

ලංකා රජයේ ගැසට් පත්‍රය

THE CEYLON GOVERNMENT GAZETTE

අංක 12,169 — 1960 ජූලි 29 වැනි සිකුරාදා — 29.7.1960

No. 12,169 — FRIDAY, JULY 28, 1960

(Published by Authority)

PART I: SECTION (I)—GENERAL

(Separate paging is given to each language of every Part in order that it may be filed separately.)

	PAGE		PAGE
Proclamations by the Governor-General ..	—	Price Orders ..	1384
Appointments, &c., by the Governor-General ..	1367	Central Bank of Ceylon Notices ..	—
Appointments, &c., by the Public Service Commission ..	—	Accounts of the Government of Ceylon ..	—
Appointments, &c., by the Judicial Service Commission ..	1367	Revenue and Expenditure Returns ..	—
Other Appointments, &c. ..	1368	Miscellaneous Departmental Notices ..	1385
Appointments, &c., of Registrars ..	—	Notice to Mariners ..	—
Government Notifications ..	1368	" Exercise Ordinance " Notices ..	—

PART VI published with this issue contains List of Jurors and Assessors.

Appointments, &c., by the Governor-General

No. 312 of 1960

No. D31/Rect/A.

ARMY—REGULAR FORCE—PROMOTIONS APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

Promotions

To be Captain with effect from June 1, 1954—

✓ Lieutenant (Temporary Captain) M. B. A. CLAASZ, C. E.

To be Temporary Majors with effect from October 1, 1958—

✓ Captain E. C. JANSEN, C. E.

✓ Captain M. B. A. CLAASZ, C. E.

✓ Captain D. D. GAMMAMPILA, C. E.

✓ Captain K. RAJANATHAN, C. E.

By His Excellency's command,
H. E. TENNEKOON,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.

Colombo, July 22, 1960.

No. 313 of 1960

No. D1/Rect/35.

ARMY—REGULAR FORCE—PROMOTION AND POSTING APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

Promotion

To be Lieutenant with effect from October 15, 1959—

✓ Second-Lieutenant A. P. A. DE V. GUNAWARDENA.

Posting

Lieutenant A. P. A. de V. Gunawardena is posted to the Ceylon Light Infantry with effect from June 30, 1960.

By His Excellency's command,

H. E. TENNEKOON,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.

Colombo, July 18, 1960.

Appointments, &c., by the Judicial Service Commission

No. 314 of 1960

Summary of Appointments made by the Judicial Service Commission

Name of Officer	New Appointment	Effective date of New Appointment	Remarks
✓ Mr. A. E. R. COREA	.. Acting District Judge, etc., Matara and District Judge, etc., Matara	27th June to 20th August, 1960, and from 21st August, 1960	During absence of Mr. E. B. S. COBEA and until further orders respectively
✓ Mr. S. SELLIAH	.. Acting Magistrate, etc., Balapitiya	15th to 21st July, 1960 ..	During absence of Mr. T. D. G. DE ALWIS
✓ Mr. I. M. ISMAIL	.. Additional Magistrate, etc., Avissawella	From 19th July, 1960, till sentence is passed in M. C. Avissawella Cases: 40501, 40302, and 40303 and to hear till completion M. C. Avissawella Case: 42108	In addition to his other duties
✓ Mr. C. V. S. DE SILVA	.. Additional Magistrate, etc., Kurunegala, at Kanadulla (situated within the U. C. limits of Kuliapitiya)	15th and 16th July, 1960..	During absence of Mr. A. M. AMEEN
✓ Mr. T. ASIRWATHAM	.. Additional Magistrate, etc., Ratnapura	From 4th August, 1960 ..	Until resumption of duties by Mr. K. A. P. RANASINGHE

Name of Officer	New Appointment	Effective date of New Appointment	Remarks
Mr. J. J. DAVID	Additional District Judge, etc., Batticaloa	From 25th July, 1960	Until resumption of duties by Mr. B. G. S. DAVID
Mr. J. AMERASINGHE	Additional Magistrate, etc., Badulla-Haldummulla to sit at Kataragama	From 24th July, 1960	Until resumption of duties by Mr. J. G. L. SWARIS
Mr. J. N. C. TIRUCHELVAM	Additional Magistrate, etc., Colombo	26th July, to 1st August, 1960	During absence of Mr. M. P. C. RATNAM
Mr. A. M. I. GUNARATNE	Additional District Judge, etc., Kandy, at Gampola	5th to 8th August, 1960	During absence of Mr. A. W. GOONE-RATNE
Mr. T. K. BURAH	Additional Magistrate, etc., Hambantota	23rd to 26th July, 1960	During absence of Mr. J. G. L. SWARIS
Mr. F. S. PAUL	Additional Magistrate, etc., Mannar	30th and 31st July, 1960	During absence of Mr. R. PARAMAKURU
Mr. L. PANDITARATNE	Acting President, Rural Court, Hewagam Korale	16th July, 1960	During absence of Mr. M. SAMARAKODY
Mr. N. S. SIVAPRAGASAM	Acting President, Rural Court, Eravur Koralai	1st to 6th, 8th to 12th, 15th to 20th, 22nd to 27th and 29th to 31st August, 1960	Or until further orders

Office of the Judicial Service Commission,
P. O. Box 573,
Colombo, 22nd July, 1960.

S. R. WIJAYATILAKE,
Secretary,
Judicial Service Commission.

Other Appointments, &c.

No. 315 of 1960

No. D32/Rect.

ROYAL CEYLON NAVY—OFFICERS' CONFIRMATION

To be Sub-Lieutenant (E) with effect from January 1, 1959—

Acting Sub-Lieutenant (E) H. J. S. BALDSING, Royal Ceylon Navy.

H. E. TENNEKON,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.

Colombo, 16th July, 1960.

No. 316 of 1960

No. D10/Rect.

ROYAL CEYLON NAVY RE-DESIGNATION OF OFFICER

THE transfer of the under-mentioned Officer as a Commissioned Communication Officer with effect from February 1, 1960, is approved.

Commissioned Boatswain H. E. MULLER, Royal Ceylon Navy.

H. E. TENNEKON,
Permanent Secretary,
Ministry of Defence and External Affairs.

Colombo, July 15, 1960.

No. 317 of 1960

APPOINTMENT BY THE HONOURABLE MINISTER OF JUSTICE

(1) Mr. E. C. T. LA BROOY to be a Children's Magistrate for the Judicial division of Avissawella, with effect from 27th June, 1960, while holding the office of Magistrate of the said judicial division.

Government Notifications

L. D.—B. 104/44.

THE TRUSTS ORDINANCE

Order under section 114

WHEREAS the Members of the Board of Governors of Trinity College, Kandy, Trustees for the Church Missionary Society in Ceylon, namely—

Archibald Rollo Graham Campbell
Hiyanirindu Lakdasa de Mel
Campbell Seymour Milford
James Lionel Herbert Amerasekera
Dhanusekera Bandara Ellepola
Walter Talgodapitiya

George Edmund Chitty
Charles Dymoke Green
Douglas St Clive Budd Jansze
Lloyd Oscar Abeyaratne
Osmond Edwin Randolph Abhayaratne
Samuel John Charles Schokman
Arthur Albert de Alwis

have applied to the Minister of Justice for their incorporation under section 114 of the Trusts Ordinance (Chapter 72):

Now, therefore, by virtue of the powers vested in me by the aforesaid section, I, Edmund Joseph Cooray, Minister of Justice, do by this Order authorise the incorporation of the said Trustees and direct and declare—

- that the said Governors and their successors for the time being duly appointed under the regulations of the said Trust be a body corporate with perpetual succession and a common seal under the name and style of "Trinity College, Kandy, Board of Governors";
- that by that name and style they may receive, take, hold, possess and dispose of all descriptions of property both movable and immovable on behalf of the said Trust; and
- that they shall be able and competent in law to sue and be sued, to answer and be answered, to defend and be defended, in any court or elsewhere in all causes and actions in respect of the said Trust.

This Order may be revoked, or from time to time be varied or amended as may appear to me to be necessary.

EDMUND J. COORAY,
Minister of Justice.

Colombo, July 18, 1960.

L. D.—B. 104/44.

THE TRUSTS ORDINANCE

Order under Section 114

WHEREAS the members of the Governing body for the Educational Institutions, St. John's College, Jaffna, Ladies College, Colombo, Chundikuli Girls' College, Kotte Christian College, Girls' English School, Kotte, Mowbray School, Kandy, and Hillwood School, Kandy, namely,

Archibald Rollo Graham Campbell
Hiyanirindu Lakdasa de Mel
Reginald Steward de Saram
Frederick Wimaladarma Obeyesekera
Michael Grosvenor Thornton
Campbell Seymour Milford
Cedric James Oorloff
Arulpragasam Wilson Rajasekeram
Mabel Emma Simon
Sarah Theverthudiyil Matthai
Samuel Louis Alexander Ratnayake
Leonora de Silva
Ebenezie Thaimmal Doss
Somakumari Samarasinha
Syril Linden Abeynaike
John Thiagarajah Arulanantham
Osmond Edwin Randolph Abhayaratne
Christabel Vivienne Jansz

being Trustees for the Church Missionary Society in Ceylon, have applied to the Minister of Justice for their incorporation under section 114 of the Trusts Ordinance (Chapter 72):

Now, therefore, by virtue of the powers vested in me by the aforesaid section, I, Edmund Joseph Cooray, Minister of Justice, do by this Order authorise the incorporation of the said Governors, and direct and declare—

- (a) that the said Governors and their successors for the time being duly appointed under the rules and regulations of the Governing body by Educational Institutions founded by the Church Missionary Society in Ceylon shall be a body corporate with perpetual succession and a common seal under the name and style of "THE GOVERNING BODY FOR EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS FOUNDED BY THE CHURCH MISSIONARY SOCIETY IN CEYLON";
- (b) that by the said name and style they may receive, take, hold, mortgage, lease and dispose of all descriptions of property, both movable and immovable, on behalf of the said Trust; and
- (c) that by the said name and style they shall be able and competent in law to sue and to be sued, to answer and be answered, to defend and be defended, in any Court or elsewhere in all causes and actions in respect of the said Trust.

This Order may be revoked, or from time to time be varied or amended, in such manner as may appear to me to be necessary.

EDMUND J. COORAY,
 Minister of Justice.

Colombo, 18th July, 1960.

IT is hereby notified that the Hon. Minister of Labour, Industries and Fisheries has been pleased in terms of section 9 (1) of the Indian Immigrant Labour Ordinance (Chapter III) to appoint Mr. A. F. J. Mullins to be a member of the Board of Indian Immigrant Labour for a period of 3 years with effect from 23rd June, 1960.

C. B. CUMARASINGHA,
 Acting Permanent Secretary,
 Ministry of Labour, Industries and Fisheries.

Colombo, 16th July, 1960.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE Award transmitted to the Commissioner of Labour by the Industrial Court constituted for the purpose of settling the industrial dispute between the Lanka Estate Workers' Union and the Superintendent of Karandupona Estate, Kegalla, which was referred by Order dated July 28, 1959, made under section 4 (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950 and published in *Ceylon Government Gazette* No. 11,828 dated August 7, 1959, for settlement by an Industrial Court, is hereby published in terms of section 25 (1) of the said Act.

N. L. ABEYWIKA,
 Deputy Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour,
 Colombo, 18th July, 1960.

Industrial Court at Colombo

No. I. D. 213

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute
 between

The Lanka Estate Workers' Union, 47,
 Drieberg's Avenue, Colombo 10,

and

The Superintendent of Karandupona Estate, Kegalla.

THE AWARD

This is an award made under section 24 (1) of The Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, as amended by The Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Acts, Nos. 25 of 1956, 14 of 1957 and 62 of 1957, relating to an industrial dispute between The Lanka Estate Workers' Union, 47, Drieberg's Avenue, Colombo 10 (hereinafter referred to as "the Union") and the Superintendent of Karandupona Estate, Kegalle (hereinafter referred to as "the Superintendent").

