to only six as stated above.

9. I propose at this stage to confine myself to these six allegations that Mr. Stanley Perera framed for this inquiry:—

Allegation No. (1).—According to this allegation the kangany called Baron who works in the Black Tea Department under Mr. Watson Perera is favoured by him and he has got certain privileges not enjoyed by the other workers such as leaving the premises during working hours without a gate pass; the practice in this establishment is that when a worker during working hours desires to leave the premises for short periods, he has to obtain what is known as a gate pass issued by the timekeeper and which has to be handed to the gatekeeper and watcher; the time spent outside the mills is recorded and the worker's wages are reduced according to the period spent outside the mills. It is a rule that a worker in order to entitle him to get his full day's wages must work for 8 hours with an interval for their meals. It is also stated by the Union that Baron has been in the habit of doing Mr. Watson Perera's private work. Upon this point the best evidence would be that of the timekeeper, Mr. Rodrigo, and the watcher and the gatekeeper. Mr. Rodrigo has given evidence and he has denied that Baron was in the . habit of leaving the premises without a gate pass. The watcher and gate keeper have not been called. The only evidence is that of the Secretary Mr. Ariyadasa, and some of his witnesses whose evidence is of a very vague nature, which I reject. Baron has given evidence and has denied that he has been in the habit of leaving the premises without a gate pass, and that he has been doing private work of Mr. Watson Perera during working hours. He is a kankany who has to be in control of the machine that is worked in the Black Tea Department, and he has also to supervise the work of the employees in that Department. I may at this stage refer generally to Mr. Ariyadasa, the Secretary. Mr Hughes called him a slacker and a person who incites workmen in that establishment. Mr. Watson Perera has described him as a thug and a person who is out to intimidate his fellow workers most of whom are of a very quiet disposition and want to do their work and earn their living. Mr. Ariyadasa has been before me several days being examined and crossexamined and he gave me the impression of being a very intransigent person. His history sheet, 2R 23, shows his date of engagement as 1st September, 1945. On 22,9.55 an adverse report has been made against him by Mr. Martin in the history sheet which says

that he came to the office and abused some person or persons in foul language, etc. I am not satisfied by the evidence placed by the Union that Baron kangany has any special privileges accorded him by Mr. Watson Perera or that he used to leave the mills without a gate pass.

Allegation No. (2).—As regards this allegation that Mr. Watson Perera had harassed a certain batch of seven or eight employees persistently, there is hardly evidence to support this. I therefore hold that this allegation has not been proved.

Allegation No. (3).—This is an allegation upon which a considerable amount of evidence has been led. There is what is known as a pool in this establishment; according to the pool arrangement some of the workers have to be present at the pool in the morning and they are allocated to various departments by Mr. K. P. Fernando, who has got a list of names of the people who work in the pool. Mr. Fernando, who has got a list of names of the people who work in the pool. nando is an overseer of Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield Ltd.. who has worked there for a considerable period; there are some workers who are permanently employed in particular departments and they are not expected to present themselves at the pool. On the previous day Mr. Fernando gets requisitions from the various overseers of the departments asking for a certain number of workers to be sent to these departments under these supervisors. It appears that an order had been received by Mr. Fernando from the Mills Manager through Mr. Watson Perera that the following persons should not be sent to the Black Tea Department; they are Charles Singho 517, Kittu 330, Jinadasa 260, Marshall Appu 822 and Siriwardena 225. Accordingly Mr. Fernando drew red lines under their names which, according to him, he did in order that anybody who may attend to his work in his absence may know that these five persons should not be sent to the Black Tea Department. Mr. Fernando is a witness called by the Union. He stated that when this order was received from Mr. Watson Perera he verified from the Mills Manager whether that message was correct and the Mills Manager made him understand that he had sent that message through Mr. Watson Perera. The reason for this order by the Mills Manager appears to be that these five persons were very unsatisfactory, that they were giving trouble, that they were disobedient workers, and that he would not be able to get any work done by them. Mr. Fernando had said in very clear terms that he was not in the habit of receiving orders from Mr. Watson Perera and that he consulted the Mills Manager who agreed that these persons should not be sent to the Black Tea Department. The Mills Manager was in the habit of coming down to the various departments once or twice a day. The supervising officer is more in touch with the workers, and the kangany has to be constantly with the workers. Reports against the workers would normally be made by the kangany to the supervisor, who would convey same to the Mills Manager; so that if Mr. Watson Perera had received any complaints against any worker from the kangany it was undoubtedly his bounden duty to have conveyed same to the Mills Manager, who would give orders. It appears that a certain impression has been created amongst certain workers that Mr. Watson Perera had great influence with the Mills Manager, and that he could get the Mills Manager to do anything he wanted. Mr. Hughes has given the lie to that statement and said that Mr. Martin, the Mills Manager, had been in the mills for about ten years and that "he had his hand on the pulse", meaning thereby that Mr. Martin had full control of the establishment in his charge, and that he knew the workings of each department and the capacity of the workers and their general conduct.

The Black Tea Department is one where most workers like to work because there is invariably overtime and Sunday work available to them in that department. The kangany and the supervisor were

responsible for the production of at least 50,000 lbs. of tea per day in the Black Tea Department, so that a high degree of efficiency is expected of these workers in the Black Tea Department. Evidence shows that Mr. Martin, the Mills Manager, asked the kangaines Perak and Baron to bring six persons from outside to work in the Black Tea Department. They are the two Gunawardenas, Samy, J. P. Thomas, Naheem and Mackeen and they were trained in that department. Mr. Watson Perera stated that the work in the Black Tea Department was of a special nature, and it required special and particular training and Mr. Martin therefore wanted Perak and Baron to bring six persons to be trained to work there permanently.

The charge against Mr. Watson Perera is that these six persons are his favourities, and that he got them always to work in the Black Tea Department when there were hands senior to them already in the mills, and thus gave them the opportunity of earning evertime and Sunday pay for work done. I do not think that this allegation has been substantiated for the evidence clearly shows that it was the Mills Manager who gave the order that these six persons should be brought and trained there and it was not Mr. Watson Perera. It is pertinent at this stage to refer to a statement made by learned Counsel for the Union when he said that their main grievance was that Mr. Watson Perera was being favoured by the Mills Manager and that he (Mr. Watson Perera) was acting in this manner because he was "in the good books" of the Mills Manager. On this point Mr. Hughes has given definite evidence. He says that Mr. Martin was in the habit of consulting the supervising officers with regard to the work and that after consultation he (Mr. Martin) made his own decision; that is as one would expect matters should be. Here is a Mills Manager who had under him his supervisors; he had undoubtedly to consult his supervisors and also probably his kanganies before he decided on any action pertaining to the working of the various departments. If Mr. Martin placed great reliance on any particular subordinate of his, it may well be that that particular officer had earned the confidence of the Mills Manager by devotion to duty and his loyalty to his employer. It looks as though the Union considers loyalty to his employer as a bad trait in the make up of an employee. This attitude on the part of the workers seems to be hased on a prevalent fostered among the workers that their employer is their enemy. This Court has often said that the employer and the employee are complementary in a business venture, and they have their respective duties towards each other. I am afraid I cannot, upon the evidence placed before me, come to the conclusion that if Mr. Watson Perera has won the confidence of his superior, Mr. Martin, he had done so otherwise than in a perfectly legal manner. If a subordinate has, in the performance of his duties, discharged same to the satisfaction of his employer, this is not a point to be urged against him but a point in his favour. Very unworthy and unsubstantiated allegations have been made by Mr. Ariyadasa, the Secretary of the Union, that Mr. Martin and Watson Perera are thieves who steal the property of the Company in colloboration; I reject that evidence as being totally untrue. It is only a man of the type of Ariyadasa who can make an allegation of that type. I therefore hold that allegation No. (3) has not been substantiated.

Allegation No. (4).—Mr. Watson Perera is said to have overlooked the claims of certain union members in granting increases of salary in favour of non-union members. On that point the only evidence is that of Messrs. Ariyadasa and H. J. de Silva. The other witnesses named in support of that charge are Amarasinghe. Karunatilleke and James Caldera; these three Persons have not been called. Consideration of the increase of salary of an individual worker is not the

function of Mr. Watson Perera; it is the function of the Mills Manager, and in order to decide whether or not an increase should be given to a particular worker, I dare say the Mills Manager would look to his immediate subordinates who are in touch with the workers for advice. Even if such subordinate officers gave advice there is no proof before me that such advice tendered was mala fide and not bona ride: it is only a guess on the part of this Union to say that Mr. Watson Perera may have recommended against their increments. I hold that this allegation has not been proved.

Allegation No. (5): This Company gives a free lunch to its workers and also tea. There is a special canteen managed by the workers themselves the expenses of which are borne by the Company. It appears to have been the practice to give cooked rice the equivalent of one measure of uncooked rice for six persons; the evidence shows that in the case of very many workers one measure of cooked rice for six persons was ample but in the case of some it was not found to be ample. Ekmon appears to have made a complaint to the Mills Manager that four workers should be given cooked rice of one measure. When this representation was made Mr. Martin appears to have told the person who dished out the food to give Ekmon more rice, if he wanted. According to Ekmon, Mr. Watson Perera who was listening to the conversation between him and the Mills Manager later asked him why he had not mentioned it to Mr. Watson Perera and told him that if he had done so he would have attended to it, and also held out the threat that Ekmon would not get any more overtime. On that point Mr. Watson Perera has given evidence. This statement of Ekmon has not been supported by any of the other witnesses called by the Union, and Mr. Watson Perera denied it. It was also submitted by learned Counsel for Mr. Watson Perera that the documents did not support that Ekmon's overtime had been in any way cut, and the witness, Siriwardena the secretary of the Union at that time has not supported Ekmon on this point. Even Mr. Ariyadasa who has been vehement in the denunciation of Mr. Watson Perera did not support Ekmon on that point. I reject this allegation as it has not been substantiated.

. Allegation No. (6): The allegation is that Mr. M. P. S. Perera's pay has not been increased by Mr. Watson Perera due to the latter's animosity towards the former. On that point M. P. S. Perera has not been called to support it and therefore I hold that the allegation has not been proved.

10. Mr. Martin, the Mills Manager, had to leave the Island having given up his post at Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield Ltd. four years before his time; according to the agreement he had entered with the Company he was entitled to work for a further period of four years. The workers led by Mr. Ariyadasa the secretary had made life intolerable in the mills for Mr. Martin. The immediate cause of this attitude was the payment by Mr. Martin of a bonus to the workers of the Estate Tea Department. Some of the workers appear to have man-handled Mr. Martin and also put up placards making aspersions against him. He used to occupy a bungalow in the mills' premises; on a particular day when he was about to go out of the premises in a motor vehicle, Mr. Ariyadasa and some other workers lay across the road and prevented the motor car in which Mr. Martin was travelling to move out of the premises; Mr. Champion the Managing Director came from the Fort office and managed to get Mr. Martin out of the mills, and thereafter Mr. Martin never came back to work at the mills.

11. When the matter of Mr. Watson Perera was raised suddenly on 29th March, 1957 before the Commissioner of Labour it was agreed that a detailed statement should be set out in order that the matter can be gone into. Accordingly the Union submitted the various allegations against Mr. Watson Perera (2R4). On 3.4.57 a conference was held presided over by Mr. C. B. Kumarasinha the then Acting Commissioner

of Labour at which were present representatives of the Union as well as of Messrs. Harrisons & Crosfield Ltd.; at this conference it was agreed that the allegations against Mr. Watson Perera should be served on him and an inquiry should be held by the Labour Department; on 23.4.57, allegations were framed and submitted to the Labour Department and the Commissioner of Labour ordered Mr. I. D. B. La-Brooy, Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Colombo South, to hold the inquiry on the 10th of June, 1957. On this day before Mr. La-Brooy were present Messrs. T. B. Dissanayake and Siriwardena, representatives of the Union objected to Mr. Watson Perera being representative objected to Mr. Watson Perera being represen ted by Counsel; thereafter Mr. Siriwardena after discussing the matter with about fifty workers who accompanied him, agreed to Counsel being present to represent Mr. Watson Perera. When the inquiry was about to begin Mr. Siriwardena one of the representatives of the Union went out, had another discussion with the workers and stated that the inquiry should have been held within two weeks of the conference held on 3rd April, 1957 and objected to Mr. La-Brooy presiding at the inquiry without giving any reasons.

