

අංක 11,741 — 1959 මැයි 8 වැනි සිකුරාදා — 8.5.1959

No. 11,741 — FRIDAY, MAY 8, 1959

(Published by Authority)

PART I: SECTION (I)—GENERAL

(Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately)

	PAGE		PAGE
Proclamations by the Governor-General ..	—	Price Orders ..	—
Appointments, &c., by the Governor-General ..	1195	Central Bank of Ceylon Notices ..	—
Appointments, &c., by the Public Service Commission ..	1195	Accounts of the Government of Ceylon ..	—
Appointments, &c., by the Judicial Service Commission ..	1195	Revenue and Expenditure Returns ..	—
Other Appointments, &c. ..	1196	Miscellaneous Departmental Notices ..	1210
Appointments, &c., of Registrars ..	1197	Notice to Mariners ..	—
Government Notifications ..	1197	“Excise Ordinance” Notices ..	—

PART V published with this issue contains List of Applications for Stage Carriage Permits to operate Hiring Car Services.
PART VI published with this issue contains Ceylon Medical Register.

Appointments, &c., by the Governor-General

No. 190 of 1959

No. D/VF/17/A.

ARMY—C. Y. F.—TRANSFER TO THE RESERVE APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

To be transferred to the Reserve of the Ceylon Cadet Corps, with effect from March 1, 1959—

Second Lieutenant T. D. J. LOUIS, C. C. C.

By His Excellency's command,

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.

Colombo, April 25, 1959.

No. 191 of 1959

No. D/VF/16/A.

ARMY—C. Y. F.—PROMOTIONS APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

(a) To be Captains with effect from January 1, 1959, in the following order of seniority:—

- Lieutenant O. L. IZADEEN, H. G. R.
- Lieutenant K. D. A. ABAYASEKERA, E. D., H. G. R.
- Lieutenant E. M. A. WIRASINHA, H. G. R.
- Lieutenant W. T. A. DEE, H. G. R.

(b) To be Lieutenants with effect from January 1, 1959, in the following order of seniority:—

- Second Lieutenant J. DE ALWIS, H. G. R.
- Second Lieutenant R. V. COORAY, H. G. R.

By His Excellency's command,

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.

Colombo 1, April 21, 1959.

Appointments, &c., by the Public Service Commission

No. 192 of 1959

A. 85/59.

Mr. J. V. FONSEKA, C.C.S., to act as Government Agent in authority over the Administrative District of Batticaloa with effect from April 15, 1959, until further orders.

A. 86/59.

Mr. W. PATHIRANA, C.C.S., to act as Government Agent in authority over the Administrative District of Kalutara with effect from April 15, 1959, until further orders.

E. G. GOONEWARDENE,
Secretary,
Public Service Commission.
Office of the Public Service Commission,
P. O. Box 500,
Secretariat,
Colombo 1, 5th May, 1959.

Appointments, &c., by the Judicial Service Commission

No. 193 of 1959

SUMMARY OF APPOINTMENTS MADE BY THE JUDICIAL SERVICE COMMISSION.

Name of Officer	New Appointment	Effective Date of New Appointment	Remarks
Mr. F. C. PERERA ..	District Judge, etc., Gampaha ..	From 20th April, 1959 ..	Until further orders
Mr. D. H. DE S. GUNAWARDENE ..	Additional District Judge, etc., Gampaha ..	From 20th April, 1959 ..	Until further orders
Mr. T. MUTHUSAMPILLAI ..	Additional District Judge, etc., Jaffna ..	27th April to 25th May, 1959 ..	During absence of Mr. N. SIVAGNANASUNDERAM
Mr. S. SELIAH ..	Additional Magistrate, etc., Kandy ..	From 20th April, 1959 ..	Until further orders

Name of Officer	New Appointment	Effective Date of New Appointment	Remarks
Mr. L. M. P. P. WEERASINGHE	Additional Magistrate, etc., Colombo	From 20th April, 1959	.. Until further orders
Mr. L. M. P. P. WEERASINGHE	Additional Magistrate, etc., Anuradhapura	2nd May, 1959	.. In addition to his other duties
Mr. V. S. GUNAWARDENE	Commissioner of Requests, Colombo	From 20th April, 1959	.. Until further orders
Mr. O. M. L. PINTO	Additional Magistrate, etc., Ratnapura	30th April, 1959	.. —
Mr. H. O. SALGADOE	Additional Magistrate, etc. Colombo	23rd April, 1959	.. During absence of Mr. D. WIMALARATNE
Mr. J. J. DAVID	Additional District Judge, etc., Batticaloa	24th to 29th April, 1959	.. During absence of Mr. D. S. L. P. ABAYASEKERA
Mr. C. A. L. COREA	Additional Magistrate, etc., Chilaw	From 27 April, 1959	.. Until resumption of duties by Mr. B. E. DE SILVA
Mr. S. ILAYATAMBI	Additional Magistrate, etc., Jaffna, at Mallakam	29th April to 3rd May, 1959, and 6th May, 1959	.. During absence of Mr. G. C. NILES
Mr. I. H. HERAT	President, Rural Court, Hurulu Palata, etc.	From 1st April, 1959	.. Until further orders
Mr. M. A. E. B. PERERA	Acting President, Rural Court, Wann Hatpattu, etc.	28th April, 1959	.. During absence of Mr. N. V. T. MENDIS
Mr. J. S. PERERA	Acting President, Rural Court, Pasdun Korale, etc.	22nd April, 1959	.. During absence of Mr. F. J. C. ABEYAKOON
Mr. A. I. M. KALEEL	Acting President, Rural Court, Pasdun Korale, etc.	27th April, 1959	.. During absence of Mr. F. J. C. ABEYAKOON
Mr. M. A. C. DE SILVA	Acting President, Rural Court, Hewagam Korale, etc.	From 24th April, 1959	.. Until resumption of duties by Mr. M. SAMARAKKODY

Office of the Judicial Service Commission,
 P. O. Box 573,
 Colombo, 29th April, 1959.

S. R. WIJAYATILAKE,
 Secretary,
 Judicial Service Commission.

Other Appointments, &c.

No. 194 of 1959

APPOINTMENTS BY THE HONOURABLE MINISTER OF JUSTICE

Commissioners for Oaths under Section 12 of the Oaths Ordinance

Mr. M. A. M. RAZEEN to be, while holding the office of Registrar of Poor Laws, Galle Municipal Council, a Commissioner for Oaths for the judicial district of Galle with effect from the 23rd April, 1959.

Officers Administering Oaths under Section 372 of the Civil Procedure Code

(1) Mr. A. SANMUGARATNAM to be, while attached to the Fiscal's Office, Jaffna, an officer specially authorised to administer the oaths or affirmations which are requisite to the making of affidavits mentioned in section 371 of the said Code, for the judicial division of Jaffna.

(2) Mr. B. WILFRED to be, while attached to the Fiscal's Office, Jaffna, an officer specially authorised to administer the oaths or affirmations which are requisite to the making of affidavits mentioned in section 371 of the said Code, for the judicial division of Jaffna.

(3) Mr. V. SUBRAMANIAM to be, while acting in the post of Fiscal's Marshal, Dandagamuwa (Kanadulla), an officer specially authorised to administer the oaths or affirmations which are requisite to the making of affidavits mentioned in section 371 of the said Code, for the judicial division of Dandagamuwa (Kanadulla), with effect from the 27th April, 1959.

Inquirers under Section 120 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Cap. 16)

(1) Mr. A. KANDIAH to act as Inquirer for Bintenne Pattu, Batticaloa District, while acting in the post of Divisional Revenue Officer of the said Pattu, from the 21st April, 1959, until the resumption of duties by Mr. R. B. RANATUNGA.

(2) Mr. D. S. MEEGALLA to act as Inquirer for Udugahapattu in Siyane Korale East, Colombo District, from the 21st April, 1959, until the resumption of duties by Mr. D. A. S. ALAGIYAWANNA.

(3) Mr. G. P. H. de SILVA to act as Inquirer for Dimbula Korale in Nuwara Eliya District, from the 22nd April, 1959, until the resumption of duties by Mr. K. W. THIMOTHIAS.

(4) Mr. S. B. DELUNGAHAWATTA to act as Inquirer for Uda-palata Korale, Badulla District, from the 22nd April, 1959, until the resumption of duties by Mr. P. B. RATNAYAKE.

(5) Mr. V. KARTHEGESU to act as Inquirer for Islands Division, Jaffna District, from the 22nd April, 1959, until the resumption of duties by Mr. M. MURUGESU.

(6) Mr. K. SOMASUNDARAM to act as Inquirer for Manmunai North, Batticaloa District, while acting in the post of Divisional Revenue Officer of the said Division, from the 23rd April, 1959, until the resumption of duties by Mr. S. M. THEOPHILUS.

(7) Mr. M. M. CASSIM to act as Inquirer for Nanaddan East, Mannar District, from the 24th April, 1959, until the resumption of duties by Mr. S. M. SWANTHU.

(8) Mr. A. PERUMAYANAR to act as Inquirer for Manmunai South and Eruvil Porativu Pattus, Batticaloa District, while acting in the post of Divisional Revenue Officer of the said Pattus, from the 28th April, 1959, until the resumption of duties by Mr. R. SITHAMPARAPILLAI.

No. 195 of 1959

THE Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs has appointed Mr. VICTOR JEROME WEERAKKODY to be a Notary Public throughout the judicial division of Colombo and to practise as such in the English language.

No. 196 of 1959

THE Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs has appointed Mr. INAYATULLA AHAMED SAHEED to be a Notary Public throughout the judicial division of Galle and to practise as such in the English language.

No. 197 of 1959

THE Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs has appointed Mr. SEWARATNE YAPA BANDARA MUDIYANSELAGE PUNCHI BANDARA HERAT to be a Notary Public throughout the judicial division of Kurunegala and to practise as such in the English language.

No. 198 of 1959

THE Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs has appointed Mr. MOHAMEDLEBBE SALAHUDEEN to be a Notary Public throughout the judicial division of Badulla and to practise as such in the English language.

Appointments, &c., of Registrars

No. 199 of 1959

THE following appointments have been ordered by me:—

Mr. MAHABADUGE MERRIL SIRIPALA FERNANDO JAYASURIYA, Officer in Grade II of the Executive Clerical Class of the General Clerical Service, to be Additional Registrar of Lands for the Badulla Administrative District with effect from 15.3.1959.

Mr. PUNCHI BANDA RANAWERA, Officer in Grade II of the Executive Clerical Class of the General Clerical Service, to be an Additional Registrar of Lands for the Kandy Administrative District with effect from 25.3.1959.

Mr. DEPURA SISILIN DE SILVA, Officer in Grade II of the Executive Clerical Class of the General Clerical Service, to be an Additional Registrar of Lands for the Colombo Administrative District holding office at the Land Register Office, Negombo, with effect from 1.4.1959.

Mr. WEERASURIYA MUDIYANSELAGE JAYASIRI WEERASURIYA, Officer in Grade II of the Executive Clerical Class of the General Clerical Service, to be Additional Registrar of Lands for the Anuradhapura District with effect from 10.4.1959.

Mr. DAVID HERATH TELISINGHE, Officer in Grade II of the Executive Clerical Class of the General Clerical Service, to be an Additional Registrar of Lands for the Puttalam-Chilaw Administrative District holding office at the Land Register Office at Chilaw with effect from 22.4.1959.

T. E. GOONERATNE,
Registrar-General.

Registrar-General's Office,
Colombo, May 4th, 1959.

Transport Superintendent
Assistant Transport Officer
Food and Price Control Inspectors
Storekeepers
Assistant Storekeepers
Head Foreman
Mechanics
Assistant Mechanics
Electricians
Carpenters
Tinker
Blacksmiths
Assistant Foreman
Patrol Foreman
Mechanical Foreman
Matron (whilst held by Mrs. C. C. Peiris)
Chief Storekeeper (whilst held by Mr. A. S. Ponniah)
Assistant Chief Storekeeper (whilst held by Mr. K. Sinniah)
Preventive Officers
Inspector of Foodstuffs
Supervisor (whilst held by Mr. F. P. A. Weerasinghe)
Gate Checker (whilst held by Mr. W. W. Silva)
Fumigating Machine Operator
Transport Officer.

S. F. AMERASINGHE,
Secretary to the Treasury.

General Treasury,
Colombo, 21st April, 1959.

Government Notifications

No. PR/AF/84.

THE Honourable the Minister of External Affairs has been pleased to recognise Mrs. Margret Silva Wimalakirti as Acting Honorary Consul for Austria at Colombo, with effect from the 28th April, 1959.

G. DE SOYZA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of External Affairs.

Colombo 1, 29th April, 1959.

ISSUE OF NEW REVENUE STAMPS

Denominations Rs. 20, Rs. 50, Rs. 100, Rs. 500
and Rs. 1,000

IT is hereby notified for general information that new Revenue Stamps of the denominations Twenty Rupees, Fifty Rupees, One Hundred Rupees, Five Hundred Rupees and One Thousand Rupees bearing the Armorial Ensign of Ceylon will be issued for sale from June 1, 1959.

In these stamps:—

- The Sinhala word "පදය" stands for "Revenue".
- The denomination is expressed in words in Sinhala; it is also expressed in figures in Sinhala, Tamil and English.
- "Ceylon" appears in Sinhala, Tamil and English.

2. The Sale of the Twenty Rupees, Fifty Rupees, One Hundred Rupees, Five Hundred Rupees and One Thousand Rupees stamps of the existing series will be discontinued as from June 1, 1959, but any such stamp of the existing series which have been purchased before that date may continue to be used.

STANLEY DE ZOYZA,
Minister of Finance.

Ministry of Finance,
Colombo, 6th May, 1959.

No. 543 E. 216/7 DA.

PURSUANT to the 2nd Section of the Minutes on Pensions, it is hereby notified that the holders of the offices specified below are entitled to pension with effect from 23.2.1959:—

Assistant Food Controllers
Legal Assistant
Grain Surveyor
Conservancy Kangany (whilst held by Mr. M. Madasamy)

(D. S. 148/58.)

No. 556E. 191/2 DF.

PURSUANT to the 2nd Section of the Minutes on Pensions, it is hereby notified that the holders of the office specified below are entitled to pension:—

Department of the Registrar of Companies

Draughtsmen Clerks with effect from 1st October, 1949.

