

# ශ්‍රී ලංකා ජනරජයේ ගැසට් පත්‍රය

## THE GAZETTE OF THE REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA (CEYLON)

අංක 56 — 1973 අප්‍රේල් 19 දිනි බ්‍රහස්පතින්දා — 1973.04.19

No. 56 — THURSDAY, APRIL 19, 1973

(Published by Authority)

### PART I: SECTION (I) — GENERAL

(Separate paging is given to each language of every Part in order that it may be filed separately.)

	PAGE		PAGE
Proclamations by the President	.. —	Price Orders	.. 303
Appointments, &c., by the President	.. 297	Central Bank of Ceylon Notices	.. —
Appointments, &c., by the Cabinet of Ministers	.. —	Accounts of the Government of Ceylon	.. —
Appointments, &c., by the Public Service Commission	.. —	Revenue and Expenditure Returns	.. —
Appointments, &c., by the Judicial Services Advisory Board	.. —	Miscellaneous Departmental Notices	.. 305
Other Appointments, &c.	.. 298	Notice of Mariners	.. —
Appointments, &c., of Registrars	.. —	"Excise Ordinance" Notices	.. —
Government Notifications	.. 298		

Note.—(i) Motor Transport (Amendment) Bill and Motor Traffic (Amendment) Bill were published as Supplements to Part II of the Gazette of the Republic of Sri Lanka (Ceylon) of April 11, 1973.

#### Appointments, &c., by the President

No. 230 of 1973

No. 231 of 1973

D/VF/36.

D/VF/22 (A).

ARMY—SLVF—PROMOTIONS APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE PRESIDENT

ARMY—SLVF—COMMISSION AND POSTING APPROVED BY HIS EXCELLENCY THE PRESIDENT

To be Captains (Quartermaster) (Technical) with effect from December 14, 1968—

HIS EXCELLENCY THE PRESIDENT has been pleased to approve the Commissioning of the undermentioned Warrant Officer as a Lieutenant (Quartermaster) (General Duties) in the Sri Lanka Volunteer Force of the Army and his posting to the 2nd (Volunteer) Battalion, the Ceylon Sinha Regiment with effect from July 17, 1972.

Lieutenant (Quartermaster) MARK ANNESLY RICHARD DE FONSEKA, CE.

Lieutenant (Quartermaster) DAYANANDA GARDIYA MANAWADU, CE.

Lieutenant (Quartermaster) KAILASAPILLAI MANIARPILLAI, CE.

19 Temporary Warrant Officer I—DAHAMSIRI NISSANKA DE SILVA.

By His Excellency's command,

By His Excellency's command,

W. T. JAYASINGHE,  
Secretary,  
Ministry of Defence and Foreign Affairs.

W. T. JAYASINGHE,  
Secretary,  
Ministry of Defence and Foreign Affairs.

Colombo, 12th December, 1972.

Colombo, 24th March, 1973.

4-438—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

4-551—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

#### SPECIAL NOTICE REGARDING FORWARDING OF NOTICES FOR PUBLICATION IN THE WEEKLY GAZETTE

ATTENTION is drawn to the Important Notice, appearing at the end of each part of this Gazette, regarding dates of publication of the future weekly Gazettes and the latest times by which Notices will be accepted by the Government Printer for publication therein. All notices for publication in the Gazette received out of times specified in the said notice will be returned to the senders concerned.

L. W. P. PEIRIS,  
Government Printer.

Department of Government Printing,  
Colombo, December 15, 1972.

### Other Appointments, &c.

No. 232 of 1973

Pa. 4/2/13.,	Pa. 4/1/9/49/73.,
Pa. 4/2/16.,	Pa. 4/1/12/33/73/(Va.),
Pa. 4/1/32/72.,	Pa. 4/1/12/35/72 (Va.),
Pa. 4/1/2/2/72.,	Pa. 4/1/14/58/73.,
Pa. 4/1/2/18/73.,	Pa. 4/1/14/61/73.,
Pa. 4/1/3/29/73 (Va.),	Pa. 4/1/15/57/72 (Va.),
Pa. 4/1/5/11/73.,	Pa. 4/1/25/94/72 (Va.),
Pa. 4/1/5/14/73.,	Pa. 4/1/32/113/73.,
Pa. 4/1/5/14/73 (Va.),	Pa. 4/1/37/138/72 (Va.),
Pa. 4/1/7/28/73.,	Pa. 4/1/37/138/73 (Va.),
Pa. 4/1/9/38/73.,	Pa. 4/1/38/134/73.
Pa. 4/1/9/43/72/(Va.),	

#### COURTS ORDINANCE

BY virtue of the powers delegated to me under sections 82 and 83 of the Courts Ordinance (Chapter 6), I, Felix Reginald Dias Bandaranaike, Minister of Justice, do hereby appoint:—

1. Mr. SAMARAPPULI ARACHCHIGE PIYASENA, while holding the post of Chairman, Conciliation Board of Makandura Village area in the Kurunegala District, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Kurunegala.
2. Mr. T. B. DASANAYAKE, while holding the post of Chairman, Conciliation Board of Kiralawa Korale East Village area in the Anuradhapura District, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Anuradhapura.
3. Mr. WEERASINGHE ARACHCHIGE HEMAPALA, while holding the post of Chairman, Conciliation Board of Udapalatha Village area in the Amparai District, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Batticaloa.
4. Mr. MATHOTA GAMARALAGE JAYASENA, while holding the post of Chairman, Conciliation Board of Medapalatha Village area in the Amparai District, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Batticaloa.
5. Mr. ATHURUGIRI ARACHCHILAGE LUWIS SINGHO, while holding the post of Chairman, Conciliation Board of Polgahawela Town Council area in the Kurunegala District, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Kurunegala.
6. Mr. HABIBU MOHAMED ASEN MOHIDEEN, while holding the post of Chairman, Conciliation Board of Kalpitiya Village area in the Puttalam District, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Puttalam.
7. Mr. MOHAMED ISMAIL MOHAMED HANIFA, while holding the post of Chairman, Conciliation Board of Potuwil Village area in the Amparai District, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Batticaloa.
8. Mr. ABRAHAM DE ALWIS, while holding the post of Chairman, Conciliation Board of Bentota Town Council area in the Galle District, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Balapitiya.
9. Mr. G. B. EKANAYAKE, while holding the post of Assistant Commissioner, National Housing Department, Colombo Office, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Colombo.
10. Mr. G. KARUNARATNE, while holding the post of Assistant Commissioner, National Housing Department, Galle Office, to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Galle.
11. Mr. MAHINDA BULANKULAMA to be a Justice of the Peace and an Unofficial Magistrate for the Judicial District of Anuradhapura.
12. Mr. OWEN DE MEL to be a Justice of the Peace and an Unofficial Magistrate for the Judicial District of Anuradhapura.