Mr. Dissanayake, the other representative of the Union, wanted the Acting Commissioner of Labour himself to preside at the inquiry. Mr. Advocate Shelton de Silva on the other hand had no objection to any officer of the Labour Department presiding at to any omeer of the Labour Department presiding at the inquiry. Mr. La-Brooy adjourned the inquiry. The objections raised by the Union to Mr. La-Brooy presiding over the inquiry is without any foundation whatsoever; Mr. La-Brooy had no personal knowledge of the dispute between Mr. Watson Perera and the Union and he did not even know Mr. Watson Perera whom he had seen for the first time and the Lura whom he had seen for the first time on 10th June, 1957. On a report being made by Mr. La-Brooy to the Acting Commissioner of Labour who was then away at Geneva, the latter on his return made order that the inquiry should be held again by Mr. La-Brooy; accordingly the inquiry was fixed for the 18th October, 1957 with due notice to the parties concerned.

12. On 18th October 1957, Mr. Watson Perera and his Counsel were present but neither the Joint Secretary of the Union nor the workers who made the charges against Mr. Watson Perera were present; Mr. La-Brooy after waiting for about half an hour 'phoned up the Union office and he was told that the Joint Secretary was not in. Mr. Advocate Cherubim who appeared for Mr. Watson Perera on that day made his submissions and asked the Assistant Commissioner to hold that the charges had not been substantiated; Mr. La-Brooy again reported to the Commissioner of Labour. At about 11.15 a.m. on 18th October a telegram was received from the Union requesting postponement of the inquiry on the ground that witnesses were not ready; that was long after Mr. Watson Perera and his Counsel had left the labour office. At about 3 p.m. on the same day Mr. Kulasekera of the Union, 'phoned up and wanted to know whether the inquiry was postponed to which the reply was given that the inquiry was not held.

13. A further day was fixed for this inquiry which was Monday, 9th December, 1957 at 10 a.m. and the parties were duly informed and the Acting Commissioner of Labour ordered Mr. Velauthapillai, Assistant Commissioner of Labour, to hold that in quiry. Mr. Watson Perera and his Counsel were present on that day and Mr. Kulasakera who represented sent on that day and Mr. Kulasekera who represented sent on that day and Mr. Kulasekera who represent the Union objected to Mr. Velauthapillai hearing the grounds whatsoever. The case without giving any grounds whatsoever. The inquiry was again postponed for 23rd December, 1957.

14. Mr. Velauthapillai has given evidence. He has stated what happened at the conference presided over by him on 9th December, 1957. Mr. Kulasekera on behalf of the Union raised the objection that the inquiry being between the workers and Mr. Watson

Perera, no outsiders should be present. Mr. Kulasekera appears to have taken very strange objections to Mr. Velauthapillai presiding over this inquiry. He first objected to Counsel appearing for Mr. Watson Perera, and he wanted the proceedings to be conducted in Sinhalese; Mr. Velauthapillai had made provision ior the witnesses to speak either in Tamil or Sinhalese but Mr. Kulasekera wanted Counsel and representatives of parties to speak in Sinhalese and for the record to be kept in Sinhalese. Mr. Velauthapillai stated that he knew Sinhalese a little but he could not conduct the inquiry in Sinhalese unless it was interpreted. On that day too the inquiry could not be held on account of these various objections taken by the Union. On 16th December, 1957 the Joint Secretary of the Union addressed a letter to the Commissioner of Labour in which certain conditions were laid down on which the Union was prepared to attend the inquiry. They are (1) facilities should be made to conduct the inquiry in Sinhalese, (2) no lawyers should represent either party, (3) the inquiry should be conducted by the Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Colombo South or the Deputy Commissioner of Labour Mr. Kumarasinha, the then Acting Commissioner of Labour thereafter fixed the inquiry for 23rd December, 1957. Mr. Velauthapillai was ordered to preside at the inquiry; on this date too no representatives of the Union were present and Union witnesses were not present. Mr. Watson Perera and his Counsel were present.

15. Mr. Appadurai, Assistant Commissioner of Labour has given evidence. He is the gentleman in charge of the Colombo South Division and was the gentleman who presided over the conference held on 29th March 1957 at which certain agreements were reached on the twenty-two demands made by the workers. Mr. Appadurai has spoken of the sudden demand about the transfer of Mr. Watson Perera.

16. I refer to the above matters in order to show the length to which this Union was prepared to go by employing dilatory tactics in order to prevent the inquiry into the charges being held by the Labour Department, although the Union had agreed to their Department, although the Union had agreed to their doing so

17. Although the six grounds pressed by learned Counsel for the Union for the transfer of Mr. Watson Perera do not include the allegation made in the course of the inquiry that Mr. Watson Perera was in the habit of addressing the workers disrespectfully, I propose to sav a word or two on that matter. Mr. propose to say a word or two on that matter. Mr. Ariyadasa says that Mr. Watson Perera used to address workers in Sinhalese: "varen, umba, varen bung." He had no objection to Mr. Watson Perera addressing him "varen, umba", but he objected to his addressing him "bung". On the other hand an other witness called by the Union, Mr. H. Hendrick Singho, says that he had no complaints against Mr. Singho, says that he had no complaints against Mr. Watson Perera. I propose to quote here the questions put to him and the answers he gave:

- Q: How long ago did Mr. Watson Perera take Mr. M. S. Perera's place?
- A: Mr. Watson Perera has been working in the place of Mr. M. S. Perera for the last 7 years.
- You have been working immediately under Mr. Watson Perera for the last seven years?
- Q: Mr. Watson Perera worked there for the last 7 years. Mr. Watson Perera also conducted himself well?

This witness said that he had no objection to Mr. Watson Perera addressing him as "umba".

His complaint seems to be that Mr. Watson Perera got the workers to work hard, and got two persons to do the work that four persons did. Mr. Watson Perera denied that he addressed the workers in any disrespectful manner; Mr. Perak has supported him on that point. I am of opinion that the allegation is merely an afterthought on the part of some of the members of the Union with a view to supporting their demand. I hold that Mr. Watson Perera has not addressed the workers in a manner that he should not have done.

Another objection raised against Mr. Watson Perera's conduct is that he used to have a cigarette in his mouth, place his foot on a tea chest and when drawing the attention of a worker he did so flicking his The evidence is clear that no smoking is allowed in the tea department and the employees can do so only in the canteen. I hold that this is a frivolous allegation and reject it.

18. It is an established rule that the management has the undoubted right to control its business. It is not for the Union to take upon itself the control of the Company's business. If any individual worker in an establishment has any complaint to make against a fellow worker it should be the duty of the employer to entertain such complaints and make due inquiry and take action. In this particular case I desire to emphasise that before this charge against Mr. Watson Perera was made for the first time orally on 29th of March, 1957 no complaint had ever been made by the Union or by any worker against Mr. Watson Perera either to the Mills Manager or any other higher executive of this establishment. This fact is admitted by the witnesses called by the Union. If Watson Perera's conduct had been for a considerable period such as has been deposed to be by some of these witnesses, I dare say the Union or any individual worker would have made representations against him either to the Managing Director or to the Mills Manager as the case may be: but they have failed to do so. In my view Mr. Watson Perera is from the point of view of the worker a very inconvenient supervisor; he is a hard and devoted worker, and he expects persons working under him also to do likewise: some of the workers appear to be under the impression that if they got Mr. Watson Perera out of the way, they would be, in some measure, in a position to regulate the running of the mills as they want.

19. I have considered the evidence led in this case and come to the conclusion that the allegations made against Mr. Watson Perera have not been substantiated, and the demand by them for his transfer from the mills is rejected.

· I make Award accordingly.

(Sgd.) H. A. DE SILVA. Colombo, December 16, 1958.

No. C/I. 242.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE Award transmitted to me by the Arbitrator to whom the industrial dispute which had arisen between the All Ceylon Match Workers' Welfare Union, Biyagama Road, Siyambalagahawatta, Kelaniya, and the National Match Works, "Red Mills", Kelaniya, and the National Match Works, "Red Mills", Kelaniya, was referred, under section 3 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950 as amended by the industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, No. 25 of 1956, the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, No. 14 of 1957, and the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, No. 62 of 1957, for settlement by arbitration, is hereby published in terms of section 18 (1) of the said Act.

> N. L. ABEYWIRA. Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour, Colombo, 27 December, 1958.

The Award

This is an Award under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950.

2. An industrial dispute between The All Ceylon Match Workers' Welfare Union and the National Match Works, Kelaniya, has been referred to me under section 3 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, as amended by Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Acts, No. 25 of 1956; No. 14 of 1957, and No. 62 of 1957, by the Commissioner of Labour for settlement by arbitration in terms of his Order dated October 3,

3. The matter in dispute is the down-grading of S. Richard and A. Edwin Singho by the management of The National Match Works, Kelaniya. The history of this dispute begins with an incident that took place in the factory on January 17, 1958. On this day, some one, not known but assumed by the management to be one of the workers, had torn off a notice from the notice board. When the manager summoned A; Edwin Singho, the secretary of this branch union. constituted of most of the workers of this factory, and who is one of the two workers in this dispute (the other being S. Richard, the president of the same branch union), and questioned him as regards the tears ing off of the notice, a commotion had developed. These two workers are alleged to have tried to assault the manager, Mr. Sivapragasam.

4. The Manager immediately closed down the factory with effect from the following day. This state of affairs continued till, after negotiations between The All Ceylon Match Workers' Welfare Union, the management and the Department of Labour, an agreement was entered into by both parties in the presence of Mr. T. R. R. Wijewickrema, Assistant Commissioner The Terms of this agreement areof Labour.

(1) On 21st May, 1958, all workers in the banderolling department and all workers in the packing department will be offered work.

(2) On 5th June, 1958, all the remaining workers in the other departments will be offered work.

(3) On 5th June, 1958, at 10 a.m. the workers, Margaret, Violet, Eddin (Edwin) and Richard will tender an unconditional, open apology in the presence of a Labour Officer to Mr. Sivapragasam, the Manager. The Apology is in connection with the incident of 17.1.58.

(4) The management agrees to withdraw the case instituted by the management against the workers. The management agrees to make every endeavour to offer to all workers in each department not less than 15 days' work per month.

5. On June 2, 1958, the manager informed the Department of Labour that he would not be able to recommence work in the factory owing to lack of raw, material; the apology was therefore postponed to 16th June, 1958. On this day Richard and Edwin, the two workers concerned in this dispute, and the two women Margaret and Violet involved along with them in the incident of January 17, 1958, refused to tender the apology at the appointed hour, i.e., 10 a.m., The reason they gave to the Labour Officer, Mr. E. T. Ranatunga, for their refusal was that the secretary of the All Ceylon Match, Workers' Welfare Union was not present. So the Labour Officer waited for the arrival of the secretary for about 15 minutes and then left. The secretary turned up a short time after that and having told the labourers that he would have another date fixed for the apology, he left.