S. F. AMERASINGHE,
Secretary to the Treasury.

General Treasury,
Colombo, 23rd April, 1959.

L. D.—B. 59/58.

THE CONCILIATION BOARDS ACT, No. 10 OF 1958

Order

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by sub-sections (1) and (8) of section 3 and sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Conciliation Boards Act, No. 10 of 1958, I, Manikku Wadumestri Hendrick de Silva, Minister of Justice, do hereby:—

- appoint the following persons to be members of the Panel of Conciliators constituted for the Alutgama village area situated in Siyane Korale West (Meda Pattuwa) Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in the Colombo District.
 - Parakrama Prasanna Siriwardena of Kalagedihena.
 - Abdul Rahman Mohamed Junaid of Tihariya.
 - Edirisuriya Mudalige Willie Peter Edirisuriya Siriwardena of Kalagedihena.
 - Don Albert Francis Kumarasinghe of Alutgama.
 - Palaweni Arachchige Simeon Perera of Tihariya.
 - Karunanayake Jayawardena Appuhamilage William Singho of Alutgama.
 - Ariyasinghe Wimalasena of Karanayakamulla.
 - Weerasinghe Arachchige Don Jamis Weerasinghe of Kehelwatugoda.
 - Tittalapitige Harischandra Karunatilake of Tittalapitigoda.
 - Kuruppu Appuhamilage Don Abraham Appuhamy Kuruppu of Alutgama, Bogamuwa.
 - Jayasundera Hewage Paulis Peter Dabarc of Alutgama.
 - Kustan Arachchige David Perera of Yakkala.
 - Ranasinghe Hettiarachchige Bujawansa Ranasinghe of Koskandawela.
 - Arachchi Appuhamilage Don Karunasakera of Wirangula.
 - Ranasinghe Hetti Arachchige Don Udenis Ranasinghe of Pallettuttipitiya.

- (16) Samaranyake Rajapaksa Mudalige Peter Singho of Ogodapola.
 (17) Jayakody Arachchige Don Leyaris Jayakody of Meewala.
 (18) Abdul Azeez Mahamood of Tihariya.
 (19) Jayasekera Subasinghe Arachchige Don Luwis Jayasekera of Kalaotuwawa.
 (20) Marasinghe Ratnayakage Lionel Karunaratne of Katuwagoda.
- (b) appoint Parakrama Prasanna Siriwardena of Kalagedihena to be the Chairman of the aforesaid Panel ; and
 (c) determine that the period for which each such member shall hold office shall be two years from the date of publication of this Order in the *Gazette*.

M. W. H. DE SILVA,
 Minister of Justice.

Colombo, 5th May, 1959.

L. D.—B. 59/58.

THE CONCILIATION BOARDS ACT, No. 10 OF 1958

Notice under Section 3 (2)

IN pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Conciliation Boards Act, No. 10 of 1958, I, Manikku Wadumestri Hendrick de Silva, Minister of Justice, do hereby notify that it is intended to constitute a Panel of Conciliators for each village area specified in the Schedule hereto and that the Village Committee of that village area, every Rural Development Society and every Praja Mandalaya in that village area, and every such Co-operative Society in that village area as is registered under the Co-operative Societies Ordinance may, on or before June 1st 1959, recommend in writing to me the persons who are, in the opinion of the recommending body, fit to be members of such Panel.

M. W. H. DE SILVA,
 Minister of Justice.

Colombo, 2nd May, 1959.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Uda Pattu Kuruwiti Korale village area situated in Kuruwiti Korale Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Ratnapura District.
- (2) Uda Pattu Nawadun Korale village area situated in Nawadun Korale Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Ratnapura District.
- (3) Diyatilake village area situated in Uda Hewaheta Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Nuwara Eliya District.
- (4) Oyapalata village area situated in Walapane Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Nuwara Eliya District.
- (5) Kammal Pattu village area situated in Pitigal Korale South Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Puttalam District.
- (6) Yagam Pattu village area situated in Pitigal Korale North Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Puttalam District.
- (7) Kadawat Korale East village area situated in Nuwaragam Palata East Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Anuradhapura District.
- (8) Wilachchiya Korale village area situated in Nuwaragam Palata West Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Anuradhapura District.
- (9) Kandukara village area situated in Buttala Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Badulla District.
- (10) Soranatotota village area situated in Wiyaluwa Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Badulla District.
- (11) Polonnaruwa village area situated in Tamankaduwa Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Polonnaruwa District.
- (12) Elahera village area situated in Tamankaduwa Divisional Revenue Officer's Division in Polonnaruwa District.

NOTICE

IT is hereby notified that the Honourable the Minister of Home Affairs has, on 21st April, 1959, under section 19 of the Notaries Ordinance (Cap. 91), as amended by notification dated 18.9.1947, published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of 24.9.1947, cancelled the notarial warrant granted to Loku Banda Kotalgala, a notary authorized to practise in the English language throughout the Judicial Division of Kandy.

S. C. FERNANDO,
 Permanent Secretary,
 Ministry of Home Affairs.

Colombo, April 21, 1959.

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that the Minister for Lands and Land Development has, by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 60 (1) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, as modified by the Proclamation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, confirmed the scheme relating to the Mole Amuna irrigation work in the Colombo District of the Western Province, prepared under Part V of the same Ordinance and approved at a meeting duly held on the 31st day of March, 1958, by the prescribed majority of the proprietors under the irrigable area of that irrigation work.

C. B. P. PERERA,
 Permanent Secretary,
 Ministry of Lands and Land Development.
 Colombo, April 20, 1959.

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that the Minister for Lands and Land Development has, by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 60 (1) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, as modified by the Proclamation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, confirmed the scheme relating to the Kandamalawewa irrigation work in the Trincomalee District of the Eastern Province, prepared under Part V of the same Ordinance and approved at a meeting duly held on the 18th day of September, 1953, by the prescribed majority of the proprietors under the irrigable area of that irrigation work.

C. B. P. PERERA,
 Permanent Secretary,
 Ministry of Lands and Land Development.
 Colombo, April 22, 1959.

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that the Minister for Lands and Land Development has, by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 60 (1) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, as modified by the Proclamation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, confirmed the scheme relating to the Galkissa Bemma irrigation work in the Kurunegala District of the North-Western Province, prepared under Part V of the same Ordinance and approved at a meeting duly held on the 11th day of June, 1958, by the prescribed majority of the proprietors under the irrigable area of that irrigation work.

C. B. P. PERERA,
 Permanent Secretary,
 Ministry of Lands and Land Development.
 Colombo, April 22, 1959.

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that the Minister for Lands and Land Development has, by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 60 (1) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, as modified by the Proclamation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, confirmed the scheme relating to the Paddukka Nuge irrigation work in the Colombo District of the Western Province, prepared under Part V of the same Ordinance and approved at a meeting duly held on the 8th day of January, 1958, by the prescribed majority of the proprietors under the irrigable area of that irrigation work.

C. B. P. PERERA,
 Permanent Secretary,
 Ministry of Lands and Land Development.
 Colombo, April 23, 1959.

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that the Minister for Lands and Land Development has, by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 60 (1) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, as modified by the Proclamation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, confirmed the scheme relating to the Kombuvaithakulam irrigation work in the Vavuniya District of the Northern Province, prepared under Part V of the same Ordinance and approved at a meeting duly held on the 1st day of December, 1958, by the prescribed majority of the proprietors under the irrigable area of that irrigation work.

C. B. P. PERERA,
 Permanent Secretary,
 Ministry of Lands and Land Development.
 Colombo, April 23, 1959.

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that the Minister for lands and Land Development has, by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 60 (1) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, as modified by the Proclamation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, confirmed the scheme relating to the Damme Owita irrigation work in the Ratnapura District of the Sabaragamuwa Province, prepared under Part V of the

same Ordinance and approved at a meeting duly held on the 12th day of June, 1957, by the prescribed majority of the proprietors under the irrigable area of that irrigation work.

C. B. P. PERERA,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Lands and Land Development.

Colombo, April 22, 1959.

THE PADDY LANDS ACT, No. 1 OF 1958

AS required by sub-section 1 of section 51 of the Paddy Lands Act, No. 1 of 1958, as amended by the Paddy Lands (Amendment) Act, No. 30 of 1958, I, Don Philip Rupasinghe Gunawardena, Minister of Agriculture and Food, do hereby appoint the officers in column 1 of Schedule hereto, as Assistant Commissioners of Agrarian Services for the Administrative Districts mentioned in column 3 in addition to the duties of their substantive posts given in column 2 of that Schedule.

Colombo, April 30, 1959.

D. P. R. GUNAWARDENA,
Minister of Agriculture and Food.

Schedule		
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3
1. Gardia Punchihewa Buddhadasa de Silva ..	Assistant Food Controller ..	Kalutara District
2. Wilfred Francis Fernando ..	do. ..	Kurunegala District
3. Mathias Stephen Clitus Goonewardena ..	do. ..	Galle District
4. Hewa Denini Weeraratne ..	do. ..	Ratnapura District
5. Mithasena Sarathchandra Wijeratne ..	Assistant Commissioner for Development of Marketing	Colombo District
6. Caluwadewage David Fernando ..	do. ..	Badulla and Nuwara Eliya Districts
7. Elmo Ivan de Silva ..	Administrative Officer, Department of Agriculture	Kandy District
8. Harold Peter Fernando ..	do. ..	Matara and Galle Districts
9. Kumarasamy Ganapathy ..	Assistant Elections Officer ..	Ratnapura District
10. Puchi Banda Wijesundera Kinigama ..	Assistant Commissioner of Co-operative Development	Kurunegala District
11. George Milton de Silva ..	do. ..	Colombo District
12. Hematileka Bandaranayaka ..	do. ..	Kandy District
13. Tikiri Banda Tennekoon ..	Assistant Commissioner of Co-operative Development	Colombo District
14. Lucas Henry Ranasinghe ..	do. ..	Hambantota District
15. Samuel Rajanayagam Asirvatham ..	do. ..	Jaffna District
16. Hewa Berti Weeraratne ..	do. ..	Nuwara Eliya District
17. Rajaguru Bandara Rajaguru ..	do. ..	Matale District
18. Murugesu Mathibalasingham ..	do. ..	Kandy District
19. Samarakoon Jayasekera Sumanasekera Banda ..	do. ..	Polonnaruwa District
20. Ranaweera Abeyratne ..	do. ..	Colombo District
21. Tibbotuwawe Don Jinasena Vitarana ..	do. ..	Kurunegala District
22. Alexander James Wickramasinghe Jayasekera ..	Divisional Revenue Officer ..	Puttalam District
23. Suriyagutha Hulangamuwa ..	do. ..	Badulla District
24. Ran Banda Ranatunga ..	do. ..	Batticaloa District
25. Wijetunga Mudiyanse Alutgamagedera Wijeratne Banda ..	do. ..	Batticaloa District
26. Yapa Mudiyanse Weerakone Bandara ..	do. ..	Polonnaruwa District
27. Indradasa Wickramasinghe ..	do. ..	Galle District

LD.—B. 51/53.

(2) in regulation 9—

**THE RUBBER REPLANTING SUBSIDY ACT,
No. 36 OF 1953**

REGULATION made by the Minister of Agriculture and Food by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 8 of the Rubber Replanting Subsidy Act, No. 36 of 1953.

D. P. R. GUNAWARDENA,
Minister of Agriculture and Food.

Colombo, 4.5.1959.

Regulation

The Rubber Replanting Subsidy Regulations, 1953, published in *Gazette* No. 10,594 of October 3, 1953, as last amended by regulation published in *Gazette* No. 11,328 of May 30, 1958, are hereby further amended, as follows:—

(1) by the insertion, immediately after regulation 8, of the following new regulation:—

“ 8A. It shall be the duty of every person to whom a subsidy or any portion thereof has been paid in respect of any area, to take such measures as may be necessary to ensure that, the rubber plants shall not be tapped until at least sixty per centum of the rubber plants have reached a minimum girth of 20 inches at a height of 3 feet as measured from the ground.” ;

(i) by the substitution, for paragraph (b) of that regulation, of the following paragraph:—

“ (b) that, through neglect or want of diligent effort on the part of any such person, the rubber plants replanted in an area do not conform to the requirements specified in regulation 8, or ”;

(ii) by the insertion, immediately after paragraph (b) of that regulation, of the following new paragraph:—

“ (c) that any person to whom a subsidy or any portion thereof has been paid has contravened the provisions of regulation 8A, the Rubber Controller may by notice in writing require such person to refund so much of the subsidy as has been paid to him in respect of that area either forthwith or within such time as may be specified in the notice ; and no person on whom a notice is served under this regulation shall fail to comply with that notice.” ; and

(3) in regulation 11, by the substitution, in paragraph (a) of that regulation, for the words and figures “ 6, 7 or 9, or ”, of the words and figures “ 6, 7, 8A or 9, or ”.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

Order under Section 4 (2)

WHEREAS an industrial dispute in respect of the matters specified in the statement of the Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour which accompanies this Order exists between the Ceylon Air Transport Employees' Union and Messrs. James Finlay & Company, Limited, Colombo :

Now, therefore, I, Tikiri Bandara Ilangaratne, Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, do, by virtue of the powers vested in me by section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, hereby refer the aforesaid dispute for settlement to an Industrial Court which shall be constituted in accordance with the provisions of section 22 of that Act.

T. B. ILANGARATNE,
Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services.
Colombo, April 27, 1959.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

In the matter of an industrial dispute
between

the Air Ceylon Transport Employees' Union,
No. 240, Manthrimulla, Attidiya, Dehiwala,
and

Messrs. James Finlay & Company, Limited,
P. O. Box 59, Colombo.

STATEMENT OF MATTERS IN DISPUTE

The matters in dispute between the Ceylon Air Transport Employees' Union and Messrs. James Finlay & Company Limited, Colombo, are the following demands made by the aforesaid Union:—

- (1) Loaders to be paid a monthly basic salary of Rs. 45;
- (2) The eight-hour period of work should commence from the time the workers report at Ratmalana Airport or are picked up at the pick up points, when they are required to work at the Katunayake Airport; and
- (3) Payment of batta to the workers when they are required to work at Katunayake Airport.

