



Ceylon Government Gazette

Published by Authority.

No. 6,081—FRIDAY, OCTOBER 27, 1905.

PART I.—General: Minutes, Proclamations, Appointments, and General Government Notifications.
PART II.—Legal and Judicial.

PART III.—Provincial Administration.
PART IV.—Land Settlement.
PART V.—Mercantile, Marine, Municipal, Local, &c.

Separate paging is given to each Part in order that it may be filed separately.

Part II.—Legal and Judicial.

	PAGE		PAGE
Passed Ordinances	—	Notices in Testamentary Actions	701
Draft Ordinances	691	Notices in Insolvency Cases	705
Notices from Supreme Court Registry	—	Notices of Fiscals' Sales	705
Notices from Council of Legal Education	—	Notices from District and Minor Courts	700
Notifications of Criminal Sessions of Supreme Court	700	Lists of Articled Clerks	—
Lists of Jurors and Assessors	—		

DRAFT ORDINANCES.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to amend "The Rabies Ordinance, 1893."

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend "The Rabies Ordinance, 1893," hereinafter referred to as "the principal Ordinance;" Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Rabies (Amendment) Ordinance, 1905," and the principal Ordinance and this Ordinance shall be construed and read as one Ordinance, and may be cited collectively as "The Rabies Ordinances, 1893 and 1905."

2 The following section shall be inserted in the principal Ordinance after section 12 and shall be numbered 12 A, namely:

12 A (1) It shall be lawful for the Governor, with the advice of the Executive Council, by Proclamation to be published in the *Government Gazette*, to prohibit from time to time, as he may think desirable, the importation into Ceylon from any place where he has reason to believe

The Governor may by Proclamation prohibit importation animals.

that rabies exists of dogs, horses, deer, or any other animal by which in the Governor's judgment rabies is liable to be carried, or to order that such animals, when so imported, shall be kept in quarantine for such period as may appear necessary.

Penalty.

(2) Any person who knowingly imports or attempts to import any dog, horse, deer, or any other animal contrary to the prohibition or order contained in such Proclamation shall be guilty of an offence, and shall be liable on conviction thereof to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees, or in default to simple imprisonment for a period not exceeding three months.

Collector of Customs may seize animal imported.

(3) It shall be lawful for the chief officer of Customs at any port or for any person authorized by him to seize any dog, horse, deer, or any other animal imported or brought or suspected to have been imported or brought contrary to the prohibition or order contained in such Proclamation, and to cause the same to be detained in accordance with regulations made under the next following sub-section.

(4) The Governor in Executive Council may from time to time make, and when made may revoke, add to, alter, and amend regulations for the following purposes or any of them :

- (a) For providing for the detention and safe custody of dogs, horses, deer, and other animals seized under this section until such time as the court shall have determined the charge of importing the same in contravention of a Proclamation under this section.
- (b) For providing for the detention and safe custody of such animals in respect of which a conviction has been had under this section for such period as may appear necessary, and for their disposal and for the recovery from the owners or consignees of the expenses incurred in respect of such detention.
- (c) For providing for the destruction of any such animals if affected with rabies, or if their owners or consignees fail to give security in accordance with the regulations hereunder for the cost of detaining them or of keeping them in quarantine.
- (d) For establishing and maintaining places where animals may be kept in quarantine, and for charging, imposing, and recovering fees for the use and occupation of such places and for the maintenance of animals thereat.

(5) Every regulation made under this section shall be published in the manner prescribed by section 10, and when so published shall have the force of law.

By His Excellency's command,

G. M. FOWLER,
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, October 13, 1905.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE general object of the Ordinance is to prevent rabies being introduced into the Island by imported dogs or other animals.

The Ordinance adds a section to "The Rabies Ordinance, 1893," empowering the Governor either to prohibit absolutely the importation of dogs, horses, deer, and other animals from countries where rabies exists, or to order that such animals when imported shall undergo a period of quarantine.

Power is also given to pass regulations with regard to the maintenance of quarantine stations and the disposal of animals seized or detained under the Ordinance.

Colombo, September 16, 1905.

ALFRED G. LASCELLES,
Attorney-General.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to provide for compulsory Vernacular Education in Municipal and Local Board Towns and in Towns under the operation of "The Small Towns Sanitary Ordinance, 1902."

BE it enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

- Short title. 1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Town Schools Ordinance, 1905."
- Power to extend provisions of Ordinance to certain towns. 2 The Ordinance No. 33 of 1884 is hereby repealed.
- 3 It shall be lawful for the Governor, with the advice and consent of the Executive Council, by Proclamation in the *Government Gazette*, to bring the provisions of this Ordinance or such of them as shall be specified in such Proclamation into operation within any municipal or local board town, or within any town or village which has been brought under the operation of "The Small Towns Sanitary Ordinance, 1892," or within the town of Nuwara Eliya, and in every such Proclamation the limits of such town or village shall be set out and defined, and the Governor, with the advice aforesaid, may from time to time revoke, alter, vary, or amend any such Proclamation.
- Interpretation. 4 In this Ordinance, unless the context otherwise requires—
- The word "parent" includes a guardian and any person who has the actual custody of a child.
- The term "local authority" means, in the case of a municipal town, the municipal council; in the case of a local board town, the local board; in the case of the town of Nuwara Eliya, the board of improvement of Nuwara Eliya; and in the case of a town or village which has been brought under the operation of "The Small Towns Sanitary Ordinance, 1892," the board of health.
- Presumption as to occupier of premises in which child resides. 5 The occupier of the premises in which any child usually resides shall be presumed to have the custody of such child until the contrary be proved.
- Power of local authority to make provision for instruction in vernacular languages. 6 (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of any Ordinance to the contrary, it shall be lawful for any local authority, if they shall consider it expedient so to do, to make provision from the funds vested in them for the establishment and maintenance of one or more schools within the limits of their jurisdiction for the instruction of children in the vernacular languages.
- (2) The expenditure which such local authority is hereby authorized to incur shall include the cost of acquiring and purchasing sites for school buildings, of providing suitable school buildings, and providing the same with the necessary furniture, maps, books, and equipment, and of paying the salaries of a suitable staff of teachers, caretakers, and attendants, and of one or more attendance officers to be appointed as hereinafter provided.
- (3) The site, buildings, furniture, and articles so acquired and provided shall be the property of the local authority.
- Power to make by-laws. 7 (1) A local authority may from time to time make, and when made may revoke, amend, alter, or vary such by-laws as may seem necessary or expedient for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this Ordinance, and may impose penalties for the contravention thereof not exceeding a fine of twenty rupees, and an additional fine not exceeding ten rupees per day in case of a continuing offence.
- (2) No by-law, or revocation, amendment, alteration, or variation of any by-law shall have effect until the same is confirmed by the Governor, with the advice of the Executive Council.

(3) All by-laws when so confirmed shall be published in the *Government Gazette* in the English, Sinhalese, and Tamil languages, respectively, and from the date of such publication shall have the same force as if they had been enacted by this Ordinance.

(4) All such by-laws shall be laid before the Legislative Council if in session within one month of such publication, and if not in session within one month of the commencement of the session next ensuing, and in either case they shall be on the table during four sittings at least of the Council, and any by-law which has been disapproved by resolution of the Council shall cease to have any force or effect.

Power of local
authority to
make by-laws.

8 (1) The by-laws made under the last preceding section may provide among other things for—

- (a) Specifying the limits of any area within the jurisdiction of the local authority within which efficient provision has been made for vernacular education either by a school established under this Ordinance or by any existing school or schools registered by the Director of Public Instruction as a Government school or schools or as a school or schools receiving a grant-in-aid from Government. Such by-laws shall name the schools by which efficient provision is made as aforesaid for vernacular education.
- (b) Requiring the parent of any child between the ages of six years old and twelve years old residing within such area to cause such child to attend one of such schools. Provided that no parent shall be convicted for not causing his child to attend such school, if he proves to the satisfaction of the magistrate that he has made other efficient provision for the education of such child, or if he proves that he has other reasonable excuse for not causing such child to attend. A parent shall be deemed to have a reasonable excuse for not causing his child to attend school, if he proves that the child is prevented from attending by sickness or other unavoidable cause.
- (c) Determining the days on which and the hours during which children shall attend such school.
- (d) Prescribing, on the recommendation of the Director of Public Instruction, the course of instruction to be given in schools.
- (e) For every other purpose which may by the local authority be deemed necessary for carrying out the provisions of this Ordinance. Provided that nothing herein contained shall in any way restrict or be construed to restrict the generality of the powers conferred by the last preceding section, but such powers shall extend to all matters, whether similar or not to those in this section mentioned, as to which it may be expedient to make by-laws for the better carrying into effect of the objects of this Ordinance.

Religious
instruction.

9 (1) No religious instruction shall be given in any school established under this Ordinance.

(2) No school in which religious instruction is given shall be named in any by-law made under clause (a) of the last preceding section as a school in which efficient provision is made for vernacular education, unless the local authority is satisfied—

- (a) That religious instruction is given only during the times specified in the school time tickets;
- (b) That religious instruction is not given to pupils of other denominations than that to which the school belongs;
- (c) That pupils who do not attend religious instruction are employed in other studies during the hours allotted to religious instruction; and
- (d) That such pupils, if their parents object to their being present in the room where religious instruction is given, are either allowed to study in some other part of the school premises during the hours when such instruction is given or their presence in the school during such hours is excused.