6. The inquiry was then postponed for the 18th of June and then to the 28rd of June, as the manager was away and could not be present on the 18th. On the 23rd of June both parties were present and an unconditional apology as stipulated in the agreement was tendered by the four workers in the presence of all the other labourers and a representative of the Department of Labour. Immediately afterwards the workers proceeded to their places of work and the manager told Richard and Edwin that their work would be in another section and not in the section in which they had previously worked in the framing which they had previously worked, i.e., the framing

PART I: SEC. (I) — (GENERAL) — CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE — JAN. 2, 1959

section. The work in the framing section carries with it Grade I pay whereas the section to which they had now been assigned work carries Grade III pay. These two workers went to work in the new section without protest, not realising that a reduction in their pay would result from the change of work. However, they took up the question with the All Ceylon Match Workers' Welfare Union. The reduction in pay became known to them definitely at the end of the month when they drew their pay. The Assistant Commissioner of Labour held a conference in the Colombo District Labour office on 9.8.58 to settle this dispute concerning the down-grading of these two workers. A settlement was not arrived at at this conference as, in the words of the Assistant Commissioner, the manager "was not prepared to continue these two workers in Grade I under any condition" and hence the reference of this dispute for arbitration.

7. The reason given by the Manager for reducing the status of these two workers from Grade I to Grade III is that their output of work had been below standard and that they had to do overtime and be paid for it in order to ensure smooth running of the works, and his records, no doubt, bear this out to some extent. The question of inefficiency or slackness is irrelevant to the matter of the present dispute. If the Manager found that these two men were either inefficient or were deliberately going slow he should have taken proper action to punish them, i.e., he should have worned them, and if warning had no effect, framed charges against them and called for their explanations or held an inquiry and punished them in a suitable way: this he had not done. While negotiations concerning this dispute were in progress, the manager admitted that he had the intention of not giving the old work to these two workers if and when they were taken back. Neither at the conference held by the Assistant Commissioner of Labour nor at any time afterwards before the apology was tendered did the manager disclose his intention. The Assistant Commissioner of Labour was definite that the spirit of the agreement which was signed in his presence by the two parties was, as far as he understood, that the workers would be reinstated in the posts they held before the incident of 17.1.58. So long as proper action was not taken to punish the two workers for the alleged inefficiency he should have postponed action on it for some time till he had further watched their work after the apology, as suggested to him by the Assistant Commissioner of Labour at the conference. Once the apology was tendered, and that unconditionally, in the presence of all the labourers, the manager was morally bound to re-employ the two labourers in the very same posts in which they had worked earlier. In other words, conditions of re-employment must have restored the status of the labourers which they held prior to the incident. The down-grading in this instance is of the nature of a punishment, even after the apology, and therefore it is irregular.

8. Taking everything into consideration, the award I determine in the circumstances is that these two labourers S. Richard and A. Edwin Singho should be paid at Grade I rate from the time they were re-employed, i.e., from 23rd June, 1958.

> (Sgd.) K. S. ARULNANDHY, Arbitrator

Colombo. December 14, 1958.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE Award transmitted to me by the Industrial Court constituted for the purpose of settling the industrial dispute between the Hotel, Bakery and Beverages Workers' Union and the New Grand Hotels, Colombo 1, which was referred by the Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, by Order dated September 30, 1958,

made under section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, and published in Ceylon Government Gazette No. 11,549 dated October 10, 1958, for settlement by an Industrial Court, is hereby published in terms of section 25 (1) of the said Act.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,

Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour, Colombo, 29th December, 1958.

Industrial Court at Colombo

No. I. D. 97

In the matter of an industrial dispute between

The Hotel, Bakery and Beverages Workers' Union, 129, Kumaran Ratnam Road, Colombo 2,

the New Grand Hotels, 36, Baillie Street. Colombo 1.

THE AWARD

This is an Award under section 24 of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950 (as amended by Acts-Nos. 25 of 1956 and 14 and 62 of 1957). It relates to an industrial dispute between the Hotel, Bakery and Beverages Workers' Union, 129, Kumaran Ratnam Road, Colombo 2 (hereinafter referred to as "the union") and the New Grand Hotels, 36, Baillie Strate Colomba 1 (hereinafter referred to as "the union"). Street, Colombo 1 (hereinafter referred to as "theemployer '').

- 2. The Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, by his Order under section 4 (2) of the said Act dated 30th September, 1958, referred this industrial dispute to this Court for $_{
 m The}$ dispute refers to the nonadjudication. employment of-
 - (1) J. P. Ekmon,
 - (2) B. Seneviratna,

 - (3) D. M. Illeperuma,(4) W. G. Wijeratna,(5) D. P. Jamis "

as set out by the Commissioner of Labour in his annexure to the Reference dated 25th September,

- 3. Both parties to the dispute were sent notices by the Registrar of this Court calling for their respective statements, and their statements were forwarded on the appointed date.
- 4. The union alleged mala fide by the employer in that the employees under reference were victimised for their trade union activities. Mr. Advocate Kadirgamar, learned counsel for the employer on the other hand, contended that his client had justifiably dismissed the workers and detailed the reasons for his
- 5. It would appear that the bone of contention between the parties is reinstatement on the ground of victimisation of the employees by the employer, for their trade union activities. This has been their demand at least till the last date of the enquiry when Mr. J. P. Ekmon, a union witness, deposed to the existence of bad relations between the management and the employees under reference.
- 6. It is a well recognised principle of industrial law that the normal remedy for wrongful dismissal is reinstatement. It is also clear from the Act itself that in the industrial sphere, such an order cannot with impunity be made in all cases, regardless of the particular set of circumstances concerning each case, and the type of work the employees are engaged in. What is contemplated by the Legislature is that compensation, as an alternative to reinstatement, is both

PART I: SEC. (I) - (GENERAL) - CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE - JAN. 2, 1959

expedient and desirable when either party is averse to the proposition of reinstatement. It is not conducive to the maintenance of cordial employer-employee relations and the preservation of industrial peace to order reinstatement indiscriminately, though an Industrial Court has the power to do so in some instances.

- 7. While the union demanded reinstatement in unequivocal terms in their statement of claim, the employer characterised it in equally strong language as "unreasonable and unfair" and followed it up with the categorical offer of "any reasonable payment that the union or the Court would award to end this employer-employee relationship ". Mr. Kadirgamar cited the Velona and the Carfax cases and urged for consideration that "nowhere has an Industrial Court ordered more than three months' compensation. I am prepared to offer them an ex-gratia payment on the footing of one month for each year of service in addition to the month's salary in lieu of '. In this context I can do no better than to quote the words of the learned counsel for the employer: "He (Mudaliyar Mudalige)—the italics are mine-has no confidence in them, but I am prepared to pay more than what is ordinarily given on termination of employment, more than what the Industrial Courts have awarded as compensation on termination of service, and I have asked the union to consider
- 8. It is thus clear that the employer in offering such terms as indicated by the learned counsel, has manifested an apparent sense of compunction and solicitude for the workers. Two possible constructions may arise out of this. The employer is either ultra-magnanimous towards his workers or he is ultraconscious and apprehensive of his stand.
- 9. On the subsequent date (11.11.58) fixed for inquiry, as the parties were not in favour of a settlement, Mr. Sanmugathasan, with Mr. Kulaveerasingham, appeared for the union. At the commencement of the inquiry the question arose as to who should open the case. Mr. Sanmugathasan for the union contended that the proper procedure would be for the employer to present his case, and the union to rebut any evidence led. Mr. Kadirgamar protested and cited instances where the practice was for the applicant-union to present their case. I pointed out that it is the party that alleges, and not the party that denies that should open the case. Mr. Sanmugathasan accordingly opened the case. In view of the subsequent developments and settlement reached, I do not propose to go into all the details. Suffice it is to mention that on the last date of inquiry after the evidence of Mr. J. P. Ekmon, a witness for the union, it was felt by both parties during the tea-break, that in view of the unsatisfactory nature of Mr. Ekmon's evidence, the enquiry might be terminated subject to an agreed settlement and notification to Court of the terms of such settlement before the next date, 27.11.58. I concurred with the view and suggested it on resumption of Court. Parties were accordingly asked to forward to the Court their terms of settlement, but they failed to do so. The employer was of the view that the settlement was out of Court-vide his letter dated 25.11.58. Parties were then noticed to appear on 9.12.58 for clarification of the issue. On that date Mr. Sanmugathasan represented the union and Mr. Wanigasekera, proctor, appeared for the employer. It was pointed out to them that at no stage was the idea of settlement out of Court mooted. It would be anomalous for the Court to countenance it.
- 10. I explained to Mr. Sanmugathasan that on the application of the union this Court was entrusted with the duty to enquire into the dispute. It was, therefore, incumbent on the Court to demand the disclosure of the terms of settlement as arranged, particularly so, when the settlement was effected in the spirit of conciliation on the intervention of the Court. Mr. Sanmugathasan expressed his regret and concern for the

inconvenience caused through such a misunderstanding. He said that he had discussed the matter with Mr. Kadirgamar, the learned counsel for the employer, and felt that in view of the settlement already implemented, he should withdraw the application on behalf of the union. He accordingly applied to withdraw it.

11. The purpose of adjudication of an industrial dispute by an Industrial Tribunal is to secure, as far as possible, harmonious relations between an employer and his employees. The suggested withdrawal of the application by the union on the basis of an agreed settlement between the parties, is significant in that it has definitely served the main objective, and helped towards the rehabilitation of the workers under reference to some extent.

I allow the application and make my order that the dispute under reference—I. D. 97—no longer exists.

(Sgd.) A. D. CANAGARETNA.

Dated at Colombo this 20th day of December, 1958.

THE SOCIETIES ORDINANCE (CHAPTER 105)

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by section 3 and 4 of the Societies Ordinance (Chapter 105), as modified by the Proclamation published in Gazette Extraordinary No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, I, Lakshman Rajapakse, Acting Minister of Commerce and Trade, by this notification—

- (a) authorize the purpose for which the Society known as "SRI LANKA EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT SOCIETY LIMITED", has been formed, as a purpose to which the powers and facilities of that Ordinance ought to be extended, and
- (b) limit the application of that Ordinance to that Society by the exemption of that Society from such of the provision of section 5 (1) as require a Society to have a subscribed capital of at least ten thousand rupees if it is to be registered.

LAKSHMAN RAJAPAKSE, Acting Minister of Commerce and Trade.

Colombo, 9th December, 1958.

THE SOCIETIES ORDINANCE (CHAPTER 105)

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by section 3 (b) of the Societies Ordinance (Chapter 105), as modified by the proclamation published in Gazette Extraordinary No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, I. Lakshman Rajapakse, Acting Minister of Commerce and Trade, do by this notification authorise that the purpose for which the Society known as "PALITHA MAHA EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY LIMITED" has been formed is a purpose to which the powers and facilities of the Societies Ordinance (Chapter 105) has been extended by notification published in Government Gazette No. 10,703 of 13th August, 1954.

LAKSHMAN RAJAPAKSE, Acting Minister of Commerce and Trade. Colombo, December 13, 1958.

COMPANIES (AUDITORS) REGULATIONS, 1941 NOTIFICATION

BY virtue of the powers vested in him by Regulation 2 of the Companies (Auditors) Regulations, 1941, published in Gazette No. 8,756 of June 20, 1941, and amended by the notifications published in Gazette No. 8,872 of February 27, 1942, Gazette Extraordinary No. 9,337 of November 29, 1944, read with the proclamation by H. E. the Governor published in Gazette Extraordinary No. 9.773 of September 24, 1947, the Honourable the Minister of Commerce and

Trade has been pleased to appoint the following to be Chairman, Members and Secretary respectively of the Accountancy Board, for a period of two years commencing December 1, 1958, or until further orders.

Chairman Chairman

(1) The Director of Commerce.

Members

- (2) Deputy Secretary to the Treasury or his nominee.
- (3) The Commissioner of Income Tax or his nominee.
 - (4) The Registrar of Companies or his nominee.

(5) Mr. K. Satchithananda, F.C.A.

- (6) Mr. R. G. Gilmour, C.A. (7) Mr. A. I. Macan Markar, A.C.A.
- Saravanamuttu Coomarasamy, F.C.A., (8) Mr. F.S.A.A.

 (9) Mr. C. B. Wijerstne, A.S.A.A.