2. By his statement dated 25.7.59, the Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour had specified that the matter in dispute between the parties is whether the non-employment of the

following workers by the Superintendent is justified, and to what relief each of them is entitled—

- (1) Punchi Banda Kangany
- (2) Ramasamy K.P. and family
- (3) Mary
- (4) Kathiresan
- (5) Kaliappen
- (6) Elangian
- (7) Mookan, and
- (8) Perumal.

3. The Honourable The Minister of Labour by Order dated 28.7.59, has under section 4 (2) of the aforementioned Act referred the said matter in dispute to this Court for settlement.

4. The Union in its statement of 17.8.59 alleges victimisation. The Superintendent's counter-statement dated 28.8.59 is to the effect that (a) worker No. 1 above had not been dismissed but demoted for good reason from the post of supervising kangany to that of labourer; (b) workers Nos. 2 to 8 above had been dismissed for just cause and (c) this Court has no jurisdiction to inquire into the cases of workers Nos. 1 to 3 above for the reason set out in paragraph 7 of this award.

5. Messrs. Advocates K. Shinya and Suntharalingam appeared on behalf of the Union except on 8.2.60 when Messrs. N. Jinadasa and N. Dharmalingam (Secretary and Assistant Secretary respectively of the Kegalla Branch Union) appeared for it. Mr. Advocate Aelian Kannangara instructed by Messrs. Julius and Creasy represented the Superintendent.

6. The proceedings commenced with an argument by Mr. Shinya on the burden of proof. After hearing Mr. Kannangara I ruled that the burden of proof lay on the Union. Thereafter Mr. Shinya applied that he be allowed to present the case of each worker separately and the Superintendent be required to answer *seriatim*. The normal procedure is for the party beginning to close its case in regard to all the workers and for the opposing party to meet that case in its entirety. I refused this application to deviate from the usual practice because I was not disposed to create a precedent entailing protracted proceedings and an interference with a procedure universally recognised as fair and proper.

7. Mr. Kannangara then raised the preliminary objection referred to in paragraph 4 above. He challenged the jurisdiction of this Court to review the cases of workers Nos. 1 to 3 above-named. The argument proceeded on the following lines:—Their non-employment had been one of the matters in dispute in I. D. 72, the award in which dated 9.8.58 appears in *Gazette* No. 11,527 of 19.9.58. At the time of the reference in I. D. 72 these three workers had been members of The All Ceylon Agricultural Workers' Union, one of the parties to that dispute. By the date of hearing, however, they had joined The Lanka Estate Workers' Union, which applied for intervention as an added party. This was refused, the Court having regarded it as an application for substitution in place of one of the original parties to that dispute. It was held that that Court had no jurisdiction therefor. Mr. Kannangara's argument was that the question of their non-employment operates as *res judicata* and cannot be re-agitated in these proceedings. He submitted that at best the present dispute in regard to them is an 'individual dispute' and not an 'industrial dispute' within the meaning of section 47 of the Industrial Disputes Act. In an order dated 29.10.59 I over-ruled this objection and decided to hold the present inquiry in terms of the reference.

8. Karandupona Estate, managed by Messrs. Carson Cumberbatch and Co. (Ltd.), is over 800 acres in extent and planted with tea and rubber. The resident and non-resident labour force numbers 1,200 or 1,300 two-thirds of whom are Tamil and the rest Sinhalese. It has two divisions—Karandupona Division of nearly 300 acres where the Superintendent Mr. Doudney resides and which is directly under his sole charge; and Velikanda Division in extent 526 acres where the Conductor Mr. W. W. Jayatileke resides and for the supervision of which he is responsible to the Superintendent. According to Mr. Doudney, the Conductor has nothing to do with the Karandupona Division. Mr. Doudney, who is also a Visiting Agent, began his planting career in 1919. In late 1946 he took over from one Mr. Middleton, at which point of time he had had over 25 years' experience. Today he is one of the most senior planters of Ceylon. Mr. Jayatileke began work on a modest salary of Rs. 30 per mensem in 1942 or 1943. On the death of Masalamani, K. P., whose assistant he was, he was appointed Conductor. There is some evidence of trade unions in the early forties, but for the most part they had been dormant until the dawn of 1957. I shall deal with the question of victimisation at the conclusion of this award.

9. K. M. Punchi Banda, a non-resident worker since 1938, was promoted kangany in 1945 by Mr. Middleton. To enable him to be treated for defective eyesight a letter (P. 10) was written on 2.12.56 by the Superintendent to the Colombo Eye Hospital authorities, the concluding words of which read as follows:—"I would be most grateful if you would kindly attend on him." In consequence of this Punchi Banda was recommended and granted two weeks' leave. The Superintendent's testimony that it was not the practice to give such letters introducing non-resident workers has not been challenged. This was the pleasing note on which the year 1956 ended. But in early 1957 occurred an event that transported this contented worker into a different

clime altogether. His sympathy was roused by complaints from his gang about harassment by the Conductor, to whom they said that they had twice appealed in vain. In Punchi Banda's hyperbolic phraseology they shed "tears which used to fall into the money in their hands." After remonstrating with the Conductor, he consulted with 12 others, and they all decided to join some union. To complain in the first instance to the Superintendent, who had treated Punchi Banda with kindness only the previous month, surprisingly enough had not occurred to them. Instead they wended their way to Mr. C. R. Belligammana, the Member of Parliament for that area, at that time a Junior Minister. This gentleman, on his own showing, took no interest at all in union activities. His evidence is relevant on the matter of victimisation which will be dealt with in its proper place. Punchi Banda had been supervising the weeding of a 41 acre block in Velikande Division for 14 days in July 1957, when the Superintendent and the Conductor inspected it on 27.7.57. They pointed out to him that the drains and tea bushes had not been weeded and the picked-up weeds not put into the sacks provided for the purpose. The Superintendent told Punchi Banda that a letter will be sent to him that evening. According to the Superintendent, no protest was made then and there, much less was an explanation offered. He is definite that no mention whatever was made of bad eye-sight as a probable reason for this state of affairs. I accept the Superintendent's evidence that he had previously warned Punchi Banda by word of mouth. Punchi Banda's testimony is (1) he did not protest (2) he did protest (3) he did not protest as it would have fallen on deaf ears. The only reasonable conclusion I can arrive at is that there was no protest. That evening a letter (P. 3) was sent informing him that as from 29.7.57 (Monday) his work as supervising kangany will cease, but that he may work as a labourer because "it is the worst work he (the Superintendent) has seen in (his) 38 years' planting experience." P. 3 was promptly taken by Punchi Banda to the All Ceylon Agricultural Workers' Union of which he was a member at that time. From 29.7.57 he did not turn up for work but apparently resumed work later as a kangany. His attendance from August to October, 1957, was irregular. Hence on 2.11.57 another letter (P. 4) was sent to him reducing him as from 2.12.57. His Union wrote P5 on 7.11.57 pleading on his behalf that his irregular attendance was due to defective eyesight caused by spraying sulphur powder in the estate. I am satisfied from both oral and documentary evidence that Punchi Banda did no sulphur dusting, which was the duty of Silva kangany. He was, however, given another chance, by being detailed to work as a kangany from 2.1.58 in the Karandupona Division under the direct eye of the Superintendent, at the request of Mr. L. R. de Silva, an Assistant Commissioner of Labour and an eye-physician of sorts himself, who wrote R. 16 on 23.12.57 to the Superintendent. On 10.1.58 the Superintendent addressed a letter (P. 6) to Mr. L. R. de Silva, with a copy to Punchi Banda. The relevant portions of P. 6 are as follows:—"I have..... personally inspected his work which I found extremely bad. I have therefore suspended him again.....He may work as a labourer if he so wishes." His name remained on the checkroll but he did not turn up thereafter for work. In other words, he walked out on his employer. I cannot believe that it can be seriously contended that P. 6 is in itself anything more than a letter suspending this worker. What is urged, as I understand it, is that in the light of the attendant circumstances, it is tantamount to a dismissal. Three reasons were adduced to further this argument—(1) loss of status (2) fear that he would be thrashed at the instigation of the Conductor if he resumed work (3) his gang had been transferred. In regard to loss of status, I am unable to subscribe to the view that a worker once a kangany is always a kangany. In my opinion the management is clearly entitled to demote an employee for bad work. It should be noted that there was no reduction in pay if Punchi Banda had agreed to work as a labourer. He may have, as stated by the Superintendent, on doing good work been up-graded again. As for the second reason, Punchi Banda was directly under the Superintendent at this stage and ought to have known that threats, if indeed there were such, could not have been executed in the Karandupona Division. The third reason is absurd, to say the least. I disbelieve Punchi Banda when he states that on 10.1.58 the Conductor accompanied the Superintendent and may have prevailed upon him to write P. 6. Chances to improve in his work had been given more than once but not taken. I hold that Punchi Banda had of his own volition relinquished duties and that his non-employment is justified.

10. Ramasamy K. P. had worked as a tapping kangany on Etna Estate before being employed in 1952 on Karandupona. There is no question of victimisation in his case as he was not member of any Union until four months after his dismissal. The feeble effort to establish that the Superintendent was aware of his union activities although not a member failed. In November 1957 his main function was to supervise 158 acres of tapping in the Velikande Division. There were roughly about 20,000 trees. He was in sole charge of all the tappers and his immediate supervisor was the Conductor. His other duties were straining latex, making rubber, and checking the intake of scrap and latex. On 4.9.57 he had been warned by a letter (R. 3) to the effect that his supervision was unsatisfactory in that the tappers came late for work and the intake of scrap very poor. His evidence is that on receipt of P. 3 he complained against the conductor to the Superintendent. At a later stage of his evidence he stated that until 4.11.57 the relations between him and the Conductor were cordial. I find it hard to reconcile these two statements.

Ramasamy's testimony is that on 4.11.57 the Conductor asked him to assist in breaking the Union on the estate, and his refusal to comply heralded a vendetta. I reject this evidence as false. On 6.11.57 he was sent a letter (2P1), signed by the Superintendent to the effect that the management had complained about (a) the cost of tapping (b) intake of latex per tapper (c) shortage of scrap probably due to theft. He could have reduced the cost of tapping by getting his tappers out earlier as latex flows best in the mornings. He should have seen to it that every tree was tapped and all scrap brought in. The Superintendent's evidence which I accept, is that he had previously directed Ramasamy to call out the tappers earlier, but was told that it was hard to do so. On being informed about the shortage of scrap Ramasamy had replied that he could not help it being stolen. He is the supervisor and he must watch out against theft. In 2P1 he was reminded of these previous warnings and informed that unless things improved he would have to leave on 6.12.57. On receipt of 2P1 he verbally explained to the Superintendent that the period in question was the wet season and tapping could not be done twice a day. He was thereupon told to look after his work with care. As the latex on 14.11.57 had been badly strained and was full of dirt and sand which would turn out as No. 2 and No. 3 sheet, he was sent another letter (2P2) on 15.11.57 dismissing him on payment of a month's salary in lieu of notice. I disbelieve Ramasamy's explanation to me that the conductor stole the strainer which act caused defective straining of latex. He had not so complained to the Superintendent. Ramasamy denied that he was the rubber maker, which the Superintendent says he was. The extent to which this worker can go to vilify his superior is well illustrated by the following version deposed to by him. From 20.7.57 the making of rubber was done in the new smoke room erected by the side of the store. Up to the end of July rubber was stacked therein leaving about 1,500 pounds which the Superintendent ordered to be taken to the old store about one mile away. These had been exposed for two or three days and, says Ramasamy, got spoilt. When the visiting agent arrived this surplus was hurriedly re-transported to the new smoke room to give him (the Visiting Agent) the false impression that all the rubber is being made in the new smoke room. The Superintendent categorically denied having resorted to such mean tactics and I consider Ramasamy's evidence on this point a vicious fabrication. His testimony that the Superintendent visits the stores only when the Visiting Agent arrives is another piece of false evidence. I attach much importance to document R. 17 showing crop figures. This has not been seriously challenged. According to R. 17, from 16.11.56 to 15.11.57 the average production per acre was 541.23 pounds of sheet rubber, and 48.77 scrap. This period was during Ramasamy's supervision. From 16.11.57 to 15.11.58 the corresponding figures were 821.42 and 102.69. It is obvious that the management suffered under Ramasamy's bad supervision. Moreover, in 1957 Karandupona was placed 12th or 13th on the agency list but in 1958 it ranked first. Crop figures maintained daily revealed loss, on discovery of which the letter (2P2) was written on 15.11.57. A Labour Officer who intervened on Ramasamy's behalf was shown these crop figures and no further pleas were presented thereafter. I believe the Superintendent when he stated that 2P1 and 2P2 were written on his own observations and not at the instance of the Conductor. The argument that the Superintendent should have stayed his hand until 6.12.57 in view of 2P1 has no substance. An affidavit (2P4) by Ramasamy about rice rations, which is dated May 1958, has no relevancy to the issue under consideration. I hold that he was justifiably dismissed.