Appointment of attendance officers.

10 It shall be lawful for the Director of Public Instruction, on the requisition of a local authority, to appoint one or more attendance officers to carry out the provisions of this Ordinance. Attendance officers so appointed shall be officers of the Government, and subject in all respects to the rules and regulations of the Public Service. The salaries of such attendance officers shall be paid out of the funds of the local authority.

Power of attendance officer to require information with regard to children.

11 It shall be lawful for any attendance officer appointed under this Ordinance to require the occupier of any premises to give full information with regard to the children residing in such premises and of the provision, if any, which is made for their instruction, and if necessary to produce such children before him for inspection.

Power of attendance officer to enter and search premises.

12 Whenever the occupier of any house, premises, or place refuses to give such information as aforesaid to the attendance officer, or gives information which the attendance officer has reasonable ground for believing to be false, it shall be lawful for the attendance officer, at any time between sunrise and sunset, to enter and search such house, premises, or place, and the occupier of the same shall afford him all reasonable facilities for so doing; and every occupier who fails or refuses to afford such facilities shall be guilty of an offence, and liable on conviction to the penalties prescribed in the following section.

Penalties.

13 Any person who refuses to give an attendance officer any information which such attendance officer is authorized by this Ordinance to require, or wilfully gives information which is false in any particular, or wilfully obstructs any attendance officer or any person acting under the lawful orders of an attendance officer in the discharge of his duties, shall be guilty of an offence, and shall be liable on conviction thereof to be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine which may extend to one hundred rupees, or with both.

How prosecutions are to be instituted.

14 (1) Every prosecution in respect of an offence under this Ordinance may be instituted by a written report made by an attendance officer and certified where the offence is alleged to have been committed within the jurisdiction of the Municipal Council of Colombo by the Director of Public Instruction, and where the offence is alleged to have been committed elsewhere by the chairman of the local authority, that such offence has been committed, being presented to a police magistrate or, in the case of a municipal town, a municipal magistrate.

(2) All fines imposed under this Ordinance shall be paid into the funds of the local authority.

Power of magistrate in certain cases to order child to be sent to certified industrial school.

15 (1) If any child of such age as to be liable to attend school habitually and without reasonable excuse neglects to attend such school, or is found habitually wandering about the streets and not under proper control, or in the company of disorderly or immoral persons or of reputed criminals, it shall be the duty of the attendance officer, after due warning to the child and to the parents of the child, if they can be found, to present a written report certified, as provided by the last preceding section, to a magistrate, and the magistrate shall summon such child and the parents (if they can be found) before him, and if satisfied of the truth of the report may order such child, if a male, to be whipped in accordance with the provisions of "The Flogging Regulation Ordinance, 1904," or order any such child to be sent to any school or institution certified by the Governor under "The Youthful Offenders' Ordinance, 1886" (hereinafter referred to as "a certified industrial school"), for such period, not less than three months or more than six months, as to such magistrate shall seem proper.

(2) The costs of maintaining and educating the child at the certified industrial school shall be defrayed, in the first instance, by the local authority, but the magistrate may in his discretion issue an order to the parent of such child

requiring such parent to pay the whole or any part of the costs of such maintenance, provided that such order may be made on the application of an attendance officer appointed under this Ordinance, and shall be made and enforced so far as is consistent with the provisions of this Ordinance in the manner provided by sections 32 to 37 inclusive of "The Youthful Offenders' Ordinance, 1886."

16 With regard to proceedings before a magistrate under this Ordinance or under any by-laws thereunder, the following provisions shall have effect :

Procedure.

- (1) In any proceeding for an offence under a by-law the magistrate may, instead of inflicting a penalty, make an order directing that the child shall attend school, and that if he fails to do so the person on whom such order is made shall pay a penalty not exceeding the penalty to which he is liable for failing to comply with the by-law.
- (2) Any magistrate may require by summons any parent of a child required by by-law to attend school to produce the child before him, and any person failing without reasonable excuse, proof whereof shall lie on the parent, to comply with such summons shall be liable to a penalty not exceeding twenty rupees.
- (3) When a child is apparently of the age alleged for the purpose of the proceeding, it shall lie on the defendant to prove that the child is not of that age.
- (4) A certificate purporting to be under the hand of the Director of Public Instruction stating that a child has reached a particular standard of education, or is or is not under efficient instruction, or stating that any school does or does not provide efficient instruction, or stating that any person has been appointed an attendance officer under this Ordinance, shall be evidence of the facts stated in such certificate.

By His Excellency's command,

G. M. FOWLER,
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, September 19, 1905.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE Draft Ordinance, in its present form, embodies the amendments recommended by the Sub-Committee of the Legislative Council which reported upon the Bill introduced towards the close of last session.

2. The Ordinance repeals Ordinance No. 33 of 1884, which empowered Municipal Councils and Boards of Health to contribute to the maintenance of certain specified schools in which the English language was taught. The general object of the Ordinance is to enable Municipal Councils, Local Boards, Boards of Health, and the Board of Improvement of the town of Nuwara Eliya to make provision for the establishment and maintenance of schools for the instruction of children in the vernacular languages.

3. The above-mentioned local authorities are empowered, by means of by-laws, to enforce the attendance of children between the ages of six and twelve years for whose education no efficient provision has been made, either at schools established under the Ordinance or at existing schools registered by the Director of Public Instruction as Government schools or as schools receiving grants-in-aid.

4. With regard to religious instruction, it is provided that in schools established under the Ordinance no such instruction shall be given.

With respect to other schools to which parents may be compelled to send their children, stringent provision is made by section 9 (2) that pupils of denominations other than that to which the school belongs shall not receive religious instruction.

5. The Ordinance contains provisions for enforcing the attendance of children at school and for the committal of vagrant children to reformatory schools.

ALFRED G. LASCELLES,
Attorney-General.

Attorney-General's Chambers,
Colombo, September 26, 1905.

MINUTE.

The following Draft of a proposed Ordinance is published for general information:—

An Ordinance to prevent the overcrowding of Vessels carrying Passengers from Ceylon to any port or place in British India.

Preamble.	<p>WHEREAS it is necessary to prevent the overcrowding of vessels carrying passengers from Ceylon to British India: Be it therefore enacted by the Governor of Ceylon, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:</p>
Short title.	<p>1 This Ordinance may be cited as "The Passenger Ships Ordinance, 1905," and shall come into force at such date as the Governor by Proclamation in the <i>Government Gazette</i> shall appoint.</p>
Repeal.	<p>2 Ordinance No. 1 of 1860, intituled "An Ordinance to prevent overcrowding of Vessels carrying Passengers from Ceylon to any port or place in British India," is hereby repealed.</p>
Application of Ordinance.	<p>3 (1) Subject to the exceptions mentioned in sub-section (2), this Ordinance applies to ships carrying as passengers more than thirty natives of Asia or Africa.</p> <p>(2) But it does not apply—</p> <p>(a) To any ship of war, troopship, transport, or other ship belonging to the Royal Navy or His Majesty's Indian Marine Service.</p> <p>(b) To any other ship for the time being in the service of His Majesty.</p> <p>(c) To any ship of war belonging to any foreign Prince or State.</p> <p>(d) To any steamship not carrying as passengers more than sixty natives of Asia or Africa.</p> <p>(3) Notwithstanding anything in sub-sections (1) and (2), the Governor may, with the advice of the Executive Council, declare all or any of the provisions of this Act to apply to sailing ships or any class of sailing ships carrying as passengers more than fifteen natives of Asia or Africa, and to steamships or any class of steamships carrying as passengers more than thirty such natives.</p>
Number of passengers to be carried in unlicensed vessels.	<p>4 No vessel shall carry passengers from any port or place in Ceylon to any port or place in British India in a proportion greater than one passenger to every five tons of the burden of such vessel without a license.</p>
Number of passengers to be carried in licensed vessels.	<p>5 No vessel shall be licensed to carry passengers on any such voyage as aforesaid in a proportion greater than one passenger to every two tons of burden, nor unless the vessel has space on a deck or platform, under hatches, reserved for the accommodation of the passengers, in the proportion of six superficial feet for every passenger, with not less than five feet clear between the upper deck and the lower deck or platform; except a vessel proceeding in ballast, which may be licensed to carry a number of passengers not exceeding the proportion of one and a half to every ton of her burden, provided that the whole of the space usually allotted for cargo, and not occupied by ballast, be kept for the accommodation of the passengers and for storing the provisions and water for their use; and that the space left clear for the accommodation of the passengers on the deck or decks of the vessel be not less than four superficial feet for each passenger.</p>
Penalty on master of unlicensed vessel.	<p>6 The master or findal of any vessel which shall carry passengers on any such voyage as aforesaid without a license, in a proportion exceeding that laid down in the 4th section of this Ordinance, shall be liable to a fine not exceeding twenty rupees for each passenger in excess of such proportion.</p>

Penalty on
master of
licensed vessel.