 (10) Mr. T. H. Burah, A.C.A.

- (11) Mr. C. G. T. Lindsay White, F.C.A.

Secretary

(12) Mr. V. E. Santiapillai.

L. S. B. PERERA, Permanent Secretary Ministry of Commerce and Trade.

Colombo, November 27, 1958.

, L. D.—B. 24/51.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATIONS for the area comprised within the administrative limits of the Colombo Municipality, made by the Minister of Transport and Works by virtue of the powers vested in him by sections 145 and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

C. Wijesinghe, Minister of Nationalised Services and Road Transport.

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulations

1. Every motor vehicle used on the highway known as Wilson Street shall be driven in the direction of Hulftsdorp street and shall, when halted on that highway, be kept facing that direction only.

2. Every motor vehicle used on the highway known as Belmont Street shall be driven in the direction of Skinners Road and shall, when halted on that highway, be kept facing that direction only. d War

L. D.—B. 41/34.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATION for the area comprised within the administrative limits of the Colombo Municipality, made by the Minister of Transport and Works by virtue of the powers vested in him under sections 152 (1) and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

> C. Wijesinghe. Minister of Nationalised Services and Road Transport

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulation

At the intersection of the highways known as Alexandra Place and Torrington Place in Colombo, Alexandra Place shall be deemed to be the main road.

L. D.—B. 77/51.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATION made by the Minister of Transport and Works by virtue of the powers vested in him by sections 230 and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act; No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

> C. WIJESINGHE, Minister of Nationalised Services Road Transport.

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulation

The area specified in the Schedule hereto is hereby declared to be the urban area for the purposes of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951.

SCHEDULE

The area within a circle having a radius of one mile from the centre of the junction of the Narammala-Negombo Road, Narammala-Madampe Road and Narammala-Kurunegala road maintained by the Public Works Department and situated at Narammala in the Narammala village area in Kurunegala District.

L. D.—B. 41/34.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATIONS for the area comprised within the administrative limits of the Colombo Municipality, made by the Minister of Transport and Works by virtue of the powers vested in him by sections 152 (1) and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Represen-

C. Wijesinghe, ... Minister of Nationalised Services and Road Transport

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulations

- 1. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and De Saram Place, in Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.
- 2. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Regent Street in Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.
- . 3. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Norris Canal Road in Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.
- 4. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Ward Place in Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.
- 5. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Rosmead Place in Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.
- 6. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Austin Place in Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.
- 7. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Barnes Place in Colombo. Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.
- 8. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Horton Place in Colombo. Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main

PART I: SEC. (I) — (GENERAL) — CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE — JAN. 2, 1959

- At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Castle Street in Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.
 - 10. At the intersection of the highways known as

 Kynsey Road and Gregory's Road in
 Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to
 be the main road.
 - 11. At the intersection of the highways known as Kynsey Road and Bullers Road in Colombo, Kynsey Road shall be deemed to be the main road.

L. D.—B. 24/51.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATION made by the Minister of Transport and Works by virtue of the powers vested in him by sections 143 (1) and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

C. WIJESINGHE,
Minister of Nationalised Services and
Road Transport.

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulation

No person shall, on any highway within the administrative limits of the Valvettiturai Town Council, drive any motor vehicle of any class or description at a greater speed than twelve miles per hour.

L. D.—B. 77/51.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATION made by the Minister of Transport and Works under sections 230 and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

C. Wijesinghe,
Minister of Nationalised Services and
Road Transport.

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulation

The area specified in the Schedule hereto is hereby declared to be an urban area for the purposes of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951.

SCHEDULE

The area within a circle having a radius of three-fourth of a mile from the centre of the junction of the Monaragala-Bibile Public Works Department Road and the Batticaloa-Badulla Public Works Department Road, and situated in Bibile in Wegampattu Korale in the Badulla District.

L. D.—B. 77/51.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATION made by the Minister of Transport and Works under sections 230 and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

C. Wijesinghe,
Minister of Nationalised Services and
Road Transport.
Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulation .

The area specified in the Schedule hereto is hereby declared to be an urban area for the purposes of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951.

SCHEDULE

That part of the village area of the Gangapalatha Udu Nuwara Village Committee in the Kandy District in the Central Province, comprising—

- (1) that portion of the Kandy-Gampola Public Works Department road which lies between the Panideniya Junction and the southern limit of the said village area,
- (2) that portion of the Daulagala-Peradeniya Public Works Department road which lies between the Panideniya Junction and the western limit of the said village area,
- (3) that portion of the Gelioya-Embekka Public Works Department road which lies between the Gelioya Junction and the western limit of the said village area,
- (4) that portion of the Weligalla-Talawatura Public Works Department road which lies between the Weligalla Junction and the south-western limit of the said village area,
- (5) that portion of the Eladatte-Walliwela Public Works Department road which lies between the Kelamadeniya Junction and the western limit of the said village area,
- (6) that portion of the Gelioya-Hindagala Public Works Department road which lies between the Gelioya Junction and the eastern limit of the said village area,
- (7) that portion of the Angunawala-Daulagala Public Works Department road which lies between the Angunawala Junction and the western limit of the said village area, and
- (8) that portion of the Hendeniya-Nanuoya Public Works Department road which lies between the Hendeniya Junction and the northern limit of the said village area.

L. D.—B. 41/34.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATION for the area comprised within the administrative limits of the Colombo Municipality, made by the Minister of Transport and Works by virtue of the powers vested in him by sections 152 (1) and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

C. Wijesinghe,
Minister of Nationalised Services and
Road Transport.

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulation

At the intersection of the highways known as McCarthy Road and Gregory's Road in Colombo, McCarthy Road shall be deemed to be the main road.

L. D.—B. 77/51.

THE MOTOR TRAFFIC ACT, No. 14 OF 1951

REGULATION made by the Minister of Transport and Works by virtue of the powers vested in him by sections 230 and 239 of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951, and approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

C. WIJESINGHE,
Minister of Nationalised Services and
Road Transport.

Colombo, December 23, 1958.

Regulation

The area specified in the Schedule hereto is hereby declared to be an urban area for the purposes of the Motor Traffic Act, No. 14 of 1951.

SCHEDULE

The area within a circle having a radius of one mile from the centre of the junction of Valaichenai Road, Kalkudah-Valaichenai Road, and Oddumawady-Valaichenai Road, maintained by the Public Works Department, and situated in the Koralai Central village area in the Batticaloa District.

THE MOTOR TRANSPORT ACT, No. 48 OF 1957

I, Chandradasa Wijesinghe, Minister of Nationalised Services and Road Transport, do by this Order revoke Order dated 15th April, 1958, dealing with five contiguous allotments of land situated in the village of Kiribathkumbura in Udunuwara and Yatinuwara, Kandy District, Central Province, and in extent about 4 acres, made by the Minister of Transport and Works, by virtue of the powers vested in him by sub-section (1) of section 25 of the Motor Transport Act, No. 48 of 1957, and published in *Gazette* No. 11,302 of 25th April, 1958, whereby the said Minister approved the proposed acquisition of the lands described in the said Order.

C. Wijesinghe, Minister of Nationalised Services and Road Transport.

Colombo, December 24, 1958.

THE REGISTRATION OF DOCUMENTS ORDINANCE

Area of Jurisdiction of Colombo Land Registry

IT is hereby notified for general information that by direction of the Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs under sub-section (1) of section 2 of the Registration of Documents Ordinance (Cap. 101), as amended by the Notification in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of 24th September, 1947, a Branch Land Register Office has been established on December, 29, 1958, at Gampaha, within the Urban Council Limits of Gampaha in Colombo District for the undermentioned areas (which area shall be known as the Registration District of Gampaha):—

- (i) Gangaboda Pattuwa of Siyane Korale East;
- (ii) Udugaha Pattuwa of Siyane Korale East;
- (iii) Meda Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West;
- (iv) Gampaha Urban Council Area;
- (v) Ja-Ela Urban Council Area;
- (vi) Ragam Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale South, excluding the under mentioned villages, viz.—

Dikowita Lansiyawatta Palliyawatta Hekitta Elakanda Katuhena Timbirigasyaya Weliamuna Balagalwatta Haligoda Kerawalapitiya Kudagahapitiya Madaoluwa Matagoda Nayakakanda Paranapattiya Alwis Town Etampolawatta Hendala Kospattadeniya Lansiyahena Talgahakanatta Yodayakanatta Akurupitiya Kukulakumbura Naranminiya Peliyagoda Pattiya Oliyamulla

Peliyagoda-Gangaboda (the part outside Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda U. C.)
Peliyagoda-Meegahawatta
Peliyagodawatta
Tudella
Delature
Tarakuliya
Dandugama
Dehiyagatha
Wahatiya
Kudahakapola North
Kudahakapola South
Udammitta
Alawatupitiya
Dambadure
Kebellagodawela

Tempola
Wettewa
Kuswala
Ganepola
Kotarupe
Katugoda
Ekalakurunduwatta
Ekala-Megodabolanda
Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda U. C. Area

Raddoluwa

Mutuwadiya

(vii) Adikari Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West, excluding the under mentioned villages, viz.—

Hunupitiya Himbutuwelgoda Wanawahala Dalugangoda Nungomugoda Wewelduwa Iriyawetiya Kohalwila Warakanatta Dalugama
Dippitigoda
Galborella
Wedamulla
Petiyagoda
Kelaniya
Pilapitiya
Sinharamulla
Galedanda

Pamunuwila Talawatuhenpita North Talawatuhenpita South Gonawala Bollegala Talwatta Pattiwila (viii) Dasiya Pattuwa of Aluthkuru Korale North of the Registration District of Negombo, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.—

Liyanagemulla Medamulla (Part outside Minuwangoda Bandarawatta Town Council) Nilpanagoda Mukalangamuwa Ambalanmulla Wegowwa Bulugahamulla Kindigoda Amandoluwa Helakandana Kalawana Duwamulla Seeduwa Pamunuwa Ellangala Ewariwatta Halgastota Matammana Kadirana South Welhena Weliya Kandeliya Pattanduwana Kowinna Arambe Kotugoda Madawala Opatha Urukalana Maduwa Yagodamulla Walanegoda Polwatta Heenatiyana Galoluwa Dewamottawa Gamangedara Kalahapitiya Pallewewa Kalahugoda Unnaruwa Andiambalama Kotagedara Andiambalam Walpola Pillawatta Pitipana Duwa Yatiyana Borakadawatta Pitipana Basiyawatta Ambagahawatta Dungalpitiya Pansilgoda Talahena Burullapitiya Wattegedara Kepungoda Kurana Katunayaka Madangastuduwa

Minuwangoda Town Council Area

Settappaduwa

The areas (i)-(vii) described above have been excluded, with effect from that date, from the area for which the branch Land Register Office at Colombo was established, viz.—

(i) Colombo Municipality;

Katunayaka

(ii) Ragam Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale South;

(iii) Adikari Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West;

(iv) Gangaboda Pattuwa of Siyane Korale East;

(v) Meda Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West;

(vi) Udugaha Pattuwa of Siyane Korale East;

(vii) Palle Pattuwa of Hewagam Korale;

(viii) Meda Pattuwa of Hewagam Korale;

(ix) Udugaha Pattuwa of Hewagam Korale;

(x) Adikari Pattuwa of Hewagam Korale;

(xi) Palle Pattuwa of Salpiti Korale; and

(xii) Udugaha Pattuwa of Salpiti Korale;

of the Revenue District of Colombo.