13. Mrs. SULEIHA MARLIN to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Colombo.
14. Mr. L. L. RAJAPAKSHE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Colombo.
15. Mr. K. V. CYBIL to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Kalutara.
16. Mr. JAYANTHA SENARATH KULATILAKE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Gampaha.
17. Mr. W. A. AMARASENA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Gampaha.
18. Mr. S. A. EMANIS to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Gampaha.
19. Mr. W. O. FERNANDO to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Panadura.
20. Mr. OMAR LEBBE MUHAMED CASEEM to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Kandy.
21. Mr. GAME GURUNANSELA GEDARA NUHU LEBBE SEED MOHAMED to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Kandy.
22. Mr. RATHNATILAKE MUDIYANSELAGE UKKUBANDA RATHNATILAKE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Kandy.
23. Mr. G. HEENBANDA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Matale.
24. Mr. K. B. DISSANAYAKE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Matale.
25. Mr. E. G. DEARMADASA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Matale.
26. Mr. S. M. PIYADASA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Matale.
27. Mr. IHALAGEDERA DINGIRIBANDA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Matale.
28. Mr. M. M. G. GUNARATNE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Matale.
29. Mr. INDURUWE MULLIE ARACHCHIGE DON FRANCIS GUNASEKERA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Galle.
30. Mr. M. K. EDWIN to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Galle.
31. Mr. TUDOR APHA SENEVIRATNE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Balapitiya.
32. Mr. KANDAPPAN KANAPATHIPILLAI to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Batticaloa.
33. Mr. MIRA LEBBE MARIKKAR MOHAMED LEBBE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Batticaloa.
34. Mr. G. S. P. PERERA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Anuradhapura.
35. Mr. YOGAMAGE CHARLIS SINGHO to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Ratnapura.
36. Mr. BATADUWA ARACHCHILAGE PIYADASA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Avissawella.
37. Mr. SURIYA ARACHCHIGE MAHEEPALA PERERA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Ratnapura.
38. Mr. VITHARAMALAGE SUSIL PREMASINGHE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Ratnapura.
39. Mr. PREMADASA WIJESSEKERA to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Kegalle.
40. Mrs. K. M. G. DASWATTE to be a Justice of the Peace for the Judicial District of Kegalle.

FELIX R. DIAS BANDARANAIKE,  
Minister of Justice.

Ministry of Justice,  
Colombo 12, 30th March, 1973.

4-502—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

### Government Notifications

8/A/42.

#### THE CROWN LANDS ORDINANCE

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by section 63 (1) of the Crown Lands Ordinance (Chapter 454), I, Hector Kobbekaduwa, Minister of Agriculture and Lands, do by this notification declare that part of the foreshore which is specified in the Schedule hereto, as an area from or over which no sand stone, coral or other substance shall be removed.

H. KOBBEKADUWA,  
Minister of Agriculture and Lands.  
Colombo, 4th April, 1973.

#### Schedule

All that part of the foreshore from Punnaikudah Munal in Punnaikudah Bay in the D. R. O's Division of Eravur Pattu northwards to the point where the Panichchankerni lagoon enters the sea in the D. R. O's Division of Korlai North.

4-478—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

L. D.—B. 154/33.

THE POST OFFICE ORDINANCE

RULE made by the Minister of Posts and Telecommunications under section 8 of the Post Office Ordinance (Chapter 190).

C. KUMARASURIAR,

Minister of Posts and Telecommunications.

Colombo, 6th April, 1973.

Rule

The Inland Post Rules, 1934, published in the Supplement to Gazette No. 8,093 of November 30, 1934, as amended by rule published in Gazette No. 11,046 of January 11, 1957, and Gazette No. 11,771 of June 12, 1959, are hereby further amended, by the insertion, at the end of rule 85 thereof, of the following proviso:—

" Provided that in the case of a member the National State Assembly, his name or signature and his official designation may be written or stamped on the envelope or cover, in the language in which he is accustomed to sign "

4-476—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

SRI LANKA STATE TRADING CORPORATION

ACT, No. 33 OF 1970

NOTICE is hereby given that as required under Section 47 (1) of the above Act, the amount of compensation payable shall be determined by me in respect of the notified property, vested in the Sri Lanka State Trading (General) Corporation by vesting order No. 2 dated 28.8.1971 published in the *Ceylon Government Gazette* (Extra Ordinary) No. 14,973/2 of 28.8.71.

All persons who had an interest in the aforesaid notified property are hereby informed that before such compensation is determined they are entitled to adduce before me, by themselves

or by representatives authorised in that behalf, evidence with regard to the value of such notified property under section 47 (2) of the above Act.

All such persons who wish to adduce such evidence are required to appear before me, personally or by authorised representative at the Divisional Office, Valuation Department, 3rd Floor, Savoy Building, Colombo 6, at 9 a.m. on 5th May, 1973.

L. O. DE SILVA,  
Acting Chief Valuer.

Valuation Department,  
Savoy Building,  
Colombo 6, 7th April, 1973.

4-524—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

NOTICE UNDER THE WEIGHTS AND MEASURES  
ORDINANCE

THE Minister of Foreign and Internal Trade, has been pleased to appoint Mr. UPANANDA SENARATNE, as an Examiner of Standards of the Price Control Department in terms of section 2 (1) (c) of the Weights and Measures Ordinance as amended

by the Weights and Measures Act, No. 7 of 1971, with effect from the 20th March, 1973.

JAYANTHA KELEGAMA,  
Secretary,

Ministry of Foreign and Internal Trade.

Colombo, 2nd April, 1973.

4-528—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

Order No. 14

THE MAHAWELI DEVELOPMENT BOARD ACT, No. 14  
OF 1970

Order under Section 27

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by Section 27 of the Mahaweli Development Board Act, No. 14 of 1970, I, Maitripala Senanayake, Minister of Irrigation, Power and Highways, do hereby this order approve the proposed Acquisition of the land required by the Mahaweli Development Board and described in the Schedule hereto.

MAITRIPALA SENANAYAKE,  
Minister of Irrigation, Power and  
Highways.

Ref. No. Ministry:—W/MD/LA/2/73  
Ref. No. M. D. B.:—ENG/POL/9-D  
Colombo, 10th April, 1973.

SCHEDULE

A portion of land in extent 1A. 1R. 30P. situated in Wariyapola-Tibbotumulla Village, No. 354, Wariyapola Gramasevaka Division in Ukuwela D. R. O's Division in the District of Matale, Central Province in the Republic of Sri Lanka, within the following boundaries:—

Wariyapola watte—Extent 1A. 1R. 30P.

North: Part of same land;

South: P. W. D. road;

East: Part of same land;

West: Part of same land.

Claimed by:—Wariyapola Group.

4-553—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

THE CONTROL OF PRICES ACT

IT is hereby notified under section 4 (7) of the Control of Prices Act (Chapter 173), that the Minister of Foreign and Internal Trade has approved on 30.3.1973, the Control of Prices (Sustagen) Order No. 1 of 1973, made by the Controller of Prices (Food and Miscellaneous Articles), and published in the *Gazette Extra-*

ordinary of the Republic of Sri Lanka (Ceylon) No. 46/8 of 12th February, 1973.

JAYANTHA KELEGAMA,  
Secretary,  
Ministry of Foreign and Internal Trade.