7 The master or tindal of any licensed vessel which shall carry on any such voyage a greater number of passengers than is specified in the license, or in which the accommodation therein required shall not be afforded, or who shall knowingly receive or allow to come on board such vessel a greater number of passengers than is specified in the license, intending to proceed to sea with such passengers on any such voyage, shall be liable for any such offence to a fine not exceeding twenty rupees for each passenger in excess of such number, or for each passenger who is not provided with accommodation agreeably to the license.

Government to
appoint ports for
shipment of
passengers when
the number of
passengers to be
carried is greater
than one to every
five tons of
burden.

8 Passengers in a greater number than one passenger to every five tons of the burden of any vessel shall not be shipped from Ceylon for any port or place in British India, except from such ports as shall be from time to time appointed by the Governor by an order published in the *Government Gazette*; and the master or tindal of any vessel who shall take on board passengers for such voyage from any other port or place in a greater proportion to the burden of the vessel than is above-mentioned shall be liable to a fine not exceeding twenty rupees for each passenger embarked.

Grant of license
to vessels.

9 It shall be at the discretion of the collectors of customs for the ports appointed for shipping passengers, or such other persons as the Governor may from time to time appoint for the purpose, to grant licenses to vessels under this Ordinance. The license shall describe the vessel, her tonnage and rig, the number of her boats, anchors, and cables; also the name of the owner and of the master or tindal and the number of the crew; and shall specify the number of passengers she may carry and the space to be assigned for their accommodation. Provided if any doubts shall arise whether any vessel about to proceed with passengers as aforesaid is seaworthy so as to be fit for her intended voyage, and such doubts shall not be removed to the satisfaction of the collector of customs at the port from which such vessel is to be cleared out, it shall be lawful for such collector to cause such vessel to be surveyed by such person as shall be appointed by the Governor for that purpose, and if it shall be reported by such person that such vessel is not seaworthy with reference to such voyage, such vessel shall not be cleared out until such vessel shall have been rendered seaworthy.

Supply of
provisions on
board passenger
vessels plying to
and from Ceylon,
&c.

10 The master or tindal of any vessel licensed to carry passengers from any port in Ceylon to any port or place in British India, who shall proceed on any such voyage without having laid in a supply of water and provisions for the passengers, according to a scale to be fixed by the collector of customs for such port, or such other person as the Governor may from time to time appoint for the purpose, and which shall be hung up at the custom house of the port, shall be liable to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees.

List of
passengers to be
signed by
master.

11 The master or tindal of any vessel licensed to carry passengers as hereinbefore provided shall sign and deliver in duplicate, to the principal officer of customs at the place of embarkation, or such other person as the Governor may from time to time appoint for the purpose, a list, according to the form annexed to this Ordinance, of all passengers to be conveyed in such vessel, and such officer, after satisfying himself of the correctness of the same, and that the number of passengers authorized is not exceeded, shall countersign and return one such list to the master or tindal, to be produced to the proper officer at the port to which the vessel is bound; and should any additional passengers engage to proceed by such vessel after such list has been so countersigned, the master or tindal may insert their number in the original list, obtaining the signature of the controlling officer as before. The officer in charge of the customs may withhold the port clearance till this provision is complied with.

Additional
passengers.

Penalty for impeding entry or inspection.

12 The principal officer in charge of the customs at the port of embarkation, or any person authorized by him, shall be at liberty, at all times, to enter and inspect any passenger vessel, and the fittings, provisions, and stores therein, and to muster the passengers and crew, and whoever impedes such entry, inspection, or muster, or refuses to allow the same, shall be liable to fine not exceeding fifty rupees.

Penalty on landing passenger at a place other than at which he has contracted to land.

13 If any passenger in any vessel shall be landed at any port or place other than the port or place at which he may have contracted to land, unless with his previous consent, or unless such landing is made necessary by perils of the sea or other unavoidable accident, the master shall, for each offence, be liable to a penalty not exceeding two hundred rupees.

Passenger's right of action preserved.

14 Nothing in this Ordinance contained shall take away or abridge any right of action which may accrue to any passenger, or to any other person, in respect of the breach or non-performance of any contract made with the master or owner of the vessel or his agent.

Adjudication of offences and recovery of penalties.

15 Any offence against this Ordinance, though the same may be beyond the jurisdiction of a police court by reason of the amount of punishment to which the offender is liable, shall and may nevertheless be inquired into, tried, and punished by the police court of the district in which the offence was committed, wholly or in part, or where the offender is found. And any fine imposed on the master or tindal of any vessel for a breach of this Ordinance may be recovered from the owner of such vessel or from his agent. And if the person directed to pay any penalty is the master or tindal of a vessel, and the same is not paid at the time and in the manner prescribed by the order of payment, the court ordering such payment may, in addition to the means prescribed by law for enforcing payment, direct by warrant, the amount remaining unpaid to be levied by distress and sale of the said vessel, her tackle, furniture, and apparel.

Sum ordered to be paid leviable by distress on vessel.

Application of penalties.

16 Any police magistrate imposing any penalties under this Ordinance may, if he thinks fit, direct the whole or any part thereof to be applied in compensating any person for any wrong or damage which he may have sustained by the act or default in respect of which such penalty is imposed, or in or towards payment of the expenses of the proceedings.

17 (1) The Governor, with the advice of the Executive Council, may make rules consistent with this Ordinance to regulate in the case of any ship or class of ships all or any of the following matters :

- (a) The scale on which food, fuel, and water are to be supplied to the passengers or to any class or classes of passengers, and the quality of the food, fuel, and water.
- (b) The medical stores and other appliances and fittings to be provided on board for maintaining health, cleanliness, and decency.
- (c) The boats, anchors, and cables to be provided on board.
- (d) The apparatus for the purpose of extinguishing fires on board and the precautions to be taken to prevent such fires.
- (e) The provision of appliances for saving life and of means for making signals of distress, and the supply of lights inextinguishable in water and fitted for attachment to life buoys.
- (f) The access of between-decks passengers to the upper deck.

(2) In making a rule under this section the Governor in Executive Council may direct that a breach of it shall be punishable with fine which may extend to two hundred rupees, and when the breach is a continuing breach with a further fine which may extend to twenty rupees for every day after the first during which the breach continues.

(3) All rules made under this Ordinance shall be published in the *Government Gazette*, and shall thereupon be as binding, effectual, and valid as if enacted therein, and all courts and magistrates shall take judicial notice thereof.

SCHEDULE.
Form.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of Vessel	Name of Master.	Tons per Register.	Port of Embarkation.	Number.	Port at which Passengers have contracted to be landed.	Date of Departure.

By His Excellency's command,
G. M. FOWLER,
Acting Colonial Secretary.

Colonial Secretary's Office,
Colombo, October 13, 1905.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

THE present Ordinance substitutes for Ordinance No. 1 of 1860, which was enacted in order to prevent the overcrowding of vessels carrying passengers in the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Straits, a measure on similar lines applying to vessels carrying passengers from Ceylon to any port or place in British India.

2. Following the lines of the corresponding Indian Act, the application of the Ordinance is limited to ships carrying as passengers more than thirty natives of Asia or Africa, but the Ordinance does not apply to steamships not carrying more than sixty of such passengers.

3. By section 4 unlicensed vessels are prohibited from carrying passengers in a proportion greater than one passenger to every five tons of burden.

By the original Ordinance the limit was fixed at one passenger for every five tons.

4. With regard to vessels licensed to carry passengers, the limit is fixed at one passenger to every two tons of burden, instead of one passenger for every one and a half ton burden.

5. By section 17 the Governor in Executive Council is empowered to make rules for the well-being of passengers.

6. In other respects the Ordinance follows the Ordinance of 1860 without substantial alteration.

Colombo, October 6, 1905.

ALFRED G. LASCELLES,
Attorney-General.

DISTRICT AND MINOR COURTS NOTICES.

NOTICE is hereby given that the valueless records of the Court of Requests, Colombo, from No. 1 of June 1, 1876, to No. 8,100 of November 8, 1877, will be destroyed in terms of the Ordinance No. 12 of 1894 at the expiration of three months from the date thereof. Any person interested in any record may personally, by proctor, or by duly authenticated petition claim, upon good cause shown, that such record may not be destroyed.

Court of Requests,
Colombo, October 23, 1905.

J. S. DRIEBERG,
Commissioner.

NOTICE is hereby given that a suit has been instituted in the Court of Requests of Badulla by fifty-three labourers of Ridepahana *alias* Oliyamandiya estate against the proprietor thereof, under the Ordinance No. 13 of 1889, for the recovery of their wages amounting to Rs. 541.84.

This 18th day of October, 1905.