Dated at Colombo, this 20th day of April, 1959.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

Order under Section 4 (2)

WHEREAS an industrial dispute in respect of the matter specified in the statement of the Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour which accompanies this Order exists between The Ceylon Workers' Congress and the Superintendent of St. Therese Estate, Harasbedde:

Now, therefore, I, Tikiri Bandara Ilangaratne, Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, do, by virtue of the powers vested in me by section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, hereby refer the aforesaid dispute for settlement to an Industrial Court which shall be constituted in accordance with the provisions of section 22 of that Act.

T. B. ILANGARATNE,
Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services.
Colombo, 29th April, 1959.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

In the matter of an industrial dispute
between

The Ceylon Workers' Congress, No. 84/4,
Lauries Road, Colombo 4,
and

the Superintendent of St. Therese Estate,
Harasbedde.

STATEMENT OF MATTER IN DISPUTE

The non-offer of supervisory work to Kitnasamy is the matter in dispute between the Ceylon Workers' Congress and the Superintendent of St. Therese Estate, Harasbedde.

Dated at Colombo, this 24th day of April, 1959.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE Award transmitted to the Commissioner of Labour by the Industrial Court constituted for the purpose of settling the industrial dispute between the Ceylon General Workers' Union and Messrs. Mackie Stores, Colombo 11, which was referred by the Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, by Order dated December 29, 1958, made under section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, and published in *Ceylon Government Gazette* No. 11,637 dated January 9, 1959, for settlement by an Industrial Court, is hereby published in terms of section 25 (1) of the said Act.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour,
Colombo, 27th April, 1959.

Industrial Court at Colombo

No. I. D. 127

In the matter of an industrial dispute

between

The Ceylon General Workers' Union, 124, Kumaran Ratnam
Road, Colombo 2

and

Messrs. Mackie Stores, 265, Main Street, Colombo 11.

THE AWARD

This is an award under section 24 of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, as amended by the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Acts, No. 25 of 1956, No. 14 of 1957 and No. 62 of 1957. It relates to an industrial dispute between The Ceylon General Workers' Union, 124, Kumaran Ratnam Road, Colombo 2 (hereinafter referred to as "the Union") and Messrs. Mackie Stores, 265, Main Street, Colombo 11 (hereinafter referred to as "the Company").

2. The Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, by his Order under section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, dated 29th December, 1958, referred this dispute to this Court for settlement.

3. According to the statement of the Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour dated 26th December, 1958, the matters in dispute are as follows:—

- (1) Bonus be paid to all workers for the year 1957.
- (2) Dearness allowance of Rs. 17.50 be given to each of the workers.
- (3) A mid-day meal worth not less than 50 cents be paid to each of the workers.

4. I attempted to effect an amicable settlement in the interests of industrial peace but no settlement was possible. Evidence for the Union was given by two employees, namely M. U. M. Uvais and Indrani Karunaratne. The proprietor of Mackie Stores was also called by the Union to give evidence.

5. The proprietor of Mackie Stores had several years ago started the manufacture of umbrellas on a small scale and initially there were only five or six employees. The work had gradually increased and at present, in addition to umbrellas, he manufactures hand-bags, leather cases, shirts, bannians and jerkins. I inspected the factory at Grandpass which had been constructed at considerable expense. The building had cost the proprietor Rs. 275,732, while the machinery used at the factory had been imported at a cost of Rs. 92,216. The premises are quite satisfactory for the employees to work in and the proprietor is now putting up another building behind the factory, a part of which will be used as a canteen for the workers. Of the employees half the number are young women and the other half young men.

6. In 1957, the Company engaged Messrs. Ibccon Limited to prepare a wage structure for the employees. The recommendations of Messrs. Ibccon Limited had been implemented by the Company, and as a result the wages of the employees had been increased by Rs. 41,600 a year. In addition to this, the employees are paid a commission for work done in addition to the daily quota which a worker is expected to attend to. The commission paid during the year 1957-58 was Rs. 26,348.93. The proprietor takes an interest in his staff and has provided necessary amenities to render the working conditions as pleasant as possible. He has invested a large amount of money in an industrial concern and should receive every encouragement in order that he might be able to develop his business. In an Indian case, Larsen and Toubro Ltd., Bombay Vs. their Workmen (1951 Labour Law Journal, Vol. 2, pg. 221) it was held that a company which is not established must be nurtured before it could be said to be capable of bearing a heavier burden of wage structure.

7. The proprietor admitted in his evidence that he had purchased several properties during the last three or four years: in 1955 he had purchased No. 502, Galle Road, Kollupitiya, at a cost of Rs. 75,000. He had also built an annexe for his daughter behind his residence at a cost of Rs. 67,000. He is now putting up a five-storied building at No. 260, Main Street, estimated to cost one lakh. In 1955 he had purchased a shop in Kandy for Rs. 40,000; in May, 1958, he had purchased premises No. 90, Barber Street, Colombo, for a sum of Rs. 145,000 for the purpose of his business. In September, 1958, he purchased a new shop called 'Pyramids' at No. 197, Main Street, for Rs. 45,000. In order to purchase these properties he had raised loans from the Mercantile Bank for over Rs. 600,000. He is also indebted to the Habib Bank in a sum of Rs. 157,242; he owes the Bank of Ceylon Rs. 99,960. He is also the proprietor of Mackie Stores in Main Street where the goods manufactured at the Grandpass Stores are sold.

8. A bonus had been paid to all employees for the year 1956, but no bonus was paid for the year 1957. The proprietor mentioned that no bonus had been paid because the employees had been paid higher salaries from October, 1957, when Ibccon's recommendations were implemented. The net profit for the year 1956-57 was Rs. 16,474. In view of the fact that an annual bonus had been paid previously, the employees had regarded this bonus as a part of the emoluments to which they were entitled. The Company made a profit and, therefore, there was no difficulty in paying a bonus. The bonus which had been paid previously was fixed arbitrarily by the proprietor. I consider that a bonus should have been paid for 1957 and my award is that each employee should receive fifty per centum of his or her monthly salary as bonus. The bonus for the year 1957 should be paid within three weeks of the publication of this award.

9. I shall deal with the third demand, namely, a mid-day meal worth not less than 50 cents before I deal with the second demand for the dearness allowance of Rs. 17.50 a month. The Company has been providing a mid-day meal and tea to the employees, the meal consisting of bread and curry costing 23 cents. The demand of the Union is that the employees be provided with a mid-day meal costing at least 50 cents. The majority of the employees are young men and young women. They are greatly interested in their work and they are very industrious owing to the incentive of the production bonus. I inspected some of their record sheets and I found that in one instance the production bonus amounted to over Rs. 1.00 a month. There were several cases where the bonus amounted to over Rs. 2 a day. It is quite clear that the workers are doing their best and in view of their hard work, it is essential that they should have a good mid-day meal. The present meal is unsatisfactory. My award, therefore, is that the employees should be provided with a mid-day meal costing at least 50 cents. The arrangements for this mid-day meal costing at least 50 cents should be made within two weeks of the publication of this award.

10. I now come to the third demand, namely, the dearness allowance of Rs. 17.50 a month. The demand for this allowance has arisen as a result of the Government paying its employees an additional allowance of Rs. 17.50 a month from about October, 1957. The allowance was given to meet the increase in the cost of living. The previous dearness allowances had been fixed several years ago and, therefore, the sum of Rs. 17.50 represents the increase in the cost of living during several years. Mr. Sanmugathasan appeared for the Union and handed over a memorandum on the 'Minimum Wage' which had been prepared by the Ceylon Trade Union Federation. It is apparently a copy of a memorandum presented to the present Commission on Fair Wages. In India, the principles of the minimum wage determination were first discussed in detail by the Indian Labour Appellate Tribunal in the Buckingham and Carnatic Mill case. The Tribunal was guided by the standard recommended by different authorities with regard to food, clothing, housing, fuel, light and other miscellaneous items. The memorandum of the Ceylon Trade Union Federation refers to a report by Dr. Nimalasuriya with regard to low cost adult diet. According to this memorandum, the diet for a worker costs Rs. 1.05 a day. It was argued that the employee's wages should be sufficient not only for him but also for the members of his family. A standard working class family is regarded as consisting of the worker, his wife and two children. The average family of four is considered to be equal to three con-

sumption units. Thus the minimum which a worker would require for the purchase of food for his family would be Rs. 3.15 a day. It was argued that a worker spends on his food about 60 per cent of his total income, and therefore the minimum income for a family should be Rs. 5.25 per day. This would be a very good argument to place before the Commission on Fair Wages, but what I have to consider is whether the wages paid at present to the employees of Mackie Stores compare unfavourably with wages paid to similar workers in other establishments. It was held in the case of the Army and Navy Stores Ltd., Bombay Vs. their Workmen (1951 Labour Law Journal, Vol. 2, pg. 31) that it was wrong to have increased the basic wage scales merely because employees in other concerns of quite a different character had been given a higher scale. It was held that a comparison should be made between the duties and responsibilities of the employees with those of the employees of similar business concerns. No evidence with regard to wages of employees in similar occupations has been placed before me and I have, therefore, to presume that similar workers are not paid higher wages at similar establishments. In I. D. 48, 49 and 50, it has been decided that the special allowance of Rs. 17.50 should be paid to the workers in the tea, rubber and coconut export trades, and engineering firms who are members of the Employers' Federation of Ceylon. The award in these cases was made as a result of an agreement between the parties. The payment of Rs. 17.50 a month to all employees at Mackie Stores will result in the increase of the wage bill by about Rs. 40,000 a year. The net profit of 1956-1957 was Rs. 16,474; the figures for 1957-1958 are not available, but it is doubtful whether the profit was more than that of the previous year. The proprietor mentioned that he makes a very small profit with the products of the factory owing to competition with similar articles imported from abroad. At present the factory cost of men's umbrellas is Rs. 113.57 per dozen, while the selling price is Rs. 116 per dozen. The factory cost of ladies' umbrellas is Rs. 75.91 per dozen and the selling price is Rs. 78 per dozen. On the other hand, the cost of ladies' umbrellas imported from Hong Kong is Rs. 9.14 a dozen; there are two better varieties which cost Rs. 13.75 and Rs. 14.12 per dozen. Umbrellas imported from Japan cost Rs. 36.53 per dozen and Rs. 47.32 per dozen. These imported umbrellas are available for sale at Mackie Stores and the Hong Kong umbrellas costing Rs. 9.14 per dozen are sold at Rs. 19 per dozen; Japanese umbrellas which cost Rs. 47.32 per dozen are sold at Rs. 72 per dozen. Thus the proprietor makes bigger profits on every imported umbrella sold than on an umbrella produced at his factory. The proprietor, therefore, does not profit to a large extent by the products of his own factory. In fact he will probably make larger profits at his Store at Main Street if the factory is closed down and he depends solely on the sale of imported articles. There are 240 employees at the factory at Grandpass who would be unemployed if the proprietor decides to discontinue the factory and depend on the sale of imported articles.

11. In their report on the structure of wages, Messrs. Ibccon Limited recommended certain salaries according to the posts occupied by the workers. There was no provision in their scheme for the payment of annual increments. The proprietor, however, has shown his appreciation of the good work done by the employees, by granting them annual increments. Uvais, an employee who gave evidence is only 19 years old; he started on the lowest grade, namely, Rs. 2.48 a day, but at present he is in grade (1) and receives a salary of Rs. 2.96 a day. Similarly Indrani Karunaratne who is 22 years old started two years ago on a salary of Rs. 2.36; she is now in grade (2) on a salary of Rs. 2.71 per day. She is entitled to a commission for additional work done, and in February last she received Rs. 23.35 as production bonus.

12. Mr. Sanmugathasan mentioned that the employees at the factory came from better families than the ordinary workers employed in the tea, rubber and coconut export trades; they have to dress well and have, therefore, to spend more money on their clothes than the average factory workers. On the other hand the majority of them are young men and women who are not married, who have no family responsibilities and who require their earnings only for their maintenance. According to the Dr. Nimalasuriya's report, the cost of food would ordinarily be Rs. 1.05 cents. The employees will receive in future a good mid-day meal costing at least 50 cents; thus their expenditure on food will in future amount to about 55 cents a day. Their essential expenditure for all purposes would not amount to more than Rs. 1.25 a day. On this basis every unmarried person will earn much more than he or she will require for ordinary expenses. As increments are paid, every person who has worked in the factory for some time will, at the time of marriage, receive much more than the minimum salary fixed by Messrs. Ibccon Limited. It cannot, therefore, be said that the wages which are being paid at present are inadequate to meet the requirements of the workers. It was argued by Mr. Sanmugathasan that the recent purchases of property by the proprietor indicates that he has a large annual income and can, therefore, afford to pay this special living allowance to the workers. There is no reason, however, why any person should not regard his factory as a business concern. He cannot be expected to act as a philanthropist and to pay the workers at his factory a part of the income which he receives from other property. Further, the fact that there is a scheme for the grant of a production bonus should have to

be taken into consideration when wages and allowances are fixed. In all the circumstances of this dispute I consider that the workers have been treated generously by the proprietor and my award with regard to demands (1) and (3) will result in better conditions in the future. I consider that the employees are not entitled to this special allowance of Rs. 17.50 and the demand for the special allowance of Rs. 17.50 is therefore rejected.

P. O. FERNANDO,

Colombo, April 14, 1959.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE Award transmitted to the Commissioner of Labour by the Industrial Court constituted for the purpose of settling the industrial dispute between the Ceylon Workers' Congress and the Superintendent of Ambanganga Group, Mahawela, Matale, which was referred by the Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, by Order dated December 24, 1958, made under section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, and published in *Ceylon Government Gazette* No. 11,637 dated January 9, 1959, for settlement by an Industrial Court, is hereby published in terms of section 25 (1) of the said Act.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour,
Colombo, April 27, 1959.

Industrial Court at Colombo

No. I. D. 126

In the matter of an industrial dispute
between

The Ceylon Workers' Congress, 84/4, Lauries Road, Colombo 4
and

The Superintendent of Ambanganga Group, Mahawela, Matale.

THE AWARD

This is an award under section 24 of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950 (as amended by Acts Nos. 25 of 1956, 14 of 1957 and 62 of 1957).

2. It relates to a dispute between the Ceylon Workers' Congress (hereinafter referred to as the "Congress") and the Superintendent of Ambanganga Group (hereinafter referred to as the "Superintendent").

3. By his letter dated 19th December, 1958, the Commissioner of Labour had declared that the non-employment, by the Superintendent, of Mayan and his family is the matter in dispute between the parties. The Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services by order dated December 24th, 1958, has referred the said dispute to this Court for settlement.