11. Mary, a Sinhalese resident plucker with 18 years' service, was given a month's quit notice on 11.1.58 for undermining authority on 10.1.58 at muster. The Union does not allege that she was victimised. She refused to accept notice, which was therefore pasted on her line room door. It appears that on 10.1.58 she insulted her immediate superior, the Conductor, at morning muster, because he refused to give work on the plucking field to her daughter Mencho and her niece. The words said to have been used were "you have given plucking work to people who cannot even wash their backsides; if my children also had breasts which you could have handled, you would have given them work." These words, if in fact used by her to her superior, particularly in public, more than justified her dismissal even if her previous record had been unblemished. The Union states that this incident was a mere cloak to terminate her services as the Conductor was angry with her. The Superintendent gave evidence that in July 1957 he had warned her not to create trouble. He described her as "most vociferous, and troublesome and behaves in the most uncomely manner." The impression created, in my own mind from this worker's demeanour in the box is that she is a veritable termagant. She admitted having made the request for plucking work for the two girls, who were at that time doing weeding on the estate. The conductor thereupon, she stated, promised to give them work next month. Mary is supposed to have replied "It is alright. Give them on the 1st." An eye witness Silva Kangany has given evidence to the effect that Mary did abuse the conductor as alleged. The Union assails his evidence on the ground that he is a stooge of the Conductor and a thug. Despite his appearance which is somewhat forbidding he impressed me as truthful. Mary's witnesses Valaitban and Kalli, both Kanganies, were not called to corroborate her story. Incidentally Mary unfolded an improbable tale about the notice to quit. According to her the Superintendent actually told her that she was being sent away at the bidding of the Conductor! In her affidavit (3P2) of 5.5.58 (which

deals with her rice rations and has no bearing on her dismissal) there appears an averment, to wit, "on or about the 11th day of March 1958 the Conductor of the estate on the instructions of the Superintendent stopped work of myself." No comment from me is necessary. In case No. 10384 of the Mawanella Rural Court (R. 15) she had been disbelieved. This was an action instituted by her against Thiruman Kangany for causing hurt. The accused was acquitted. "This appears to me a false complaint" said the President of the Court ".....I disbelieve Mary." I am not taking into account the typed document R18 in the absence of the original. There is sufficient material before me to arrive at a decision. The Superintendent stated that Mary used to entertain in her room one Marimuttu, an employee dismissed in 1955. Silva kangany's evidence is that she is a woman of easy virtue. Suffice it to comment that her reaction to the Conductor keeping her daughter as his mistress and presenting her with a child (if it be true) is amply reflected in her own words "I feel no shame because it was a gentleman who took my daughter and did such a thing." No case for damages for seduction was filed against the Conductor. Mary stated that she had no money to do so. Mr. Belligammana directed her to Mr. Kalugalla who in turn put her on to Proctor Rex Gunaratne (letter 3P1). Nothing further happened. I am completely satisfied that Mary did abuse the conductor in the alleged manner and hold her dismissal justified.

12. Kathiresan, a young man of 20, was born on the estate where his father Sinniah is still working. He was the Thondar of the estate branch having joined the All Ceylon Agricultural Workers' Union in 1958. At the time of his dismissal in April 1958, he was an unregistered casual labourer. Such worker would be told that he will not be on the permanent cadre but will be given employment whenever work is available. The reason given by the Superintendent for his dismissal is that he had been "guilty" of "the atrocity of beating up a pregnant woman." An expectant mother called Malayatha complained on 16.2.58 to the Superintendent that Kathiresan had assaulted her. She was referred to the Police and in R. C. Mawanella 10909 (4P1) he and V. Sinniah were charged with voluntarily causing hurt, the charge against Kathiresan being that he did so with a club. This case was compounded on 23.4.58 and the following appears in the record:—"Accused consent to pay Rs. 20 as compensation which is accepted. Parties agree to live peaceably. Case compounded. I acquit and discharge accused." The Superintendent considered this a conviction in the mistaken belief that the fact that Kathiresan was ordered to pay compensation proved his guilt. That Malayatha was hospitalised for 5 days is undoubtedly a matter for regret and to be incensed at the "atrocity" of assaulting a pregnant woman may be a natural reaction. However noble this sentiment, it cannot find expression in the way it did. There is no need for me to deal with Kathiresan's evidence to decide this issue. In fact he need not have testified at all in these proceedings. That there were many defects in his testimony should not redound to his disadvantage. To penalise him for that would be a negation of natural justice. 4P1 is the only evidence I require to hold, as I do, that his non-employment is unjustified. In passing I might mention that the co-accused V. Sinniah is still employed under the Superintendent. At the conference held on 1.8.58 at the Kegalle Planters' Club (notes of which have been marked 5P8) the Superintendent stated that he did not know that Sinniah was an accused in this case. At this conference he further stated that he cannot re-employ Kathiresan as he had been fined Rs. 15 on 28.3.59 for misconduct. This reason is not valid as no man can be punished twice for the same offence. I direct that a sum of Rs. 350 be paid to Kathiresan by the management within a month of the publication of this award in the Gazette through the Labour Officer in Kegalle. I leave it entirely to the discretion of the Superintendent to give him work on a casual basis whenever such work is available.

13. Kaliappen worked on the estate for 27 years. He was president of the Sangam at the time the notice to quit dated 5.5.58 (R10) was handed to him and later pasted on his line room door on his refusal to accept it. The reason for his dismissal was disobedience to an order transferring him from No. 7 line to No. 3 line. Admittedly line rooms are free living quarters provided by the estate for workers and it is well within the rights of the Superintendent to transfer a worker from one to another. A good reason for so doing is to prevent a likely breach of the peace. Unless it can be proved that such an order was malicious or even capricious its propriety cannot be questioned. In more than one criminal case Kaliappen had been involved. In M. C. Kegalle 14361 (R7) he was charged with a club assault on and robbery of cloth on 1.8.56 from one Perumal Chettiar, an estate hawk. On 14.8.56 he was acquitted. On 17.8.56 a warning letter (5P1) was sent to him:—"although you were fortunate enough to be acquitted in the recent case against you, I have reason to think that you were guilty of assault and robbery. You already have several convictions against you and I hereby warn you that if you cause any more trouble whatsoever on this estate I will discharge you without notice." The Superintendent's own view herein expressed and repeated in these proceedings is valueless, especially as evidence had been led in the Magistrate's Court and the acquittal had not been the outcome of some technical legal objection. Further, Kaliappen had had no previous convictions. The Superintendent's testimony that by "convictions" he meant "complaints" is unacceptable. I am inclined to believe that the letter 5P1 had been written in a

fit of pique. The adverse comments made by Mr. Shinya on this point are not unwarranted. Again on 10.3.58 in M. C. Kegalle 21579 (R8) Kaliappen was charged with causing hurt to Velaamma, wife of Thiruman kangany, with a pruning knife and to Perumal with stones on 26.2.58. In this case also he was discharged. On 28.4.58 in yet another case viz.: M. C. Kegalla 21217 (R9) he was acquitted on 19.4.58 of the charge of intimidating Thiruman Kangany on 10.2.58. This case was compounded on his undertaking not to interfere with Thiruman. The fact remains that he had been implicated in criminal cases and the Superintendent had found him "a troublesome person". His line room history is relevant. According to the Superintendent he had been transferred from No. 5 to No. 4 line as he had had a row with a relation of his and back again from No. 4 to No. 5 owing to a quarrel about cattle food with one Vythie. Further trouble caused by him necessitated his transfer from No. 5 to No. 7. On his own showing, on marriage he was housed in No. 7 and by agreement with another he shifted to No. 5, whence he moved in to No. 4 on his brother-in-law vacating it to go to India. After one year he changed over to No. 5 and again to No. 7. I prefer to believe the Superintendent's evidence that changes were effected as Kaliappen quarrelled wherever he went. I have yet to learn that by mutual agreement estate workers can pick and choose their line rooms. Things had reached such a pass that the aid of the Police had to be invoked. Police Sergeant Samad made inquiries in the lines and suggested that the best solution would be to shift Kaliappen and Elangian to a line room removed from their *betes noires* Thiruman and Muthiah, said to be the "conductor's men". The Superintendent asked the Sergeant as to who should be shifted and the reply was that Kaliappen and Elangian "should be in a separate line". In a letter dated 5.8.59 (5P9) from Mr. Doric de Sousa to the Superintendent the point was made that Thiruman and Muthiah should have been transferred as this would have "eliminated any suspicion of any partisanship on the part of the management and of victimisation of our leader". This is certainly not without substance, but the Superintendent stated that in May, 1958, he did not know the positions held in the Union by either Kaliappen or Elangian. The list of officials and committee members had been transmitted to him only on 9.9.58 (R4). I accept this evidence as true. The transfer order of 2.5.58 (5P2) required Kaliappen to shift from No. 7 line to No. 3 line on the next day and he was warned not to go to or even near lines 9 and 10 (occupied by Thiruman and Muthiah) without express orders "in order to keep the peace". Thus the reason for the change was made pretty obvious to Kaliappen. On 3.5.58 the Superintendent took him to No. 3 line and showed him his new quarters whereupon Kaliappen said "I will let you know tomorrow". On the next day, he did not inform the Superintendent but persisted in living in No. 7 line. On 5.5.58 R.10 was written to him. It reads thus: "As you have totally ignored my instructions. . . . I hand you and your wife notice to quit the estate on 5th June, 1958". The suggestion has been made that Kaliappen should have been sent for and his explanation obtained. This is astounding—surely it was up to Kaliappen to say what he had to on the 4th. In his evidence Kaliappen stated that the reason given to him verbally by the Superintendent for changing the line was because he had enrolled nearly 156 members for the Union. He told me this twice in his evidence on 2.12.59. But on 28.12.59 his testimony is "He did not say anything whatsoever. He merely gave me the letter". Realising the magnitude of this contradiction he tried to wriggle out of the difficulty by saying that on receipt of the order he inquired for the reason and was told that it was because he had "joined the Union"—nothing about enrolling members. Later on in cross examination he stated that it was only on 5.6.58 that for the first time he was informed by the Superintendent that the transfer was ordered because he was thalaivar of the Union. This discussion on 5.6.58 is said to have lasted from 8 a.m. to 11 a.m. and on this occasion he was given his discharge ticket which he kept on declining to accept. In his earlier evidence he had stated "even if we go close to the Superintendent he will chase us out. We cannot approach the Superintendent" and "even when we go to the Superintendent, the Superintendent chases us out." It is this same Superintendent with whom he spent three hours on 5.6.58. The Superintendent's evidence is that on receipt of the notice to change the line Kaliappen never told him that he was afraid to do so as there were in No. 3 line non-union members. I accept this evidence and hold that the talk of fear was brought up for the first time at the conference of 1.8.58. Document R19 reveals that of the 40 occupants of line 3 only 12 were non-union people. I have very anxiously considered if dismissal of a senior hand like Kaliappen was not too severe a penalty. Had he not been involved in estate brawls I might have thought so. But, all things considered, including the 1957 warning (R3) against high-handed action in converting a part of the estate into a paddy field, as well as the Police warning administered on 8.1.56, against a breach of the peace (R6) I am compelled to hold his dismissal was for just and valid cause.