F. A. PUNCHIHEWA,
Chief Clerk.

NOTICES IN TESTAMENTARY ACTIONS.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Ponnawilla Vidanalagey Don Augustinoe Annavirala, deceased, of Batagama South in the Ragam pattu of the Alutkuru korale.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before James Richard Weinman, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on the 11th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. E. W. Perera on the part of the petitioner Ponweera Aratchige Dona Isabella Hamy of Batagama South aforesaid; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 2nd October, 1905, having been read: It is ordered that the said petitioner be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said deceased issued to her, unless (1) Ponnawilla Vidanalagey Christina Hamy, (2) Atanapola Aratchige Domicianoe Appuhamy, both of Yatiyana in Dunagaha pattu of the Alutkuru Korale North, (3) Ponnawilla Vidanalagey Franciscoe Appuhamy of Batagama aforesaid, (4) Ponnawilla Vidanalagey Mariehamy, wife of (5) Balasuriage Don Nicholas, both of Andiambalama in Dunagaha pattu of the Alutkuru Korale North, (6) Ponnawilla Vidanalagey Marsalinoe Appu, and (7) Ponnawilla Vidanalagey Rosa Maria, both of Batagama aforesaid, shall, on or before the 2nd day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. R. WEINMAN,
District Judge.

The 11th day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of William Higgin Walker, deceased, of Bellwood, Nuwara Eliya, Ceylon.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before James Richard Weinman, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on the 11th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Messrs. Julius & Creasy on the part of the petitioner Thomas Lister Villiers of Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 6th October, 1905, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of William Higgin Walker of Bellwood, Nuwara Eliya, deceased, dated 6th day of June, 1905, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless any one interested shall, on or before the 3rd day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Thomas Lister Villiers of Colombo is the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate of the same issued to him accordingly, unless any person interested shall, on or before the 2nd day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. R. WEINMAN,
District Judge.

The 11th day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of Sembogey Don John Fonseka, deceased, of Kollupitiya in Colombo.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before James Richard Weinman, Esq., District Judge of Colombo, on the 24th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Messrs. R. F. de Saram & Alvis on the part of the petitioner Kondagamage Caroline Fernando of Kollupitiya in Colombo; and the affidavit of the said petitioner, dated the 21st day of October, 1905, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Sembogey Don John Fonseka, deceased, dated the 23rd day of October, 1900, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless any one interested shall, on or before the 2nd day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Kondagamage Caroline Fernando is the executrix named in the said will, and that she is entitled to have probate of the same issued to her accordingly, unless any one interested shall, on or before the 2nd day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

J. R. WEINMAN,
District Judge.

The 24th day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Kadirgamer Velanthal of No. 1,667. Inuvil, deceased.

Velanthal Kasinathar of Inuvil Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Sivagaman, widow of Velanthal, (2) Velanthal Kadirgaman, and (3) Murugasar Suppar of Inuvil Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Velanthal Kasinathar of Inuvil, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased, Kadirgamer Velanthal of Inuvil, coming on for disposal before C. Eardley-Wilmot, Esq., District Judge, on the 10th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Messrs. Tampoo & Valuppilly, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner; and affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 9th day of October, 1905, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is one of the heirs of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before the 3rd day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

C. EARDLEY-WILMOT,
District Judge.

This 10th day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Kanapathiyar Sinnappoo of
No. 1,669. Sandampokkaddy, deceased.

Karalar Chellapah of Urian.....Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Vallipillay, widow of Kanapathiar
Veluppillay of Sandampokkaddy. (2)
Kanthar Aiyampillay of Sandampok-
kaddy, (3) Annamuttu, widow of
Chellapah of Urian Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Karalar Chellapah of Urian praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Kanapathiyar Sinnappoo of Sandampokkaddy coming on for disposal before C. Eardley-Wilmot, Esq., District Judge, on the 12th day of October, 1905 in the presence of Messrs. Tampoo & Valuppilly, Proctors, on the part of the petitioner; and affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 11th day of October, 1905, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the husband of one of the heirs of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before the 17th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

C. EARDLEY-WILMOT,
This 12th day of October, 1905. District Judge.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Kandar Naranar of Thunnalai
No. 1,671. South, deceased.

Katirkamar Murukappar of Thunnalai
South Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Naranar Swaminathar, (2) Konattai,
wife of Murukappar, (3) Theivattai,
widow of Naranar, all of Thunnalai
South Respondents.

THIS matter of the petition of Kathirkamar Murukappar, the above-named petitioner, praying for letters of administration to the estate of the above-named deceased Kandar Naranar coming on for disposal before C. Eardley-Wilmot, Esq., District Judge, on the 16th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. M. S. Kandaiyah, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 16th day of October, 1905, having been read: It is declared that the petitioner is the husband of one of the heirs of the said intestate, and is entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the said intestate issued to him, unless the respondents or any other person shall, on or before the 13th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

C. EARDLEY-WILMOT,
This 16th day of October, 1905. District Judge.

In the District Court of Mullaittivu.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and
Jurisdiction. Testament of Kulesekerer Sevvant-
No. 49. inather, deceased, of Ariyamadu
in Melpattu East in Vavuniya
North.

Kulesekerer Navatkaraser of Ariyamadu...Petitioner.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before R. A. G. Festing, Esq., District Judge, Mullaittivu, on the 4th day of August, 1905, in the presence of

the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 11th day of July, 1905, having been read and the evidence of the witnesses taken and all parties heard: It is ordered that the will of the late Kulesekerer Sevvantinather, dated the 6th May, 1905, and now deposited in this court, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless any person shall, on or before the 8th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Kulesekerer Navatkaraser is the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate of the same issued to him accordingly, unless sufficient cause be shown to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

R. A. G. FESTING,
District Judge.

This 4th day of August, 1905.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate
Jurisdiction. of Charles Arnold Anthonisz, de-
No. 3,605. ceased of Galle.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before G. A. Baumgartner, Esq., District Judge, Galle, on the 6th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. F. J. de Vos, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Henry Gulnerus Anthonisz of Galle; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 4th October, 1905, having been read:

It is ordered and declared that the said Henry Gulnerus Anthonisz is the son of the deceased, and that he is as such entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly.

It is further ordered that the respondent Charles Ælian Anthonisz be appointed guardian *ad litem* of the 2nd Edgar Arnold Anthonisz, and (3) William Richard Anthonisz, unless the respondents (1) Charles Ælian Anthonisz, (2) Edgar Arnold Anthonisz, (3) William Richard Anthonisz, shall, on or before the 31st day of October, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

G. A. BAUMGARTNER,
District Judge.

The 6th October, 1905.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi declaring Will proved, &c.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of Isa
Jurisdiction. Natchia, widow of Sekady Markar
No. 3,607. Cassim Lebbe Markar of Galle,
deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before G. A. Baumgartner, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on the 10th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. D. G. Goonewardene, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Moona Koonna Mana Pena Reena Letchimanan Chetty of Galle; and the affidavit of Moona Koonna Mana Pena Reena Peria Karpen Chetty, dated 2nd October, 1905, having been read: It is ordered and declared that Cassim Lebbe Markar Sego Abdul Cader of Galle Fort is son of the deceased, and Mr. D. M. Jansz Secretary of the District Court of Galle, and that letters of administration to the above estate be issued to the 1st respondent Cassim Lebbe Markar Sego Abdul Cader, or if he refuses, to the 5th respondent Mr. D. M. Jansz, Secretary of the District Court of Galle, unless the respondents (1) Cassim Lebbe Markar Sego Abdul Cader of Galle Fort, (2) Cassim Lebbe Markar Sekady Markar of Galle Fort, (3) Cassim Lebbe Markar Shahoodat Umma of Fort, (4) Mohammedo Cassim Abdul Rahiman of Galle Fort,

and (5) Mr. D. M. Jansz, Secretary of the District Court of Galle, shall, on or before the 1st day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

G. A. BAUMGARTNER,
District Judge.

The 10th day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate
Jurisdiction. of Abdul Cader Taha, deceased,
No. 3,608. of Galle.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before G. A. Baumgartner, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on the 18th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. F. J. de Vos, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Abdul Cader Ahamado Ismail; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated 5th October, 1905, having been read:

It is decreed that the said Abdul Cader Ahamado Ismail is a brother of the deceased, and that he is entitled to have letters of administration issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents (1) Seeney Mohamado Mudaliyar Matturuma, (2) Abdul Cader Khami Umma, wife of (3) Magdom Ismail, (4) Abdul Cader Cassim, (5) Abdul Cader Packir Mohideen, (6) Abdul Cader Abdul Hamid, (7) Abdul Cader Thassim, all of Galle Fort, shall, on or before the 27th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

G. A. BAUMGARTNER,
District Judge

The 18th day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Intestate Estate
Jurisdiction. of Mohammed Baay Pathumma
No. 3,609. Umma, late of Galle Fort, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before G. A. Baumgartner, Esq., District Judge, Galle, on the 18th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. F. J. de Vos, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Meor Baay Isa Umma; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated 14th October, 1905, having been read:

It is declared that the said Meor Baay Isa Umma is the mother of the deceased, and that he is as such entitled to have letters of administration issued to her accordingly, unless the respondents (1) Mohammed Baay Cadija Umma, wife of (2) Segu Lebbe Omer Lebbe Markar, (3) Mohammed Baay Yahiya Umma, (4) Sulta Markar Uduma Lebbe Markar, (5) Mohamed Baay Neeneath Umma, wife of (6) Mohammed Ally Mohamed Ismail, (7) Mohamed Baay Seilat Umma, (8) Mohammed Baay Mohammed Ismail, all of Galle Fort, shall, on or before the 27th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