The branch Land Register Office at Colombo shall with effect from 29th December, 1958, be for the area comprising:—

(i) Colombo Municipality;

(ii) Palle Pattuwa of Hewagam Korale;

(iii) Meda Pattuwa of Hewagam Korale;

(iv) Udugaha Pattuwa of Hewagam Korale;(v) Adikari Pattuwa of Hewagam Korale;

(vi) Palle Pattuwa of Salpiti Korale;

(vii) Udugaha Pattuwa of Salpiti Korale;

(viii) Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda U. C. Area;

(ix) Ragam Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale South excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.—

Pulluhena Elenegoda-Pamunugama Mahawatta Tuduwa $\mathbf{Tunmodera}$ Ambahitiya Weliketiya Ambillanda Binpandura Bopitiya Dombagahawatta Egodawatta Epamulla Indigaslanda Merawalamulla Kadalawala Mottampandura Kahana Kerattawala Palliyamandiya Kunjawatta Parana Ambalama Pattiyawala Mankade-Meda Modawalagoda Taldiyawatta Uswetakeiyawa Nugape Nugape Godella Wahatiyagoda

PART I: SEC. (I) — (GENERAL) — CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE — JAN. 2, 1959

WellabodaMutuwadiya Tempola Keregepokuna Wettewa Magammana Mathumagala Kuswala Mahabage Ganepola Kotarupe Elapitiwela Galudupita Kotugoda Ekalakurunduwatta Halanduruwa Nawanmahara Ekala-Megodabolanda -Horape Niwandama Etakorassa Yakkaduwa Peralanda Midellawita Pattinipitiya Polgahahena Bolatte Kuda Bollatte Ragama $\widetilde{ ext{Welisara}}$ Bulugahagoda Nagoda Wewel-agare Kandana Batagama South Bandigoda Batagama North Hapugoda Indiwinna Rilaulla Polpitimukalana Nedurupitiya Kalaeliya Walpola Wewala Batuwatta Meddegoda Hettigama Narangodapaluwa Indiwitiya Galahitiyawa Kapuwatta Hambagama or Sambagama Maeliya Heragolla Tibbotugoda Seekkuwatta Tumpeliya Weligampitiya (the part outside Ja-Ela U. C.) Mabima Welikada Kanuwana (the part outside Ja-Ela U. C.) Makewita Gonagaha \mathbf{T} udella Megoda-Tammita Delature Pentenigoda Tarakuliya Ambanwita Dandugama Kekulangoda Kirindiwita Dehiyagatha Wahatiya Kotagedera Kudahakapola North Makilangomuwa Kudahakapola South Ratmalawita Udammita Akarawita Gampaha Pahalagama
Gampaha Medagama (the part outside
Gampaha U. C.) Alawatupitiya Dambadure Kebellagodawela Raddoluwa Gampaha Ihalagama (the part outside Gampaha U. C.) Gampaha Urban Council Area Ja-Ela Urban Council Area

(x) Adikari Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.—

Suripaluwa Golummahara Kendaliyaddapaluwa Kammalwatta Mahara-Nugegoda Daranagama Karagahamune Ihala Siyambalape Yatihena Heiyantuduwa Puwakwetiya Karagahamune Pahala Narigama Mabima . Waturupata Heenkenda Kurukulawa Biyagama Tuduwegedera Yabaraluwa Dalupitiya Wlagama Kirimetiyagara Delgoda Warahantuduwa Kanduboda Padiliyatuduwa Akurumulla Enderamulla A.lubowila Gongitota Ahugammana Pinnameda Naranwala Biyanwila Pahala Udupila Biyanwila Ihala Gonahena Måkola North Kirillawala Makola South Rammutugala Migahawatta Neboda

For the purpose of the above-mentioned Ordinance, the last mentioned areas (i-x) shall be called the Registration District of Colombo. Deeds or instruments affecting lands situated in this area shall, with effect from this date, be tendered for registration at the Colombo Land Registry.

Selling than A

REGISTRATION OF DOCUMENTS ORDINANCE

Area of Jurisdiction of Negombo Land Registry

IT is hereby notified for general information that by direction of the Honourable the Minister of Home Affair's under sub-section (1) of section 2 of the Registration of Documents Ordinance (Cap. 101), as amended by the Notification in Gazette Extraordinary No. 9,773 of 24.9.1947, a Branch Land Register Office has been established on December 29, 1958, at Gampaha, within the Urban Council Limits of Gampaha in Colombo District for the under-mentioned areas (which areas shall henceforth be known as the Registration District of Gampaha):--

(i) Gangaboda Pattuwa of Siyane Korale East;

(ii) Udugaha Pattuwa of Siyane Korale East;

(iii) Meda Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West;

(iv) Gampaha Urban Council area; (v) Ja-Ela Urban Council area;

(vi) Ragam Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale South, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz,.

Dikowita Lansiyawatta Palliyawatta Hekitta Elakanda Katuhena Timbirigasyaya Weliamuna Balagalwatta Haligoda Kerawalapitiya Kudagahapitiya Madaoluwa Matagoda Nayakakanda Paranapattiya Alwis Town Etampolawatta Hendala Kospattadeniya Lansiyahena Talgahakanatta Yodayakanatta Akurupitiya

Kukulakumbura

Peliyagoda Pattiya

Naranminiya

Oliyamulla

Peliyagoda Meegahawatta Peliyagodawatta Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda (Urban Council area) Tudella Delature Tarakuliya Dandugama Dehiyagatha Wahatiya Kudahakapola North Kudahakapola South Udammita Alawatupitiya Dambadure Kebellagodawela Raddoluwa

Peliyagoda-Gangaboda (the part outside Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda U. C.)

Mutuwadiya Tempola Wettewa Kuswala Ganepola Kotarupe Kotugoda Ekalakurunduwatta Ekala-Megodabolanda

(vii) Adikari Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.,

Hunupitiva Himbutuwelgoda Wanawahala Dalugangoda Nungomugoda Wewelduwa Iriyawetiya Kohalwila Warakanatta Dalugama Dippitigoda Galborella Wedamulla

Petiyagoda Kelaniya Pilapitiya. Sinharamulla Galedanda Pamunuwila Talawatuhenpita North

Talawatuhenpita South Gonawala

Bollegala Talwatta Pattiwila

(viii) Dasiya Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale North, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.,

Minuwangoda Town Council area Medamulla—(part outside Minuwangoda Town Council) Nilapanagoda Wegowwa Bulugahamulla Helakandana Kalawana Pamunuwa Ellangala MatammanaWelhenaWeliya Pattanduwana Kotugoda Opatha Urukalana

Yagodamulla

Polwatta Galoluwa Gamangedara Kalahugoda Unnaruwa Kotagedara Pillawatta Yatiyana Borakadawatta Ambagahawatta Burullapitiya Pansilgoda Wattegedara Kurana Katunayake Katunayake Liyanagemulla Bandarawatta

 \mathbf{M} ukalanga \mathbf{m} uwa

Ambalanmulla

PART I: SEC. (I) — (GENERAL)—CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE — JAN. 2, 1959

Kindigoda Dewamottawa Amandoluwa Kalahapitiya Duwamulla Pallewewa Andiambalama Seeduwa Ewariwatta Andiambalama Walpola Pitipana Halgastota Kadirana South Duwa Kandeliya Pitipana Basiyawatta Kowinna Dungalpitiya Arambe Talahena Madawala Maduwa Kepungoda Walanegoda Madangasduwa Heenatiyana Settappaduwa

The under-mentioned villages of the Dasiya Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale North, viz.

Bombugammana Divulapitiya Horagasmulla Wewagedara Mabodala Vitanamulla Medagampitiya Nalapaya Nayiwala Yatagama Walpitamulla Paliyatiyana Wadumulla Essella Metikotumulla Kamaragoda Wankepumulla Watinapaha Assenawatta Madittegama Horanpella Dewalapola Ganahimulla Meegaspitiva Gallegedara Makalandana

Petiyagoda Welikada Doranagoda Korase Balabowa Wigoda Marapola Goigama Udugampola Dombawala Pedipola Watumulla Asgiriya Asgiriwalpola Katugastara Tammita Madelgomuwa Kaluwalpola Lokilangamuwa Maduruwita Siyambalapitiya Nedagamuwa Kehelbaddara Uggalboda Hendimahara Widiyawatta

have been excluded, with effect from that date from the area for which the branch Land Register Office at Negombo was established, viz.,

(i) Negombo Town;

(ii) Dasiya Pattu of Alutkuru Korale North;

(iii) Yatigaha Pattuwa of Hapitigam Korale;

(iv) Dunagaha Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale North; and

(v) Udugahapattuwa of Hapitigam Korale of the Revenue District of Colombo.

The Branch Land Register Office at Negombo shall with effect from 29th December, 1958, be for the area comprising—

(i) The Negombo Town;

(ii) Yatigaha Pattuwa of Hapitigam Korale;

(iii) Dunagaha Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale North;

(iv) Udugaha Pattuwa of Hapitigam Korale;

(v) Dasiya Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale North, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.,

Bombugammana Divulapitiya Horagasmulla Wewagedara Mabodala Vitanamulla ${f Medagampitiya}$ Nalapaya Nayiwala Yatagama Walpitamulla Paliyatiyana Wadumulla Essella Metikotumulla Kamaragoda Wankepumulla Watinapaha Assenawatta Madittegama Horanpella

Dewalapola. Ganihimulla Meegaspitiya Gallegedara Makalandana Petiyagoda Welikada Doranagoda Korase Balabowa Wigoda Marapola Goigama Udugampola Dombawala Pedipola Watumulla Asgiriya Asgiriwalpola Katugastara Tammita

Madelgomuwa Nedagamuwa Kehelbaddara Kaluwalgoda Lokilangamuwa Uggalboda Hendimahara Madutuwita Siyambalapitiya Widiyawatta (vi) Ragam Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale South, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz. Galudupita Elenegoda-Pamunugama Halanduruwa Mahawatta Nawanmahara Ambahitiya Horape Ambillanda Bopitiya Etakorassa Egodawatta Peralanda Polgahahena Indigaslanda Kadalawala Ragama Welisara Kahana Kerattawala Nagoda Kandana Kunjawatta Mankade-Meda Batagama South Modawalagoda Hapugoda Rilaulla Nugape Nugape Godella Pulluhena Nedurupitiya Kalaeliya Tuduwa Wewala Hettigama Tunmodera Weliketiya Indiwitiya Kapuwatta Binpandura Dombagahawatta Maeliya Seekkuwatta Epamulla Merawalamulla TumpeliyaWeligampitiya outside Ja-Ela Mottampandura (the Palliyamandiya U. C.) Kanuwana (the part outside Ja-Ela U. C.) Parana Ambalama Pattiyawala Niwandama Taldiyawatta Yakkaduwa Midellawita Uswetakeiyawa Wahatiyagoda Pattinipitiya Wellaboda Bollatte Kuda Bollatte Dikowita Bulugahagoda Lansiyawatta Palliyawatta Wewelagare Bandigoda Hekitta Batagama North Elakanda Indiwinna Katuhena Timbirigasyaya Polpitimukalana Weliamuna \mathbf{W} a \mathbf{I} pola Batuwatte Balagalawatta Haligoda Meddegoda Narangodapaluwa Kerawalapitiya Galahitiyawa Kudagahapitiya Hambagama or Sambagama Madaoluwa Matagoda Horagolla Nayakakanda Tibbotugoda Paranapattiya Alwis Town Mabima Welikada Etampolawatta Makewita Hendala Gonagaha Megoda-Tammita Kospatta deniya Pentenigoda Lansiyahena Ambanwita Talagahakanatta Kekulangoda Yodayakanatta Kirindiwita Akurupitiya Kotagedara Kukulakumbura Makilangomuwa Naranminiya Ratmalawita Peliyagoda Pattiya ${f A}$ karawita Oliyamulla Peliyagoda-Gangaboda (the part outside Gampaha Pahalagama Gampaha Medagama (the part outside Gampaha Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda U. C.) Peliyagoda-Meegahawatta U. C.) Peliyagodawatta Gampaha Ihalagama (the part outside Gampaha U. C.) Keregepokuna Ja-Ela Urban Council area Magammana Gampaha Urban Council area Matumagala Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda Mahabage Urban Area Elapitiwela

For the purpose of this Ordinance this area (i-vi) shall henceforth be called the Registration District of Negombo. Deeds or instruments affecting lands situated in this area shall, with effect from this date, be tendered at the Negombo Land Registry.