14. Elangian a tapper and former muster podian was served on 2.5.58 with an order (6P1), in terms and date identical with 5P2 sent to Kaliappen, transferring him as well from No. 7 line to No. 3 line. On 3.5.58 the Superintendent offered to take him to show the new line, but Elangian flatly refused in Tamil:—"I will not go", uttered according to the Superintendent "in an insulting manner". To countenance such contumacy would be expecting too much from any employer, and although

it deserved summary dismissal, on 5.5.58 he was given one month's notice to quit by letter (R12) in terms identical with R10 served on Kaliappen. Elangian's evidence is that he told the Superintendent that he was afraid to move into No. 3 line as those who had murdered his grand-mother might kill him too. One Sella Caruppan, who had been serving four years' hard labour for causing the death of Elangian's grandmother, was living in Line No. 1 not in No. 3. He was refused employment on his return from prison. Those mentioned by Elangian as non-union men in Line No. 3 and of whom he was in fear are Perumal, Pakiam, Marie and Ramiah. According to R. 19 Pakiam and Ramiah were Union members. Elangian was not sure that these people were even related to Sella Caruppan. He surmised that they may be so, as they were the "conductor's men". He stated in evidence that Thiruman and Muthiah had been asked by the Conductor to murder him and promised kanganyships as rewards. He said that Muthiah was promoted kangany the day after his (Elangian's) mother and sister had been assaulted. But the Superintendent stated that Muthiah had been made kangany long after. Elangian had been associated with Kaliappen as co-accused in M. C. Kegalle 21217 (R9), which case was compounded on his undertaking not to interfere with Thiruman. He was charged in M. C. Kegalle 22275 (R11) with causing hurt with a stone to Muthiah on 1.5.58. On his undertaking to live in peace this too was compounded. He admitted having insulted one Mr. Nissanka Peiris, a creeper under the Superintendent in 1952, in the presence of the workers, and being warned on that occasion. It is interesting to observe that Elangian began his evidence by refusing to admit that he even knew Kaliappen. His testimony throughout was a tissue of lies. I hold his dismissal justified.

15. Mookan did not give evidence before me but some facts concerning him transpired in Perumal's and the Superintendent's testimony. In paragraph 9 of the Superintendent's statement dated 28.8.59, it is alleged that Mookan had been convicted for assaulting a woman and tearing off her earrings. SF1 is the certified copy of that record in M. C. Kegalle 22,098. He was the first accused and was charged on two counts, namely, robbing and assaulting one Mookaie who testified before the Magistrate that after evening tea, Mookan and Perumal abused her at the entrance to her living room. While the latter held her, Mookan tore off her earrings. The medical evidence was that the right ear lobe had been completely torn. Her neighbour one Totitichi corroborated her evidence and on 16.6.58 he was found guilty and ordered to pay Rs. 100 as compensation to Mookaie and also bound over in a sum of Rs. 200/200 to be of good behaviour for a period of 3 years. On 17.6.58 he was served with notice to quit. The statement of the Union dated 17.8.59 is that no case had been made out against Mookan and the conviction was therefore bad. Its correctness was not canvassed in the Supreme Court and the Union cannot be heard to canvass it here. Mookan was represented by Counsel in the Magistrate's Court and had given no evidence. The offence is serious. This fact had apparently been fully appreciated by the Union because at the conference on 1.8.58 all that the Union said in this connection was "in the circumstances they would not press the matter further, but requested Mr. Doudney to make inquiries himself as to the actual facts" which Mr. Doudney quite rightly decided not to do. I hold that Mookan's dismissal was justified.

16. Perumal, the thalaivar of the Union, was on 17.6.58 served with quit notice (R20) in the same circumstances as Mookan's. In his evidence before me he spoke to entirely different facts surrounding Mookaie's injuries. He gave evidence in the Magistrate's Court as well suggesting that he had been falsely implicated as he was "Secretary of the Union". His story was rejected and it is not for me to accept it. He was found guilty of robbery and aiding and abetting Mookan to assault Mookaie by holding her down by her hair. As with Mookan, at the conference on 1.8.58 the Union left it to Mr. Doudney to make his own investigations from the woman's husband Karupannan.

I hold that Perumal had been rightly dismissed.

17. It appears unnecessary to decide on the question of victimisation in view of my findings, based solely on the merits of each case. But, as at every turn in these proceedings it had been vehemently urged, it is perhaps fitting that I should deal with it. Victimisation is a very serious charge indeed and as such one looks for cogent proof before one arrives at a decision thereon. The first observation I should like to make is that in neither of the statements furnished to this court has the name of the Conductor been even mentioned, but in these proceedings he has been held up as a scourge of trade unionists. I take it that statements furnished to this Court are framed with some sense of responsibility, and the Court has a right to expect a modicum of courtesy so as to enable it to apprise itself of what evidence is likely to be presented. No doubt there is a general charge of victimisation against the management in the very first statement of the Union. Nothing could have been easier than to have mentioned the Conductor in this connection, especially as Puchi Banda's evidence is that he had "told the position in full to the leader of the Union". In his opening address Mr. Shinya said he was hoping the management would call the Conductor so that he may "deal with him" and what is more undertook to call him himself if this did not happen. The management has not called him, nor has an application been made to Court by the Union to summon him. The remedy, which

was in the hands of the Union, has not been applied. Another point urged before me is that non-employment of these workers ranged between November, 1957 and June, 1958 because they were all active unionists. This certainly is a coincidence, but whether it is due to victimisation or not has to be decided on the evidence and the evidence alone. Abstract psychological processes of the mind cannot take the place of concrete facts in the determination of concrete issues.

18. The charge of victimisation developed with the progress of the case. In the Union statement it was a general one levelled at the management. In counsel's opening address the conductor was specifically named as the offender. When the superintendent testified, he was directly accused of it. Although the Union alleged that the conductor tried to cause a rift between the Sinhalese and Tamil labour by employing Silva Kangany as his stooge to harass Kailappen and Elangiam, the Union President and *quondam* secretary respectively, it could not prove it. Muniyandy kangany, who did good work in the Karundapona Division, was not warned although he was a Union member, whereas Puchi Banda kangany was demoted for doing bad work. Was this victimisation? Names had been wrongly given to Sinhalese and Tamil labourers indiscriminately. Can such action create a rift as alleged? It was suggested that as Silva kangany was never a Union member the conductor had made him a kangany. But the fact is that it was the superintendent who had so promoted him from the post of lorry driver some years ago. Annamaly, a Union member had been fined Rs. 25 in a court of law for stabbing Silva twelve years ago. To say that this is a reason for the conductor to employ him as his tool is far-fetched. It was put to Silva that one Sinnacutti Perumal, a Union activist had stabbed him with a Katteriya. This was denied, and only the suggestion remained.

19. An atmosphere has certainly been created in the course of this inquiry against the conductor from the very start by presenting what has been euphemistically termed "the background of the case". His alleged misdeeds were (a) giving names to those who did no work, (b) cutting off names of those who did work, (c) taking bribes, (d) dismissing those who did not offer bribes, (e) molesting young girls, (f) collecting through his wife irregular overpayments on the pretext that they were for a cheetu. In regard to (a) and (b) there is some evidence which appears to be true. However reprehensible such conduct may be it can only amount to favouritism. There is no concrete evidence before me in regard to (d) and (f). As for (c) a clumsy effort was made to prove it by Kathiresan who stated that he gave a bribe of Rs. 15 to the conductor in the presence of the acting superintendent, Mr. Rodrigo, who had fined Kathiresan Rs. 15. I totally disbelieve this evidence. In relation to (e) it is true he was sued for maintenance but in appeal he won the case and that decision cannot be challenged. I attach no importance at all to petition P2 sent to the Minister of Lands against the conductor by two kanganyas and a labourer who were not called before me. In the absence of better evidence I hold that there has been no victimisation by the conductor.

20. Mr. Doudney was cross-examined at length. He was even asked if he knew in which particular line a particular worker (out of 1300) lived. I think it was not a fair question to have been put to him. His inability to reply cannot detract from the truth of the rest of his evidence. He impressed me as one doing his best to speak the truth as far as his memory aided him, and when it failed he did not hesitate to say so. Indeed in one instance, regarding Puchi Banda's eye-sight, he confessed he had made a mistake when confronted with his own letter sent in 1956 to the Eye Hospital (which document, incidentally was one that reflected well on him). Such retraction to my mind is indicative of an honest effort to be truthful. In fairness to him I afforded him an opportunity of meeting Kaliappen's testimony that he was daily in a state of intoxication at 2 p.m. or 3 p.m. He categorically denied it. Even witness Mary had nothing to say against him. When invited to conferences where he thought matters of purely internal interest were to be discussed he refused to attend them. But he did attend when he honestly believed that the matters for discussion justified conference level and his presence was necessary. On one occasion he was present with the Labour Officer in the office but the labourers did not turn up. This independence of thought an action cannot be interpreted to display an anti-union attitude at all. In a vitriolic and eloquent peroration Mr. Shinya referred to his Irish bluff, which was not apparent to me at any stage of this inquiry. I believe this is a game played by other races as well. Incidentally even Samad, who gave impartial evidence, was charged, though apologetically, with loyalty which Counsel said was a characteristic trait of the Malays. If that be so, I am glad to hear it and deem it worthy of emulation. I regret it does not seem to have reached some of the Karundapona Estate labourers as yet. It is admitted that it was Mr. Doudney who used to bail out those workers who got into trouble. It is extremely significant that not one complained against him to Messrs. Cumberbatch & Co. Ltd., just as no complaint was ever lodged with him against his conductor by a single labourer. The aggrieved labourers decided instead to use the big stick by representing matters to Mr. C. R. Belligammana.

21. Mr. Belligammana admitted that he knew nothing personally and that his information was received from "Puchi Banda and others." He did not bring the relevant file to court although, as he was very busy at the time of these proceedings with election work, a date that suited him best was fixed to

record his evidence. While commending his public-spiritedness in coming forward to testify at this inquiry and appreciating the great interest he appears to take in the amelioration of conditions of labour, I am sorry to say that his contribution to these proceedings has not been much. He says he had dealt with "thousands of such cases", but took no interest in Union matters. He did not even ask Punchi Banda if he belonged to a Union. In his evidence he stated that in 1957 Mr. Doudney had told him that he will not "do any harm" to the labourers. He further stated that "the Superintendent had informed the Department that he has warned the conductor not to interfere with Trade Union work". These are two important pieces of evidence and Counsel should have been instructed earlier to cross-examine Mr. Doudney on both items. One wonders why somebody from the department was not called to prove the second point. Mr. Belligammana does not remember Punchi Banda telling him that he had been asked to work as a labourer if he so desired. This was Punchi Banda's entire personal grievance. It is likely that Mr. Belligammana in similar fashion has forgotten many other things. He wrote a very strong letter to the Minister of Labour complaining about the Labour Department not doing its duty by the labourers, and even suggesting that it might as well be scrapped. This seems to be an unfair indictment and is to be deplored. A further allegation that the Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Avissawella, was taking sides as he and the conductor had been school-mates has not been proved, and I wish it had not been made at all in these proceedings. In short, this witness's evidence, which could have proved most valuable did not eventually help the Union cause.

22. While holding that there has been no victimisation, I find as stated earlier that the non-employment of all the eight labourers above-named, except No. 4, is justified. In the case of No. 4 to repeat myself, a sum of Rs. 350 should be paid to him on or before 31st August, 1960, through the Labour Officer, Kegalle. I leave it to the sole discretion of the Management to give him casual work whenever such is available.

S. C. S. DE SILVA.

Colombo, 11th July, 1960.

No. W. 105/642.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, No. 43 OF 1950

THE Award transmitted to the Commissioner of Labour by the Arbitrator to whom the industrial dispute which had arisen between The Ceylon Workers' Congress, 84/4, Lauries Road, Colombo 4, and the Superintendent of Bellwood Estate, Galaha, was referred under section 3 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950, as amended by the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Acts, No. 25 of 1956, No. 14 of 1957 and No. 62 of 1957 for settlement by arbitration, is hereby published in terms of section 18 (1) of the said Act.