G. A. BAUMGARTNER,
District Judge.

The 18th day of October, 1905

In the District Court of Galle.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Last Will and
Jurisdiction. Testament of Abdul Cader Seku
No. 3,610. Abdul Cader of Galle, deceased.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before G. A. Baumgartner, Esq., District Judge of Galle, on the 18th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. F. J. de Vos, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Abdul Cader Ahamado Ismail; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated 5th October, 1905, and the affidavit of the attesting witnesses, dated 21st September, 1905, having been read:

It is ordered that the will of Abdul Cader Seku Abdul Cader, deceased, dated 10th day of September, 1905, be and the same is hereby declared proved, unless the respondents (1) Seeney Mohamado Mudaliyar Muttuma, (2) Abdul Cader Khan Umma, wife of (3) Magdom Ismail, (4) Abdul Cader Cassim, (5) Abdul Cader Packir Mohideen, (6) Abdul Cader Abdul Hamid, (7) Abdul Cader Thassim, all of Galle Fort, shall, on or before the 27th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said Abdul Cader Ahamado Ismail as such is the executor named in the said will, and that he is entitled to have probate of the same issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents aforesaid show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

G. A. BAUMGARTNER,
District Judge.

The 18th day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary In the Matter of the Estate of the
Jurisdiction. late Ratnaikagey Don Davit,
No. 1,472. deceased, of Deeyagaha.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. R. E. Loftus, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on the 2nd day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. J. S. Wirasinha, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner Kankanan Patiranagey Don Lewis of Deeyagaha; and the affidavit of the said Kankanan Patiranagey Don Lewis, dated the 4th September, 1905, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner aforesaid be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased Ratnaikagey Don Davit issued to him as son-in-law of the said deceased, unless (1) Ratnaikagey Babahamy of Deeyagaha, (2) Ratnaikagey Don Juwanis of Deeyagaha, (3) Ratnaikagey Maddumahamy of Naimbala, her husband (4) Don Hendrick Wimalagunasekera of Naimbala, (5) Ratnaikagey Don Andris of Deeyagaha, (6) Don Salaman Ratnaika of Deeyagaha, (7) Don Kirigoris Ratnaika of Deeyagaha, (8) Ratnaikagey Dona Gimarahamy of Deeyagaha, (9) Samarawickrama Patiranagey Kristina of Deeyagaha, (10) Ratnaikagey Niculas of Deeyagaha, (11) Ratnaikagey Kristina of Deeyagaha, (12) Ratnaikagey Sengohamy of Deeyagaha, (13) Ratnaikagey Maddumahamy of Deeyagaha, (14) Ratnaikagey Samel of Deeyagaha, (15) Ratnaikagey Gimarahamy of Deeyagaha, the 9th respondent, as guardian *ad litem* over the minors 13th, 14th, and 15th respondents, shall, on or before the 6th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

THOS. R. E. LOFTUS,
District Judge.

The 2nd day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Matara.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Estate of the late Siddi Aratchigey Don Teadoris de Silva, deceased, of Gabada-weediya.
No. 1,473.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before T. R. E. Loftus, Esq., District Judge of Matara, on the 6th day of October, 1905, on the motion of Mr. J. S. Wirasinha, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner H wa Badjamage Ensohamy of Gabada-weediya; and the affidavit of the said Hewa Badjamage Ensohamy, dated 6th October, 1905, having been read:

It is ordered that the petitioner aforesaid be declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the deceased Siddi Aratchigey Don Teadoris de Silva issued to her as widow of the said deceased, unless, (1) Siddi Aratchigey Ana Maria-hamine, (2) Siddi Aratchigey Alice, (3) Siddi Aratchigey Eggie Hamine, (4) Siddi Aratchigey Thomas de Silva, all of Gabada-weediya, and (5) Charles Samara-wickrama of Gabada-weediya, guardian *ad litem* over the minors 1st to 4th respondents, shall, on or before the 7th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

THOS. R. E. LOFTUS,
The 6th day of October, 1905. District Judge.

In the District Court of Batticaloa.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the matter of the Estate and Effects of Ahamadulevvai Ibra-levvai of Maruthamunai, deceased.
No. 439.

Ahamadulevvai Ismail Mohandiram of Maruthamunai.....Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Meeralevvai Avvaummah, (2) Ibra-levvai Ismailevvaipody, (3) Ibra-levvai Sinnilatummah, (4) Ibralevvai Sannaummah, and (5) Ibralevvai Pattummah, all of Maruthamunai. Respondents.

Between

Meeralevvai Avvaummah of Marutha-munai.....Petitioner.

And

(1) Ahamadulevvai Ismail Mohandiram, (2) Ibralevvai Ismailevvaipody, (3) Ibralevvai Sinnilatummah, (4) Ibra-levvai Sannaummah, and (5) Ibra-levvai Pattummah, all of Marutha-munai Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before A. de A. Seneviratne, Esq., District Judge of Batticaloa, on the 23rd day of September, 1905, in the presence of Mr. C. Suppramaniam, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and after reading the affidavit of the petitioner, dated 12th day of September, 1905, and petition dated 12th day of September, 1905, praying to call on the respondents to show cause why letters of administration should not be issued to her to the estate of the late Ahamadulevvai Ibralevvai of Maruthamunai:

It is ordered that the petitioner be and she is hereby declared to have letters of administration to the estate of the late Ahamadulevvai Ibralevvai, unless sufficient cause be shown to the contrary, on the 7th day of November, 1905.

It is further ordered that the respondents do pay to the petitioner her costs of and occasioned by this application.

A. SENEVIRATNE,
District Judge.
The 23rd September, 1905.

In the District Court of Kurunegala.

Order Nisi.

Testamentary Jurisdiction. In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Bernard Hynn Raux, also known as Barney Hynn Raux of Kurunegala.
No. 815.

Elizabeth Barbara van Houten.....Petitioner.

And

Julius Cæsar Raux of Hanwella.....Respondent.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Ber-tram Hill, Esq., District Judge of Kurunegala, on this 10th day of October, 1905, in the presence of Messrs. C. P. and C. H. Marcus on the part of the petitioner; and the affidavit of the petitioner, dated the 28th day of September, 1905, having been read:

It is ordered that Elizabeth Barbara van Houten, the said petitioner, be and she is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of the late Bernard Hynn Raux of Kurunegala issued to her, as an heir of the said intestate, unless the respondent aforesaid or other person interested shall, on or before the 13th day of November, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

BERTRAM HILL,
District Judge.
The 10th day of October, 1905.

In the District Court of Puttalam.

Order Nisi.

In the Matter of the Intestate Estate of Ummukulumah, wife of Tamby Naina Maraikar Segalado Tamby Maraikar, late of Kalpitiya, de-
ceased

Tamby Naina Maraikar Segalado Tamby Maraikar of Kalpitiya.....Petitioner.

Vs.

(1) Tamby Naina Maraikar Abdul Assis Tamby, Maraikar guardian *ad litem* of the minor child Hamido Ossen Maraikar, (2) Abdul Assis Mohamado Ibrahim Naina Maraikar, (3) Moha-mado Meera Natchia, widow of Hami-do Ossen Maraikar, all of Kalpitiya. Respondents.

THIS matter coming on for disposal before Fredrick Bowes, Esq., District Judge of Puttalam, on the 3rd day of October, 1905, in the presence of Mr. W. S. Strong, Proctor, on the part of the petitioner; and the petitioner's affidavit, dated October 3rd, 1905, and the petition dated the same day, having been duly read: It is ordered that the petitioner be, and he is hereby declared entitled to have letters of administration to the estate of Ummukulumah, late of Kalpitiya, deceased, and that the same will be issued to him, unless the respondents or any person shall, on or before the 19th day of October, 1905, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

F. BOWES,
District Judge.

The date for showing cause to the above *Order Nisi* is extended by order of court to the 6th Novem-ber, 1905.

J. S. PHILLIPS,
Secretary.

NOTICES OF INSOLVENCY.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,191. In the matter of the insolvency of Kuna Muna Mohideen Pitche of No. 28, Dam street, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on November 23, 1905, for the grant of a certificate of conformity to the above-named insolvent.

By order of court,
J. B. Misso,
Secretary.

Colombo, October 20, 1905.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,201. In the matter of the insolvency of William de Silva Siriwardana of No. 11, Ferry street in New Bazaar, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that a meeting of the creditors of the above-named insolvent will take place at the sitting of this court on November 23, 1905, for the grant of a certificate of conformity to the above-named insolvent.

By order of court,
J. B. Misso,
Secretary.

Colombo, October 20, 1905.

In the District Court of Colombo.

No. 2,192. In the matter of the insolvency of Ana Ibrahim of New Moor street, Colombo.

NOTICE is hereby given that the above-named insolvent has been awarded a certificate of the third class.

By order of court,

J. B. Misso,
Secretary.

Colombo, October 23, 1905.

In the District Court of Kalutara.

No. 116. In the matter of the insolvency of Gamaetige Don Erolis Appuhami of Horawala.