Colombo, 30th March, 1973.

4-300—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

THE CONTROL OF PRICES ACT

IT is hereby notified under section 4 (7) of the Control of Prices Act (Chapter 173), that the Minister of Foreign and Internal Trade has approved on 30.3.1973, the Control of Prices (Imported Textiles) Order No. 1 of 1973, made by the Controller of Prices (Food and Miscellaneous Articles), and published in the *Gazette*

Extraordinary of the Republic of Sri Lanka (Ceylon) No. 49/5 of 6th March, 1973.

JAYANTHA KELEGAMA,  
Secretary,  
Ministry of Foreign and Internal Trade.

Colombo, 30th March, 1973.

4-282—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

THE NATIONAL HOUSING ACT, No. 37 OF 1954  
Certificate under Section 49

5941

BY virtue of powers vested in me by Section 49 of the National Housing Act, No. 37 of 1954, I, Pieter Gerald Bartholomeusz Keuneman, Minister of Housing & Construction, do hereby certify that the land described in the Schedule hereto should be acquired by the Government for the purpose of being made available for the carrying out of any housing object within the meaning of Section 2 of that Act.

P. G. B. KEUNEMAN,  
Minister of Housing & Construction.

Colombo, March 21, 1973.  
MAHA 4/ATH/189.

## SCHEDULE No. 1

All that allotments of land out of the land called Hunugawatte situated within the Unambuwa (293/A) Grama Sevaka Division, Gampola, Udapalatha D. R. O's Division, Kandy District, Central Province and bounded as follows:

- (A) North: Balance of Hunugala Estate and lands owned by Unambuwa Temple, H. M. Heenbanda and H. M. Dingiribanda;  
East: Approach road to the balance of the same land;  
South: Approach road to the balance of the same land and public road;  
West: Public road and lands owned by H. M. Dingiribanda, H. M. Heenbanda and Unambuwa temple.  
Extent: A1. R2. P00.

- (B) North: Estate road and balance of Hunugawatte;  
East: Lands owned by H. M. Dingiribanda and T. B. Alahakoon;  
South: V.C. road;  
West: Estate road and V.C. road.  
Extent: A2. R0. P27.

- (C) North: V.C. road;  
East: Paddy field owned by H. M. Dingiribanda;  
South: Liyangahadeniyawatte and paddy field owned by H. M. Dingiribanda;  
West: Balance of Hunugawatte.  
Extent: A0. R1. P00.

## SCHEDULE No. 2

Right of way over the estate road to provide access to the land described in Schedule No. 1 above and bounded as follows:

- North: Balance of this land (Hunugawatte);  
East: Balance of this land (Hunugawatte);  
South: Balance of this land (Hunugawatte);  
West: Balance of this land and public road.  
Extent: A0. R2. P13.

4-521—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

## THE WAGES BOARDS ORDINANCE

## Notification

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by section 20 (2) (d) of the Wages Boards Ordinance (Chapter 136), I, Michael Paul de Zoysa Siriwardena, Minister of Labour, do hereby revoke the appointment, published in *Gazette* No. 10,108 of June 2, 1950, of the Director of Census and Statistics, as the compe-

tent authority to ascertain monthly the cost of living index number applicable to the workers employed in the Building Trade.

M. P. DE Z. SIRIWARDENA,  
Minister of Labour.

Colombo, 30th March, 1973.

4-537—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

## THE WAGES BOARDS ORDINANCE

## Notification

IN accordance with the provisions of section 28 of the Wages Boards Ordinance (Chapter 136), the Wages Board for the Tea Export Trade hereby notifies that the board by virtue of the powers vested in it by section 30 of the aforesaid Ordinance, proposes to vary, in the manner set out in the Schedule hereto, such decisions of the board as are specified in the Schedule.

Objections to the aforesaid proposal will be received by the Chairman of the Board until 12 noon on April 30, 1973.

Every such objection must be made in writing and must contain a statement of the grounds upon which such objection is taken.

W. L. P. DE MEL,  
Chairman,

Wages Board for the Tea Export Trade.

Colombo, 10th April, 1973.

## SCHEDULE

The decisions made by the Wages Board for the Tea Export Trade and set out in the Schedule to the notification published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,436 of July 21, 1945, as varied from time to time, shall be further varied in Part III of the Schedule under the sub-heading "Public Holidays" by the substitution for paragraph 1 (a) of the following paragraph:—

## "PUBLIC HOLIDAYS"

1. (a) Subject to the provisions of this paragraph and of paragraph 2, every employer shall allow as holidays with remuneration to all workers employed by him, the following public holidays within the meaning of the Holidays Act, No. 29 of 1971:
- (1) The Tamil Thai Pongal Day;
  - (2) The Sinhala and Tamil New Year's Day;
  - (3) Milad-un-Nabi (Holy Prophet's Birthday);
  - (4) May Day (May 1);
  - (5) The day immediately succeeding the Full Moon Day of Sinhala month of Wesak;
  - (6) Republic Day (May 22);
  - (7) Christmas Day.

4-543/1—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

## THE WAGES BOARDS ORDINANCE

## Notification

IN accordance with the provisions of section 28 of the Wages Boards Ordinance (Chapter 136), the Wages Board for the Rubber Export Trade hereby notifies that the Board by virtue of the powers vested in it by section 30 of the aforesaid Ordinance, proposes to vary, in the manner set out in the Schedule hereto, such decisions of the Board as are specified in that Schedule.

Objections to the aforesaid proposal will be received by the Chairman of the Board until 12 noon on April 30, 1973.

Every such objection must be made in writing and must contain a statement of the grounds upon which such objection is taken.

W. L. P. DE MEL,  
Chairman,

Wages Board for the Rubber Export Trade.

Colombo, 10th April, 1973.

## SCHEDULE

The decisions made by the Wages Board for the Rubber Export Trade and set out in the Schedule to the notification published in *Gazette Extraordinary* No. 9,436 of July 21, 1945, as varied from time to time, shall be further varied in Part II of the Schedule under the sub-heading "Public Holidays" by the substitution for paragraph 1 (a) of the following paragraph:—

## "PUBLIC HOLIDAYS"

1. (a) Subject to the provisions of this paragraph and of paragraph 2, every employer shall allow as holidays with remuneration to all workers employed by him, the following public holidays within the meaning of the Holidays Act, No. 29 of 1971:
- (1) The Tamil Thai Pongal Day;
  - (2) The day immediately prior to the Sinhala and Tamil New Year's Day;
  - (3) The Sinhala and Tamil New Year's Day;
  - (4) Milad-un-Nabi (Holy Prophet's Birthday);
  - (5) May Day (May 1);
  - (6) The day immediately succeeding the Full Moon Day of Sinhala month of Wesak;
  - (7) Republic Day (May 22);
  - (8) Bandaranaike Commemoration Day (September 26);
  - (9) Christmas Day.

4-543/2—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

My No. W. 105/909.

**THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, CHAPTER 131**

THE Award transmitted to me, by the President, Labour Tribunal to whom the industrial dispute which has arisen between the Ilankai Thozhilalar Kazhakam, 117 1/8, Dimbulla Road, Hatton, and The Estates and Agency Co. Ltd., the Proprietors of Dunsinan Estate, Pundaluoya, C/o. Messrs. George Steuart & Co. Ltd., 45, Queens Street, Colombo 1, was referred by order dated July 27, 1971, made under section 4 (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, Chapter 131 as amended and published in the *Ceylon Government Gazette* No. 14,970 of August 5, 1971, for settlement by arbitration is hereby published in terms of section 18 (1) of the said Act.

W. L. P. DE MEL,  
Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour,  
Labour Secretariat,  
Colombo 5, 07th April, 1973.

9/AB/8/69.

In the matter of an industrial dispute  
between

The Ilankai Thozhilalar Kazhakam, 117 1/8, Dimbulla Road,  
Hatton,

and

The Estates & Agency Co. Ltd.,

The Proprietor of Dunsinan Estates, Pundaluoya  
C/o. Messrs. George Steuarts & Co. Ltd.,  
45, Queens Street, Colombo 1.

Award

The Minister of Labour by virtue of the powers vested in him under section 4 (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, Chapter 131 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon, with the amendments thereto has, by his Order dated 15th July, 1969, referred the following matters in dispute to me for settlement by arbitration:—

“ Whether the termination of the services of the following workers on Dunsinan Estate, Pundaluoya, by the management of Dunsinan Estate is justified and to what relief each of them is entitled.

- (1) Mr. Narayanan of Thannery Kangany.
- (2) Mr. Kandan of Kaliyan Kangany.
- (3) Mrs. Papal w/o. Kandan.
- (4) Mr. Kanapathy s/o. Kandan.
- (5) Mr. Kaliyan Kangany.
- (6) Mrs. Carupae w/o. Kaliyan.
- (7) Mr. Palaniappan of Marjappan Kangany.
- (8) Mrs. Periyamma w/o. Narayanan.

It would appear that prior to the date on which the work of the workmen concerned came to be stopped the Assistant Superintendent had ordered certain Kanganies; one of whom was Kaliyan Kangany the 5th person named in the statement of reference; to report at his office for a relatively small matter of discipline. The evidence is to the effect that there was no protest by the other Kanganies who were accordingly dealt with and an extra rate they were enjoying was cut but Kaliyan Kangany who had gone to meet the Assistant Superintendent subsequently had protested.

According to the employers Kaliyan Kangany had gathered together three other persons namely Narayanan, Kandan and Palaniappan, the first, second and 7th workmen named in the statement of reference, to support him in the protest. The protest had been; according to the employer; a concerted outburst with threats and abusive language. The Assistant Superintendent further stated in evidence that he was even prevented by these 4 workmen from closing the window of his own office.

The only evidence for the employer regarding this incident is that of the Assistant Superintendent Gunaratne. According to Gunaratne's evidence the statements of some other workmen were also recorded at the domestic level but such evidence was not placed before me.

The Union claims that it was a practise; if not a privilege; for the Kanganies to leave the field early because of certain extra duties.

The question before me is whether the conduct of the four workmen referred to at the window of the Assistant Superintendent when the incident referred to is alleged to have taken place warranted termination of their services. The domestic inquiry if held had to be of an ex-parte nature since the four workmen concerned had not presented themselves apparently for good reason. I may also presume that the decision after the domestic inquiry would have been mainly if not entirely; on the statement of the Assistant Superintendent

Gunaratne since it seems to me that the evidence of the other workmen who the Assistant Superintendent stated in evidence were present about his office premises when the incident referred to occurred would not have been of much value. Had it been otherwise the evidence of those workmen would have been made available to the Tribunal.

The main arguments urged by the Union were (1) that the evidence of the Assistant Superintendent stands uncorroborated.

(2) the witnesses who had made statements at the domestic inquiry were not presented to the Tribunal,

(3) there is no evidence as to who uttered any particular offensive word or words and,

(4) the burden of proof is the case as in a criminal case which burden if not discharged, the workmen are entitled to be exonerated.

The fact that the employer did not make available to me the evidence of the workmen who are alleged to have made statements at the domestic inquiry gives rise to the presumption that such evidence had it been placed would not have been favourable to the employer. This does not mean that such evidence would have been unfavourable to the employer for I have the convers presumption that should such evidence would have been unfavourable to the employer such evidence would have been procured by the Union. Therefore my conclusion is that the evidence that could have been placed before me from those workmen would not have carried weight either way.

In such a case the only evidence that mattered that could truthfully be placed before me would be the evidence of the Assistant Superintendent. If only the Assistant Superintendent could give evidence that mattered I would rather have only his.

The question now arises as to whether the evidence of the Assistant Superintendent alone is sufficient for the purpose of holding that the termination is justified. To come to a conclusion on this matter it is not necessary to apply the standards of proof required to prove the workmen guilty of the corresponding criminal charges. If in fact the four workmen referred to had hurled abuse and threats; whether or not accompanied by violence before or after; I feel the management cannot be expected to condone such action simply because no other witnesses were available.

The absence of other witnesses to support the cause of the employer is indeed a great handicap and I am alive to the responsibilities in evaluating the evidence for the employer in this connection.

The standard applied is could it be said considering all the circumstances, that the Assistant Superintendent Gunaratne cooked up a story against these four workmen? A doubt would annure to the benefit of the workmen.

Kaliyan Kangany was one of the several Kanganies disciplinarily dealt with by the Assistant Superintendent for a lapse of due attendance in the field. Kaliyan Kangany was the only one who had protested. There is nothing to indicate that there was any victimization of Kaliyan Kangany. There is nothing to indicate that the Assistant Superintendent had any reason to have any advers disposition towards Kaliyan Kangany.

Kaliyan Kangany had been supported in his protest by the three other workmen referred to namely Narayanan, Kandan and Palaniappan, all members of the Ilankai Thozhilalar Kazhakam Mr. Advocate Navaratnam in his submissions referred to instances when the Ilankai Thozhilalar Kazhakam spokesman Narayanan had been taken to task by the Assistant Superintendent Gunaratne. This in Mr. Navaratnam's view is an indication that Gunaratne had a grudge against Narayan. I am inclined to the view that it is the convers that is probable; that Narayanan had reasons for a grudge against Gunaratne. If Narayanan had made complains against Gunaratne to the Superintendent and the result was only a penalty on Narayanan I cannot see why Gunaratne should bear the grudge. Gunaratne perhaps might thereafter have treated Narayanan with an element of distrust and suspicion if he remembered it. Gunaratne has mentioned that it does happen that workers make allegations against him to the Superior officers. Nothing indicates that he attached much importance to the allegations.

Immediately after the incident at the window both the Assistant Superintendent and the 3 workmen referred to had hastened to the Superintendent's bungalow. If the incident at the window had been so mild as the Union tried to make out there was no need for the Assistant Superintendent to rush to the Superintendent. There was absolutely no need for the Assistant Superintendent to concoct a fictitious incident against these four workmen.