N. L. ABEYWIRA,
Deputy Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour,
Colombo, July 22, 1960.

No. W. 105/642.
In the Matter of an Industrial Dispute
between
The Ceylon Workers' Congress
and
The Superintendent of Bellwood Estate, Galaha

The Award

This is an award under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950 (as amended by the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Acts, No. 25 of 1956, No. 14 of 1957 and No. 62 of 1957).

2. The Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour, acting under section 3 (1) (d) of the aforesaid Act, referred to me for settlement by arbitration the matter in dispute between the Ceylon Workers' Congress and the Superintendent of Bellwood Estate, Galaha, which according to the statement of the Acting Deputy Commissioner of Labour dated 18th May, 1959, is whether the non-employment of the following workers by the Superintendent of Bellwood Estate, Galaha, is justified and, if not, to what relief each of the said workers is entitled:—

1. Suppiah,
2. Nagamma (Suppiah's wife),
3. Palamma, and
4. Selamma (Palamma's daughter).

3. On the fourth day of hearing, viz. 6th November, 1959, both parties informed me that they were probing the possibility of a settlement and that they will indicate on the next day the manner in which the matter has been settled.

4. On 25.11.59 the Union submitted the following statement:—
"The Union submits that having received adequate compensation and settled the matter amicably, they have no further interest to proceed in the matter and request the proceedings be terminated." On behalf of the Superintendent, Bellwood Estate, Mr. E. D. Taylor, Proctor, concurred.

5. An interesting feature of this settlement is that neither of the parties has divulged to the Court the actual terms of settlement. As such, the Court is unable to state whether the settlement was equitable or just. In replying to the statement of the Union, the Superintendent made a serious allegation against the moral character of one of the labourers and one hopes that the Union obtained adequate compensation for that party if the allegation was false. However, if the statements made by the defence were true, one wonders whether the Union has succeeded in using the Industrial Disputes Act to cause unnecessary embarrassment to the employer. The whole proceedings gave one the impression that both parties were not making a full disclosure of the facts relating to the dispute.

6. In view of the fact that the Union has requested that the proceedings be terminated as they have received adequate compensation, I make award discharging the Superintendent of Bellwood Estate from any further liability for the non-employment of the above-mentioned four workers.

(Dr) S. D. DE SILVA,
Arbitrator.

Colombo, 14th July, 1960.

THE MOTOR TRANSPORT ACT, No. 48 OF 1957

Notice under Section 19 (2)

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by sub-section (2) of Section 19 of the Motor Transport Act, No. 48 of 1957, I, David Felix Abeywardena, being an officer authorised by the Minister of Nationalised Services, Shipping and Transport under that sub-section, do by this notice disclaim the need, for the purposes of the Ceylon Transport Board, of the properties specified in column 1 of the Schedule hereto, which are located in the places specified in the corresponding entries in column 2 of the Schedule hereto, and declared, by the notice under sub-section (1) of Section 19 of the said Act published in the *Gazettes* specified in the corresponding entries in column 3 of that Schedule, to be required for such purposes.

Ceylon Transport Board,
Colombo, July 18, 1960.

D. F. ABEYWARDENA,
Deputy Secretary.

SCHEDULE

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3
1. Property used by Colombo Omnibus Co., Ltd. Bare land (no name), in extent approximately one rood.	Part of property bearing assessment No. 188, Cotta Road. Bounded on the north by main road. Bounded on the east, south and west by remaining portion of the same land. Situated within the Municipal Council limits of Colombo	<i>Gazette</i> No. 11,224 of 27.12.57
2. Properties used by Ratnapura Omnibus Co. Ltd. (a) Land called Thuppahilanda in extent approximately 1 rood, together with all buildings standing thereon	Bounded on the north by main road. Bounded on the east by property of A. Perera and W. Perera. Bounded on the south and west by property of W. M. M. Perera. Situated in the village of Mulleriyawa, Colombo District	<i>Gazette</i> No. 11,220 of 17.12.57
(b) 1 permanent office building	Assessment No. 36, Undugoda Road, Kegalla. Situated within the Urban Council limits of Kegalla, Kegalla District	<i>Gazette</i> No. 11,220 of 17.12.57

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946****Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 73—
Tissamaharama**

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. E. G. Wijesinghe, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 25th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,

Electoral District No. 73—Tissamaharama.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946****Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 73—
Tissamaharama**

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. C. F. W. Edirisuriya, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 18th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,

Electoral District No. 73—Tissamaharama.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946****Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 73—
Tissamaharama**

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. A. E. Wickremasuriya, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 23rd day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,

Electoral District No. 73—Tissamaharama.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946****Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 73—
Tissamaharama**

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. R. G. W. Nilaweera, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 6th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,

Electoral District No. 73—Tissamaharama.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946****Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 73—
Tissamaharama**

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. Lakshman Rajapakse, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 19th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,

Electoral District No. 73—Tissamaharama.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946****Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala**

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. D. A. Weerasinghe, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 8th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,

Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946****Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 73—
Tissamaharama**

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. Danister Seranasinghe, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 14th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,

Electoral District No. 73—Tissamaharama.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946****Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala**

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. George Rajapakse, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 18th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,

Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. C. A. Mathew, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 18th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. D. E. Dahanayake, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 20th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. Premaratne Welivita-goda, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 19th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. D. C. Atapattu, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 23rd day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. J. M. M. Bandara, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 23rd day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be

inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 72—MulKirigala.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. Rajapakse Don Alwin, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 18th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. K. Wickremanayake, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 23rd day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. D. L. Weeraratne, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 19th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. D. P. Atapattu, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 23rd day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNAM,
Returning Officer,
Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte.

The Kachcheri,
Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
 ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. T. H. Somadasa, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 23rd day of April, 1960, and that such return and declarations can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNANAM,
 Returning Officer,
 Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte.

The Kachcheri,
 Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
 ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. W. Mathangaweera, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 25th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declaration can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNANAM,
 Returning Officer,
 Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte.

The Kachcheri,
 Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

**THE CEYLON (PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS)
 ORDER IN COUNCIL, 1946**

Election of a Member for Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte

NOTICE is hereby given under section 71 (1) of the Ceylon (Parliamentary Elections) Order in Council, 1946, that the return respecting election expenses of Mr. G. W. Sumathipala, a candidate at the above election, and the declarations made in respect of such return, were received by me on the 25th day of April, 1960, and that such return and declaration can be inspected, on payment of a fee of one rupee, at any time during office hours at the Kachcheri, Hambantota, during the six months next after the publication of this notice in the *Government Gazette*.

T. SIVAGNANAM,
 Returning Officer,
 Electoral District No. 71—Beliatte.

The Kachcheri,
 Hambantota, 11th June, 1960.

NOTIFICATION

IT is hereby notified for general information that the Hon'ble the Minister of Agriculture, Land, Irrigation and Power has been pleased under section 3 of the Gal Oya Development Board Act, No. 51 of 1949, to appoint Mr. Unawatuna Kapuge Piyadasa Silva of 298, High Level Road, Colombo 6, to be a member of the Gal Oya Development Board with effect from the date of the publication of such Order in the *Gazette*.

C. B. P. PERERA,
 Permanent Secretary,
 Ministry of Agriculture, Land, Irrigation and Power.
 Colombo 1, 27th July, 1960.

FORM 7

The Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949

NOTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 16 (1) (c) OF THE ACT

IT is hereby notified, under section 16 (1) (c) of the Indian and Pakistani Residents (Citizenship) Act, No. 3 of 1949, that each person particulars of whom are specified in column I of the Schedule hereto was, on the date specified in the corresponding entry in column II of that Schedule, registered as a citizen of Ceylon in the register of citizens kept under section 16 (1) (a) of the Act.

Colombo, July 25, 1960.

A. E. GOCERLY MORAGODA,
 Commissioner for the Registration of Indian and Pakistani Residents.

SCHEDULE 60/12

I				II
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon				
Name	Age	Sex	Address	
Murugan Sinniah	62	M	Of Halgranoya Estate, Halgranoya	October 13, 1959
Murugan Suppiah	31	M	All of Middle Division, Delmar Estate, Halgranoya	do.
Pottoo	21	F		
Letchumie	5	F		
Theigaraiah	2	M		
Velaathan Selambaie, ww/o Ramiah	57	F	All of Middle Division, Delmar Estate, Halgranoya	do.
Cadiravel <i>alias</i> Thangasamy	28	M		
Perumal <i>alias</i> Shanmuganathan	23	M		
Karupannan Periannan	56	M	All of Upper Division, Ragalla Group, Halgranoya	do.
Karuppaie	52	F		
Ponnampalam	21	M		
Mariaie	17	F		
Veeramalay <i>alias</i> Nadarajah	13	M		
Sinnathamby Ramasamy	51	M	All of Blairlmond Estate, Udapussellawa	do.
Kamatony	38	F		
Kamalam	18	F		
Sockalingam	11	M		
Vadivel	7	M		
Panchawarnam	4	F		

I
 Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

II

Name	Age	Sex	Address			
Kandiah Muthusamy ..	39	M	All of Blairlmond Estate, Udapussellawa ..	October 13, 1959		
Poovalie ..	37	F				
Kalappaie ..	11	F				
Vengadasalam <i>alias</i> Samymala ..	9	M				
Vengidasalam Perumal ..	36	M	All of Blairlmond Estate, Udapussellawa ..	do.		
Mariaie ..	31	F				
Jeyaletchumie <i>alias</i> Valliamma ..	9	F				
Selvan ..	7	F				
Sundarambal ..	4	F				
Palaniandy Rengasamy ..	34	M	All of Gordon Estate, Udapussellawa ..	do.		
Mariaie ..	28	F				
Rangammal ..	10	F				
Velan Rengan ..	43	M	All of Gordon Estate, Udapussellawa ..	do.		
Anjalay ..	38	F				
Sivanaie ..	18	F				
Velaie ..	15	F				
Letchumie ..	12	F				
Thangavale ..	8	M				
Sellaie ..	6	F				
Suppiah Velautham ..	37	M				
Pottoo ..	35	F				
Theivanay ..	8	F	All of Gordon Estate, Udapussellawa ..	do.		
Jeyaletchimie ..	4	F				
Theruvan Raekan ..	59	M	Both of Rappahannock Estate, Udapussellawa ..	do.		
Karuppiah <i>alias</i> Caruppen ..	26	M				
Sinnasamy Nadeson ..	37	M	All of Deegalhinna Bazaar, Deegalhinna ..	do.		
Paruwatham ..	29	F				
Thavamoney ..	12	F				
Nageswary ..	10	F				
Saraswathie ..	8	F				
Jothimoney ..	8	F				
Indrani ..	6	F				
Annavadivu ..	4	F				
Wanaja ..	2	F				
Vellayan Kottan ..	55	M			All of Mooloya Estate, Hewaheta ..	do.
Kaliamma ..	47	F				
Sinnapillai <i>alias</i> Theivanay ..	18	F				
Podisakanthi <i>alias</i> Gandhi ..	16	M				
Savoogum Periannen Muthiah ..	43	M	All of Mahacoodagalla Estate, Halgranoya ..	do.		
Nallamma ..	36	F				
Sockalingam ..	17	M				
Rajambal ..	14	F				
Ramachanderan ..	11	M				
Thavamoney ..	10	F				
Sinnapanohan Sinniah ..	74	M				
Ramanathan ..	28	M				
Seeni Panayan Adaickalam ..	53	M	All of Alakolamadapatana, Udapussellawa ..	do.		
Polingiammal ..	42	F				
Sigamoney ..	23	F				
Thiyagarajah ..	18	M				
Thirupathy ..	9	M				
Thiruwasagam ..	4	M				
Komaran Arumugam ..	37	M			All of Joansland Estate, Hewaheta ..	do.
Carlammal ..	30	F				
Mariaie ..	13	F				
Veeramacaly <i>alias</i> Annamma ..	10	F				
Manonmanie ..	8	F				
Palaniveloo ..	6	M				
Maniamma ..	4	F				
Letchimy ..	2	F				
Thangarany ..	1	F				
Suppan Sandanam ..	42	M	All of Allacolla Division, Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola ..	October 13, 1959		
Thanapakkiam ..	32	F				
Sathesivam ..	11	M				
Valliamma ..	7	F				
Jayaletchyamma ..	5	F				
Muthusamy Ramasamy ..	47	M	All of Allacolla Division, Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola ..	do.		
Kullammal ..	38	F				
Rengamma ..	24	F				
Palaniamma ..	22	F				
Krishnasamy ..	19	M				
Narayanasaamy ..	17	M				
Arumugam ..	12	M				
Iamma ..	7	F				
Ganesan ..	5	M				
Jeyaletchumy ..	4	F				
Muthusamy Muthu ..	43	M	All of Allacolla Division, Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola ..	do.		
Palaniaie ..	35	F				
Perumal ..	21	M				
Doraisamy ..	18	M				
Subramaniam <i>alias</i> Murugiah ..	15	M				
Rasamanickam ..	13	M				
Annaletchimie ..	10	F				
Theivaniamma ..	9	F				
Rukmani ..	7	F				
Rasamma ..	3	F				