NOTICE is hereby given that the second sitting of this court in the above matter has been adjourned for November 2, 1905, for proof of further claims.

By order of court,

WM. DE SILVA,
Secretary.

Kalutara, October 19, 1905.

In the District Court of Galle.

No. 353. In the matter of the insolvency of Deonis Sudrikku Jayawickrama Goonesekara of Magalla.

NOTICE is hereby given that November 21, 1905, is fixed for proof of a claim by Silva's Limited.

By order of court,

E. M. DE COSTA,
Secretary.

Galle, October 25, 1905.

NOTICES OF FISCALS' SALES.

Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

(1) Ponnampere Arachchige Dona Yaso Perera *alias* Marthina Perera of Yanthanpala in the District of Kurunegala, administratrix of the estate of Hettige Don Lorenzo Appuhamy, deceased, (2) Hettige Don Apolino Samarakanayaka of ditto. Plaintiffs.

No. 19,848. Vs.

Amaratunge Arachchige Joronis Perera Appuhamy of Kandana in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, November 23, 1905, will be sold by public auction at the respective premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 751.25, with interest on Rs. 500 at 9 per cent. per annum from April 10, 1904, till payment in full and balance costs Rs. 283.98, viz. :—

At 10 A.M.

1. The residing land of the defendant called Puchi Kurunduwatta, situate at Kandana *alias* Rilaula in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale; bounded on the north by the property belonging to the heirs of

Uswatteliyanage Jusey Perera Mupurala, on the east by the properties belonging to the heirs of Uswatteliyanage Jusey Perera Mupurala and the heirs of Don Elaris Appuhamy and others, on the south by the property of Parathapatantige Migel Perera, and on the west by the property of Kuruppu Arachchige Simeon Rodrigo and others; containing in extent about 5 acres, together with all the buildings standing thereon.

At 11 A.M.

2. The land called Kadurugahawatta *alias* Uswatta, situate at Welisara as aforesaid; bounded on the north by the property of Konganigey Alberto Fernando, on the east by the property of Velgamage Jusey Appu and others, on the south by a dewata road, and on the west by the property of Hettige Don Gabriel Appuhamy; containing in extent 2 roods more or less, together with the buildings standing thereon.

At 11.30 A.M.

3. The land called Siyambalagahawatta, situate at Welisara as aforesaid; bounded on the north by the property of Alberto Fernando and others, on the east by the properties of Hettige Don Roberto Appuhamy and others, on the south by a dewata road, and on the west by the property of Migel Appu; containing in extent 2 roods more or less, together with the buildings standing thereon.

At 12.15 P.M.

4. The land called Madatiyagahawatta *alias* Kongahawatta, situate at Welisara as aforesaid; bounded on the north by the property of Hettige Don Roberto Appuhamy, on the east by the high road, on the south by the property of Andrew Fernando and others, and on the west by the property of Jusey Fernando and others; containing in extent about 2 roods.

At 1 P.M.

5. The land called Andrathuduwa, situate at Nagoda as aforesaid; bounded on the north by the property of Don Pelis Samaranayaka, on the east by the property belonging to the said Don Pelis Samaranayaka and to the church, on the south by the property belonging to the church and by a field, and on the west by a field belonging to the heirs of Bastian Silva, deceased; containing in extent about 6 acres, out of which an undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ share.

E. ONDATJE,
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, October 23, 1905.

In the District Court of Colombo.

John Abraham Abeyasekera Mudaliyar
of Dematagoda in Colombo.....Plaintiff.
No. 21,095C. Vs.

Don Joseph Kulatunga of Second Division,
Maradana, Colombo.....Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, November 20, 1905, at 3 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 5 per month from August 1, 1904, till plaintiff is restored to possession of the portion of land specified in the copy decree in the above case and costs of suit Rs. 291-25, viz. :—

All those undivided 13 30 shares of all that allotment of land called and known as Gorakagahawatta, together with the buildings standing thereon, situate at Third Division, Maradana, within the Municipality of Colombo; bounded on the north-east by the high road, on the south-east by Delgahawatta formerly belonging to Hendrick Perera Appuhamy, on the south-west formerly by the cinnamon gardens now the property of D. D. Pedris, on the north-west by the other portion of the same garden belonging to J. A. Abeyasekera Mudaliyar; containing in extent 2 roods more or less.

E. ONDATJE,
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,
Colombo, October 25, 1905.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Helena Wijewardana of Sedawatta, executrix of the last will and testament of Don Philip Wijewardene Muhandiram, late of Sedawatta, deceased.....Plaintiff.
No. 21,118. Vs.

(1) Don Marshall Wijeratne Jayawardena of Welisara in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale and (2) Emely Dorkus Wijeratne presently of Kohilawatta in Ambatalenpahala of Alutkuru Korale South...Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Friday, November 24, 1905, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property ordered to be sold by the order of court dated August 31, 1905, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2,793-80, with interest on Rs. 1,500

at 16 per cent. per annum from December 7, 1904, till March 31, 1905, and thereafter on the aggregate amount at 9 per cent. per annum till payment in full and costs taxed at Rs. 200-60 and poundage, viz. :—

All those contiguous portions of the lands called Delgahawatta, Dambugahawatta, and Dawatagahawatta, now forming one property, situated at Mahabage in the Ragam pattu of Alutkuru korale in the District of Colombo; and bounded on the north by land belonging to Mawatage Simpilonu Fernando, on the east by the high road, on the south by the limit of the portion of land belonging to Santiago Silva and by the limit of the land belonging to Alagoadura Martinu Fernando and others, and on the west by the land of Nissanga Marthelis Mondis and by lands of Etige Theodoris Silva, Thomis Fernando, and others; containing in extent 4 acres, with all the trees and buildings standing thereon and everything appertaining thereto.

Fiscal's Office, E. ONDATJE,
Colombo, October 25, 1905. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Thomas Walker of Colombo, presently in
England.....Plaintiff.
No. 21,467C. Vs.

(1) Gertrude Henrietta Frances Wijesekere and her husband (2) Owen Bernard Wijesekere, both of Slave Island, Colombo.....Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, November 21, 1905, at 4 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the following property, mortgaged and decreed to be sold by the decree entered in the above action, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 12,500, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from September 4, 1905, till payment in full, viz. :—

All that house and ground called and known as Staples Cottage bearing assessment No. 2, situated at Slave Island within the Municipality of Colombo, comprising a part of the lot No. 25 of the Maradana Cinnamon Gardens, with the buildings constructed thereon, now called and known as Staples Cottage No. 2, situated at Slave Island aforesaid; bounded on the north by the other part of this lot No. 25 of J. J. Staples, Esq., on the east by the lot No. 26, on the south by the other part of this lot No. 25 of J. J. Staples, Esq., and on the west by the road 70 ft. wide; containing in extent 1 rood 37 82 100 square perches according to the figure of survey No. 44,829, dated August 6, 1841, and made by C. H. Schwallie, Surveyor.

Fiscal's Office, E. ONDATJE,
Colombo, October 25, 1905. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Y. A. R. M. Cannasamy of Soa street, Colombo.....Plaintiff.
No. 21,962C. Vs.

S. Thambyah of Cinnamon Gardens, Colombo.....Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, November 20, 1905, at 4 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at No. 46, Ward Place, Cinnamon Gardens, Colombo, the following property, for the recovery of the sum of Rs. 1900, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from June 30, 1905, till payment in full and costs of suit, viz. :—

One bay mare, 1 Victoria phaeton, 1 dog cart, and 1 lot harness.

Fiscal's Office, E. ONDATJE,
Colombo, October 25, 1905. Deputy Fiscal.

In the District Court of Negombo.

Sina Ana Runa Sidambaram Chetty by
his attorney Sina Arumugam Palle of
Negombo Plaintiff.

No. 5.737. Vs.

(1) Manam Allis de Silva Siriwardana,
dead, (2) Manam Josephina de Silva
Siriwardana, (3) Manam Jane de Silva
Siriwardana Hamine, all of 4th
Division Udayartoppu Defendants.

(4) Andrew Simon Mirando, administra-
tor of the estate of 2nd defendant, (5)
Davith Mendis Gunasekera, both of
Udayartoppu Added defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on December 4, 1905,
commencing at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will
be sold by public auction at the premises the following
property, specially hypothecated, viz. :—

1. The several contiguous portion of Kosgahawatta-
kebella, Ambagahawatta, situate at 3rd Division
Tammitta, within the old gravets of Negombo;
bounded on the north by lands of P. Manuel Perera,
the heirs of Odiris Mendis and M. Francisco Fernando,
on the east by the road, on the south by land of
Hottiarachige Manuel Perera, and on the west by
land of Mehidukulasuriya Francisco Fernando; con-
taining in extent 3 acres 1 rood and 16 perches more
or less.

2. A portion of the land called Talgahawatta,
situate at ditto; bounded on the north by land of
Ranasinha Paulu Fernando, on the east by the land
of the late Sube Appu, on the south by the high road,
and on the west by land of Porutottage Saviel Silva;
containing in extent 3 roods and 8 perches more or
less.