4. When the matter came up in March and April, 1959, for hearing before me, Mr. Advocate S. P. Amarasingham instructed by Mr. M. P. Sunderam appeared for the Congress. The Superintendent was represented by Mr. A. R. M. Kaleel, Proctor.

5. I had fixed this case for hearing on January 26th and 27th, 1959, but at the request of the Superintendent re-fixed it for February 16th and 17th, 1959. On these two dates the Congress was duly represented but not the Superintendent, who appeared on March 9th and, on his inability to explain to my satisfaction that he had been absent on February 16th and 17 for good and sufficient cause, was ordered to pay costs to the Congress in a sum of Rs. 105 in respect of February 16th and Rs. 57.50 in respect of February 17th.

6. Evidence was taken on March 9th, 16th, 23rd, 25th and April 22nd. At the conclusion of the Superintendent's evidence the parties discussed among themselves and arrived at the following settlement:—

- (i) The Superintendent agrees to the reinstatement of Mayan, his wife Kuppaia, and their son Velu as from May 1, 1959.
- (ii) The Superintendent agrees to pay back wages to the said three employees in a lump sum of Rupees Seven hundred and fifty (Rs. 750).
- (iii) The Superintendent agrees to restrict his claim for batta to Rupees One hundred (Rs. 100).
- (iv) Mr. Amarasingham, on behalf of the Congress, undertakes to advise these employees to co-operate with the Superintendent in the performance of their legitimate functions on reinstatement.

7. The costs, I understand, have not been paid as yet. Hence, a sum amounting to Rupees Nine hundred and seven and cents fifty (Rs. 907.50) will be forwarded by the Superintendent to

the Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Kandy, on or before May 30th, 1959, for payment to the Congress in terms of 6 (ii) above and costs ordered by Court.

8. I am of opinion that the settlement arrived at is just and equitable as well as in the interests of the parties, and make order accordingly.

S. C. S. DE SILVA.

Colombo, 23rd April, 1959.

No. C/I. 658.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE Award transmitted to the Commissioner of Labour by the Arbitrator to whom the industrial dispute which had arisen between the Ceylon Mercantile Union, No. 22-1/3, Baillie Street, Colombo 1, and the Colombo Pharmacy Company Limited, No. 177, Norris Road, Colombo 11, was referred, under section 3 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, as amended by the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, No. 25 of 1956, the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, No. 14 of 1957, and the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, No. 62 of 1957, for settlement by arbitration, is hereby published in terms of section 18 (1) of the said Act.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour,
Colombo, May 5, 1959.

Award under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950

An industrial dispute between the Ceylon Mercantile Union, No. 22-1/3, Baillie Street, Colombo 1 (hereinafter called the "Union") and the Colombo Pharmacy Company Limited, No. 177, Norris Road, Colombo 11 (styled hereinafter the "Company") has been referred to me by the Commissioner of Labour by his letter dated February 6, 1959, for settlement by arbitration under section 3 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, as amended by the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Acts, No. 25 of 1956, No. 14 of 1957 and No. 62 of 1957.

2. The matter in dispute between the parties is the non-employment of Mr. S. Senadeera by the Company.

3. Mr. Advocate S. J. Kadirgamar instructed by Messrs. Julius and Creasy appeared for the Company, while the Union was represented by Mr. P. B. Tampoe, its General Secretary.

4. The Company is a public one, having dispensaries at Union Place, Bambalapitiya and Norris Road, the upstairs portion of the last being its head office. Its Managing Director is Mr. R. H. de Mel, the other two Directors being his brothers, Messrs. R. F. S. and R. G. A. de Mel. They transact all their business at the premises known as H. L. de Mel & Co. in Chatham Street, Mr. J. Abeywickrema, the Secretary of the Company as well as of the Board of Directors, visits these premises twice daily, about 11 a.m. and 3.30 p.m., from the Norris Road Head Office for business purposes. One of his functions is to conduct investigations. The Chatham Street office was in his sole charge. He had been recently promoted Secretary and counts ten years' service in all under the Company. As a rule, the Company sought legal advice on all matters involving dismissals. Mr. Malcolm Dias, the wholesale manager, resides and works at the Union Place Dispensary. An employee of 30 years' standing, he had been Manager for the past ten years and had won the confidence of his employers. He is said to be a very kind-hearted person.

5. In 1951, Mr. Senadeera joined the Company as a medical representative, in which capacity he worked for four or five years. During this period he did propaganda work and naturally got accustomed to an outdoor life, which is admittedly more pleasant and less arduous than dispensary work. Having qualified while in service as a pharmacist he was detailed to do relief work at the Union Place Dispensary up to December, 1957, when he filled a vacancy that had been pending there for quite some time. Mr. Dias had been repeatedly reminding Mr. Abeywickrema about it until eventually, over the telephone, he was asked by the latter to take on Mr. Senadeera. Mr. Dias then told him that he did not want "that kind of person" but one who "could do hard work any time of the day and night". This protest, based on Mr. Dias' poor opinion of his work during his acting period, went unheeded. Mr. Senadeera used to report for work at 9 a.m. and leave at 4.30 p.m., was not as quick as the others and therefore could not cope with his work, with the result that other assistants were sent to his aid. The specific duties to be allotted to him were not conveyed to Mr. Dias, who was free to use him as a dispenser and salesman, which in fact he did. Mr. Dias told him that he was selected by the management and not by Mr. Dias. As such little or no notice of his presence was taken by Mr. Dias, who distinctly recollects at least two occasions on which he had recommended to Mr. R. G. A. de Mel that he be transferred. I believe Mr. Dias's testimony that he had also told Mr. Senadeera himself "several times" "when he was annoyed that the customers were not properly served" that this was no job for

him and that he should revert to his former post of medical propagandist or seek other employment. This nagging in moods of irritation, which is next door to harassing, must have promoted strained feelings between them, despite their evidence to the contrary. Life in this dispensary would have been distasteful to Mr. Senadeera, and Mr. Dias must have felt disappointed with the management generally for inflicting Mr. Senadeera on him and for not thereafter taking him off his hands.

6. I accept Mr. R. G. A. de Mel's evidence that in the space of a month on three occasions Mr. Dias had complained to him about Mr. Senadeera's inaptitude for dispensary work when he stepped in, as was his wont, to make purchases at this dispensary. On September 11th or 12th, 1958, Mr. Dias called on Mr. R. G. A. de Mel at Chatham Street in connection with the discontinuance of the services of one Mrs. Soysa and told him if anybody had to go, it should be Mr. Senadeera. It is at this point of time that the directors decided to 'sit up' and take notice. On October 9th, the letter P.2, embodying the verbal complaints made by Mr. Dias (referred to therein as "reports") was forwarded to Mr. Senadeera "through the manager". Mr. Senadeera's position is that Mr. Dias had made no "reports". In my opinion, any complaint of an employee's bad work to the authorities, whether written or verbal, is nothing short of an adverse "report". In P.2 it is stated that Mr. Senadeera always "asked for someone to assist him". The evidence of Mr. Dias himself is that Mr. Senadeera "needed" assistance. Even Mr. R. G. A. de Mel admitted that that was the complaint. Furthermore, in D.10 addressed to Mr. Dias by the Company requesting him to forward P.2 to Mr. Senadeera, the complaint had been so set out. The point is that whenever he could not cope with the work, it was noticed by Mr. Dias who gave him assistance before he could ask for it. I do not think that a sinister twist was given in P.2 where the verbal complaints had been referred to as "reports". If it was a twist, it certainly was a clumsy one. It is alleged that P.2 had been written in bad faith. To decide this, at this stage it will be necessary for me to refer to the Eau-de-cologne incident which looms large in these proceedings and the charge of victimisation.

7. Thieving was a major problem with which the management had to contend. An unaccountable drop in the percentage of profits showed that thefts were taking place, and pilferage of stocks was suspected. An orderly called Martin had, three or four years ago, been detected with a tube of stolen tooth paste on him and on his admission of guilt dismissed. In 1952, one Mr. Vernon Perera was suspected of theft of face powder and chocolate beans. The matter went to Courts but he was acquitted. On September 1st 1958, a peon by name Sirisena was charged with theft and a prosecution launched. The case has not been concluded. This was brought out in the cross-examination of Mr. Abeywickrema and it was suggested that this fact was deliberately suppressed by him in examination-in-chief. It is not an item of evidence he need have volunteered as it is still "sub judice". It is quite clear that the management during the past few years had made serious efforts to detect thieving. Mr. Dias' honesty had not, up to November 3, 1958, been even questioned. Mrs. Soysa, a qualified pharmacist employed in this dispensary since 1958, like Mr. Senadeera is a committee member of the Pharmacists' Association. It appears that she had removed on April 18, 1958, a bottle of Eau-de-Cologne and a bottle of hydrogen peroxide. According to Mr. R. G. A. de Mel, he was told by Mr. Senadeera on November 3, 1958, that on detection, she (although not named at that time) had passed a credit memo in Mr. Dias' name to cover up the theft and when this was brought to Mr. Dias' notice he condoned such action. This memo is admittedly in her handwriting and was filed with other memos in the head office. I believe the evidence that the management became aware of the existence of this memo only after November 3, 1958. In 1958 no other employee had taken articles on credit memos, although in 1957 two clerks had done so. Mr. Dias stated that Mrs. Soysa always paid the amounts due on pay day. Credit facilities were not available at this period to employees of this dispensary, and Mr. Dias was kind enough to accommodate them "at the risk of his purse". This practice was unknown to the management. For being ignorant of this procedure, Mr. Abeywickrema had been reprimanded, according to Mr. R. G. A. de Mel, but Mr. Abeywickrema denies it, which denial I reject as false. Sirisena's dismissal took place on September 2, 1958, and the services of Mrs. Soysa were terminated a week later. In my view the latter event had no bearing on the former. The reason given by the management for discontinuing Mrs. Soysa was reorganisation. As against this, the suggestion of Mr. Senadeera is that the directors, as early as this and not as late as November, became aware of this Eau-de-Cologne incident and suspected Mrs. Soysa of theft and Mr. Dias of conniving at it. At a meeting of the Pharmacists' Association held on September 26, 1958, her matter was discussed and given publicity in the press on October 2. Mr. Senadeera states that Mrs. Soysa's discontinuance it was that prompted the other employees to join the Union as they felt that they too may be discontinued without just cause. The point has been made, and rightly so, that reorganisation was in relation to rosters. The directors were of opinion that a lady pharmacist could not do night shifts. The register of rosters clearly shows that her successor, Mr. Maurice Fernando, had not been given night duty. Mr. Dias' evidence is that only experienced hands like Messrs. Thomas Silva and Alahakone were given night shifts, and Mr. Maurice Fernando had not enough experience. It follows that had Mrs. Soysa remained she could never have been detailed for this work as she was a lady, whatever experience she may gain. Two or three

days after Mrs. Soysa's departure, Mr. Dias makes a personal call on Mr. R. G. A. de Mel to find out the cause of her discontinuance as he, her immediate superior, had not complained against her at all. Mr. De Mel tells him the reason was reorganisation. Mr. Dias' version is that Mr. De Mel also mentioned that there have been complaints against her, but he (Mr. Dias) did not ask what they were (which he would have done if his story is true) as Mr. De Mel was "not in a mood to discuss the matter further" and "his attitude was not quite favourable". I disbelieve Mr. Dias when he says this. Mr. De Mel has stated that Mr. Dias does not like transfers unless he considers them acceptable to him, and the directors on this occasion appear to have decided for him. I can well appreciate that, for having seen Mr. Dias and heard him give evidence in the witness box, I did not get the impression that he was one who could take a decision on his own. With the Parthian shot that if anyone should go, it should be Mr. Senadeera, Mr. Dias retired from the scene.

8. I shall now deal with the question of victimisation. Although the Company had had no trade union activities before, at the Ragedera Mines and the plumbago stores under the same directors, trade unions have been and are still operating. On September 18, P. 7 was sent to the Union by Mr. Senadeera with signatures of those willing to join it. On the 26th he received application forms which he got filled up. When Mr. Abeywickrema met him at the Norris Road branch and enquired why he came there, he merely said that he had come to see Mr. Godwin Silva. According to Mr. Senadeera (1) the storekeeper Mr. Somatilaka saw him obtaining signatures in the stores and "sneaked about it to Mr. Abeywickrema", (2) one Mr. Silva who works in Mr. Abeywickrema's office saw him distributing the application forms and told Mr. Abeywickrema, (3) one Mr. Abeysekera told the Company about it. Not a single of these gentlemen has been called in these proceedings. Mr. Abeywickrema categorically denied having got news of steps taken to form a branch Union from any employee at all, which evidence I accept as true. Although the management may have had the opportunity to get to know of this, in the absence of concrete evidence which was available but not forthcoming, I am not prepared to impute such knowledge to the management. I believe Mr. R. G. A. de Mel when he says that it was on November 16th as he was leaving for Bangkok that he heard for the first time about this. Mr. R. H. de Mel at this period was away from the Island and it is not suggested that Mr. R. F. S. de Mel had received any information of such inauguration. There is no evidence that the management got to know of the subscriptions being forwarded on October 1, the receipt of the block receipt therefor on October 15, or the holding of the inaugural meeting on October 22. On November 5, Mr. Senadeera phoned up Mr. R. G. A. de Mel to ascertain if orders had been given by the directors to one Mr. Narayan, the retail manager of the Pettah branch, not to allow him to step into the premises. It appears that Mr. Senadeera had gone there on the previous day and Mr. Narayan, claiming to act on the directors' instructions, forbade him to enter the premises again. The suggestion is clear that the directors by November 4 had been apprised of the steps to form the branch Union. Mr. De Mel undertook to inquire into this complaint of Mr. Senadeera and found out that during working hours staff members were being disturbed by Mr. Senadeera who had gone there on the pretext of official business. In P. 10, a letter sent to the Company by the Union carrying official information that the branch Union has been formed and giving the names of the office bearers, it was mentioned that Mr. Senadeera's "freedom of movement" was being restricted. The Company on December 5 by letter D. 6 said that there was no question of such restriction of Mr. Senadeera's movements. The elected president of the Union is a pharmacist called Mr. Chandra Wijewardena. It was put to Mr. R. G. A. de Mel that this gentleman's bonus for 1958 was cut for a trivial offence. Mr. De Mel said that half a month's bonus was cut for a very serious offence, namely, dispensing .1 milligram when Dr. Daniel had ordered 1 milligram. The matter was not pursued beyond this. It was put to Mr. De Mel that committee member, Mr. Godwin Silva's 1958 bonus was also cut, to which the reply was given that one month's bonus was cut for rank insubordination to the manager, for which he could have been instantly dismissed. These surely cannot be instances of victimisation. Moreover, all the office bearers except, of course, Mr. Senadeera are said to be still employed by the Company. I absolve the management from this charge, and hold that P. 2 sent to Mr. Senadeera on October 9 was not written in bad faith.