THE REGISTRATION OF DOCUMENTS ORDINANCE

Establishment of a Branch Land Register Office

BY notification dated December 17, 1958, and published in the Government Gazette of December 26, 1958, the Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs, in pursuance of the powers vested in him by sub-section (1) of section 2 of the Registration of Documents Ordinance (Cap. 101), as amended by the notification in Gazette Extraordinary No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, has directed that a branch Land Register Office be established on December 29, 1958, at Gampaha, within the Urban Council Limits of Gampaha in Colombo District for the under-mentioned areas, viz.-

- (i) Gangaboda Pattuwa of Siyane Korale East;
- (ii) Udugaha Pattuwa of Siyane Korale East;
- (iii) Meda Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West;
- (iv) Gampaha Urban Council Area;
- (v) Ja-Ela Urban Council Area;
- (vi) Ragam Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale South, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.—

Lansiyawatta Palliyawatta Hekitta Elakanda Katuhena Timbirigasyaya Weliamuna Balagalwatta Haligoda Kerawalapitiya Kudagahapitiya Madaoluwa Matagoda Nayakakanda Paranapattiya Alwis Town Etampolawatta Hendala Kospattadeniya Lansiyahena Talagahakanatta Yodayakanatta Akurupitiya Kukulakumbura

Naranminiya Oliyamulla

Peliyagoda Pattiya

Peliyagoda-Gangaboda (the part outside Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda U. C.)

Peliyagoda-Meegahawatta Peliyagodawatta Tudella Delature Tarakuliya Dandugama Dehiyagatha Wahatiya

Kudahakapola North Kudahakapola South Udammitta Alawatupitiya Dambadure Kebellagodawela Raddoluwa Mutuwadiya Tempola Wettewa Kuswala Ganepola Kotarupe Kotugoda Ekalakurunduwatta

Ekala-Megodabolanda Wattala-Mabole-Peliyagoda Urban Council area

(vii) Adikari Pattuwa of Siyane Korale West, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.—

Hunupitiya Himbutuwelgoda Wanawahala Dalugangoda Nungomugoda Wewelduwa Iriyawetiya Kohalwila Warakanatta Dalugama Dippitigoda

Galborella

We damullaPetiyagoda Kelaniya Pilapitiya Sinharamulla Galedanda Pamunuwila

Talawatuhenpita North Talawatuhenpita South

Gonawala Bollegala Talawatta Pattiwila

(viii) Dasiya Pattuwa of Alutkuru Korale North of the Registration District of Negombo, excluding the under-mentioned villages, viz.-

Medamulla (part outside Minuwangoda Town Council) Nilpanagoda Wegowwa Bulugahamulla Helakandana Kalawana Pamunuwa Ellangala Ellangala Matammana Walhana Welhena Pattanduwana Kotugoda Opatha Urukalana

Yagodamulla

Polwatta Galoluwa Gamangedara Kalahugoda Unnaruwa Kotagedara Pillawatta Yatiyana Borakadawatta Ambagahawatta Burullapitiya Pansilgoda Wattegedara

Kurana Katunayaka Katunayaka Liyanagemulla Control of the Contro Bandarawatta Mukalangamuwa Ambalanmulla Kindigoda Amandoluwa Duwamulla. Seeduwa Ewariwatta Halgastota Kadirana South Kandeliya Kowinna Arambe Medawala Maduwa Walanegoda

Heenatiyana Dewamottawa · Kalahapitiya Pallewewa Andiambalama Andiambalam Walpola Pitipana Duwa Pitipana Basiyawatta Dungalpitiya TalahenaKepungoda Madangastuduwa Settappaduwa

Minuwangoda Town Council area For the purpose of the above-mentioned Ordinance, this area (i-viii) shall henceforth be called the Registration District of Gampaha. Deeds or instruments affecting lands situated in this area shall, with effect from this date,

be tendered at the Gampaha Land Registry.

D. G. L. Misso. Registrar General.

Colombo 1, December 29, 1958.

FORM 4B

The Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 10 OF THE ACT

I, Victor Joseph Harold Gunasekera, Acting Commissioner for the Registration of Indian and Pakistani Residents, do hereby give notice, under Section 10 of the Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949, that I shall make order allowing each such application under sub-sections (1) and (2) of Section 4 of the Act as is specified in the Schedule hereto unless any written objection to the making of such order, together with a statement of the grounds or facts on which such objection is based, is received by me from any member of the public within a period of one month from the date of publication of this notice.

Every statement of objection shall contain the full name and address of the person making the objection.

Colombo, 30th December, 1958.

V. J. H. GUNASEKERA, Acting Commissioner for the Registration of Indian and Pakistani Residents.

SCHEDULE

	æ,	
$Number\ and\ Date \ of\ Application$	Name and Address of Applicant for Registration as a Citizen of Ceylon	
J 5-2.7.50 J 433-11.2.51		Ne Si
J 90416.1.51	Manickam Nallammah, Walamalay Division, Laxa- I pana Group, Maskeliya	M
J 963—16.1.51		Si
J 965—16.1.51	Nagan Raju, Walamalay Division, Laxapana Group, Maskeliya	P
J 967—16.1.51	Nakkappan Narayanan, Walamalay Division, Lax- apana Group, Maskeliya	K
J 969—16.1.51	. Arapuly Veerappan, Walamalay Division, Laxapana Group, Maskeliya	Aı
J 1015—16.1.51	Sithambaram Rengappan, Walamalay Division,	V
J 1536—29.5.51	. Laxapana Group, Maskeliya . Munisamy Sivanoo, U. D. K., Vellai Oya Estate, I Hatton	P
J 1750-20.5.51	Sinnakaruppan Velu, Emelina Division, Brunswick Group, Maskeliya	M
J 1794—18.6.51 J 1803—20.5.51	Alagappen Sivaperumal, Brunswick Group, Maskeliya Arumugam Veeran, Emelina Division, Brunswick	Se Se
J 1821-20.5.51	Group, Maskeliya Angamma WW/o. Thandavan Murugan, Dannottar	v
0 1021-20.5.51	Division, Brunswick Group, Maskeliya	•
J 1942—17.6.51	Vellayan Muthucaruppan, Glentilt Division, Glentilt Group, Maskeliya	S
J 1945-17.6.51	Arapully Muthusamy, Glentilt Division, Glentilt Group, Maskeliya	V
J 2181—18.6.51	Thirumalaie Kitnan, Luccombe Estate, Maskeliya	Ą
J 2225—17.6.51	Sinnathamby Periasamy, Glentilt Division, Glentilt Group, Maskeliya	M
J 224517.6.51	Ramasamy Petchimuthu, Glentilt Division, Glentilt Group, Maskeliya	A
J 2262—17.6.51	Periannan Perumal, Glentilt Division, Glentilt Group, Maskeliya	P
1 wydd (1 w 11 ac A 6		

Name and relationship to applicant of each person whose registration as a citizen of Ceylon applicant seeks to procure simultaneously with applicant's registration as a citizen of Ceylon

Nona Bhandusama (wife), Christoper Vijendran (son) Sinna Madalaiammal (wife), Anthonymuthu (son), Arockiamary (daughter)

Maruthaie (daughter), Arumugam (son), Mariaie (daughter)

innapillai (wife)

Pappathy (wife), Mariaie alias Muniammai (aaugu-ter), Thangammah (daughter), Thanaletchumy (daughter), Ganeson (son) Kamatchy (wife), Thiruppathy (son), Pommakka

(daughter)

Araie (wife), Murugaie (daughter), Periakka (daugh-ter), Sangapillai (son) Veerammah (wife)

Palaniammah (wife), Sinthamani (daughter), Annaswamy (son), Amarawathy (daughter)

Meenammah (wife)

sellamma (wife), Vellayamma (daughter) Sellaie (wife), Sevanaie (daughter), Mariaie *alias* Madamma (daughter)

yran alias Muthusamy (son), Kullan alias Muthusamy (son), Gopal (son), Krishnan (son), Parwathy alias Kanagawally (daughter), Narayanasamy (son) Sitto (wife), Kaliamma (daughter), Kandiah (son), Theivanaie (daughter)

Velaie (wife), Kandan alias Muthusamy (son)

Arrail (wife), Ramar alias Ponraman (son), Muthiah alias Sathasivam (son), Meenal alias Unnamalai (daughter), Karlimuthu alias Ramasamy (son), Ramakrishnan (son)

Muthammah (wife), Amsavally (daughter), Muniandy (son), Sellamma (daughter), Mariamma *ailas* Mariaie (daughter)

Ammal (wife), Kathaie (daughter), Sinnammah (daughter), Atchikanno (daughter), Chandra Bose (son), Kamalam (daughter), Kanesan (son)
Pappathy (wife), Thanapackiam (daughter), Saraswathy (daughter), Theivamany (daughter), Letchumie (daughter), Thottichy (daughter)

FORM 7

The Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949

NOTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 16(1)(c) OF THE ACT

IT is hereby notified, under section 16 (1) (c) of the Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949, that each person particulars of whom are specified in column I of the Schedule hereto was, on the date specified in the corresponding entry in column II of that Schedule, registered as a citizen of Ceylon in the register of citizens kept under section 16 (1) (a) of the Act.

Colombo, December 29, 1958.

V. J. H. GUNASEKERA, Acting Commissioner for the Registration of Indian and Pakistani Residents.

٠,

1. 35

SCHEDULE NO. 58/41

77 Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon Name AgeSexAddressVarathan Yagambaram July 21, 1956 M F Nallamma All of Upper Division, Pallegoda Estate, January 7, 1957 December 3, 1958 21 Santheran M $2\frac{1}{2}$ Bentota Govindan Kitnasamy M F F 35 ٠. ٠. Mariaie . . Letchimy alias Poomalay 40 ٠. All of Ruanwella Estate, Ruanwella 17 September 4, 1957 Balasubramaniam . . Gopal Pulle M F Muthumarie December 3, 1958 Mari Kandan 36 M F F . . ٠. Theivanai 25 . . Sivagamy alias Letchumy Visvanathan All of Upper Division, North Punduloya Estate, Punduloya March 4, 1957 . . Rakambal December 3, 1958 2 F Vengadasalam Reddiar Ramasamy 46 M ٠. Sivagamy
Thanapackiam alias Parwathy 32 ٠. F ٠. Rajagopal
Papathy Ammal
Nallamma
Krishna Veni Amma September 20, 1957 10 ٠. M All of Kirimetiya Estate, Menickdiwela F Jayapregasam 5 (mths)M December 3, 1958 Marudai Kalimuthu 36 M F Thamaray Sathasivam 32 M ٠. Rajaratnam Sundara Balam May 28, 1957 All of Panawatte Estate, Yativantota M M F Sarathambal ٠. Saratha Devi Rajesuwari 5 (mths)F December 3, 1958 Sevi Ramasami 39 M F Valliamma 30 Veloo 15 M Sellamma F of Lower Division, Degalessa Group, May 28, 1957 ٠. ٠. Palaniaie 17 Yatiyantota Ramalingam M . . Rajalingam 1 M December 3, 1958Sathappan Thangiah Kitnamah 28 M . . ٠. 23 \mathbf{F} Dorairaia M . . All of Ellamulla Estate, Maturata July 27, 1957 Rasalingam M M Selvarasoo ٠. Járojini ... 2 F December 3, 1958Periyasamban Ellan Muthammal ٠. ٠. 40 ٠. F M F Subramaniam 22 Mariammah alias Mariaie 19 • • F Rasammal Neelamegam Sothinathan 12 · · All of Ganapalla Estate, Teligama December 23, 1957 M F 10 Mahaletchimi 8 5 Renganathan M F ٠. Papathy Janaki F ٠. Dhanakodiamma 1 ٠. December 3, 1958 V. Maruthappan Ramasamy 37 M ٠. Vellammah Ramachandran ... 21 F All of Eheliyagoda Group, Eheliyagoda December 23, 1957 Balachandran 6 .. M 9(mths) F Amurthavally December 3, 1958 Vembady Muthiah .: 41 M F ٠. Mariai ٠. عبيث سندوجيس Kitnasamy alias Sinniah M 19 Doraisamy Meenatchi M All of Vellehinda Estate, Deraniyagala December 23, 1957 ٠. F M 12 Muthusamy 9 . . Meenatchy Amirtham 2(mths)F December 3, 1958 Kandasamy Arunasalam 50 M F Kaliamma . . . Kitnasamy 14 M Siddaiah M . . Dhanapackie August 6, 1958 $\frac{9}{7}$ F M All of Pallidera Estate, Bulathkohupitiya . . Rajagopal Kaneson alias Ganesh ali kulasis 5 3 Parasatthi . Senthiran .. December 3, 1958