I
 Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

II

Name	Age	Sex	Address	
Sadayan Ramiah	30	M	All of Top Division, Hope Estate, Hewaheta	October 13, 1959
Packiam	28	F		
Krishnaveni	8	F		
Gunathevan	6	M		
Saraswathy	3	F		
Cadiravel Suppiah	35	M	All of Middle Division, Hope Estate, Hewaheta	do.
Poovai	27	F		
Kamatchy	11	F		
Valliamma	2	F		
Mookan Samban	34	M	All of Middle Division, Hope Estate, Hewaheta	do.
Sevanaie	30	F		
Maga Ranie	6	F		
Murugan Govindan	46	M	Both of Top Division, Hope Estate, Hewaheta	do.
Kathiraie	32	F		
Adaikkan Sinnasamy	68	M	Both of Diyanilla Division, Liddesdale Estate, Halgranoya	do.
Vellayamma	56	F		
Nayagam Arulandoo	39	M	All of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Mariammal	31	F		
Sandanam	11	F		
Manickam Shanmugam	57	M	Both of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Nagammal <i>alias</i> Meenatchy	28	F		
Theogusiluvai Arunothayam Fernando	35	M	All of Jubilee Stores, 180, Trincomalee Street, Matale	May 11, 1959
Pitchammal	31	F		
Francis Thomas Mahendran	3	M		
Jesiah Kingsley Flavendaram	1	M		
Palaniandy Manickam	37	M	All of Gonapitiya Estate, Kandapola	do.
Theivanay	26	F		
Palaniandy	10	M		
Ratna Soundary	8	F		
Pakialeela	6	F		
Emmanuel John	4	M		
Maheswari	3	F		
Palai w/w/o Kailasam Sevana	35	F		
Selvamany <i>alias</i> Periakkal	15	F	All of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Palaniandy	11	M		
Logamany <i>alias</i> Peramaie	9	F		
Kadiravale Rengasamy	37	M	All of Liddesdale Estate, Halgranoya	do.
Seerangaie	35	F		
Govindammal	16	F		
Rasoo <i>alias</i> Rasammal <i>alias</i> Sinnapulle	13	F		
Sinniah	10	M		
Raman Narayanan	52	M		
Suppan Sinniah	35	M		
Veloo Muthucaruppaie Pakkiam	30	F	Of Allacolla Division, Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Marimuthu Thangavale	29	M	Of Allacolla Division, Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Anayan Muthusamy	69	M	Of Allacolla Division, Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Marimuthu Thylammal	49	F	Of Allacolla Division, Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Palaniandy Karuppiyah	44	M	Of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Avaranam Mariaie	58	F	Of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Sellamuthu Kandan	35	M	Of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Suppiah Sivalingam	36	M	Of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Sellamuthu Govindan	30	M	Of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Bowie Vellasamy	34	M	Of Dunugalla Estate, Kumbalgamuwa	do.
Muthan Caruppan <i>alias</i> Kannaiah	32	M	Of Lawriston Division, Bramley Group, Kandapola	do.
Karuppaiah Suppaiah	27	M	Both of Lawriston Division, Bramley Group, Kandapola	October 14, 1959
Peramaie	—	F		
Karuppan Letchimie w/w/o Perumal Muthusamy	52	F	Of Lawriston Division, Bramley Group, Kandapola	October 14, 1959
Meiyan Karuppiyah	31	M	All of Maha Uva Estate, Harasbedda	October 14, 1959
Mookaie	—	F		
Kaliammai	1	F		
Alagan Jebamalay	30	M	Of Maha Uva Estate, Harasbedda	do.
Sivalingam Veeriah	30	M	Of Maha Uva Estate, Harasbedda	do.
Anthony Jebamalay	29	M	Of Maha Uva Estate, Harasbedda	do.
Karuppaie w/w/o Arunasalam Arumugam	62	M	Of Lower Division, Hope Estate, Hewaheta	do.
Kadiravale Mariaie	49	F	Of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Suppiah Ramanathan	29	M	Of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Yegan Sockalingam	30	M	c/o Mr. P. M. M. Zahir, New Bazaar Street, Nuwara Eliya	do.
Ramalingam Nadesan	35	M	All of Liddesdale Estate, Halgranoya	do.
Kasiamah	34	F		
Theivanai	15	F		
Sivalingam	12	M		
Theisivamonie	6	F		
Jepamalai	3	F		
Adaikkan Ramasamy	36	M		
Mariaie	30	F		
Suppiah	7	M	All of Welakelle Division, Ellamulla Estate, Maturata	do.
Jayamony	5	F		
Wijeletchumy	4	F		

I
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

II

Name	Age	Sex	Address	
Kathan Naghamuthu	32	M	All of Lawriston Division, Bramley Group, Kandapola	October 14, 1959
Kadiraie	26	F		
Kamalam	7	F		
Kathaie	5	F		
Charosa	4	F		
Sinnathamby Palanimuthu	42	M	Both of Bramley Estate, Kandapola	do.
Karuppaie	33	F		
Kullan Veeraputhiran	62	M	All of Lawriston Division, Bramley Group, Kandapola	do.
Ulagi	47	F		
Murugan alias Murugesu	25	M		
Sinnasamy Subramaniam	38	M		
Vembiah	13	M	All of Lawriston Division, Bramley Group, Kandapola	do.
Jothiammal	10	F		
Parwathy	7	F		
Nadarajah	4	M		
Selliah Doraisamy	45	M		
Vembaie	39	F	All of Lawriston Division, Bramley Group, Kandapola	do.
Sandanam	18	M		
Palaniaie	15	F		
Selvadurai	12	M		
Rasiah	9	M		
Kumarasamy	5	M		
Sothimany	2	F		
Caruppan Valathayee, ww/o Sivaperumal	54	F		
Kathan			All of Lawriston Division, Bramley Group, Kandapola	do.
Manickam	20	M		
Thangavelu	16	M		
Parwathy	13	F		
Karuppan Veeran alias Muthu Veeran	50	M	All of Maha Uva Estate, Harasbedda	do.
Mariaie	40	F		
Sivanoo	11	F		
Arambu	9	F		
Kathirveloo Vellasamy	35	M	All of St. Leonard's Estate, Halgranoya	do.
Ammanie	29	F		
Visvanathan	10	M		
Vijayaletchumie	7	F		
Chandrasekeram	5	M		
Thiyagarasa	3	M		
Arokkiam Ramaie	54	F		
Anthoney	22	M	Both of Maha Uva Estate, Harasbedda	do.
Muthucaruppan Palaniandy	67	M		
Perianayagam	52	F	Both of Maha Uva Estate, Harasbedda	do.
Cadirevel Ponnusamy	44	M		
Sinnammah	37	F	All of No. 2 Division, Rookwood Estate, Hewaheta	do.
Suppiah	11	M		
Jaiyamoney	9	F		
Kanneamma	5	F		
Perian Rackan	39	M		
Sellamma	27	F	All of Eastland Estate, Hewaheta	do.
Saundaram	9	F		
Thanaletchumy	6	F		
Suppiah Arumugam	35	F		
Amirtham	24	F	All of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Sivaniah	5	M		
Adaikkan Suppiah	63	M		
Letchumie alias Velaie	28	F	All of Gonapitiya Group, Kandapola	do.
Valliammal alias Vallie	25	F		
Andiya Periyasamy Pillai	38	M	All of Alma Group, Kandapola	do.
Sivapakiam alias Sellammal	26	F		
Subramaniam	9	M		
Rajaletchumie	5	F		
Manonmanie alias Maghaletchumie	4	F		
Solamuthu Muthucaruppan	40	M		
Kathiraie	37	F	All of Uda Radella Estate, Nanu Oya	October 19, 1959
Muthu	23	M		
Angammah	19	F		
Letchiman	16	M		
Karuppaie	13	F		
Velu	8	M		
Valliamma	5	F		
Gopal Sinniah	62	M	Both of Uda Radella Estate, Nanu Oya	do.
Karuppaie	47	F		
Periannan Sivanoo	51	M	All of Calsay Estate, Nanu Oya	do.
Pootchammah alias Pootchy	45	F		
Mariaie	23	F		
Saraswathy	14	F		
Letchumie	11	F		
Pathmavathy	9	F		
Rajeswarie	7	F		
Arumugam Murugan	37	M	All of Uda Radella Estate, Nanu Oya	do.
Atthaie	30	F		
Marimuthu	8	M		
Saraswathy	7	F		
Palanivelu	4	M		
Annapooranam, d/o Mamundy	23	F	Both of Old Factory Division, Hopton Group, Hopton	do.
Athimoolam	5	M		

I
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

II

Name	Age	Sex	Address			
Malayandy Rasiah	44	M	All of Mahatenne Division, Sarnia Group, Badulla	October 14, 1959		
Parwathy	37	F				
Ganeson	17	M				
Navamani	12	M				
Canagu	9	F				
Manoharan	4	M				
Periathamby Athimoolam	56	M	All of Narangalla Division, Ledgerwatte Group, Badulla	October 19, 1959		
Nagamuthu	50	F				
Rajeswari	19	F				
Ramanathan	17	M				
Rasaletchumy <i>alias</i> Annamuthu	13	F				
Valleenayagam	5	F				
Ramasamy Caruppan	36	M	All of Dotlands Division, Sarnia Group, Badulla	do.		
Letchumy	28	F				
Thangavelo	12	M				
Kamalam	9	F				
Meenambal	5	F				
Thandavan Alagammal	58	F	Of Wotton Estate, Kotagala	October 22, 1959		
Kathirvel Vettivel	28	M	Of Keillewatte Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Muthiah Ramalingam	67	M	of Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Appu Gopal	40	M	Of Big Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Veeramalay Palany <i>alias</i> Palanivale	35	M	Both of Poonkande Division, Mount Vernon Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Mariammal	24	F				
Rengasamy Kaththan	45	M	All of Poonkande Division, Mount Vernon Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Mariaie	42	F				
Sivasamy	27	M				
Ramasamy <i>alias</i> Yeerasamy	25	M				
Velaie	24	F				
Periasamy <i>alias</i> Nagamuthu	22	M				
Angaie	20	F				
Mariaie <i>alias</i> Valliammai	18	F				
Subramaniam	15	M				
Rasammah	13	F				
Muthu Kanthasamy	47	M	All of Poonkande Division, Mount Vernon Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Mookaie	43	F				
Veloo	27	M				
Angamma <i>alias</i> Theivanai	24	F				
Sellamma	21	F				
Nagamma	19	F				
Kavery	17	F				
Ratnam <i>alias</i> Arumugam	14	M				
Annaletchumy	11	F				
Mariaie	9	F				
Muthusamy Arumugam	36	M	All of Wootton Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Arukany	36	F				
Pandian	15	M				
Poovaie	13	F				
Nadarajah	6	M				
Velusamy	3	M				
Angappamudali Sengodan	47	M			All of Bogahawatte Estate, Kotagala	do.
Suppammal	38	F				
Thangavel	24	M				
Arumugam	19	M				
Anandaie	18	F				
Kitnan	16	M				
Araie <i>alias</i> Rasamanie	14	F				
Balasundaram	12	M				
Murugan <i>alias</i> Shanmugavel	9	M				
Nadaraja	7	M				
Meenatchyamma	5	F				
Sandarasegara	2	M				
Muthuservai Suntheraraj	43	M	All of Craigelea Estate, Patana	do.		
Sellammal <i>alias</i> Salathy	28	F				
Rajaratnam <i>alias</i> Muthukrishnan	19	M				
Kamalam	18	F				
Thanapackiam	17	F				
Muthukumarasamy	15	M	All of Derryclare Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Pathmanathan	10	M				
Sithambaram Ramasampillai	58	M				
Thailammai	52	F				
Sethambarampillay <i>alias</i> Sivalingam	24	M				
Somasunderam	16	M	All of No. 9, Citivellas, Kotagala	do.		
Jockian Sebastian <i>alias</i> Henry	35	M				
Jebamalal	24	F				
Savarimuthu	8	M				
Elizabeth	7	F				
Perumal Marimuthu	49	M	Both of Derryclare Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Sandanam	45	F				
Elangan Arunasalam	38	M	All of Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Araie	40	F				
Navamoney	19	F				
Arjunan	17	M				
Sunderam	13	M				
Kathaie	11	F				
Mylvaganam	6	M				
Palaniyandy <i>alias</i> Mallan Pitchai	34	M	All of No. 2, Main Street, Nanu Oya	do.		
Kamalam	22	F				
Loganathan	12	M				