9. Reference must be made to D. 9, a letter sent by Mr. Dias to the Company, forwarding P. 3 from Mr. Senadeera to the Company. It is said that Mr. Dias who read P. 3, did not deny in D. 9 that Mr. Senadeera in P. 3 was lying about having asked Mr. Dias if it is true he has complained against him and that Mr. Dias thereupon denied it. Mr. Dias says he left it to the directors to refer it back to him. He also repeatedly stated that he did not pay so much attention to all these points. He appeared to me just the type of man who would take anything lying down and not move a muscle to extricate himself out of a difficulty, although he may exert himself on behalf of an employee. It was put to him that he was made to write D. 9 by the directors. He took this insult with complacency. He took four days to forward P. 3 as he says he did not think it urgent; he probably did not. Much has been made of the statement in P. 4 (dated October 27, sent by the Company to Mr. Senadeera) "the Manager has confirmed his report". It is said to be a deliberate lie inasmuch as Mr. Dias had not said in D. 9, dated October 17, that Mr. Senadeera had asked for assistance. I have

already dealt with these points and would hold that the statement in question was not intended to perpetuate a deliberate twist given to Mr. Dias' complaint.

10. By P. 3 dated October 13, Mr. Senadeera sought an interview with the director "to be more explicit myself". This was granted and on November 3, the interview with Mr. R. G. A. de Mel took place. No one else was present. Notes thereof (D. 4) bearing the same date and addressed to Mr. Abeywickrema were made after the interview was over. Mr. De Mel says he wrote D. 4 immediately after the interview. Mr. Senadeera suggests this was written definitely after the 6th of November. I reject the suggestion that it was compiled after consulting lawyers. To Mr. Tampoe's credit it must be said that he made it quite clear that the Company's lawyers did not advise the fabrication of D. 4. It is said that on their intimating to their clients that everything should be recorded in writing, the directors on their own regularised the matter by 'doctoring' this document D. 4. I do not believe that Mr. R. G. A. de Mel would stoop so low in order to 'frame' Mr. Senadeera. If I hold that D. 4 is an accurate reproduction of what was actually said at the interview, the seriousness of the allegation made at that time against Mr. Dias certainly called for a full investigation. If the allegation is false, there can be no question that dismissal must follow. If it is true, one would reasonably expect some attempt, at least, to have been made to substantiate it. In the absence of any such attempt having been made, the only inference I can draw is that the allegation is false. In P. 6 dated November 11, sent by the Company to Mr. Senadeera, it is stated that the Eau-de-Cologne incident was mentioned at the interview with Mr. R. G. A. de Mel on November 3. In Mr. Senadeera's reply to it (P. 11, dated November 23) there is no denial, but in his letters P. 14 dated December 16, and P. 17 dated December 23, he denied having made any allegation about Mr. Dias. In short it took him five weeks to deny for the first time that he had made the allegation against Mr. Dias in relation to the Eau-de-Cologne incident. Again on December 30, at the inquiry before Mr. R. H. de Mel, he said the same thing twice. He repeated it in these proceedings as well. But in cross-examination he had to admit that he told Mr. De Mel (1) that Mr. Dias felt he was a spy and words to the effect that he harasses him, (2) that Mr. Dias takes no notice when one Mr. Leon Dias's inaccurate pricing of articles is brought to his notice, (3) Mr. Dias had instructed him not to fill up the 'wants book', contrary to specific orders of the management. These three are definite allegations against Mr. Dias, and to persist as he does in saying that he made no allegations against him is an untenable position. The purpose of the interview he sought is not easy to understand. As far as he was concerned, the Company in P. 2 had asked him not to leave room for further complaints and that was the end of the matter. Then, what purpose would this interview serve? It was obviously to put Mr. Dias in trouble. He denies having told a word to Mr. De Mel about the Eau-de-Cologne incident. But Mr. De Mel distinctly remembers getting the impression at that time that Mr. Senadeera himself was a witness. Did Mr. De Mel invent that Mr. Kaluarachchy was a witness? I do not think so. I hold, on the evidence before me, that Mr. De Mel wrote D. 4 at the time he says he did, and that the Eau-de-Cologne incident as set out in D. 4 was mentioned at that time by Mr. Senadeera. Mr. De Mel's evidence is attacked on another ground, viz., that he at one time said D. 4 was for the purpose of record, and at another time that it was addressed to Mr. Abeywickrema for further investigation. It can well be both. For investigation purposes, this would be the record that will be at hand. Who was the employee, and when did it happen. were not the only two points that needed further explanation. The charge was grave in that a manager of 30 years' standing was alleged to have connived at thieving. This matter had to be thrashed out fully. If proved to be true, the manager must be dismissed.

11. November 6 was the date of the interview between Mr. Senadeera and Mr. Abeywickrema, notes of which are marked D. 5, written in ink. Mr. Senadeera says D. 5 (in ink) is not the record made at the time. He says it was written in pencil. He alleges that he said so in his evidence-in-chief before me but it had not been so recorded. Mr. Tampoe also appears to remember so. I am afraid I must go on the official record. Mr. Abeywickrema says that with D. 4 in front of him, he dealt with all the 5 items one by one, though the order was slightly different—a circumstance in itself insufficient to prove that D. 4 was not at hand. By letter dated November 5, Mr. Senadeera had been asked to "explain more fully the various statements he had made" to Mr. De Mel. In regard to the espionage, he explained that it was Mrs. Soysa who had told him that Mr. Dias had this suspicion. In regard to Mr. Leon Dias he explained that it was he who, on more than twelve occasions, had complained without avail to the manager. He admits that the matter of the 'wants book' was also discussed and the general charge of inefficiency too was mentioned. Mr. Abeywickrema's version, as appears in D. 5, is that when the Eau-de-Cologne item was reached, Mr. Senadeera made what has been referred in these proceedings as a "horse deal" i.e. he said he would give details of the allegation about the Eau-de-Cologne incident if the allegations made against him were withdrawn. Mr. Senadeera denies this. I reject his denial as false. What is important to these proceedings is the fact that he did not substantiate the allegation against Mr. Dias over this incident, which I have already held he had mentioned to Mr. De Mel on November 3. I accept Mr. Abeywickrema's evidence that the name of Mrs. Soysa as the employee concerned was not put into Mr. Senadeera's mouth, and that Mr. Senadeera at no time protested that Mrs. Soysa's matter was irrelevant. Mr. Senadeera

says that he felt the management was trying to make him a witness against her, and wished Mr. Abeywickrema not to record that as she had a case against the Company at that stage he could not say anything at all. This was, nevertheless, according to the evidence, recorded at that time, but in parenthesis. The brackets referred to appear in D. 5, a matter of no little significance. I accept Mr. Abeywickrema's version of how D. 5 was compiled as accurate, as well as his testimony that it was read aloud to Mr. Senadeera item by item as it was being recorded.

12. Mr. Senadeera on his own admission does not accept criticism from anybody unless he is satisfied in his own mind that it is correct. He admits that he has a very low opinion of the Company, which he suspects as being capable of lying, fabrication, duress, mis-interpretation and the like. This suspicion was amply demonstrated by him in these proceedings. In his evidence he said that "obviously Mr. R. H. de Mel and Mr. R. G. A. de Mel conspired against him". In the next breath he stated that Mr. Abeywickrema invented the story of the allegation against Mr. Dias regarding the Eau-de-Cologne incident. In the very next sentence he asserted that Mr. R. G. A. de Mel did so, to deny it immediately after by saying "he had no hand in it", but it was Mr. Abeywickrema who is the "solve inventor". At a later stage he stated that Mr. R. G. A. de Mel and Mr. Abeywickrema joined hands to make false allegations against him. This type of testimony is given only by one who does not know his bearings. Further in these proceedings his evidence is that when P. 4 was given to him by Mr. Dias, he questioned him on the following day. Within a minute of saying so, he says that he questioned him "then and there". As I wanted to hear the truth from Mr. Dias I summoned him. Mr. Dias no less than six times before me denied that Mr. Senadeera asked him about the complaints. It is said that Mr. Dias's position is peculiar as the moment he admits this he will fall foul of the directors. I am sure the directors would have appreciated the position had Mr. Dias explained to them the difficulty in which he was placed, if Mr. Senadeera had chosen to ask him about it. It is further alleged that as the Eau-de-Cologne inquiry is still pending over Mr. Dias' head, he denied having been questioned by Mr. Senadeera. Mr. Dias, however, says that he thinks that that matter is closed so far as he is concerned, Mr. R. G. A. de Mel thinks so too. Mr. Senadeera sympathises with Mr. Dias and states he has confidence in him and is sure that he is the only man who is not in the alleged conspiracy against him. In P. 13 it was stated clearly that the inquiry of December 30 was to be against Mr. Dias, although Mr. Senadeera tries to make out that it was against him. Mr. Senadeera was asked to bring his witnesses to substantiate the allegations he had made against Mr. Dias and which he "vehemently" stated to Mr. De Mel he could substantiate. To the last he tried to keep out of the inquiry, but the directors, quite properly, required him to be present and explain or deny on December 30.

13. The directors sought legal advice after November 6, through Mr. Abeywickrema as to who should preside at the inquiry and were advised that the best person would be Mr. R. H. de Mel who had just returned from leave abroad. Mr. Senadeera had not set eyes on him before nor had Mr. R. H. de Mel known Mr. Senadeera personally at all. But it is said that Mr. R. H. de Mel who had, as indeed he had to, studied the file that morning had already made up his mind against Mr. Senadeera. I am unable to fall in with this suggestion. On December 30, not a single question was asked from Mr. R. G. A. de Mel to shew that D. 4 had been written after November 6. Even to get a denial, this, being the pivot of the case, should have been put to him. Mr. R. G. A. de Mel in his evidence before me said that he stopped Mr. Senadeera at the point where Mr. Senadeera told him, on November 3, "a certain employee was detected removing articles" and added that there was no point in going into matters like that unless Mr. Senadeera was willing to substantiate them, whereupon Mr. Senadeera "vehemently" said he could do so, adding that Mr. Kaluarachchy was a witness. I accept this evidence as true, and the chairman's finding on this point in D. 3 as correct. It is urged that the fact that Mr. Senadeera was allowed to remain throughout the inquiry showed that he was on trial. For that matter Mr. Dias was present throughout but it is said that he was not on trial. It was at no time suggested that both were on trial. The complainant was Mr. Senadeera and he had to be there all through; he was invited to give evidence first. All he said was that he made no allegations. Mr. R. G. A. de Mel and Mr. Abeywickrema gave evidence next and Mr. Senadeera had no questions to ask them. He did even want to see D. 4 or D. 5. He took no interest in the inquiry. The only conclusion one can draw is that he was unable to substantiate the serious charge he had made against Mr. Dias. On January 8, 1959, on the findings (D. 3) a show cause notice (P. 19) was served on him along with D. 2 and D. 3, and as sufficient cause was not shown in P. 20 dated 12.1.59 sent by him, wherein he again denied having made allegations, he was dismissed summarily on January 15, by letter P. 21.

14. I hold that the decision to dismiss Mr. Senadeera was not accompanied by mala fides nor was it a case of victimisation. No principle of natural justice had been violated at any stage nor had the Company indulged in unfair labour practice. The findings in D. 3 are not perverse on the materials available at the inquiry, nor has there been a basic error of facts. These tests have been applied by me and I have adopted with much circumspection and care the principles laid down in Buckingham and Carnatic Mills case (1951) (2) L. L. J. page 314) with which

I respectfully agree and which have been constantly followed by the Industrial Court in Ceylon. (Reference may be made to I. D. 28, I. D. 60 and I. D. 102 decided on April 3, 1959) I am not expected to act as a Court of Appeal and substitute my own judgment in a case of this nature. Yet I have considered this matter from every angle of approach and summoned Mr. Dias himself to find out whether even in the minutest detail there has been any basic error of facts. I have found none. After anxious and careful consideration of all the circumstances in this matter, I have come to the conclusion that the dismissal of Mr. Senadeera is justified and my award is in accordance therewith.

Colombo, 30th April, 1959.

S. C. S. DE SILVA,
Arbitrator.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE award transmitted to the Commissioner of Labour by the Industrial Court constituted for the purpose of settling the industrial dispute between the Democratic Workers' Congress and the Superintendent of Iruwanthumpola Estate, Koslanda, which was referred by the Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services, by Order dated January 28, 1959, made under section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, and published in *Ceylon Government Gazette* No. 11,659 dated February 6, 1959, for settlement by an Industrial Court, is hereby published in terms of section 25 (1) of the said Act.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Acting Deputy Commissioner
of Labour.

Department of Labour,
Colombo, 5th May, 1959.

Industrial Court at Colombo

No. I. D. 135

In the matter of an industrial dispute
between

The Democratic Workers' Congress,
213/2, Main Street, Colombo 11

and

The Superintendent of Iruwanthumpola
Estate, Koslanda

THE AWARD

This is an award under section 24 of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950 (as amended by Acts No. 25 of 1956 and 14 and 62 of 1957). It relates to a dispute between the Democratic Workers' Congress and the Superintendent of Iruwanthumpola Estate, Koslanda.

2. The Honourable the Minister of Labour, Housing and Social Services by his Order dated 28th January, 1959, has referred the dispute to this Court for settlement in pursuance of his powers under section 4 (2) of the said Act.

3. The Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour by his statement dated 27th January, 1959, has set out the matter in dispute between the parties in terms of section 23 of the said Act. The dispute relates to the non-employment of Raju and his wife Vellayammah.