It may have been more prudent for the Superintendent himself to have recorded the statements of the four workmen instead of requiring it to be done by the Assistant Superintendent who was featuring in the dispute. It could have been that the

Superintendent, for the solidarity of the management, thought it fit to get the statements recorded by the Assistant Superintendent. The four workmen at that instant, do not seem to have protested against the Assistant Superintendent himself recording the statements. The workmen in their evidence have stated that this was the reason why they did not go for the inquiry. If at all their unpreparedness to go before the Assistant Superintendent had been mentioned to the Superintendent at that time it appears to me it would have been only in passing and not with any keenness to attend the inquiry for by now the workmen seem to have been fairly sure of what action to take next. I am satisfied that an incident of a nature akin to insults and humiliations sufficient to warrant the sternest disciplinary action had occurred at the window as alleged by the employer.

The next question is whether the four workmen can be penalized without the employer being able to state which workman uttered which words. Kaliyan Kangany was the workman whose extra rate had been cut by the Assistant Superintendent Gunaratne. It was as a result of this that the whole incident at the Assistant Superintendent's window took place. Kandan is the son-in-law of Kaliyan Kangany. Kaliyan Kangany, Palaniappan and Kandan are members of the Illankai Thozhilalr Kazhagam along with Narayanan who is also the spokesman of the Illankai Thozhilalr Kazhagam. The contradictions in the evidence of these four workmen regarding how they went to the Assistant Superintendent's office on the morning in question leaves no doubt that they were all trying to conceal the fact that they had gone for one purpose. Even if the passage of time a workman cannot forget whether he set out alone or in the company of another and whether they were met by the other two on the way on this memorable day. The workmen themselves were confused as to what the evidence they were to give was. This confusion, uncertainties and contradictions can only be explained as an attempt to conceal the fact that they had a common purpose. The common purpose was to protest. The antecedents and circumstances indicate that this group of four men would not have banded themselves together for prayer and supplication. I am satisfied that the protest unfortunately exceeded the limits that the average employer could be expected to tolerate. I cannot believe that the four workmen went before the Assistant Superintendent that morning for the independent causes of each.

I am satisfied that all three of them acted in concert. Their subsequent conduct too leave no doubt that whatever incident took place at that window had the approval of all four of them. There was no mediation by any one of them immediately there after expressing regret for over-stepping the bound of a protest originally planned.

Such being the case I am constrained to the view that the employer had not alternative in the circumstance than to dismiss the four workmen Narayanan, Kandan, Kaliyan Kangany and Palaniappan. 4

The services of the other four workmen Periamma, W/o Narayanan, Pappi W/o Kandan; Kanapathy S/o Kandan and Karupai W/o Kaliyan Kangany had been terminated as required by Law upon the termination of the services of Narayanan, Kandan and Kaliyan Kangany. There is no dispute about the correctness of such consequential termination if the main dismissals were justifiable.

I hold that the termination of services of Narayanan, Kandan, Kaliyan Kangany and palaniappan have been for good and valid reasons and is justified. I hold that the termination of the services of Pappal, Kanapathy, Karupai, Periamma consequentially is lawful.

The termination of the services therefore of all the eight workmen in the reference being justified and lawful, no relief is due to them on account of the termination. The workmen however cannot be denied the gratuity if any due to them. Kaliyan Kangany is said to have received his gratuity and departed. The award in this instant matter, limited as it is in its scope, will not prejudice the gratuity entitlement of the workmen subject to the payment made to Kaliyan Kangany.

I make award accordingly.

(In his submissions Mr. Nawaratnam has referred to certain facts suggesting the feasibility of reinstatement even if the termination of services is justified. He has referred to cordial relations between the employer and the Union since this dispute. If these be so it will be in the interests of strengthening cordial relations for the management, to consider re-employment of the workmen without back wages and without a break in service. This re-employment suggested will not be matter of right of the workmen under this Award but a matter entirely in the description of the employer.)

T. S. A. PERERA,  
President,  
Labour Tribunal, (10).

Dated at Hatton, this 16th day of March, 1973.

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Award made in Labour Tribunal Hatton, in case No. 9/AB/8/69.

M. JAINULABDEEN,  
Assistant Secretary,  
L.T. (10).

Dated at Hatton, this 16th day of March, 1973.

-5 23—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, CHAPTER 131 OF  
THE LEGISLATIVE ENACTMENTS OF CEYLON

(1956 REVISED EDITION)

Order under section 4 (1)

WHEREAS an industrial dispute in respect of the matter specified in the statement of the Commissioner of Labour which accompanies this order exists between United Tea, Rubber and Local Produce Workers' Union, 71, Malay Street, Colombo and (i) The Maharaja Organisation Ltd., P. O. Box 319, Colombo and (ii) A. F. Jones (Exporters) Ltd., P. O. Box 261, Colombo. Now, Therefore, I, Michael Paul de Zoysa Siriwardena Minister of Labour, do by virtue of the powers vested in me by Section 4 (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, Chapter 131 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon (1956 Revised Edition), as amended by Acts, Nos. 14 of 1957, 62 of 1957, 4 of 1962 and 39 of 1968 (read with Industrial Disputes (Special Provisions) Act, No. 37 of 1968) hereby appoint Mr. J. G. L. Swaris of No. 18, Pagoda Road, Nugegoda, to be the Arbitrator and refer the aforesaid dispute to him for settlement by arbitration.

M. P. DE Z. SIRIWARDENA,  
Minister of Labour.

Colombo, 3rd April, 1973.

THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, CHAPTER 131 OF  
THE LEGISLATIVE ENACTMENTS, CEYLON

(1956 Revised Edition)

In the matter of an Industrial dispute between

United Tea, Rubber and Local Produce Workers' Union,  
71, Malay Street, Colombo 2 of the one part

and

(i) The Maharaja Organisation Ltd., P. O. Box 319, Colombo  
and

(ii) A. F. Jones (Exporters) Ltd., P. O. Box 261, Colombo  
of the other part..

STATEMENT OF MATTER IN DISPUTE

The matter in dispute between the aforesaid parties is whether the demand of the United Tea, Rubber & Local Produce Workers' Union for the reinstatement of the following workmen by Messrs. A. F. Jones (Exporters) Ltd., and Maharajah Organisation Ltd., is justified and to what relief each of them is entitled.

1. D. K. Somasiri.
2. Ernest Perera.
3. A. Ramachandra.
4. A. S. Balakrishnan.
5. Raja Upasena.
6. Pole Kankasunderam.
7. M. D. Silva (Mrs.)
8. D. S. Daniel.
9. Leslie Simon.
10. L. A. K. Dias.
11. R. Devarajah.
12. R. L. A. Abeywardena.
13. A. M. Dayartna.
14. Vincent Fernando.
15. B. L. M. Lye.
16. Nimal Dias.
17. W. C. M. Botheju.

Dated at the Office of the Commissioner of Labour, Colombo.  
This 26th day of March, 1973.

W. L. P. DE MEL,  
Commissioner of Labour.

4-520—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

My No. T 23/CO. 1027/71.

**THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, CHAPTER 131**

THE Award transmitted to me by the arbitrator to whom the industrial dispute which has arisen between Mr. T. A. Suhood, 116/1, Sea Street, Negombo and Bonars (Ceylon) Limited, 15, Morgan Road, Colombo 2, was referred by order dated 14th December, 1972, made under section 4 (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, Chapter 131 as amended and published in the *Gazette of the Republic of Sri Lanka (Ceylon)* No. 40 of December 29, 1972, for settlement by arbitration is hereby published in terms of section 18 (1) of the said Act.

W. L. P. DE MEL,  
Commissioner of Labour.

Department of Labour,  
Labour Secretariat,  
Colombo 5,  
2nd April, 1973.

No. T. 23/CO 1027/71.

A-1207

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute  
between

Mr. T. A. Suhood,  
116/1, Sea Street, Negombo,  
and

Bonars (Ceylon) Limited,  
15, Morgan Road, Colombo 2.

Award

The Minister of Labour by virtue of the powers vested in him by section 4 (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, Chapter 131 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon with amendments thereto, has referred the following matter to me for settlement by arbitration, by his Order dated 14th December, 1972.

The matter in dispute between the aforesaid parties is "whether the interdiction of Mr. T. A. Suhood, with effect from 13.5.71, and his subsequent non-employment by the Management of Bonars (Ceylon) Ltd., was justified and to what relief is Mr. Suhood entitled".

At the hearing Messrs. Bonars (Ceylon) Ltd., was not represented. Mr. Phillipiah for the applicant workman made submissions that Mr. Suhood was interdicted with effect from 31.5.71 by letter dated 31.5.71. The letter states that a charge sheet will follow. This charge sheet has never seen the light of day and Mr. Suhood is in darkness as to know what irregularities and charges are alleged to have been committed. Interdictions still remains an interdiction, and Messrs. Bonars (Ceylon) Ltd., have been closed and purchased by someone else. Therefore Mr. Suhood is not able to obtain re-employment.

This interdiction to my mind is unjustified and unjustifiable. Therefore it is no wonder that Mr. Suhood is claiming compensation for the loss of privileges, benefits and remuneration enumerated by Mr. Phillipiah.

Notice to attend has been served through the Police on the Chairman, Board of Directors, Messrs. Bonars (Ceylon) Ltd., in spite of which, as in a parallel case of this same Company before me, the Company has failed to appear or be represented.

In the circumstances I have given careful consideration to the facts as set out by Mr. Phillipiah on behalf of Mr. T. A. Suhood. I therefore make award against Messrs. Bonars (Ceylon) Limited in favour of Mr. T. A. Suhood in a sum of Rs. 98,130 as meeting all claims by him against the Company, together with costs fixed at twenty five guineas, which amounts shall be payable to him through the Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Colombo South, 4th Floor, Labour Secretariat, Nara-hempita, Colombo 5. This award shall have immediate effect and as from the date of this Award.

N. EDIRISINGHE,  
Arbitrator.

Dated at Colombo, this 27th day of March, 1973.  
4-527—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

## Price Orders

Price Order No. BT/1/73.

WHEAT FLOUR

### THE CONTROL OF PRICES ACT

Order

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by Section 4, read with Section 3(2) of the Control of Prices Act (Chapter 173), I, George Karunaratne, Assistant Controller of Prices, Batticaloa District, do by this order—

- (1) revoke with immediate effect the Price Order No. BT/1/67 dated 25th November, 1967 and published in *Ceylon Government Gazette Extraordinary* No. 14,776/10 of 27th November, 1967;
- (2) fix the prices specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule hereto to be the maximum wholesale prices per 148 lb. nett above which wheat flour shall not be sold by wholesale in the areas mentioned in the corresponding entries in column 1 of the same schedule;
- (3) fix the prices specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule hereto to be the maximum retail prices per pound nett above which wheat flour shall not be sold by retail in the areas mentioned in the corresponding entries in column 1 of the same schedule;
- (4) direct that for the purpose of this Order—
  - (a) any sale of any quantity of wheat flour for the purpose of re-sale, or any sale of wheat flour in a quantity of 148 lb. nett or more at a time shall be deemed to be a sale by wholesale;
  - (b) any sale of any quantity of wheat flour less than 148 lb. nett for the purpose of consumption or use shall be deemed to be a sale by retail;
  - (c) "Wheat Flour" shall be deemed to include any mixture of wheat flour with maize flour;
- (5) direct that no person shall sell wheat flour which is mixed with any other article except maize flour;
- (6) direct that no person shall sell any mixture of wheat flour and maize flour which contains more than 10% (ten per cent.) by weight of maize flour;

- (7) direct that when any wheat flour is sold the maximum prices fixed by this Order shall include the price of wrapper or container, if any, in which such wheat flour is sold;
- (8) direct that in every area where maximum prices are fixed by this order any trader who has any wheat flour in his possession or under his control at any place or in any vehicle, shall exhibit conspicuously at that place or on that vehicle a quantity of wheat flour in a suitable container with the maximum price of the wheat flour fixed by this Order displayed in figures not less than one inch in height on price tags or boards attached to such container in such a manner that the wheat flour and the maximum prices could be clearly seen by any customer;
- (9) direct that for the purpose of this Order "Pound" or "lb." shall be deemed to be the standard pound avoirdupois weight;
- (10) direct that in every area where maximum prices are fixed by this Order every person who sells any wheat flour by wholesale shall and every person who sells wheat flour by retail shall on demand give the purchaser thereof a receipt in which there shall be set out—
  - (a) the date of sale;
  - (b) the weight of the wheat flour;
  - (c) the price paid for the quantity of wheat flour sold; and
  - (d) the nature of the transaction, that is to say, whether the sale was by wholesale or retail.

G. KARUNARATNE,  
Assistant Controller of Prices.  
Batticaloa District.

Signed at the Batticaloa Kachcheri at 9 a.m. on 22nd March 1973.

## FIRST SCHEDULE

Column 1 Area	Column 2 Maximum wholesale price per 148 lb. nett Rs. c.
Assistant Government Agent's Division of Manmunai North, Batticaloa ..	57 46
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Eravur Pattu, Batticaloa ..	57 57
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Korale Pattu, Batticaloa ..	57 87
Assistant Government Agent's Division of Manmunai South and Eravil Poraitivu Pattu ..	57 76
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Manmunai West, Batticaloa ..	57 72
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Manmunai South and West and Poraitivu Pattu ..	57 76
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Korale Pattu North ..	57 87

## SECOND SCHEDULE

Column 1 Area	Column 2 Maximum retail price per pound Nett Rs. c.
Assistant Government Agent's Division of Manmunai North, Batticaloa ..	0 40
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Eravur Pattu, Batticaloa ..	0 40
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Manmunai West, Batticaloa ..	0 40½
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Korale Pattu, Batticaloa—	
(a) Excluding G.S.'s division of Kallichchai and Perillavely ..	0 40½
(b) Grama Sevaka's Division of Kallichchai and Perillavely ..	0 41
Assistant Government Agent's Division of Manmunai South and Eravil Poraitivu Pattu ..	0 40½
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Manmunai South and West and Poraitivu Pattu ..	0 40½
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Korale Pattu North ..	0 40½

Note.—(1) These prices do not constitute fixed prices at which the above must be sold. They are the maximum prices above which sales should not take place.