3. The land consisting of the contiguous portions
called the northern portion of Halgahawatta and
Siyambalagahawatta, and another portion of Hal-
gahawatta and Siyambalagahawatta, situate at 4th
Division Tammitta in ditto; bounded on the north
by land of the heirs of W. Juan Appu and others, on
the east by the road; on the south by land claimed by
Adrianpedige Lorensu Fernando and others, and on
the west by lands which were claimed by Jalathge
Don Cornelis Appu and others; containing in extent
2 acres more or less.

4. The land consisting of several contiguous por-
tions called the northern quarter of Kosgahawatta,
Kosgahawatta, Siyambalagahawatta, and Kosgaha-
watta and the buildings standing thereon, situate at
ditto; bounded on the north by lands of H. Simon
de Silva, M. Ana Fernando and others, on the east by
the road leading to Bolawalana, on the south by lands
of Maria Nona, the late Hendrick de Silva Gunasekera
Arachchi, and the late M. Juse Fernando; and on
the west by land of the late G. Migel Fernando and
L. Rosa Fernando; containing in extent 2 acres
2 roods and 34 perches more or less.

5. The land consisting of the contiguous portions
called half part of Kongahawatta and Kantaruwatta
alias Kongahawatta and the buildings standing there-
on, situate at ditto; bounded on the north by the
Pansalawatta and by land of Pingamage Don Marsal
Appu and others, on the east by land of Sepalawanna-
cuge Don Grigoris Appu and others, on the south by
land of Galbodage Rosa Fernando, and on the west
by the road; containing in extent 4 acres 2 roods and
6 perches more or less.

6. The land consisting of several contiguous
portions called Bakmigahawatta, Halgahawatta or
half part of Halgahawatta and Ambagahawattu
panguwa and the buildings standing thereon (exclud-
ing an undivided one-eighth share of the land and the
buildings standing thereon claimed by S. Sarah de
Silva Hamine, situate at Katuwapitiya in Dunugaha
pattu of Alutkuru korale; bounded on the north by
the land of W. Juan Fernando and field of the late

Mendis Mudaliyar, on the east by the field of W.
Iskolantina Fernando and others and by ferry or
stream where dhobies wash, on the south by the field
and land of J. L. Dabrera, and on the west by the
road; containing in extent 3 acres more or less.

7. The southern seven-twelfth parts of the land
called Gorakagahawatta and the buildings standing
thereon, situate at 4th Division Udayartoppu, within
the old gravets of Negombo; bounded on the north
by land of Maria Rajepakse, on the east by land of
Mr. Soysa, on the south and west by roads; contain-
ing in extent 1 acre and 2 roods more or less, and declared
liable to be sold in satisfaction of the decree entered
in the above case.

Amount to be levied Rs. 13,700, with interest on
Rs. 10,000 at 16½ per cent. per annum from September
16, 1904, to March 21, 1905, and thereafter at 9 per
cent. per annum till payment.

FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,
Deputy Fiscal.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Negombo, October 24, 1905.

In the District Court of Negombo.

una Mana Kana Runa Sina Kumarappa
Chetty and P. S. T. A. Pillappa Chetty
of Negombo Plaintiffs.

No. 5,935. Vs.

(1) Wirappuliradage Piloris Veda, (2)
Walbotalaradage Romel Fernando, (3)
Walbotalaradage Sinna Fernando, (4)
Wirappuliradage Noiya Fernando, (5)
Muna Muna Mohammado Nainamala,
(6) Benterege Romel Fernando; (7)
Sarukkalege Martelis Fernando, all of
Dunagaha Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on November 25,
1905, commencing at 11 o'clock in the fore-
noon, will be sold by public auction at the premises
the following mortgaged property, viz. :—

1. The undivided ¼ of Meegahawatta, situate at
Paliyapitiya in Dunagaha pattu of Alutkuru korale;
the said land being bounded on the north by a portion
of this land belonging to Sala Veda, on the east by
the field belonging to the said Sala Veda, on the south
by the land belonging to Handungaladewage Siiochia
and others, and on the west by the Crown land;
containing in extent 5 acres more or less.

2. The land called Migahawatta, situate at Duna-
gaha in ditto; the said land being bounded on the
north by the land of Barakmanage Elaris Fernando,
on the east by the fields belonging to the heirs of
Sinchi Veda, on the south by a portion of this land
belonging to Piloris Veda and others, and on the west
by the land belonging to the heirs of Sinchi Veda;
containing in extent 2 acres more or less.

3. The allotment of 3 acres in extent out of the
land called Koteagaregodella, situate at ditto; the
said land being bounded on the north by the land
belonging to Marthelis Mendis, on the east by the
field belonging to Jua Veda, on the south by the tract
of fields belonging to Peries Headman, and on the
west by the field belonging to Sinchi Veda; contain-
ing in extent 20 acres more or less; and declared liable
to be sold in satisfaction of the decree entered in the
above case.

Amount to be levied Rs. 930.25, with interest on
Rs. 450 at 36 per cent. per annum from March 16 to
June 19, 1905, and thereafter at 9 per cent. per annum
till payment.

FRED. G. HEPPONSTALL,
Deputy Fiscal.

Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Negombo, October 24, 1905.

Central Province.

In the District Court of Kandy.

Seena Thana Kana Kuna Nalla Carup-
pen Chetty of Matale.....Plaintiff.
No. 12,040. Vs.

Pena Reena Sinniyah Chetty.....Defendant.
W. M. de Silva, Secretary of the Dis-
trict Court of Kandy, administrator of
the estate of the deceased defend-
dant.....Substituted Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on November 20,
1905, at 12 o'clock noon, will be sold by public
auction at this office the right, title, and interest of
the said defendant in and to the mortgage bond
No. 18,058, dated December 15, 1895, attested by J.
A. Siriwardane, Notary Public, for a sum of Rs. 1,500
and interest, executed by Weera Muttu Cangany in
favour of the deceased Sinniyah Chetty, defendant.
Amount of writ, Rs. 2,100.

A. V. WOUTERSZ,
Deputy Fiscal.

-Fiscal's Office,
Kandy, October 24, 1905.

Northern Province.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

1, Kanapathippillai Teyvar ; and 2, Am-
maimuttu, widow of Saravanamuttu of
Point Pedro, plaintiffs.....Appellants.
No. 3,117. Vs.

1, Sivagamippillai, widow of Teyvar, dead ;
2, Sithamparapillai Mayilvaganam, and
his wife 3, Kathirattaipillai ; 4, Appak-
kuddy Kanapathippillai ; 5, Kanapathi-
pillai Muttuvelu, and his wife 6, Konat-
taippillai ; 7, Appakkuddy Vayira-
muttu ; 8, Kanapathippillai Sittampara-
pillai ; and his wife 9, Teivanaippillai, all
of Point Pedro, defendants and substi-
tuted defendants.....Respondents.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, Novem-
ber 27, 1905, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, will
be sold by public auction at the premises the right,
title, and interest of the said first defendant in the
following property for the recovery of Rs. 750-92, being
costs of this court and of the Supreme Court, viz.:-

In an undivided 100 lachams varaku culture with
its appurtenances of a piece of land consisting of the
following parcels, situated at Vartuppalai called (1)
Periyavayal, containing or reputed to contain in extent
37½ lachams paddy culture, (2) Periyavayalukkukilak-
kukudutanki, 12½ lachams varaku culture, (3) Vayik-
kal, 27½ lachams varaku culture, (4) Vayikkal, 2½
lachams varaku culture, (5) Perumalpati, 50 lachams
varaku culture, (6) Mayiliruntalkudal, 18½ lachams
varaku culture, (7) Vayililvadalai, 12½ lachams varaku
culture, (8) Vayililvadalai, 5½ lachams varaku culture,
(9) Panaitankudal, 33½ lachams varaku culture, (10)
Chinkanpulo, 25 lachams varaku culture, (11) Kodu-
tanki, 23½ lachams varaku culture, (12) Kodutanki,
14½ lachams varaku culture, (13) Periyapanantoddam,
55½ lachams varaku culture, (14) Periyapanantoddam,
27½ lachams varaku culture, (15) Kodutanki, 3½
lachams varaku culture, (16) Vadalittoddam, 15½
lachams varaku culture, (17) Kodutanki, 6½ lachams
varaku culture, (18) Chukkachchimudal, 4½ lachams
varaku culture, (19) Puchchitoddam, 22½ lachams
varaku culture, (20) Kodutanki, 20 lachams varaku
culture, (21) Pallankeneni, 33½ lachams varaku culture,
(22) Pallankeneni, 30 lachams varaku culture, (23) Thila-
kumarayanpulam, 40½ lachams varaku culture, (24)
Thilakumarayanpulam 29½ lachams varaku culture,

(25) Thilakumarayanpulam, 3 lachams varaku culture.
(26) Turumpichhitoddam, 19 lachams varaku culture.
(27) Kulavilaipputi, 7 lachams varaku culture. (28)
Kulavilaipputi, 18½ lachams varaku culture, and
(29) Chellantoddam, 11½ lachams varaku culture ;
bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by
lane, north by property of Kanapathiyar and others,
west by a road, and south by property of Mappaner
and others.