4. The matter was duly heard before me on 18.4.59. Both parties to the dispute were represented. An agreement was reached between the Democratic Workers' Congress acting on behalf of Raju and his wife Vellayammah and Mr. M. Ganesan, proprietor of Iruwanthumpola Estate. The agreement was as follows:—

- " (1) Raju and his wife Vellayammah undertakes to leave Iruwanthumpola Estate within one month of the signing of this agreement ;
- (2) The proprietor of the said estate, Mr. M. Ganesan agrees to pay to the said Raju a sum of Rupees One thousand only, and to the said Vellayammah a sum of Rupees one thousand only as compensation for loss of service, within two weeks of the signing of this agreement and agrees to give a letter of recommendation to Raju and his wife ;
- (3) The Union undertakes to move the Industrial Court in respect of dispute No. I. D. 135 to make this agreement the Award of Court in the said dispute ;
- (4) The Proprietor, Mr. M. Ganesan, undertakes to support the Union in the Industrial Court in respect of clause (3) above."

5. The agreement is duly signed by both parties to the dispute, viz. the Democratic Workers' Congress and the Proprietor of the estate, whose authorised representative at the Inquiry, Mr. E. George, supported the agreement as amended.

6. Raju was heard by the Court and stated that the agreement had been explained to him and his wife Vellayammah and that they were both satisfied with the terms of the agreement. Vellayammah is in Koslanda Hospital and is unable to be present personally but has authorised Raju to act on her behalf.

7. I am satisfied that the agreement arrived at by both parties is fair and reasonable and I make award accordingly.

R. W. CROSSETTE THAMBAIAH,
Colombo, 21st April, 1959.

L. D.—B. 36/57.

**THE STATE INDUSTRIAL CORPORATIONS ACT,
No. 49 OF 1957**

Order under Section 2

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by sub-section (1) of section 2 of the State Industrial Corporations Act, No. 49 of 1957, I, Peduru Hewa William de Silva, Minister of Industries and Fisheries, do, by this Order, with the approval of the Government, amend the Order relating to the National Textile Corporation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 11,237 of January 10, 1958, in paragraph (6) thereof, by the substitution, for the word " four ", of the word " six ".

P. H. W. DE SILVA,
Minister of Industries and Fisheries.

Colombo, May 5, 1959.

THE MOTOR TRANSPORT ACT, No. 48 OF 1957

Order under Section 20 (1)

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by Section 20 (1) of the Motor Transport Act, No. 48 of 1957, I, Chandradasa Wijesinghe, Minister of Nationalised Services and Road Transport, do by this Order vest in the Ceylon Transport Board, with effect from May 8, 1959, the property specified in the Schedule hereto.

C. WIJESINGHE,
Minister of Nationalised Services
and Road Transport.

Colombo, April 27, 1959.

SCHEDULE

Property	Location and other particulars
<i>Property used by the Rita Bus Co., Ltd.</i>	
Part of land called Sinnappe Kulam Plain, in extent approximately 26 perches, together with all buildings standing thereon.	Bounded on the North by remaining portion of the same land. Bounded on the East by Crown land. Bounded on the South by property of Aldin de Silva. Bounded on the West by Crown land. Situated within the Town Council limits of Mannar.

L.D.—B. 60/34.

No. W. H. K.

CUSTOMS NOTIFICATION

The Customs Ordinance

IN pursuance of the powers vested in me by section 69 of the Customs Ordinance (Chapter 185), I, Maxim Lucian Dido Caspersz, Principal Collector of Customs, do, with the approval of the Minister of Finance granted by virtue of the powers vested in him by that section, as modified by the Proclamation published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,773 of September 24, 1947, by this notice published in accordance with his directions, approve and appoint the three storage tanks known as Tank No. K13, Tank No. K14 and Tank No. K17, situated in the Eastern Tank Farm of the Kolonnawa Installation, belonging to Messrs. The Shell Company of Ceylon Limited, as warehouses in which furnace and diesel fuel imported by Messrs. The Shell Company of Ceylon Limited, may be warehoused, kept and secured without payment of duty on the first entry thereof.

M. L. D. CASPERZ,
Principal Collector of Customs.

H. M. Customs,
Colombo, April 22, 1959.

Form 4a

The Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act,
 No. 3 of 1949

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 10 OF THE ACT

I, Victor Joseph Harold Gunasekera, Acting Commissioner for the Registration of Indian and Pakistani Residents, do hereby give notice under section 10 of the Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949, that I shall make order allowing each such application under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Act as is specified in the Schedule hereto

unless any written objection to the making of such order, together with a statement of the grounds or facts on which such objection is based, is received by me from any member of the public within a period of one month from the date of publication of this notice.

Every statement of objection shall contain the full name and address of the person making the objection.

V. J. H. GUNASEKERA,
 Acting Commissioner for the Registration
 of Indian and Pakistani Residents.

Colombo, 5th May, 1959.

SCHEDULE

Number and date of application	Name and Address of Applicant for Registration as a Citizen of Ceylon
K 11602/J—5.8.51	... Nerupsaibo Packer Mohideen Abdul Wahab, Shell Filling Station, Maskeliya
P 8553/J—3.4.51	... Nadunayagam Ramajeyam, Alton Estate, Upcot
P 4980—30.11.50	... Ramiah Marimuthu <i>alias</i> Ammasie, Devon Estate, Talawakelle
R 5121—22.7.51	... Surumbaiye ww/o Veerappen, Udā Radella Estate, Nannoya

Form 4b

The Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act,
 No. 3 of 1949

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 10 OF THE ACT

I, Victor Joseph Harold Gunasekera, Acting Commissioner for the Registration of Indian and Pakistani Residents, do hereby give notice under section 10 of the Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949, that I shall make order allowing each such application under sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 4 of the Act as is specified in the Schedule

hereto unless any written objection to the making of such order, together with a statement of the grounds or facts on which such objection is based, is received by me from any member of the public within a period of one month from the date of publication of this notice.

Every statement of objection shall contain the full name and address of the person making the objection.

V. J. H. GUNASEKERA,
 Acting Commissioner for the Registration
 of Indian and Pakistani Residents.

Colombo, 5th May, 1959.

SCHEDULE

Number and date of application	Name and Address of Applicant for Registration as a Citizen of Ceylon	Name and relationship to applicant of each person whose registration as a citizen of Ceylon applicant seeks to procure simultaneously with applicant's registration as a citizen of Ceylon
F 5245—22.7.51	... N. Murugan Palany <i>alias</i> Palanisamy, 157, Deltotta Road, Galaha.	Poomalai (wife), Sevaperagasam <i>alias</i> Wimalanathan (son), Ramanathan (son), Sevagami <i>alias</i> Jegathambal (daughter), Kailainaden (son), Satchithanantham (son)
L 1822/E—8.2.51	... Vadivale Rajamoney <i>alias</i> Sigamoney, Yogaletchumy Estate, Galaha	Salatchy (wife), Kanapathy (son), Rasiah <i>alias</i> Rajaratnam (son), Thavamoney (daughter), Selladurai (son), Thiagaraj <i>alias</i> Govindaraj (son), Selvam (daughter), Puwaneswari (daughter), Kumaravale (son), Pooranavathy (daughter)
L 8476/E—11.6.51	... Iylandam, ww/o. Veerasamy Ondimuthu <i>alias</i> Maruthai, New Nilambe Division, Nilambe Estate, Galaha	Cathan <i>alias</i> Rajagopal (son)
H 4530—11.6.51	... Selambampillai Muthusamy Pillai, Godamadithawatte, Kengalla	Ahilandammal (wife), Kamatchyammal (daughter), Sellammal (daughter), Umapathie <i>alias</i> Uma-devi (daughter), Sarojini (daughter)
P 4437—18.11.50	... Ramasamy Sellamuttu, Lower Division, Dimbula Estate, Kotagala	Kaththai (wife), Selvamony (daughter), Thanaletchumy (daughter), Namanathan (son)
P 4860—9.12.50	... Muthusamy Periannan, Stonycliff Group, Kotagala	Periyammal (wife), Thavamoney (daughter)
P 5071—30.11.50	... Pootchy Veerappan, Devon Estate, Talawakelle	Letchumy (wife), Sakkammal (daughter), Subramaniam <i>alias</i> Veerapandian (son), Veeriah (son), Selvaraj (son), Annapackiam (daughter)
P 5101—30.11.50	... Assan Matharsa, Devon Estate, Talawakelle	Katharamma (wife), Moosaliamma (daughter)
P 5134—30.11.50	... Arockiam Rayappan, Devon Estate, Talawakelle	Thaily (wife) Anthonimutto (son)
P 5159—30.11.50	... Muniyandy Atharmalai, Devon Estate, Talawakelle	Sivanammal (wife) Anthomany <i>alias</i> Anthoniyammal (daughter)
P 5832—10.2.51	... Karan Alagan Kandiah, Ythanside Estate, Kotagala	Kaliamma (wife), Mary <i>alias</i> Rosrie (daughter)
P 6504—23.2.51	... Thandavan Veeramuthu, Chrystler's Farm Estate, Kotagala	Nallammal (wife), Pitchypillai <i>alias</i> Thandaie (daughter), Kuppaie (daughter)
P 8917—27.5.51	... Ramasamy Velu, Ythanside Estate, Kotagala	Mookaie (wife), Kandavelu <i>alias</i> Thangavelu (son), Letchumy (daughter), Mariaie (daughter), Sinthamoney (daughter), Janagie (daughter), Kitnasamy (son)
P 7803—19.3.51	... Idumban Maruthey, Rosita Estate, Kotagala	Letchumie (wife), Rasamma (daughter), Kamalam (daughter)
K 4501/J—14.4.51	... Narayanan Karuppiah, Kincora Division, Alton Group, Upcot	Veerammal (wife), Thillaiammal (daughter), Shanmugam (son), Rasaletchumie (daughter), Sarthambal (daughter), Sarasu <i>alias</i> Saraswathy (daughter), Sivalingam (son), Kannasari (son), Sathasivam (son)
K 5848/J—24.5.51	... Maruthai Kandan, L. D. K. Division, Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton.	Rengammah (wife), Marudaie (daughter), Sannasy (son), Sevanu (son), Thangiah <i>alias</i> Thangavele (son), Canawathie (son), Mariaie (daughter), Arumugam <i>alias</i> Kandasamy (son), Sinnappillai (daughter)

Number and date of application	Name and address of applicant for registration as a citizen of Ceylon	Name and relationship to applicant of each person whose registration as a citizen of Ceylon applicant seeks to procure simultaneously with applicant's registration as a citizen of Ceylon
K 6175/J—31.5.51	Ramasamy Subramaniam, Lower Division, Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	Sellamma (wife), Thrumala alias Muthusamy (son), Ramaie (daughter), Arunasalam (son), Perumal alias Govindan (son), Arayee (daughter), Mariaie alias Veeramamah (daughter), Rasaletchumee alias Athileetchumie (daughter), Chellan (son), Parwathy (daughter), Pongamma (daughter)
K 6202/J—31.5.51	Andy Munian, Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	Kanny (wife), Andy (son), Letchumie (daughter), Saraswathy (daughter)
K 6584/J—31.5.51	Perumal Balakirushnan, Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	Maruthaie (wife), Athyledchimey (daughter), Kitnasamy alias Govindasamy (son), Rajendara alias Thiyagarajah (son), Theivane (daughter)
K 6624/J—31.5.51	Arulappan Maickal, Upper Division, Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	Michaelammal (wife), Annammal alias Annamaria (daughter), Yagulam alias Yalama (daughter), Silvester alias Siluvasi (son), Eruthayam alias Arockiam (daughter), Sesaly (daughter), Masilamaney (son)
J 4000—18.6.51	Erusan Annamalai, Bunyan Estate, Maskeliya	Sinnammah (wife), Sivapackiam (daughter), Subramaniam (son), Pushpa (daughter), Balakrishnan (son), Karuppiyah (son)
J 4087—18.6.51	Muthusamy Velayutham, Bunyan Estate, Maskeliya	Sellammah (wife), Thangaraju (son)
J 4389—15.7.51	Sengan Kitnan, Lanka Division, Glentilt Group, Maskeliya	Manjaie (wife), Veeramamah (daughter), Ramalingam alias Ramajeyam (son)
J 4544—24.6.51	Sandanam Santhiago, Deeside Estate, Maskeliya	Jebamalaimary (wife), Mariamma (daughter), Sinnappan alias Santhappan (son), Rosemary (daughter), Aruldas alias Arulappan (son), Yovan alias Fernando (son), Philominamma (daughter)
J 4626—26.7.51	Arunasalam Sithambaram, No. 2, Luccombe Bazaar, Maskeliya	Sivapackiam (wife), Rajakumar (son)
K 6642/J—31.5.51	Muthu Ramasamy, Vellaioya Estate, Hatton	Sinnammal (wife)
K 6644/J—31.5.51	Sinnathamby Sockalingam, Vellaioya Estate, Hatton	Meenal alias Meenatchy (wife), Parvathy (daughter), Sevanu alias Sivasamey (son)
K 13474/J—4.8.51	Vellasamy Lacknan, Yuilliefield Group, Hatton	Ponnamma (wife), Dorairaj (son), Vijayaletchumy (daughter), Gomathyammal (daughter), Govindarajah (son), Pushpan alias Pushpawathy (daughter)
N 3565/J—2.1.51	Kolanday Velayuthem, Maskeliya Estate, Maskeliya	Sinnamma (wife), Selambaie alias Palaniammah (daughter), Puvaneswary (daughter), Kamalam (daughter)

FORM 7

The Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949

NOTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 16 (1) (c) OF THE ACT

IT is hereby notified, under section 16 (1) (c) of the Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949, that each person particulars of whom are specified in column I of the schedule hereto was, on the date specified in the corresponding entry in column II of that schedule, registered as a citizen of Ceylon in the register of citizens kept under section 16 (1) (a) of the Act.

Colombo, May 5, 1959.

V. J. H. GUNASEKERA,
Acting Commissioner for the Registration of Indian and Pakistani Residents.

SCHEDULE

I
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

Name	Age	Sex	Address
Perumal Vythilingam	38	M	All of Agra Ouvah Estate, Agrapatana
Thillaiammal	29	F	
Sinnathamby	11	M	
Thanaletchumy	8	F	
Kathirvel Ramasamy	35	M	All of Balmoral Estate, Agrapatana
Ramaie	29	F	
Muthusamy	12	M	
Nadarajah alias Nadeson	10	M	
Gopalakrishnan alias Gopal	6	M	
Arumugam	4	M	All of Clydesdale Estate, Agrapatana
Mariaie	2	F	
Vengadasalam Muthusamy	55	M	
Iyammal	37	F	Both of Clydesdale Estate, Agrapatana
Krishnammal alias Kitnamma	14	F	
Perumal Govindan	56	M	All of Glydesdale Estate, Agrapatana
Caruppaie	46	F	
Ramasamy Sinnasamy	46	M	
Mookaie	36	F	
Veerammah	18	F	
Mallammah alias Nallamma	15	F	
Ramiah	12	M	
Rengasamy	10	M	
Sivapackiam	7	F	
Nadarajah	5	M	
Logambal alias Lokamba	2	F	

April 8, 1959

do.

do.

do.

do.