		Age		Sex		Address	•	
	٠.	$\frac{45}{31}$	• •	$_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{M}}$	}			
stian .		15	• •	\mathbf{M}				0 1 1 17 1070
amma am	• •	$\frac{13}{11}$	• •	\mathbf{F}	}	All of Wewatenne Division, Golinda Gro Kegalla	up,	October 15, 1958
		8	• •	M M				
•	• •	4 9 ($_{ m mths}$		}	•		December 3, 1958
		33		M	Ž			
	• •		• •		}	All of 49, Kadienlena, Kotmale	• •	August 15, 1957
	• •	6 (mths) M	j	••	• •	December 3, 1958
	• •		• •)			
		18		\mathbf{F}	}	All of Markville Estate, Bulathkohupitiya		August 14, 1958
	• •			\mathbf{F}				December 3, 1958
		_		м	ر ر	75.11 677		70
•	• •	47		\mathbf{F}	j	Both of Venture Group, Norwood	• •	December 4, 1958
		42		M	7			
					}	All of Agra Oya Estate, Rozella		do.
•	• •	7		$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$	J	·		
		34		M	J	•		
•	• •	$\frac{24}{7}$		$_{ m M}^{ m F}$	\	All of Upper Division, Lawrence Ests	ite.	do.
•		6		М,	[Norwood	••••	
•	• •		• •		J			
	• •	$\frac{30}{25}$	• •)	••		
•		7		\mathbf{F}	7	All of Mount Jean Estate, Watawala	•••	do.
•	• •	4	• •	М	J		•	* .
	• •	42	• •	M]	•	• •	
	• •	16		\mathbf{F}	1			
	• •		• •		l	All of Aura Ova Estate Rozalla		d o,
•	• •	10		\mathbf{F}'	- [111 of rigita oya Estato, reozona		40.
•	• •				- }			
•		5		\mathbf{F})	•		*
	٠.	-	• •		J			
	• •		• •		}			
asamma		22		\mathbf{F}'	1	All orr in G		•
		20 17	• • •	M	Ì	All of Venture Group, Norwood	• :	do.
	٠.	15	• •	M)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
	• •				ر د	· .		
		33	• •	\mathbf{F}	}	All of Kew Estate, Norwood		do
•	• •	17	• •	M	j			
		39	• •	M	Ĵ		•	
		18	• •	\mathbf{M}				
	• •	16 13	• •			·		in the second
	• •	10		\mathbf{M}	}		te,	do.
	• •	- 8 - 8		\mathbf{F}	-	Hatton		
		6		M	- 1			
		2		F	}	`	:	
		33		м	ì			
	• •	29		\mathbf{F}'	1			
•		19 11		M	1		•	
•		9		M	}	All of Glassel Estate, Dehiowita		May 28, 1957
•	• •	2	• •	\mathbf{M}			•	
•	• •		i. mthe		ļ	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	December 4, 1958
	• •	•		•	ر د	••	• •	do.
•		36		\mathbf{F}	1	•		
		26 22	• •		}	All of Chapelton Estate, Bogawantalawa	• •	do.
•	• •	$\frac{22}{21}$		M	j			
		43		M	J			
•	• •	35		\mathbf{F}	Ì		•	
		18 16	• •	\mathbf{F}	}	All of Glenloch Division, Glenloch Grow	n.	do.
•		14	· •	F F	1	Katukitula	1.79	
ah	• •	8	• •	\mathbf{F}	}			
	asamma	asamma	9 (33 33 34 34 35 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	9 (mths 33 33 36 (mths 49 39 18 51 52 47 42 29 9 7 34 24 7 6 30 25 7 4 24 7 4 25 30 30 25 7 4 10 8 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 39 39 31 31 31 31 32 33 34 35 37 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 31 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 31 31 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 39 39 39 39 30 31 31 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 31 31 31 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 39 39 30 31	9 (mths) F 33	9 (mths) F 33		

\ [†] .	Particulars o	f Pe	rson .	IRegi	stered	as	a Citizen of Ceylon	II	
Name			Age		Sex		Address	** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
eriannan Sangapillai . Tuppaie	•		66 57		M F	}	Both of Glenloch Division, Glenloch Group, Decemb Katukitula	per 4, 1958) [*] .
honai, son of P. Rengan eerammah		• •	29 22		M	ĺ	All of Y. R. C. Division, Rothschild Estate,	do.	
aruppen	• •	• •	4		M	ſ	Pussellawa	uo.	ż
rulandu Simeon Barnab			42	٠.	M.)			
lizabeth gnes Juliana		• •	$\frac{33}{14}$	• •	\mathbf{F}_{\cdot}	-	· ·		
ohn Navarathnam			12	,	M	}	All of Tyspane Estate, Kotmale	do.	
	• •		10 8	• •	M F			1 .	
		٠.	6	٠.	M	j			
aruppiah, son of Ramas ariaie	samy	٠.	$\frac{51}{37}$	• •	M F)			
ariaie ippiah <i>alias</i> Kandasam	У	- : :	24	::	M	}	All of Y. R. C. Division, Rothschild Estate,	do.	
asoo <i>alias</i> Doraisamy itnasamy <i>alias</i> Muthuli			$\frac{22}{14}$		M M	- }	Pussellawa		•
, , "	ingam.	• •	12		F	j			
arayanan Thalaithotty			29		M	ì			
onnammal atnakumar			$\frac{22}{2}$		F M	}	All of Doombagastalawa Estate, Kotmale	do.	
uthiamuthu Suppiah	• •	•	53		M	7			
	• •		48		F	j	Both of Ravenscraig Estate, Nawalapitiya	do.	
			$\frac{43}{29}$		M. F)	All of Compose Porcé Picture 177-1	do	
1		• •	$\frac{29}{12}$		-	7	All of Conoogaloyá Estate, Kotmale	do.	
		.,	9		M	J		÷	
		• •	46		M F)			
uthammah Iliah <i>alias</i> Sickan	• •	• •	18	• •	M	ť	All of Tellisagalla Division, Harangalla	do.	
etehumie	•••	• :	13 10		F M	Ì	Group, Kotmale		
ibramaniam ajakumar	••		2		M		en e		
alsamy Rengasamy	••		38		M	ĺ			
llammah	• •	• •	$\frac{28}{11}$	• •	F F		All of No. 9 Division Basella Fotata	do	
raswathy ndal	• •		8	• •	\mathbf{F}	ſ	All of No. 2, Division, Ragalla Estate, Halgranoya	do.	
lasamy	•	,	5	• •	M	ز.			
engan Kadirvale Ilammal	• •	• •	$\frac{53}{41}$	• •	M F)			
nylammey	••	• •	23		\mathbf{F}	7	All of St. John's Estate, Kandapola	do.	
aruthaie	• •	• •	11	• •	F	رَ		•.	
uthusamy Sivasamy gappaie	• •	• •	$\frac{45}{31}$	• •	$_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{M}}$	7			
swanathan	• •		16		\mathbf{M}				
elautham etchumie	• •	• •	13 10	• •	M F	Ì			,
aruppiah	• •	• •	9		M	ζ	All of Halgranoya Estate, Halgranoya	do.	,
elatchy njalidevi		• •	7 5	• •	$_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{F}}$				
uthusamy			3	• •	M	-		•	
raswathy	• •	• •	2	• •	F	٦			
athan Karuppiah ackiam	••	• •	40 40	• •	M F]			
ajaratnam	• •	••	12		M	۲	All of St. Leonard's Estate, Halgranoya	do.	
	• •	• •	5 5e	• •	M	j			
aruppiah Sivanthie Julasiammal <i>alias</i> K aru	 ppaie	• •	$\frac{56}{21}$	• •	$_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{F}}$		Both of St. Leonard's Estate, Halgranoya	do.	
an Alagan			46		\mathbf{M}	í		_	
nnapillai 🤫	A TOME		41		\mathbf{F}	J	Both of Coneygar Estate, Halgranoya	do.	
arayanan Marimuthu uthamma		• •	$\frac{49}{41}$	• •	M F	7			
eramuthu <i>alias</i> Sevanu		• •	21	• •	\mathbf{M}				
gappaie <i>alias</i> Rakkamn	na	• •	18 16	• •	F	ڔ	All of Coneygar Estate, Halgranova	do.	
	• •	• •	12	• •	\mathbf{F}		The state of the s		
vugan		• •	8 5	• •	M M				
olamany amasamy Duraisamy	••	• •	57	• •	M	٦		2.	
tchumyammal	• •		54	• • •	\mathbf{F}				
ındhi <i>alias</i> Velmail ırusamy <i>alias</i> Kandasa:	··	• •	$\begin{array}{c} 27 \\ 25 \end{array}$	• •	M M			M4 +	
andasamy <i>alias</i> Thanga		• • •	23		\mathbf{M}	۲	All of Post Office, Kotagala	do.	
		• •	18 15	• •	$_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{M}}$	- }			
3	••	• •	11	• •	F				
llan Mallan Gurusamy			43		м	, j		700	
nnammal	• •	••	39 21		F	ľ		• •	
ganathan llam	• •	• •	19	• •	$_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{M}}$. *
amalam		• •	17 14		F			. •	
-1-1 / 1	• •		13	• •	$_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{M}}$	۲	All of Stonycliff Estate, Kotagala	do.	
ushpam	· My Marine	• •	11 8		F				
agathevan avichandiran I	· •	• •	4	• •	M M	1	The second of th		
aramesparam	••		2		\mathbf{M}	1			•
avichandiran II	• •	٠.	4	٠.	M	j	્રાં સિંહ મારા માટે છે.		