I
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

II

Name	Age	Sex	Address	
Kadirvel Doraisamy Thangiah	57	M	All of Wootoon Estate, Kotagala	October 22, 1959
Sakkammal	50	F		
Suppiah <i>alias</i> Ramiah	27	M		
Thangamma	23	F		
Parlan <i>alias</i> Loganathan	19	M		
Doraisamy <i>alias</i> Thangaraj	14	M		
Arumugam Perumal	31	M	All of Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.
Wallie	24	F		
Rajespari	3	F		
Mangala Pooranam <i>ww/o</i> , Jesudason	42	F	All <i>C/o</i> , Sam Jesudason Esqr., Glasgow Group, Agrapatana	do.
Jeyaraj William				
Moses Manoharan Thanapalan	17	M		
Jeyah Jothimoney	12	F		
Elizabeth Rebecca	11	F		
Manorah Thevathunai	9	F		
Helen Suguna Rajammal	7	F		
Thurai Balasingh	5	M		
Periyakaruppan Racky <i>ww/o</i> , Karuppiah	53	F	Both of Dimbula Estate, Kotagala	do.
Selliah <i>alias</i> Ponnusamy	22	M		
Arulappan Savarimuthu	48	M	All of Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.
Anthonyammal	42	F		
Anthony <i>alias</i> Fernando	23	M		
Arockiam	20	F		
Sandanam	17	M		
Meikal <i>alias</i> Susai	15	M		
Francis	12	M		
Keethamma	8	F		
Gnanapragasam	5	M		
Periannan Palany	50	M	All of Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.
Rengie	53	F		
Subramanian	22	M		
Thangasamy	19	M		
Govindaraju	13	M		
Arunasalam Annamalai	47	M	All of Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.
Arraie	40	F		
Periasamy	18	M		
Kitnasamy	13	M		
Poongawanam	10	F		
Ramaie	8	F		
Arulandu Arockiasamy	33	M	All of Small Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.
Anthoneysamy	13	M		
Apputhamarie	11	F		
Arulando	7	M		
Ammasi Veeramuthu	44	M	Both of Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.
Periamma	19	F		
Karuppan Murugan	53	M	All of Drayton Estate, Kotagala	do.
Ponnaie	47	F		
Velayutham	17	M		
Pitchai Pitchai	47	M	All of Lower Division, Dimbula Estate, Kotagala	do.
Kaliyammah	39	F		
Muthuveeran	15	M		
Kishinchand Lilaram Thadani	47	M	All of No. 87-89 Bankshall Street, Colombo	October 23, 1959
Mohinibai	34	F		
Kambala	19	F		
Arjan	16	M		
Kuhsila	14	F		
Satram	9	M		
Ram	6	M		
Vidhiya	2	F		
Nerupsaibo Packeer Mohideen Abdul Wahab	34	M		
Nadunayagam Ramajeyam	27	M	Of Alton Estate, Upcot	do.
Ramiah Marimuthu <i>alias</i> Ammasie	30	M	Of Devon Estate, Talawakelle	do.
Surumbaie, <i>ww/o</i> Veerappan	52	F	Of Uda Radella Estate, Nanu Oya	do.
N. Murugan Palany <i>alias</i> Palanisamy	62	M	All of 157 Deltota Road, Galaha	do.
Poomalai	42	F		
Sevaperagasam <i>alias</i> Wimalanathan	24	M		
Ramanathan	22	M		
Sevagami <i>alias</i> Jegathambal	21	F		
Kailanathan	19	M		
Satchithanatham	11	M		
Vadivale Rajamoney <i>alias</i> Sigamoney	47	M	All of Yogaletchumy Estate, Galaha	do.
Salatchy	36	F		
Kanapathy	22	M		
Resiah <i>alias</i> Rajaratnam	18	M		
Thavamoney	17	F		
Selladurai	15	M		
Thiyagaraj <i>alias</i> Govindaraj	13	M		
Selvam	11	F		
Puwaneswari	9	F		
Kumaravale	7	M		
Pooranawathy	4	F		

I
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

II

Name	Age	Sex	Address			
Iylandam, w/w/o Veerasamy Ondimuthu	47	F	Both of New Nilambe Division, Nilambe Estate, Galaha	October 23, 1959		
<i>alias</i> Maruthai						
Cathan <i>alias</i> Rajagopal	6	M				
Selambarampillai Muthusamy Pillai	46	M	All of Godamadithawatte, Kengalla	do.		
Ahilandammal	36	F				
Kamatchyammal	13	F				
Sellammal	11	F				
Umapathie <i>alias</i> Umadevi	9	F				
Sarojini	6	F				
Arunasalam	2	M				
Sundaramoorthy	8 mths	M	.. April 4, 1960	do.		
Ramasamy Sellamuthu	37	M	All of Lower Division, Dimbula Estate, Kotagala	October 23, 1959		
Kaththaie	32	F				
Selvamoney	14	F				
Thanaletchumy	12	F				
Namanathan	10	M				
Muthusamy Perianan	55	M	All of Stonycliff Group, Kotagala	do.		
Periyammal	43	F				
Thavamoney	17	F				
Pootchy Veerappan	52	M	All of Devon Estate, Talawakelle	do.		
Letchumy	42	F				
Sakkamma I	21	F				
Subramaniam <i>alias</i> Veerapandian	15	M				
Veeriah	13	M				
Selvaraj	11	M				
Annappaikiam	8	F				
Assan Matharasa	37	M			All of Devon Estate, Talawakelle	do.
Katharamma	34	F				
Moosaliamma	11	F				
Arockiam Rayappan	49	M	All of Devon Estate, Talawakelle	do.		
Thaily	40	F				
Anthonimutto	21	M				
Muniyandy Atharmalai	35	M	All of Devon Estate, Talawakelle	do.		
Sivanammal	31	F				
Anthomany <i>alias</i> Anthoniyammal	9	F				
Karan Alagan Kandiah	55	M	All of Ythanside Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Kaliamma	50	F				
Mary <i>alias</i> Rosrie	23	F				
Thandavan Veeramuthu	62	M	All of Chrystler's Farm Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Nallammal	50	F				
Pitchy Pillai <i>alias</i> Thandaie	24	F				
Kuppaie	20	F				
Ramasamy Velu	49	M	All of Ythanside Estate, Kotagala	do.		
Mookaie	40	F				
Kandavelli <i>alias</i> Thangavelu	20	M				
Letchumy	18	F				
Mariaie	15	F				
Sinthamoney	13	F				
Janagie	10	F				
Kitnasamy	6	M				
Idumban Maruthey	39	M			All of Rosita Estate, Kotagala	do.
Letchumie	27	F				
Rasamma	8	F				
Kamalal	4	F				
Narayanan Karuppiah	57	M	All of Kincoora Division, Alton Group, Upcot	do.		
veerammmal	44	F				
Thillaiammal	22	F				
Shanmugam	19	M				
Rasaletchumie	17	F				
Sarathambal	14	F				
Sarasu <i>alias</i> Saraswathy	12	F				
Sivalingam	10	M				
Kannasan	8	M				
Sathas ivam	5	M				
Maruthai Kandan	51	M			All of L. D. K. Division, Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	do.
Rengamimah	46	F				
Marudaie	28	F				
Sannasy	25	M				
Sevanu	22	M				
Thangiah <i>alias</i> Thangavele	20	M				
Canawathie	17	M				
Mar iaie	15	F				
Arumugam <i>alias</i> Kandasamy	11	M				
Sinnapillai	10	M				
Ramasamy Subramaniam	49	M	All of Lower Division, Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	do.		
Sellamma	47	F				
Thirumala <i>alias</i> Muthusamy	26	M				
Ramaie	23	F				
Arunasalam	19	M				
Perumal <i>alias</i> Govindan	17	M				
Arayee	16	F				
Mar iaie <i>alias</i> Veeramamah	13	F				
Rasa letchumee <i>alias</i> Athileetchumie	11	F				
Chellan	9	M				
Parwathy	7	F				
Pongamma	4	F				

I
Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

II

Name	Age	Sex	Address	
Andy Munian	32	M	All of Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	October 23, 1959
Kanny	25	F		
Andy	7	M		
Letchumie	5	F		
Saraswathy	3	F		
Perumal Balakirushnan	39	M	All of Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	do.
Maruthaie	36	F		
Athyledchimey	17	F		
Kitnasamy alias Govindasamy	14	M		
Rajendara alias Taiyagarajah	11	M		
Theivane	5	F		
Arulappan Maickal	49	M	All of Upper Division, Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	do.
Michaelammal	35	F		
Annammal alias Annamaria	22	F		
Yagulam alias Yalama	19	F		
Silvester alias Siluvasi	18	M		
Eruthayam alias Arockiam	15	F		
Seslay	9	F		
Masilamaney	4	M		
Erusan Annamalai	39	M	All of Bunyan Estate, Maskeliya	do.
Sinnammah	29	F		
Sivapackiam	14	F		
Subramaniam	8	M		
Pushpa	6	F		
Balakrishnan	6	M		
Karuppiah	2	M		
Muthusamy Velayutham	29	M	All of Bunyan Estate, Maskeliya	do.
Sellammah	23	F		
Thangaraju	6	M		
Sengan Kitnan	40	M	All of Lanka Division, Glentilt Group, Maskeliya	do.
Manjaie	24	F		
Veerammah	5	F		
Ramalingam alias Ramajeyam	2	M		
Sandanam Santhiago	41	M	All of Deeside Estate, Maskeliya	do.
Jebamalaimary	36	F		
Mariamamma	19	F		
Sinnappan alias Santhappan	17	M		
Rosemary	14	F		
Aruldas alias Arulappan	11	M		
Yovan alias Fernando	9	M		
Philominamma	6	F		
Arunasalam Sithambaram	30	M	All of No. 20, Luccombe Bazaar, Maskeliya	do.
Sivapackiam	20	F		
Rajakumar	2	M		
Chandra Letchumy	10mts.	F		
Muthu Ramasamy	59	M	Both of Vellaioya Estate, Hatton	October 23, 1959
Sinnammal	38	F		
Sinnathamby Sockalingam	53	M	All of Vellai Oya Estate, Hatton	do.
Meenal alias Meenatchy	46	F		
Parwathy	28	F		
Sevanu alias Sivasamy	24	M		
Vellasamy Lacknan	50	M		
Ponnamma	36	F	All of Yuilliefield Group, Hatton	do.
Dorairaj	22	M		
Vijayaletchumy	16	F		
Gomathyammal	9	F		
Govindarajah	7	M		
Pushpan alias Pushpawathy	4	F		
Kolandy Velayutham	55	M		
Sinnamma	45	F		
Selambaie alias Palaniammah	26	F		
Puvaneswary	12	F		
Kamalam	6	F		
Ramasamy Sinna Letchuman	47	M	All of Cullen Estate, Badulla	August 12, 1957
Mariaie	34	F		
Alagar	9	M		
Thanaletchumie	3	F		
Muthuveeran	2	M		
Michael Sinnappen Rayappen	36	M	All of Koddigarapattu, Muttur	March 7, 1957 March 7, 1957 March 7, 1957 October 23, 1959 do.
Rosemary	25	F		
Gnanapragasam	4	M		
Mary Magdelene	2	F		
Samuel Jayaraj	10mts.	M		
Jeyarani	1 mt.	F		
Gnanachandiran	7 mts.	M		
Appasamy Alagarsamy alias Fonnusamy	32	M	All of No. 5A, Namunukula Bazaar, Namunukula	April 8, 1959 October 23, 1959
alias Perumal				
Ponnuthai	26	F		
Panneerselvam	9mshs.	M	All of Udawatte Kade Oodewerra Estate, Hali Ela	April 8, 1959 October 23, 1959
Palanivale Subramaniam	33	M		
Theivaniammal	19	F		
Sivasubramaniam	2	M		
Puspawathy	5mths.	F		