I
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

II

Name	Age	Sex	Address	
Vengattan Perumal ..	56	M	All of Clydesdale Estate, Agrapatana	.. April 8, 1959
Ellammal ..	51	F		
Sevapathan <i>alias</i> Ramen ..	25	M		
Arulandu Mariadas ..	32	M	All of Whisford Estate, Agrapatana	.. do.
Kanickam <i>alias</i> Kanickamari ..	23	F		
Pakiyanathan ..	6	M		
Mariamary ..	4	F		
Amirtham ..	2	M		
Arulandu Thomas ..	28	M	All of Ardlaw Estate, Agrapatana	.. do.
Packiam <i>alias</i> Annamma ..	19	F		
Plowmony Das ..	2	M		
Annamalai Thandawan ..	40	M	All of Whisford Estate, Agrapatana	.. do.
Arukkan ..	30	F		
Pitchaie <i>alias</i> Pitchama ..	10	F		
Veeramma ..	8	F		
Anamalay ..	4	M		
Arumugam ..	2	M		
Devasagayam Santhappan ..	32	M	All of Wangi Oya Estate, Nanu-oya	.. do.
Jebamalay ..	26	F		
Ladislav ..	7	M		
Varathappan Muthusamy ..	46	M	All of Lower Division, Wangi Oya Estate, Nanu-oya	.. do.
Angammah ..	25	F		
Periyasamy ..	6	M		
Murugesu ..	4	M		
Cadiravall Veeramuthu ..	52	M	Both of Avoca Estate, Nanu-oya	.. do.
Sellaie ..	47	F		
Seerangan Perumal ..	47	M	Both of Mahagastota Estate, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Velaie ..	42	F		
Palaniandy Muthiah ..	31	M	All of Mahagastota Estate, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Mariaie ..	25	F		
Sinnammah ..	8	F		
Selvaraju ..	4	M		
Kandasamy Subramaniam ..	42	M	All of Mahagastota Estate, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Meenambal ..	27	F		
Poovathy ..	4	F		
Ganesamoorthy ..	3	M		
Petchy Sellan ..	47	M	All of Calsay Estate, Nanu-oya	.. do.
Rethnathy ..	42	F		
Mookiah ..	20	M		
Neelabaram ..	12	M		
Navamany ..	8	F		
Periyathamby Kadiravale ..	35	M	Both of Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Poovan ..	19	M		
Kalian Kathiravel ..	47	M	All of Calsay Estate, Nanu-oya	.. do.
Araie ..	41	F		
Thamaraie <i>alias</i> Thangal ..	18	F		
Perianatchy ..	17	F		
Ponnamma <i>alias</i> Periakka Thangal ..	15	F		
Karuppen Sandanam ..	42	M	All of Lover's Leap Division, Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Petchaiamma ..	37	F		
Kalimuthu <i>alias</i> Rasiah ..	19	M		
Pottu ..	16	F		
Sellamma <i>alias</i> Serumbaie ..	15	F		
Thangamma ..	12	F		
Veeria ..	10	M		
Shanmugam ..	9	M		
Seethai ..	6	F		
Mookaie, w/o Palaniandy ..	57	M	Both of Lover's Leap Division, Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Palaniandy Ramaie ..	27	F		
Ramasamy Periyathamby ..	42	M	All of Lover's Leap Division, Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Pappathy ..	32	F		
Marimuthu <i>alias</i> Veloo ..	12	M		
Theivany ..	11	F		
Sathianathan ..	9	M		
Sivamoney ..	7	F		
Rukmani ..	5	F		
Navaratnam ..	3	M		
Govindan Muthu ..	43	M	All of Lover's Leap Division, Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Sandanam ..	42	F		
Selambaie ..	16	F		
Araie ..	13	F		
Kalimuthu ..	10	M		
Veeramuthu ..	8	M		
Mariaie ..	5	F		
Natchimuthu Kathiravel ..	64	M	Both of Lover's Leap Division, Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Angammah ..	62	F		
Meiyan Karuppen ..	51	M	All of Lover's Leap Division, Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.
Thaielee ..	37	F		
Mookiah ..	17	M		
Muniammah ..	13	F		
Valaie ..	7	F		
John Mariyammal, d/o Gabriel ..	65	F		
John Michael ..	26	M	Both of Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	.. do.

I				II				
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon								
Name	Age	Sex	Address					
Erusan Ramasamy	47	M	All of Lover's Leap, Division Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	}	April 8, 1959			
Valli	37	F						
Sadatchy	13	F						
Kandiah	11	M						
Sarajini	9	F						
Shanmugaie	6	F						
Annakily	3	F	Both of Pedro Group, Nuwara Eliya	}	do.			
Marimuthu Arumugam	31	M						
Seerangaie	22	F						
Veerappan Kamatchy	52	F						
Idumban Letchumie	16	F						
Raman Velayutham	33	M						
Ramaie	25	F	All of Portswood Division, Court Lodge Estate, Kandapola	}	do.			
Indumathie	5	F						
Kandasamy Suppiah	30	M						
Nallammah	21	F	Both of Portswood Division, Court Lodge Estate, Kandapola	}	do.			
Sengamaly Nallappan	66	M						
Palaniaie	52	F						
Nainammah	21	F	All of Edinburgh Estate, Nanu-oya	}	do.			
Nallathamby Sithambaram	68	M						
Thailammah	—	—						
Ramasamy Vellakutty	55	M	All of Edinburgh Estate, Nanu-oya	}	do.			
Solayammah	39	F						
Sundararaj	22	M						
Perumal	20	M						
Andammah	17	F						
Ramasamy	16	M						
Papoo	13	M						
Alagoo	10	M						
Duraisamy	8	M						
Muthukumaran Aran	55	M				All of Edinburgh Estate, Nanu-oya	}	do.
Visalatchy	32	F						
Pushpam	16	F						
Selvaraj	10	M						
Arukkany, wv/o Ramasamy	36	F	All of Edinburgh Estate, Nanu-oya	}	do.			
Govindammah	15	F						
Rajendram	12	M						
Rengasamy Silambaram	56	M	All of Edinburgh Estate, Nanu-oya	}	do.			
Angoo	47	F						
Anjalai	17	F						
Sivapackiam	15	F						
Mariaie	11	F						
Savarimuthu Arockiam	56	M				All of Edinburgh Estate, Nanu-oya	}	do.
Paraparam	44	F						
Rayappan	25	M						
Adaikalam	18	M						
Appudamari	16	F						
Savarimuthu	15	M						
Gnanapragasamma	10	F						
Anthoniamah	8	F						
Athisithra Silevamuthu	6	M						
Theivani, wv/o Sinniah Manganathan	44	F	All of Edinburgh Estate, Nanu-oya	}	do.			
Selliah	15	M						
Manirasu	4	M						
Arasan Periyanan	62	M	All of Elteb Estate, Passara	}	do.			
Cadiraie	51	F						
Arasan <i>alias</i> Karlimuthu	27	M						
Palaniandy	21	M						
Packiam	16	F						
Arunasalam Panayadiyan	38	M				All of Wewakellie Estate, Namunukula	}	do.
Petchaie	34	F						
Sellamuthu	9	M						
Avady Komaravele	37	M						
Kavery	33	F						
Ganakasabapathy <i>alias</i> Rasalingam	15	M						
Pushparaju <i>alias</i> Thangavele	12	M						
Trichinamoorthy <i>alias</i> Nadarajah	10	M						
Puspam	7	F						
Palanivale Subramaniam	33	M	Both of Udawatte Kade, Oodoowerra Estate, Halie-Ela	}	do.			
Theivaniammal	19	F						
Suparayan Suppiah	47	M	All of Goussa Division, Galapitakanda Estate, Namunukula	}	do.			
Sellambaie	38	F						
Muthammah	20	F						
Nagabooshanam	17	F						
Theivanai	11	F						
Selvarass	8	F						
Letchimey	6	F						
Appasamy Alagarsamy <i>alias</i> Ponnusamy	32	M				Both of 5-A, Namunukula Bazaar, Namunukula	}	do.
<i>alias</i> Perumal	26	F						
Ponnuthai	26	F				All of Craig Estate, Bandarawela	}	do.
Vethy Velu	43	M						
Palamani	24	F						
Karuppiyah	12	M						
Rasamanickam	5	M	Both of Roehampton Estate, Haputale	}	do.			
Papoojee Saiyana Bee	50	F						
Ameer Bee	17	F						

I				II	
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon					
Name	Age	Sex	Address		
Sellappan, s/o Kaliannan	39	M	All of St. Catherine's Division, Nayabedda Estate, Bandarawela	April 8, 1959	
Kaliyamma	—	F			
Ramiah	11	M			
Pappu	7	F			
Mahalingam	3	M			
Veeramalai, s/o Vellayan	52	M	All of St. Catherine's Division, Nayabedda Estate, Bandarawela	do.	
Veeraie	42	F			
Alagaie	24	F			
Sittu	22	F			
Petchie	21	F			
Sangan	17	M			
Sinnamma	12	F			
Alagamalai	14	M			
Ponniah	8	M			
Alagammah	6	F			
S. Kandasamy, s/o Kadiravela	35	M	Both of St. Catherine's Division, Nayabedda Estate, Bandarawela	do.	
Rajendiran	3	M			
Wairan Sinniah	50	M	Both of Dotlands Division, Sarnia Group, Badulla	do.	
Seerangamma	42	F			
Nallathamby-Subramaniam	44	M	All of 122, Main Street, Koslanda	do.	
Sellammal	36	F			
Selliah	13	M			
Thanapackiam <i>alias</i> Seelamala	9	F			
Nagaratnamma	6	F			
Selvarasa	4	M			
Rajaletchimy	2	F			
Rangagavandar Kali	50	M	All of Haughton Estate, Nivitigala	do.	
Ponnammah	41	F			
Kitnammal	15	F			
Kaliammal	11	F			
Kaliappen Sinna Arumugam	80	M	All of Palugampola Division, Rilhena Group, Pelmadulla	do.	
Sellaie	61	F			
Murugiah	27	M			
Parwady	25	F			
Ielu, s/o Bodinaikan	43	M	All of Rilhena Group, Pelmadulla	do.	
Letchimy	40	F			
Narayanassamy <i>alias</i> Malvaganam	16	M			
Varadaraj	14	M			
Kengammal	12	F			
Kitnasamy <i>alias</i> Kitnan	1	M			
Palaniyappen Sengoden	39	M	All of Central Division, Dumbara Estate, Ingiriya	do.	
Angamma	36	F			
Kavundiyayee <i>alias</i> Coundiyammal	12	F			
Padmawathie	3	F			
Arumugam Marimuthu	31	M	All of No. 5, Division, Pelmadulla Group, Pelmadulla	do.	
Sivanamma	20	F			
Selvajothy	5	M			
Monnamohamed Sindha Madhar	39	M	Both of 24, Main Street, Kahawatte	do.	
Assen Rahamathumma	25	F			
Grace Mary <i>alias</i> Ramaie, d/o Perumal	26	F	Both of Springwood Group, Rakwana	do.	
Velaatham Purry Malam	2	F			
Raman Cadiravelu	72	M	Both of P. W. D. Lines, Ellapola, Balangoda	do.	
Veeraie	70	F			
Perumal Gurusamy	30	M	All c/o Gate Kaddy, Parakaduwa	do.	
Janaki	22	F			
Ganeson	5	M			
Kathiravelu Thangiah	29	M	All of Durampitiya Estate, Gettahetta	September 4, 1957	
Madathy	26	F			
Ramalingam <i>alias</i> Rajalingam	14	M			
Sellapackiam	7	F			
Santhiramma	4	F			
Puspam	1	F			
Sunmugarajah	(mths.) 11	M			
				April 8, 1959	

Miscellaneous Departmental Notices

A/MEDAGAMA S. M. S.

NOTICE is hereby given for the information of the general public that the above school, situated at Medagama in the Anuradhapura District of the North-Central Province and under the management of the General Manager, Sasthrodaya Society Ltd., Rambukkana, has been provisionally registered as a grant-in-aid school with effect from 1.9.57.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASJ 4739,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, 27th April, 1959.

KU/GALAPITAMULLA SRI DHARMARAKKITA S. M. S.

NOTICE is hereby given for the information of the general public that the above school, situated at Galapitamulla in the Kurunegala District of the North-Western Province and under the management of the General Manager, Ceylon Buddhist Educational Society Ltd., 159, Kotahena Street, Colombo 13, has been provisionally registered as a grant-in-aid school with effect from 7.1.58.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASJ 4799,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, 27th April, 1959.

C/KOTTE (CMS) GIRLS' ENGLISH SCHOOL—CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT

UNDER the provisions of section 31 (1) of Ordinance No. 31 of 1939, it is hereby notified for general information that upon the recommendation of the Church Missionary Trust Association Ltd., proprietor of above school, Miss O. B. Hitchcock, Ladies' College, Colombo, is appointed as Manager of C/Kotte (CMS) Girls' English School with effect from 1.2.59.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

Education Department,
Colombo 2, 29.4.59.

G/CHEENAKORATUWA VIJAYA VIDYALAYA

NOTICE is hereby given for the information of the general public that the above school, situated at Cheenakoratuwa in the Galle District of the Southern Province, and under the management of the Buddhist Academy of Ceylon, Mattegoda, Polgasowita, has been provisionally registered as a grant-in-aid school with effect from 1.7.1957.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASE 3274,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, April 27, 1959.

CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT—J/VELANAI WEST PRIVATE T. M. SCHOOL

UNDER the provisions of section 31 (5) of the Education Ordinance, No. 31 of 1939, it is hereby notified for general information that Mr. S. U. Somasegaram, Education Officer, Jaffna, is appointed as the Manager of the above school with effect from 15.10.58.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASO 2575,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, April, 1959.