			I			$_{.}$ II
	Partic	ulars	of Per	son R	egistr	erd as a Citizen of Ceylon
Name	<u> </u>		Age	Sex		Address
Kanapathy Rasiah Packiammah			31 . 28 .			Both of Drayton Estate, Kotagala December 4, 1958
Sangily Ponnusamy Vengattammah	-	4	46 . 41 .	. F		
Thangavail Veeraputhiran Mariaie		:	19 . 14 . 12 .	. M . F	}	All of Derryclare Estate, Kotagala do.
Sundararaj Vasanthakumary Kanagalechumie Yohaswarie			$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. F . E		
Veeramuthu Pitchamuthu Meenatchy			$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. м	j	
Ponnusamy alias Govindasa Manickam Sathasivam	my	:	13 . 10 . 9 .	. M . M . M	}	All of Upper Division, Bogahawatte Estate, do. Kotagala
Muthusamy Cannesan Vellayan Malayalam alias M	ahendiran		6 . 3 . 36 .	. M) 1	
Alagammal Asokumar			33 . 6 .	. F	. }	All of Ythanside Estate, Kotagala do.
Ponnusamy Sivanu Sellammal Ponnamma alias Valliamma	 i .		14 . 39 . 20 .	. F		All of Wootton Estate, Kotagala do.
Sangiah alias, Muthiah Kariyandan Alagamalay.	••	:	38 .	. м)	
Palaniammah Kaliammah Ariamalay Selvarasa			28 . 11 . 9 . 5 .	. F	}.	All of Maha Eliya Estate, Nanu Oya do.
Maruthay Periasamy Sinnapillai Rasoo alias Rasiah		2	11 . 29 . 11 .	. F . F		All of Scrubbs Estate, Nuwara Eliya do.
Thanaletchumy Poosary Raman			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. F . M . F	ر [
Pattaie Karuppannen Sivalingam Petchaie		2	20 . 9 . 6 . 2 .	. M . M	}	All of Upper Abbotsford Division, Dessford do. Group, Nanu Oya
Nallan Perumal Parvathy Vadivelu Muthumary			35 . 24 . 6 . 3	. F . M	}	All of Upper Division, Oliphant Estate, Nuwara do. Eliya
Kanapathy Vedan Thylamma			28 . 23	M F	j	Both of Upper Division, Oliphant Estate, do. Nuwara Eliya
Sevoogan Vembady Marudaie			13 . 37 .	. М F	}	Both of Lower Abbotsford Division, Dessford do. Group, Nanu Oya
Ammasy Maruday Mookaie Marudaie Seeyalammal		2	36 . 23 . 11 . 9 .		}	All of Lower Abbotsford Division, Dessford do. Group, Nanu Oya
Govindasamy, s/o Ponnusam Saraswathie Ammal Valliamma Rajasulothenam	ny	2	21 . 4 . 1 .	F F	}	June 18, 1957 All of Aboyne Estate, Bentota December 5, 1958
Palaniyandy Kuppusamy Arukkany Ammal Sarojah <i>alias</i> Bolie Sarojah			33	F F	Ì	All of 89 Bridwell Bazaar, Bogawantalawa May 17, 1958
Paramaswary Wimala Paruwathy		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3 1 ·8 (mt	\mathbf{F}	}.	December 5, 1958

Miscellaneous Departmental Notices J/THOPPU ARULNANTHY (HBE) T. M. SCHOOL

NOTICE is hereby given for the information of the general public that the above school, situated at Thoppu in the Jaffna District of the Northern Province and under the management of the Hindu Board of Education has been provisionally registered as a grant-in-aid school with effect from 1.7.1957.

S. F. DE SILVA, Director of Education.

ASC 4853, Education Department, Malay Street, Colombo 2, 24th December, 1958.

A/ISURUMUNIYA PRIMARY S. M. S.

NOTICE is hereby given that an application has been received from the General Manager, M. B. S. Schools, 130, Maligakande Road, Colombo 10, for the provisional registration of the above school, situated at Isurumuniya in the Anuradhapura District of the North-Central Province, as a grant-in-aid school.

Observations will be received not later than 30 days from the date of publication of this notice.

S. F. DE SILVA, Director of Education.

ASJ 4740, Education Department, Malay Street, Colombo 2, 24th December, 1958.

KG/KURUNEGODA S. M. SCHOOL—CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT

UNDER the provisions of section 31 of the Education Ordinance, No. 31 of 1939, it is hereby notified for general information that Mr. B. P. M. Senanayake, Education Officer, Sabaragamuwa Province, is temporarily appointed Manager of Kg/Kurunegoda S. M. School with effect from 1.1.1959, in place of Mr. N. H. Keertiratne who ceased to be the Manager of the said school with effect from 1.1.1959.

S. F. DE SILVA, Director of Education.

ASD 3158, Education Department, Malay Street, Colombo 2, December 29, 1958.

J/CHEDDIYAKURICHY PRIVATE T. M. S., POONERYN

NOTICE is hereby given for the information of the General Public that the management of the above school is restored to Mr. K. Velayuthapillai of Tirunelvely East, Jaffna, as from 1.1.1959.

S. F. DE SILVA, Director of Education.

Education Department, Malay Street, Colombo 2, 29th December, 1958.

Y/MULLIYAYALAI R. C. T. M. SCHOOL

NOTICE is hereby given for the information of the General Public that the above school, situated at Mulliyavalai in the Vavuniya District of the Northern Province and under the management of the General Manager, R. C. Schools, Jaffna, has been provisionally registered as a grant-in-aid school with effect from 1.11.57.

S. F. DE SILVA, Director of Education.

Education Department, Malay Street, Colombo 2, 29th December, 1958.

CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT

Kl/Haltota Buddhist Sinhalese Boys' School and Kl/Haltota Buddhist Girls' School

UNDER the provision of section 31 (1) of Ordinance, No. 31 of 1939 it is hereby notified for general information that the proprietor of above Schools, Mrs. P. D. E. M. Samarasekera, "Samaragiri", Millaniya, Paragastota, is appointed as Manager of K1/Haltota Buddhist Sinhalese Boys' School and K1/Haltota Buddhist Sinhalese Girls' School with effect from 15.10.1958.

S. F. DE SILVA, Director of Education.

Education Office, Colombo, December 26, 1958.

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that I, Annesley Mervin Sigismund Perera, Government Agent of Kalutara District, have by virtue of powers vested in me by section 15 (1) (a) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, approved the resolution set out in the Schedule hereto.

A. M. S. PERERA, Government Agent.

The Kachcheri, Kalutara, November 6, 1958.

Schedule

This meeting of proprietors within the irrigable area of the Moderawila irrigation work in the Kalutara District, hereby rescinds the resolution approving the scheme relating to that irrigation work, published in Gazette No. 8,145 of September 13, 1935.

COMPANIES ORDINANCE, No. 51 OF 1938

Notice under Section 277 (3) to strike off Weragoda Brothers Limited

WHEREAS there is reasonable cause to believe that Weragoda Brothers Limited, a company incorporated on 31st July, 1952, under the provisions of the Companies Ordinance, No. 51 of 1938, is not carrying on business or in operation:

Now know ye that I, Walter Mahesa Sellayah, Registrar of Companies, acting under section 277 (3) of the Companies Ordinance, No. 51 of 1938, do hereby give notice that at the expiration of three months from this date the name of Weragoda Brothers Limited, will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register of Companies kept in this office and the company will be dissolved.

W. M. Sellayah, Registrar of Companies. Department of the Registrar of Companies, Colombo 1, December 27, 1958.

PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS "Foot and Mouth" disease has broken out among cattle at Tulawala V. H. Division No. 465 in Otarapalata of the Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Pitigal Korale South of Chilaw District of the North-Western Province, I, Aryadasa Amarasinghe, Chief Government Veterinary Surgeon, by virtue of the powers vested in me under the Contagious Diseases (Animals) Ordinance (Amendment) Act, No. 33 of 1957, and in terms of section 4, sub-section (1) of the said Ordinance (Chapter 327), do hereby declare an "INFECTED AREA"—the area bounded on.

North by: Village limit of Yakdessawa. South by: Village limit of Kirimetiyana. East by: Village limit of Koswatta.

West by: Village limit of Kahatawila.

2. Under section 7 of the same Ordinance, I proclaim that no movement of cattle or cart traffic from and to this village shall be allowed, until this proclamation is revoked.

3. The attention of all cattle owners and carters in the area, is drawn to the Contagious Diseases (Animals) Regulations, 1937, which lays down the actions which persons are by law required to take in an "INFECTED AREA". Details of these regulations can be obtained from the Veterinary Surgeon, Chilaw, and the Divisional Revenue Officer, Pitigal Korale South.

4. This declaration shall take effect from the date hereof.

ARYADASA AMARASINGHE, Chief Government Veterinary Surgeon.

Office of the Chief Government Veterinary Surgeon, Peradeniya, December 22, 1958.

"Excise Ordinance" Notices

LOCAL OPTION FOR RE-OPENING OF TODDY TAYERN AT MALUWADY IN MANNAR DISTRICT

I, Caryll Ludekens, Government Agent, Mannar District, in the exercise of the powers vested in me by rule 6 of the Excise Notification No. 146 published in Government Gazette No. 7,748 of August 14, 1923, as amended by Excise Notifications Nos. 180, 187, 194, 221, 225, 231 and 401, give notice that a poll will be taken on Saturday, January 17, 1959, at the place mentioned in the sub-joined schedule for recording of votes for the purpose of ascertaining whether sixty per cent, of the inhabitants whose names appear in the certified final list of voters of the voting area in respect of the toddy tavern referred to above are in favour of the re-opening of the toddy tavern in question.

- 2. Polling will take place between the hours of 8 a.m. and 12 noon and between 1 p.m. and 6 p.m. on the day of the poll.
- 3. No person whose name does not appear in the list of voters shall be entitled to vote at the poll.

T. S. THURAIAPPAH, for C. LUDEKENS, Government Agent.

The Kachcheri, Mannar, December 23, 1958.

Schedule

Voting Area

Polling Station

The Divisions of the Village Thalvupadu Rural Develop-Headmen of Thoddakadu and ment Society Hall.

Thoddaveli respectively.

NOTICE

IT is hereby notified that in view of the Public Holiday on Wednesday, January 14, 1959, all Notices and Advertisements for Publication in the Ceylon Government Gazette of January 16, 1959, should reach the Government Press not later than 4 p.m. on Monday, January 12, 1959.

Government Press, Colombo, December 27, 1958. Bernard de Silva, Government Printer.

REVISED SCALE OF CHARGES FOR NOTICES AND ADVERTISEMENTS AS FROM JANUARY 1, 1955

CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE

(Issued on every Friday)

- 1. All Notices and Advertisements are published at the risk of the Advertisers.
- 2. All Notices and Advertisements by Private Advertisers may be handed in or sent direct by post together with full payment to the Government Printer, Government Press, Colombo.
 - 3. The office hours are from 9 a.m. to 4.30 p.m. on week days and 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. on Saturdays.
 - 4. Cash transactions close at 3.30 p.m. on week days and at 12 noon on Saturdays.
- 5. All Notices and Advertisements must be prepaid. Notices and Advertisements sent direct by post should be accompanied by Money Order, Postal Order or Cheque, made payable to the Government Printer. Postage stamps will not be accepted in payment of advertisements.
- 6. To avoid errors and delay, "copy "should be on one side of the paper only and preferably typewritten.
 - 7. All signatures should be repeated in block letters below the written signature.
 - 8. Trade Advertisements or Notices re change of name are not accepted for publication.
- 9. Advertisements purporting to be issued under orders of Courts will not be inserted unless signed or attested by a Proctor of the Supreme Court.
- 10. The authorized Scale of Charges for Notices and Advertisements as from 1st January, 1955, is as follows:—

			Rs.	c.
One inch or less .	•	••	10	0
Every additional inch or fract	tion thereof		-5	0
One column or ½ page of Gaze	tte		60	0
Two columns or one page of (Gazette	• •	120	0
All fractions of an inch will b	e charged for at the ful	l-inch rate.		

- 11. The Ceylon Government Gazette is published every Friday. Day of publication is subject to alteration in any week where Public Holidays intervene.
- 12. All Notices and Advertisements should reach the Government Printer, Government Press, Colombo, by 4 p.m. three working days previous to day of publication—(i.e., normally 4 p.m. on Tuesday).
- 13. Subscriptions are booked in advance by the Superintendent, Government Publications Bureau, Colombo, to the end of a year or half year only.
 - 14. Rates of subscription-

	Rs. c.
Annual subscription	$ \cdots \left[\begin{array}{cc} 15 & 0 \text{ for each Part} \\ 7 & 0 \text{ for each section of Part I} \end{array} \right] $
Single copies of each Part	$\cdots \left[egin{array}{c} 25 ext{ cents} \ 31 ext{ cents by Post} \end{array} ight.$
Each section of Part I	$ \begin{array}{c} \cdot \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 10 \text{ cents} \\ 14 \text{ cents by Post} \end{bmatrix} $

15. Past issues, when available, will be charged for at double rates. Application should be made to the Superintendent, Government Publications Bureau, Colombo.