(2) The maximum amount that is payable for any quantity of wheat flour which is less than or more than 148 lb. nett and sold by wholesale should as usual by calculating proportionately from the maximum wholesale price per 148 lb. nett specified above.

4.—267/1—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

Food Price Order No. BT/2/73

BREAD

## THE CONTROL OF PRICES ACT

## Order

BY virtue of the powers vested in me by section 4 read with Section 3(2) of the Control of Prices Act (Chapter 173), I, George Karunaratne, Assistant Controller of Prices, Batticaloa District, do by this Order:—

- (1) revoke with immediate effect Price Order No. BT/2/67 dated 25th November, 1967 and published in *Ceylon Government Gazette Extraordinary* No. 14,776/10 of November 27, 1967;

- (2) fix the prices specified in columns 2 and 3 of the Schedule hereto to be the maximum prices above which bread shall not be sold in 16 oz. loaves and in 8 oz. loaves respectively within the areas specified in the corresponding entry in column 1 of the Schedule hereto;
- (3) direct that any loaf weighing more than 8 oz. or any part more than 8 oz. in weight of any loaf shall not be sold in any area at a price higher than the price calculated proportionately by weight from the maximum price per 16 oz. loaf fixed by this Order for that area;
- (4) direct that any loaf weighing not more than 8 oz. or any part not more than 8 oz. in weight of any loaf shall not be sold in any area at a price higher than the price calculated proportionately by weight from the maximum price per 8 oz. loaf fixed by the Order for that area;
- (5) direct that when any bread is sold the maximum prices fixed by this Order shall include the price of wrapper, if any, in which such bread is sold;
- (6) direct that in every area where maximum prices are fixed by this order, every trader, who has bread in his possession at any premises, shall exhibit in a conspicuous place at those premises a notice in which there shall be set out the maximum prices fixed by this Order in respect of bread;
- (7) direct that in every area where maximum prices are fixed by this Order every person who sells any bread shall on demand, give the purchaser thereof a receipt in which there shall be set out—
- the date of sale;
  - the description of the loaf sold;
  - the quantity of bread sold; and
  - the price paid for the bread sold;
- (8) direct that for the purpose of this Order "oz." shall be deemed to be the standard ounce avoirdupois weight.

Signed at Batticaloa Kachcheri at 9 a.m. on 22nd March, 1973.

G. KARUNARATNE,  
Assistant Controller of Prices,  
Batticaloa District

## SCHEDULE

Column 1 Area	Column 2 Maximum retail price per pound nett Rs. c.	Column 3 Maximum retail price applicable to 8 ozs. nett Rs. c.
Assistant Government Agent's Division of Manmunai North, Batticaloa ..	0 41	0 21½
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Eravurpattu, Batticaloa ..	0 41	0 21½
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Manmunai West, Batticaloa ..	0 42	0 22
Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Korale Pattu, Batticaloa—		
(a) Excluding G.S.'s Division of Kallichchai and Perillavely ..	0 41	0 21½
(b) Grama Sevaka's Division of Kallichchai and Perillavely ..	0 42	0 22
Assistant Government Agent's Division of Manmunai South and Eravil Poraitivu Pattu—		
(a) Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Manmunai South and West and Periya-Poraitivu Pattu ..	0 41	0 21½
(b) Divisional Revenue Officer's Division of Korale Pattu North ..	0 41	0 21½

4—267/2 Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19



### Miscellaneous Departmental Notices

**SPECIAL ISSUE OF STAMPS TO COMMEMORATE THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY OF "CARE"**

Re-issue for Sale

IT is notified for general information that the postage and revenue stamps in the denomination 50 cents of the special issue made on the 28th December, 1971, to commemorate the 25th Anniversary of "CARE", will be re-issued for sale as from the 19th April, 1973. These stamps will remain on sale till 30th April, 1974, or until stocks are exhausted, whichever is earlier.

B. E. FERNANDO,  
Commissioner of Inland Revenue.

Department of Inland Revenue,  
Colombo 1, 11th April, 1973.  
3-546—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

under the provisions of the Companies Ordinance (Chapter 145), is not carrying on business or in operation:—

And whereas notice dated 18th December, 1972, was published in the *Ceylon Government Gazette* No. 41 of 5th January, 1973, that the name of Mangala Tours Limited, would at the expiration of three months from that date, be struck-off the register unless cause was shown to the contrary:

And whereas Mangala Tours Limited has not shown cause to the contrary within the period of three months aforesaid:

Now therefore I, Ruwanpura Lickmond de Silva, Registrar of Companies, acting under Section 277 (5) of the Companies Ordinance, (Chapter 145) do by this notice declare that Mangala Tours Limited, was this day struck off the Register of Companies and the said Company is dissolved.

R. L. DE SILVA,  
Registrar of Companies.

Department of the Registrar of Companies,  
Colombo 1, 5th April, 1973.  
4-470—Gazette No. 56 of 73.04.19

**COMPANIES ORDINANCE (CHAPTER 145)**

Notice under Section 277 (5) to Strike-Off Mangala Tours Limited

WHEREAS there is reasonable cause to believe that Mangala Tours Limited, a company incorporated on 16th July, 1962.

### THE FOREST ORDINANCE

IT is hereby notified that, with effect from 19th, April, 1973, the rates of royalty given in the Schedule below have been prescribed with the sanction of the Minister of Agriculture and Lands, under Rule 20 framed under Section 20 of the Forest Ordinance (Chapter 451) as amended by Act, No. 13 of 1966.

W. R. H. PERERA,  
Conservator of Forests,

Schedule

	Rs. c.
<i>Special Class Logs Teak</i> (per cubic foot)—	
Over 4 feet in mid-girth .. .. .	14 0
3 Feet and over up to 4 feet in mid-grith .. .. .	10 0
Below 3 feet in mid-girth .. .. .	6 50
<i>Ebony, Calamander, Nedun, Flowered and Streaked Satin, Narrow Leaved Mahogany and Halmilla</i> (per cubic foot)—	
3 feet and over in mid-girth .. .. .	10 0
Below 3 feet in mid-girth .. .. .	5 0
<i>Satin (Plain)</i> (per cubic foot)—	
4 feet and over in mid-girth .. .. .	4 50
Below 4 feet in mid-girth .. .. .	3 0

*Class I Logs* : Rs. 3.00 per cubic foot.