KU/DIGANWELA BUDDHIST MIXED SCHOOL

NOTICE is hereby given that an application has been received from the General Manager, Buddhist Academy of Ceylon, Mattegoda, Polgasowita, for the provisional registration of the above school, situated at Diganwela in the Kurunegala District of the North-Western Province, as a grant-in-aid school.

Observations will be received not later than 30 days from the date of publication of this notice.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASJ 4811,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, April 28, 1959.

CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT—C/THONDAR VIDYALAYAM

UNDER the provisions of section 31 (5) of the Education Ordinance, No. 31 of 1939, it is hereby notified for general information that upon the recommendation of the Kataragama Pilgrims Thondar Society, Colombo, the proprietor of the above school, Dr. M. Thiruvilangam of 471, Dematagoda Road, Colombo 9, is appointed as Manager of the above school with effect from 6.4.1959, in place of Dr. K. Kanagaratnam who ceases to be the Manager with effect from 6.4.59.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASY 176,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, April, 1959.

J/CHETTIKURICHY TAMIL MIXED SCHOOL—CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT

IN terms of section 31 (5) of the Education Ordinance, No. 31 of 1939, it is hereby notified for general information that I have appointed Mr. K. Saravanamuttu of Allarai, Kodigamam, as Manager of the above school with effect from 1.5.59, in place of Mr. K. Velanthapillai who ceases to be the Manager with effect from 1.5.59.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASO 2641,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, April, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND COTTAGE INDUSTRIES

IT is hereby notified for general information that Rev. Mapalana Dhammadhaja Thero of Mapalana Maha Vihare, Kamburupitiya, has been appointed as Manager, Ananda Rajapaksa Carpentry School, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, with effect from 16.4.59, following the death of Rev. Mapalana Sri Pannalankara Thero.

G. V. P. SAMARASINGHE,
Director of Rural Development and
Cottage Industries.

Colombo 7, May 8, 1959.

IMPORT CONTROL NOTICE No. 16/59

Imports of Textiles

THE attention of importers is drawn to the Schedule appended to the Import Control Notice No. 6/59 published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 11,666 of February 14, 1959. Documents relating to the importation of the textiles described in the Schedule whether on an individual import licence or on a "General Import (Textiles) Licence" should, as previously done, be forwarded to this Control along with the licence obtained from the Controller, Industrial Products. In addition, these documents should be accompanied by a signed statement in the following form for endorsement by this Control so that clearance from Customs may be obtained:—

IMPORT OF TEXTILES ON "GENERAL IMPORT (TEXTILES) LICENCE" OR ON INDIVIDUAL IMPORT LICENCE

1. Name of importer and address: _____
2. Full description of textiles imported according to invoice: _____
3. Country of origin: _____
4. Quantity of textiles imported in yards: _____
5. Total C. I. F. value in rupees of textiles imported: _____
6. C. I. F. value per unit: _____
7. Shipping marks: _____
8. Quantity of textiles purchased locally in yards: _____
9. Value of textiles purchased locally: _____
10. No. of the licence issued by the Controller of Industrial Products: _____

I/We declare that the above statements are to the best of my/our knowledge and belief, true and correct.

Date: _____

Signature of Importer.

2. The statements which are forwarded by General Import Licence holders (in duplicate), along with import documents and licences issued by the Controller of Industrial Products for authorization of clearance in respect of textiles imported from sources of supply reserved for Registered Ceylonese Traders, should be amended by the addition of the following particulars:—

- (i) quantity of textiles purchased locally in yards.
- (u) value of textiles purchased locally.
- (v) No. of the licence issued by the Controller of Industrial Products.

E. S. DE SILVA,
for Controller of Imports and Exports.

Colombo, May 5, 1959.

THE CEYLON STATE MORTGAGE BANK

AT a meeting held on the 20th March, 1959, the Board of Directors of the Ceylon State Mortgage Bank resolved specially and unanimously—

- (a) that a sum of Rs. 241,754.06 is due from Mr. James Charles Stanley Fonseka, also known as James Charles Stanislaus Fonseka of Greylands, 100, Horton Place, Colombo 7, on account of principal and interest up to 20.3.59, and further interest at 5 per centum per annum on the sum of Rs. 228,460.78 from 21.3.59 till date of payment on bond No. 524 dated 14.6.1955, attested by Derrick Koch, Notary Public.
- (b) in terms of section 63 (1) of the Ceylon State Mortgage Bank Ordinance, that Mr. J. G. Vandersmagt, Auctioneer of Colombo, be authorized and empowered to sell all that allotment of land called and known as Greylands depicted in survey plan bearing No. 61 dated 15th December, 1949, made by L. Mack, Licensed Surveyor, together with the buildings bearing assessment Nos. 98 and 100, Horton Place, and other buildings and plantations standing thereon situated at Horton Place in Cinnamon Gardens Ward within the Municipality and District of Colombo, Western Province, containing in extent one acre two roods and eleven perches (A. 2R. 11P.) according to the said plan No. 61, mortgaged to this Bank as security by Mr. James Charles Stanley Fonseka, also known as James Charles Stanislaus Fonseka of Greylands, 100, Horton Place, Colombo 7, by bond No. 524 dated 14.6.1955, attested by Derrick Koch, Notary Public, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 241,754.06 due under the said bond together with interest at 5 per cent. per annum on the sum of Rs. 228,460.78 from 21.3.59 to date of sale and costs and monies recoverable under section 64 of the State Mortgage Bank Ordinance.

T. VICTOR FERNANDO,
Manager.

Colombo, 2nd May, 1959.

THE CEYLON STATE MORTGAGE BANK

AT a meeting held on the 20th March, 1959, the Board of Directors of the Ceylon State Mortgage Bank resolved specially and unanimously—

- (a) that a sum of Rs. 34,941.09 is due from Mrs. Gangodawilage Charlotte Cooray *nee* Perera of 9/8, Cooray Place, Nugegoda, on account of principal and interest up to 20.3.59, and further interest at 6 per centum per annum on the sum of Rs. 32,591.76 from 21.3.59 till date of payment on bond No. 8 dated 29.4.1952, attested by T. Victor Fernando, Notary Public.
- (b) in terms of section 63 (1) of the Ceylon State Mortgage Bank Ordinance, that Mr. Winston Melliss Fernando, Auctioneer of Colombo, be authorized and empowered to sell all that allotment of land called DELGAHA-WATTA marked lot "B" in survey plan bearing No. 7430 dated 17th February, 1951, made by M. B. de Silva, Licensed Surveyor, together with the trees, plantations and buildings standing thereon bearing assessment Nos. 9/5, 9/6, 9/7 and 9/8 (formerly bearing assessment Nos. 7/12, 7/10 and 7/9), Idirigoda Road (now Cooray Place), situated at Pagoda within the limits of the Urban Council of Kotte in the Palle Pattu of Salpiti Korale in the District of Colombo, Western Province, and containing in extent one rood and twenty-three perches (0A. 1R. 23P.) according to the said plan No. 7430 mortgaged to this Bank as security by Mrs. Gangodawilage Charlotte Cooray *nee* Perera of 9/8, Cooray Place, Nugegoda, by bond No. 8 dated 29.4.1952, attested by T. Victor Fernando, Notary Public, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 34,941.09 due under the said bond together with interest at 6 per cent. per annum on the sum of Rs. 32,591.76 from 21.3.59 to date of sale and costs and monies recoverable under section 64 of the State Mortgage Bank Ordinance.

T. VICTOR FERNANDO,
Manager.

Colombo, 2nd May, 1959.

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that I, Buhanekebahu Piyanage Victor Alexander Justin Purnawansa Senaratna, Government Agent of the Badulla District in the Province of Uva, have by virtue of powers vested in me by section 15 (1) (a) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, approved the resolution set out in the Schedule hereto.

B. P. V. A. J. P. SENARATNA,
Government Agent.

The Kachcheri,
Badulla, 2nd February, 1959.

Schedule

RESOLUTION

"This meeting of proprietors within the irrigable area of Hembarawa irrigation work in the Badulla District, Province of Uva, approve the scheme relating to that irrigation work prepared under Part V of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946."

THE IRRIGATION ORDINANCE, No. 32 OF 1946

IT is hereby notified that I, Buhanekebahu Piyanage Victor Alexander Justin Purnawansa Senaratna, Government Agent of the Badulla District in the Province of Uva, have by virtue of powers vested in me by section 15 (1) (a) of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946, approved the resolution set out in the Schedule hereto.

B. P. V. A. J. P. SENARATNA,
Government Agent.

The Kachcheri,
Badulla, 2nd February, 1959.

Schedule

RESOLUTION

"This meeting of proprietors within the irrigable area of Uguressagaharawa irrigation work in the Badulla District, Province of Uva, approve the scheme relating to that irrigation work prepared under Part V of the Irrigation Ordinance, No. 32 of 1946."

CEYLON MEDICAL COUNCIL

PROFESSOR G. H. Cooray has been elected unopposed a member of the Ceylon Medical Council under section 15 (1) (b) of the Medical Ordinance.

MILROY PAUL,
Returning Officer.

NOTICE UNDER THE FOOD CONTROL REGULATIONS, 1952

REFERENCE Regulation 4 (3) under Head E, Part III of the Food Control Regulations, 1952, it is hereby notified for the information of the public, that the 19th Series Ration Books comes into force on Monday, May 18, 1959, so that coupon number 1 of the 19th Series will be valid during the week beginning May 18, 1959, coupon number 2 during the week beginning May 25, 1959, and so on.

K. M. D. JAYANETTI,
Food Controller.

Colombo, May 5, 1959.

NOTIFICATION

IT is hereby notified that under regulation 3 of the regulations made under section 9 of the National Museums Ordinance, No. 31 of 1942, as amended by regulation published in *Gazette* No. 9,859 of April 30, 1948, the Colombo, Kandy, Jaffna and Ratnapura National Museums will be closed to the public on Thursday, May 21, 1959, being a public holiday for Wesak Full Moon.

P. E. P. DERANIYAGALA,
Director, National Museums.

Colombo 7, 5th May, 1959.

NOTICE

Interruption to Traffic

IT is hereby notified that Bridge No. 8/2, Udugama-Hiniduma Road, will be closed to all vehicular traffic from 8th May, 1959, to 30th June, 1959, for repairs.

2. The alternative route will be via Kottawa-Ahangama, Galle-Deniyaya, and Hiniduma-Opatha-Pitabeddera Roads.

A. C. PERERA,
for Director of Public Works.

Public Works Office,
Colombo, 5th May, 1959.

CEYLON GOVERNMENT RAILWAY

Level Crossing Repairs

THE level crossing at 125 miles, 50 chains, between Talawa and Anuradhapura Railway Stations on the Northern Line, on road branching off from Main Street, New Town, Anuradhapura, leading to Jayanthi Mawata, will be closed to vehicular traffic from 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. on Sunday 10.5.59, for effecting repairs.

During the above period vehicular traffic will be diverted over Harischandra Mawata.

N. A. VAITIALINGAM,
for General Manager, C. G. R.

P. O. Box 355,
Colombo, 20th April, 1959.

CEYLON GOVERNMENT RAILWAY

Level Crossing Repairs

THE level crossing at 3 miles, 33 chains, Kelani Valley Line, between Manning Town and Narahenpita Railway Stations, on the Mahawatte Road, will be closed as shown below for vehicular traffic on 9th and 10th May, 1959, for effecting repairs:—

On 9.5.59—from 8.0 p.m. to 10.0 p.m.—Closed partially.

On 9.5.59—from 10.0 p.m. to 6.0 a.m. on 10.5.59—Closed totally.

N. A. VAITIALINGAM,
for General Manager, C. G. R.

P. O. Box 355,
Colombo, 4th May, 1959.

NOTICE

IT is hereby notified that in view of the Public Holidays on Thursday, May 21, 1959 and Friday, May 22, 1959, the *Ceylon Government Gazette* will be published on Wednesday, May 20, 1959, and all Notices and Advertisements for publication therein, should reach the Government Press not later than 12.30 p.m. on Saturday, May 16, 1959.

Government Press,
Colombo, May 5, 1959.

BERNARD de SILVA,
Government Printer.

**REVISED SCALE OF CHARGES FOR NOTICES AND ADVERTISEMENTS
AS FROM JANUARY 1, 1955**

CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE

(Issued on every Friday)

1. All Notices and Advertisements are published at the risk of the Advertisers.
2. All Notices and Advertisements by Private Advertisers may be handed in or sent direct by post together with full payment to the Government Printer, Government Press, Colombo.
3. The office hours are from 9 a.m. to 4.30 p.m. on week days and 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. on Saturdays.
4. Cash transactions close at 3.30 p.m. on week days and at 12 noon on Saturdays.
5. All Notices and Advertisements must be prepaid. Notices and Advertisements sent direct by post should be accompanied by Money Order, Postal Order or Cheque, made payable to the Government Printer. Postage stamps will not be accepted in payment of advertisements.
6. To avoid errors and delay, "copy" should be on one side of the paper only and preferably typewritten.
7. All signatures should be repeated in block letters below the written signature.
8. Trade Advertisements or Notices *re* change of name are not accepted for publication.
9. Advertisements purporting to be issued under orders of Courts will not be inserted unless signed or attested by a Proctor of the Supreme Court.
10. The authorized Scale of Charges for Notices and Advertisements as from 1st January, 1955, is as follows :—

	<i>Rs.</i>	<i>c.</i>
One inch or less	10	0
Every additional inch or fraction thereof	5	0
One column or $\frac{1}{2}$ page of <i>Gazette</i>	60	0
Two columns or one page of <i>Gazette</i>	120	0

All fractions of an inch will be charged for at the full-inch rate.

11. The Ceylon Government Gazette is published every Friday. Day of publication is subject to alteration in any week where Public Holidays intervene.
12. All Notices and Advertisements should reach the Government Printer, Government Press, Colombo, by 4 p.m. three working days previous to day of publication—(i.e., normally 4 p.m. on Tuesday).
13. Subscriptions are booked in advance by the Superintendent, Government Publications Bureau, Colombo, to the end of a year or half year only.

14. Rates of subscription—

	<i>Rs.</i>	<i>c.</i>
Annual subscription	15	0
	7	0
Single copies of each Part	25	cents
	31	cents by Post
Each section of Part I	10	cents
	14	cents by Post

15. Past issues, when available, will be charged for at double rates. Application should be made to the Superintendent, Government Publications Bureau, Colombo.