I

II

Particulars of Person Registered as a Citizen of Ceylon

Name	Age	Sex	Address
Marimuthu Karuppiah	35	M	All of No. 369, Demetagoda Road, Colombo 10
Letchumie	28	F	
Indra	9	F	
Mohana	6	F	
Ganeson	4	F	
Suseela	2	F	.. October 23, 1959
Marudamuthu Santhanam	35	M	All of Ambetenne Estate, Bandarawela
Vallamma	31	F	
Velaitham <i>alias</i> Kesavan	12	M	
Selvadurai	10	M	
Namossie	8	F	
Sathanandam	1	M	
Arulappan Andony	30	M	All of Forest Hill Division, Battawatte Group, Madulsima
Sivapackiam	26	F	
Jothy	9	F	
Saroja	7	F	
Jaiamoney	7	F	
Sukumar	6	M	
Jaiapalan	3	M	
Pathmanathan	2	M	
Periyannan Caruppiah	39	M	
Valliamma	34	F	.. August 19, 1957
Perumal	8	M	.. October 23, 1959
Mallika	2	F	
Rukmoney	2	F	
Regunathan Veloo	30	M	All of Nalla Mallay Division, Spring Valley Group, Spring Valley
Sellam	26	F	
Kalimuthu	2½	M	
Manoharan	5mths	M	
Arumugam Veloo	32	M	All of Nawalawatte Division, Spring Valley Group, Spring Valley, Badulla
Kalappaie	30	F	
Kannamma	4	F	
Gunawathie	3	F	
Sathasivam	1½	M	
Namasivayam	2	M	
Palany Sivasamy	38	M	All of 6th Division, Spring Valley Group, Spring Valley
Kalamam	27	F	
Rasalingam	6	M	
Sukumar	1	M	
Sarojini Devi	6mths	F	
Sinniah Mundan	33	M	All of Mawalawatte Division, Spring Valley Group, Spring Valley
Suppramaniam	11	M	
Rakkammah	7	F	
Sevanathan	5	M	
Kaliammah	3	F	
Selliah Carupiah <i>alias</i> Sevakuru	29	M	All of Lower Division, Udapolla Group, Deraniyagala
Valliamma	22	F	
Ganesan	2	M	
Marimuthu Veloo	37	M	All of 10th Mile Post Badulla Road, Passara
Valliammah	35	F	
Sellammah	14	F	
Sindamany	12	F	
Pathmanathan	11mths	M	.. October 23, 1959
Kalimuthu Poosari	54	M	All of Ambokka Division, Yataderiya Group, Undugoda
Muniammal	39	F	
Subramaniam	21	M	
Poowadiammal	19	F	
Janaki <i>alias</i> Sathoonayaki	18	F	
Karthikeson	16	M	
Shunmugam	15	M	
Pathmavathi	14	F	
Balasubramaniam	11	M	
Nutheramoorathi	7	M	
Kalimuthu	5	M	
Kanapathiammal	3	F	
Dhanaletchymie	1	F	

Price Orders

Food Price Order No. MN/6 of 1960.

MUTTON AND BEEF

THE CONTROL OF PRICES ACT, No. 29 OF 1950

Order

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by Section 4 read with Section 3 (2) of the Control of Prices Act, No. 29 of 1950, I, Don John Francis Douglas Liyanage, Deputy Controller of Prices (Food) for the Mannar District, do by this Order—

- (i) fix with effect from 5th of August, 1960, the prices specified in columns 2, 3 to 5 of the Schedule hereto to be the maximum prices per pound, above which mutton or country beef of the descriptions and grades specified in the heads of those columns, shall not be sold within the areas specified in the corresponding entry in column 1 of that Schedule;

- (ii) direct for the purpose of this Order—

- (a) "mutton" shall mean the flesh of a sheep or goat, but shall exclude all forms of offal;
 (b) "country beef" shall mean the flesh of domesticated Indian or local cattle, or of a buffalo, but shall exclude all forms of offal;

- (iii) direct that when mutton or country beef is sold with bones, the weight of the bones sold therewith shall not exceed 25 per cent. of the total weight sold;

- (iv) direct that on every area where maximum prices are fixed by this Order every trader who has any mutton or country beef of the description and grades specified in the Schedule in his possession or under his control at any place or in any vehicle, shall exhibit conspicuously at that place or on that vehicle a notice in which there shall be set out the maximum prices for mutton or country beef of the description and grades specified in the schedule fixed by this Order in that area;

- (v) direct that when mutton or country beef is sold together with offal in the course of one transaction, the mutton or country beef shall be weighed and sold separately from the offal;
- (vi) direct that every trader when selling mutton or country beef shall so place his weighing scale or scales, that the purchaser of the mutton or country beef shall be able to ascertain easily the true weight of the mutton or country beef he purchases;
- (vii) direct that every trader who sells mutton or country beef of the description and grades mentioned in the Schedule hereto shall give the purchaser of the mutton or country beef, on demand, a receipt in which there shall be set out—
- (a) the date of the sale,
- (b) the quantity of mutton or country beef sold (by weight)

- (c) the description of mutton or country beef sold, and
- (d) the price paid for the quantity of mutton or country beef sold;
- (viii) direct that in every area where maximum prices are fixed by this Order no person shall sell any article specified in the schedule which is adulterated with any other meat or flesh;
- (ix) direct that when the article specified in the Schedule is sold, the maximum prices referred to in columns 2 to 5 of the Schedule shall include the price of wrapper or container, if any, in which such article is sold;
- (x) direct that for the purpose of this Order "pound" or "lb." shall be deemed to be the standard pound avoirdupois weight.

Signed at Mannar at 11.30 a.m. on July 23rd, 1960.

D. J. F. D. LIYANAGE,
Deputy Controller of Prices (Food).

SCHEDULE

MAXIMUM PRICES FOR MUTTON AND BEEF

Column 1 Area	Column 2 Mutton (without bones) Maximum price per lb. nett Rs. c.	Column 3 Mutton (with bones) Maximum price per lb. nett Rs. c.	Column 4 Beef (without bones) Maximum price per lb. nett Rs. c.	Column 5 Beef (with bones) Maximum price per lb. nett Rs. c.
The Village Headmen Divisions of Palampiddy and Puliya-dierakam	2 75	2 25	0 85	0 75

Note.—Three prices do not constitute the fixed prices at which the above must be sold. They are the MAXIMUM prices above which sales should not take place.

Miscellaneous Departmental Notices

J/CHITHAMBARA COLLEGE AND J/SIYAGURU VIDYASALAI

Change of Management

UNDER the provisions of section 31 (5) of Ordinance No. 31 of 1939, it is hereby notified for the information of the General Public, that upon the recommendation of Mr. T. Sundarasiva, proprietor of the above schools, the said Mr. T. Sundarasiva of Valvettiturai is appointed Manager of the above schools with effect from 1.7.60, vice Mr. G. Thaiyal Paker who ceased to be Manager from that date.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASO 3129,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, 7th July, 1960.

K/HENAWELA SCHOOL, HURIKADUWA

Change of Management

UNDER the provisions of section 31 (5) of Ordinance No. 31 of 1939 it is hereby notified for the information of the General Public, that upon the recommendation of Rt. Rev. Lakdasa de Mel, Bishop of Kurunegala, proprietor of the above school Rev. D. P. Setunga, Diocesan Office, Soysakelle Road, Nawalapitiya, is appointed manager of the said school with effect from 1st April, 1960, in place of Mr. C. J. Oorloff, who ceased to be the Manager with effect from 1st April, 1960.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

ASB 5604,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, 2nd July, 1960.

KG/HABBUNKADUWA BUDDHIST MIXED SCHOOL

Change of Management

UNDER the provision of section 31 (5) of Ordinance No. 31 of 1939, it is hereby notified for general information that upon the recommendation of the Vidyaloka Society Limited, Giragama, Pilimatalawa, proprietor of the above school, Rev. N. Sri Sarankara Thero, Paladawa Vihare, Kiribathkumbura, Peradeniya, is appointed the manager of the above school in place of the General Manager, Buddhist Academy of Ceylon Limited, Mattegoda, Polgasowita, with effect from 30th June, 1960.

ASR 720,
Education Department,
Malay Street,
Colombo 2, 1st July, 1960.

S. F. DE SILVA,
Director of Education.

CIA. 102.

COMPANIES ORDINANCE, No. 51 OF 1938

Notice of Cessation

WHEREAS a Notice dated 7th July, 1960, under section 324 of the Companies Ordinance, No. 51 of 1938, has been received on behalf of Nahalma Rubber Estate Company Limited, 54, Chatham Street, Fort, Colombo, and such Notice has been duly registered.

Take notice that the aforesaid Nahalma Rubber Estate Company Limited ceased to have a place of business in the Island and that the obligations of the said Company to deliver documents for registration ceased with effect from 31st December, 1955.

W. M. SELLAYAH,
Registrar of Companies.

Department of the Registrar of Companies,
Block No. 5, Echelon Square,
Colombo 1, 16th July, 1960.

CLOSING OF GOVERNMENT STORES DEPARTMENT FOR ANNUAL STOCK-TAKING AND VERIFICATION

THE Government Stores Department will be closed in as far as issue of stores is concerned, from September 26, 1960, to October 9, 1960, (both days inclusive), for the purpose of annual stock-taking and verification.

Heads of Departments are requested to note that requisitions under Financial year 1959-60, will not be accepted after August 31, 1960, unless they are for any of the urgent requirements specified in Treasury Circular No. 962/7/138 (CTS/B) 218 of July 1, 1949.

L. A. WIJESINGHE,
Superintendent of Stores.

Colombo, July 14, 1960.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Southern Division—Tangalla District

INTERRUPTION TO TRAFFIC

IT is hereby notified that bridge No. 5/8 on Deniyaya-Pallegama Road is opened for 4 ton traffic as from 17.5.60.

T. GUNARATNAM,
for Director of Public Works.

Public Works Office,
Colombo, 18th July, 1960.

INTERRUPTION TO TRAFFIC ON ROADS

Central Division—Katugastota District

CULVERT No. 2/2 & BRIDGE No. 2/3 ON
NAPANA-WALALA ROAD

THE above culvert and bridge will be closed to all vehicular traffic from 1st August, 1960, until further notice for effecting repairs to same. The alternative route will be Madawela-Rajwella road *via* Madawela.

Public Works Office,
Colombo, 23.7.60.

T. GUNARATNAM,
for Director of Public Works.

INTERRUPTION TO TRAFFIC

Central Division—Katugastota District

BRIDGES No. 4/4 AND 4/6 ON HATARALIADDE-KANNADENIYA-ILLUKEWELA ROAD

THE above bridges will be closed to all vehicular traffic from 1st August, 1960, until further notice for effecting repairs to the bridges. The alternative route will be *via* Galagedera.

Public Works Office,
Colombo, 22nd July, 1960.

T. GUNARATNAM,
for Director of Public Works.