V. THAMBIPILLAI,
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, October 20, 1905.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

1, Kanapathippillai Teyvar ; and 2, Amnai-
muttu, widow of Saravanamuttu of
Point Pedro, plaintiffs.....Appellants.
No. 3,117. Vs.

1, Sivagamippillai, widow of Teyvar, dead ;
2, Sittamparapillai Mayilvaganam and
his wife 3, Kathirattaipillai ; 4, Appak-
kuddy Kanapathippillai ; 5, Kana-
pathippillai Muttuvelu ; and his wife 6,
Konattaippillai ; 7, Appakkuddy Vayira-
muttu ; 8, Kanapathippillai Sittampara-
pillai ; and his wife 9, Teivanaippillai,
all of Point Pedro, defendants and substi-
tuted defendants.....Respondents.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, Novem-
ber 28, 1905, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon,
will be sold by public auction at the premises the right,
title, and interest of the said 1st, 3rd, 4th, 6th, and
7th defendants in the following property, for the
recovery of Rs. 750-92, being costs of this court and of
the Supreme Court, viz.:-

In an undivided ½ share of a piece of land with its
appurtenances, situated at Point Pedro, Chinkapaku-
tevanpurichchi, and consisting of the following
parcels, to wit: Marutunindateni, containing or
reputed to contain in extent 8½ lachams varaku cul-
ture, Marutunindateni in extent 1½ lacham varaku
culture with godown and other appurtenances thereof;
bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east and
north by roads, west by property of Chivakamippillai
and others, and south by property of Vinasitampi and
others.

2. In an undivided ½ share of a divided 4½ lachams
with godown and other appurtenances of a piece of
land, situated at Point Pedro, Chinkapakutevanpurich-
chi called Vannaturaiyadivayalkilakku, containing
or reputed to contain in extent 12½ lachams varaku
culture; the said 4½ lachams: bounded or reputed
to be bounded on the east and north by property of
Chinnatampi, west by a road, and south by property
of Veluppillai and others.

V. THAMBIPILLAI,
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, October 20, 1905.

In the District Court of Jaffna.

Ramalingam Sivasuppiramaniam of Van-
narponne East.....Plaintiff.
No. 4,264. Vs.

Kantar Thambiah of Vannarponne East...Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Tuesday, Novem-
ber 28, 1905, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon,
will be sold by public auction at the premises the right,
title, and interest of the said defendant in the follow-
ing property for the recovery of Rs. 878-60, with

further interest on Rs. 700 at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from June 22, 1905, until the day of payment; provided that such further interest does not exceed Rs. 521.50, and costs Rs. 100.36, viz. :—

In a piece of land called Mutiyanodai, situated at Vannarponne East, and containing or reputed to contain in extent 28 lachams varaku culture with its appurtenances including share of well on the southern land; bounded or reputed to be bounded on the east by property of Sinnappillai, wife of Sinnatampi, Nakamuttu, wife of Chellayah, and Chivakamippillai, wife of Arulampulam, north by lane, west by property of Kantar Vaitilingam, and south by property of Kantar Vaitilingam, Kantar Vallipuram, and Sinnatankachehi, wife of Sinnaya.

V. THAMBIPILLAI,
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, October 20, 1905.

Southern Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

M. K. S. P. R. Muttiah Sinniah of Sea
street, Colombo Plaintiff.
No. 21,999 C. Vs.

D. A. Jayasundara and two others of
Induruwa..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Saturday, November 25, 1905, commencing at 3.30 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

1. The entire land called Mayabodawatta, situate at Induruwa.

2. The middle portion, in extent about 9 acres, of the land called Duwebeddakele *alias* Kurunduwatta, in extent about 43½ acres, situate at ditto.

3. The entire land called Kapugewatta, situate at Warakamulla.

Amount Rs. 989.50, with interest at 9 per cent. per annum on Rs. 950 from July 11, 1905.

C. T. LEEMBRUGGEN,
for Fiscal, Southern Province.
Fiscal's Office,
Galle, October 24, 1905.

Eastern Province.

In the District Court of Trincomalee.

Retuamma, widow of Arumugampillai
of division No. 6, Trincomalee..... Plaintiff.
No. 194. Vs.

(1) T. Vallupillai Vannipane and wife
(2) Thangaponnupillai of division
No. 8, Trincomalee..... Defendants.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Thursday, November 30, 1905, at 11 o'clock in the morning, will be sold by public auction at the spot the right, title, and interest of the said defendants in the following property, viz. :—

Special mortgage.

1. A piece of land situated at division No. 8, Trincomalee, with a tiled house of two rooms, share of well, and plantations standing thereon and all other rights belonging thereto; bounded on the north-east by the land of K. M. Ismail Lebbai Maracaiar, deceased, on the south-east by the land of K. M. Ismail Lebbai Maracaiar, deceased, and others, on

the south-west by the house and ground of the defendants, and on the north-west by the land of Vapupakeer Muhamadu and wife Muhamadu Asiaumma and others; containing in extent 6 91/100 square perches.

2. A piece of land situated at division No. 8, Trincomalee, with a tiled house of two rooms, plantations, share in the well, right of pathway to go to the compound from the road, and all other rights relating thereto; bounded on the north-east by the house and ground of the defendants, on the south-east by the house and ground of Katheramatambi Thamothersampillai, on the south-west by road, and on the north-west by the dowry land and house of Thangama, wife of Visuvanathy; containing in extent 6 22/100 square perches.

3. A piece of land situated at division No. 8, Trincomalee, with a tiled house of two rooms, share in the well, and all other rights belonging thereto; bounded on the east by the house and land at one time belonging to Sinnatambi Tillaiampalam and now belonging to others and land of others, on the west by the house and ground of K. Thamothersampillai, on the north by road, and on the south by the house and ground of Mahamayepillai, widow of Kumaravalupillai; containing in extent 4 fathoms in breadth and 11 fathoms in length.

At 4 o'clock P.M.

4. A piece of land situated at Sampaltivu in Kadduculampattu, Trincomalee, with cocoanut trees, cocoanut plants, jak trees, mango trees, and all other plantations standing thereon, and all other rights relating thereto; bounded on the north-east by lot No. 92,589 and land of K. Vettivalu, on the south-east by the land of Kumaru Vettivalu and others, on the south-west by lot No. 92,588, and on the north-west by lot Nos. 92,614 and 92,616; containing in extent 5 acres 3 roods and 7 perches.

5. A piece of land situated at Sampaltivu in Kadduculampattu, Trincomalee, with cocoanut trees, palmyra trees, and all other plantations standing thereon, and all other rights relating thereto; bounded on the north by lot No. 92,617, on the north-east by lot No. 92,589, on the south-east by lot No. 92,615, and on the south-west by lot No. 92,614; containing in extent 2 acres.

Writ amount Rs. 5,049, with interest thereon at 9 per cent. per annum from June 12, 1905, till payment in full, provided that such interest does not exceed Rs. 951 and costs being Rs. 191.71.

W. L. KINDERSLEY,
Deputy Fiscal.
Deputy Fiscal's Office,
Trincomalee, October 13, 1905.

North-Western Province.

In the District Court of Colombo.

Wanigasoorige Don Jacovis, Notary
Public, of Sedawatta in Ambatalen-
pahala of Alutkuru Korale South..... Plaintiff.
No. 21,275C. Vs.

Wijelat Patirannehelage Don Gregoris,
Vel-vidane of Hapugahagama in the
Dunagaha pattu of Alutkuru korale.... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, November 20, 1905, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

An undivided ¼ part of the soil and of all the trees of the land called Hedawakamukalana, situate at the village Kotuwella *alias* Kekillapitiya and Etadambugara in the Katugampola Meda pattu korale, in

the District of Kurunegala, and bounded on all sides by tracing road, containing in extent 127 acres. Amount to be levied is Rs. 1,13 50; with interest and poundage.

C. V. REBEIRA,
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, October 23, 1905.

In the District Court of Chilaw.

(1) P. L. S. Lechchimanan Chetty by his attorney P. L. S. Karthan Chetty, and (2) P. L. S. Kaithan Chetty of Madampe..... Plaintiffs.

No. 3,283. Vs.

P. Migel Jokino Fernando Muppurala of Uthitiyawa in Vennappuwa..... Defendant.

NOTICE is hereby given that on Monday, November 20, 1905, at 1 o'clock in the afternoon, will be sold by public auction at the premises the

right, title, and interest of the said defendant in the following property, viz. :—

1. The soil and productive trees of the land called Iruliandatottam and the adjoining lots of land appertaining thereto, situate at Kattimahana in Katugampola korale in Kurunegala District; bounded on the north by the garden now belonging to Seeni Mohammado, which formerly belonged to Abdul Rahiman; on the east by the garden of Isseris Appuhami and the garden of Migel Fernando; on the south by the garden of Isseris Appuhamy and a place where water stops; and on the west by the water-course; containing in extent about 15 acres more or less, excluding therefrom 50 coconut trees in the middle as planting compensation to Annavirala.

Amount to be levied is Rs 3,078.65, with interest and poundage.

C. V. REBEIRA,
Deputy Fiscal.

Fiscal's Office,
Kurunegala, October 23, 1905.