Botanical Name	Sinhala Name	Tamil Name
Acacia melanoxylon .. .. .	Australia Kalugas .. .. .	
Albizia lebbek .. .. .	Mara .. .. .	
Alibizzia odoratissima .. .. .	Suriyamara — Hurimara .. .. .	
Alseodaphne semecarpifolia .. .. .	Wewarana .. .. .	Ranai
Artocarpus heterophyllus .. .. .	Kos .. .. .	Pala
Azadirachta indica .. .. .	Kohomba .. .. .	Vempu
Chloroxylon swietenia (below 4' mid-girth) .. .. .	Buruta .. .. .	Muthirai
Chukrassia spp. .. .. .	Hulanhik .. .. .	Kaloti
Madhuca longifolia .. .. .	Mi .. .. .	Illuppai
Manilkara hexandra .. .. .	Palu .. .. .	Palai
Mesua ferrea .. .. .	Na .. .. .	Naka
Mimusops elengi .. .. .	Munamal .. .. .	Makilai
Michaelia nilagirica .. .. .	Walsapu .. .. .	—
Palaquium rubiginosum .. .. .	Tawenna .. .. .	—
Pterocarpus marsupium .. .. .	Gammalu .. .. .	Venkai
Swietenia macrophylla .. .. .	Mahogany (broad-leaved) .. .. .	—
Vitex pinnata .. .. .	Milla .. .. .	Kaddamanakka

## Class II Logs : Rs. 2.00 per cubic foot—

Botanical Name	Sinhala Name	Tamil Name
Adina cordifolia ..	.. Kolon ..	.. Manchalkadampai
Anisophyllea cinnamomoides ..	.. Welipenna ..	.. —
Artocarpus lakoocha ..	.. Kanagonna ..	.. —
Artocarpus nobilis ..	.. Del ..	.. Asinipila
Borassus flabellifer ..	.. Tal ..	.. Pansi
Bridelia retusa ..	.. Ketakela ..	.. Mulvenkai
Calophyllum inophyllum ..	.. Domba ..	.. Punnai
Calophyllum species ..	.. Kina, Gurukina, Welukina ..	.. Chirupunnai
Carallia brachiata ..	.. Dawata ..	.. —
Carallia calycina ..	.. Ubbériya ..	.. —
Caryota urens ..	.. Kitul ..	.. Tippilipanai
Cassia fistula ..	.. Ehela ..	.. Tikrukondal
Cassia siamea ..	.. Wa ..	.. Vakai
Cedrela species ..	.. Toona ..	.. —
Cheatocarpus species ..	.. Hedawaka Bu-hedawaka ..	.. Palanakuna
Cotylelobium scabriusculum ..	.. Na-Mendora Napat-Beraliya ..	.. —
Cupressus macrocarpa ..	.. Cypress ..	.. —
Dialium ovoideum ..	.. Galsiyambala ..	.. Kaddupuli
Dillenia retusa ..	.. Godapara ..	.. Pasu, Punalai
Dipterocarpus species ..	.. Hora, Bu-Hora ..	.. —
Doona species other than ..	.. Dun, Yakkhalu ..	.. —
Doona congestiflora ..	.. Beraliya ..	.. —
(Tinniya) ..	.. Maha-Beraliya ..	.. —
Elaeodendron gulaucum ..	.. Neralu ..	.. Perunpiyari
Eucalyptus species ..	.. Turpentainegas ..	.. Krupanthailamaram
Filicium decipiens ..	.. Pihimbiya ..	.. Chittiraiyepu
Gmelina arborea ..	.. Etdemata ..	.. —
Gordonia zeylanica ..	.. Mihiriya ..	.. —
Homalium zeylanicum ..	.. Liyan ..	.. —
Hopea species ..	.. Uva Mendora, Beraliya, Rat-beraliya, Durulla, Ira-dururulla ..	.. —
Lanea coramandelicá ..	.. Hik ..	.. Oti
Madhuca fulva ..	.. Wanami ..	.. Malai-Tluppai
Madhuca nerifolia ..	.. Gan-mi ..	.. Kaddu-Tluppai
Mesua thwaitesii ..	.. Diya Na ..	.. —
Michaelia champaca ..	.. Sapu, Ginisapu ..	.. Chembakam
Mitragyna tubulosa } ..	.. Helamba ..	.. Chalampai
Mitragyna parvifolia } ..	.. Dawulkurundu, Kudu-dawula ..	.. —
Neolitsea cassia ..	.. Kirihibiliya ..	.. —
Palaquium grande ..	.. Kiripedda ..	.. —
Palaquium petiolare ..	.. Pine ..	.. Pine
Pinus species ..	.. Panakka ..	.. Chiru piyari
Pleurostyliá opposita ..	.. Karaw ..	.. —
Prosorús indicus ..	.. Welang ..	.. Vinnanku
Pterosperum canesens ..	.. Kon ..	.. Kula
Scheleicherá oleosa ..	.. Yakahalu ..	.. —
Shorea oblongifolia ..	.. Madan ..	.. Naval
Syzygium cumini ..	.. Dambu ..	.. —
Syzygium gardneri ..	.. Alubo ..	.. —
Syzygium makul ..	.. Batadomba ..	.. —
Syzygium operculatum ..	.. Kumbuk ..	.. Murutu
Terminalia arjuna ..	.. — ..	.. —

## Class III Logs : 75 cts. per cubic foot.

All species not listed above.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING PUBLICATION OF GAZETTE**

THE Weekly issue of the *Gazette of the Republic of Sri Lanka (Ceylon)* is normally published on Fridays. If a Friday happens to be a Public Holiday the *Gazette* is published on the working day immediately preceding the Friday. Thus the last date specified for the receipt of notices for publication in the *Gazette* also varies depending on the incidence of public holidays in the week concerned.

The Schedule below shows the dates of publication and the latest time by which notices should be received for publication in the respective weekly *Gazette*. All notices received out of times specified below will not be published. Such notices will be returned to the sender by post for necessary amendment and return if publication is desired in a subsequent issue of the *Gazette*. It will be in the interest of all concerned if those desirous of ensuring the timely publication of notices in the *Gazette* make it a point to see that sufficient time is allowed for postal transmission of notices to the Government Press.

The Government Printer does not accept payments of subscriptions for the Government Gazette. Payments should be made direct to the Superintendent, Government Publications Bureau, P. O. Box 500, Secretariat, Colombo 1.

Note.—Payments for inserting Notices in the *Gazette of the Republic of Sri Lanka (Ceylon)* will be received by the Government Printer and not by the Superintendent, Government Publications Bureau.

**Schedule**

1973

Month	Date of Publication	Last Date and Time of Acceptance of Notices for Publication in the Gazette
APRIL	Thursday	19.04.73 .. 12 noon
	Friday	27.04.73 .. 12 noon
MAY	Friday	04.05.73 .. 12 noon
	Friday	11.05.73 .. 12 noon
	Friday	18.05.73 .. 12 noon
	Friday	25.05.73 .. 12 noon
JUNE	Friday	01.06.73 .. 12 noon
	Friday	08.06.73 .. 12 noon
	Thursday	14.06.73 .. 12 noon
	Friday	22.06.73 .. 12 noon
	Friday	29.06.73 .. 12 noon

L. W. P. PEIRIS,  
 Government Printer.

Department of Government Printing,  
 Colombo, August 18, 1972.